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#### Abstract

The symmetric inverse monoid $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ is the set of all partial permutations of an $n$-element set. The largest possible size of a 2-generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ is determined. Examples of semigroups with these sizes are given. Consequently, if $M(n)$ denotes this maximum, it is shown that $M(n) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, we deduce the known fact that $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ embeds as a local submonoid of an inverse 2 -generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}$.
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## 1. Introduction and the statements of the main theorems

The topic of embedding a semigroup into a 2-generated semigroup is classical. Sierpiński [11] and Banach [1] proved that every countable semigroup, being isomorphic to a semigroup of mappings on $\mathbb{N}$, can be embedded in a 2-generated subsemigroup of the monoid of all mappings from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$. Evans [2] and Neumann [8] followed with their own proofs, involving presentations and wreath products, respectively. As a consequence of Neumann's proof it follows that any finite semigroup can be embedded in a finite 2-generator semigroup. A more elementary method can be used to prove the same result. If $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ denotes the monoid of all mappings from an $n$-element set to itself, then the semigroup theoretic analogue of Cayley's theorem for groups states that every semigroup with $n-1$ elements embeds in a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{T}_{n}$. In [7] it is shown that $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ embeds in a 2-generator subsemigroup of $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$. Thus, Neumann's result is obtained.

The topic of this paper is, however, not semigroups in general but a special class of semigroups called inverse semigroups. Ash [3] proved that every countable inverse semigroup $S$ can be embedded in a 4-generator inverse semigroup $T$, that is, a 4 -generator subsemigroup that happens to be an inverse semigroup itself. A partial permutation of a set $X$ is just an injective mapping with domain contained in or equal to $X$. Ash's result can be obtained by proving that any countable collection of partial permutations on $\mathbb{N}$ can be generated by two such partial permutations and their inverses; see [4, Proposition 4.2]. It is also shown in $[\mathbf{3}]$ that if $S$ happens to be finite, then $S$ embeds in a finite $T$. A different proof of this is given in $[\mathbf{7}]$. Again analogous to Cayley's theorem, every inverse semigroup embeds in the symmetric inverse monoid $\mathcal{I}_{n}$, the monoid of all partial permutations of an $n$-element set. The result then follows from the fact that $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ embeds in a 2 -generator inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n+2}[\mathbf{7}]$.

Recently, Holzer and König [5] attempted to answer the question: what is the largest possible size of a 2 -generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ ? Their paper connects the standard study of 2 -generated semigroups to theoretical computer science. Amongst other things, Holzer and König show that when $n$ is prime the largest 2-generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ lies in a class of explicitly defined semigroups. The precise semigroup in this class, with largest size, is, as yet, unknown except for small values of $n$. Answering the question when $n$ is not a prime seems to be a rather difficult problem. After attempting to find such an answer, without success, we followed Pólya's advice [10], and considered a seemingly more straightforward question. The outcome of this consideration is the topic of this paper. The intention is to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. If $n \geqslant 10$ is even, then the largest size of a 2 -generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ is
$\mathfrak{e}(n)=\varepsilon(n)+\frac{1}{36}\left(n^{6}+3 n^{5}+13 n^{4}-411 n^{3}+1390 n^{2}-1320 n+36\right)(n-3)!+\sum_{r=0}^{n-4}\binom{n}{r}^{2} r!$,
where $\varepsilon(n)=3(n-3)$, if $3 \nmid n$, and $\varepsilon(n)=2(n-3)$, if $3 \mid n$. Moreover, there are inverse subsemigroups of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ generated by two elements with size $\mathfrak{e}(n)$.

Theorem 1.2. If $n \geqslant 7$ is odd, then the largest size of a 2 -generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ is

$$
\mathfrak{o}(n)=2 n-4+\frac{1}{4}\left(n^{4}+2 n^{3}-23 n^{2}+36 n-12\right)(n-2)!+\sum_{r=0}^{n-3}\binom{n}{r}^{2} r!.
$$

Moreover, there are inverse subsemigroups of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ generated by two elements with size $\mathfrak{o}(n)$.
These theorems are proved in $\S \S 3$ and 4 . The cases when $n<10$ is even and when $n<7$ is odd are considered in $\S 5$. The semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ is itself 2 -generated when $n<3$. A corollary of the construction, in §4, of subsemigroups with sizes $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{e}(n)$, is a slight improvement of the main theorem of $[\mathbf{7}]$. That is, $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ can be embedded, as a local submonoid, in an inverse 2 -generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}$. It is stated in the acknowledgements of $[\mathbf{7}]$ that this result was obtained by the referee of the paper. For
undefined terms in, and further information about, semigroup theory, the reader should consult [6].

## 2. Preliminaries

Before beginning the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, a few observations and definitions are required. If $X$ is a subset of a semigroup $S$, then denote by $\langle X\rangle$ the subsemigroup generated by $X$, that is, the semigroup where every element can be given as a product of elements from $X$. The domain of $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ is the set $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha)=\{x: x \alpha$ is defined $\}$ and the image of $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ is the set $\operatorname{im}(\alpha)=\{x \alpha: x \in \operatorname{dom}(\alpha)\}$. The rank of $\alpha$ is simply the size of its image, denoted by $\operatorname{rank}(\alpha)$. If $\alpha$ is a permutation of its image, then $\langle\alpha\rangle$ is a cyclic group. Thus, it is possible to refer to the order of $\alpha$, which is denoted by $|\alpha|$.

There are $\binom{n}{r}$ possible domains and $\binom{n}{r}$ possible images of elements in $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ with rank $r$. Moreover, there are $r$ ! partial permutations with a fixed image and kernel of rank $r$. It follows that the number of elements of rank $r$ in $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ is $\binom{n}{r}^{2} r$ !. Summing over all $r$ gives

$$
\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right|=\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{n}{r}^{2} r!
$$

The same line of thought can be used to find an upper bound for the size of any subsemigroup $U$ of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$. If the elements with rank $r$ in $U$ admit $d(r)$ distinct domains and $i(r)$ distinct images, then, as above, there are at most $d(r) i(r) r$ ! elements with rank $r$ in $U$. So, summing over all $r$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
|U| \leqslant \sum_{r=0}^{n} d(r) i(r) r! \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The forms of $\mathfrak{e}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ given in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 arose as simplifications of the slightly longer expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{e}(n)=\varepsilon(n)+(n-3)^{2}(n-1)! \\
&+\left[\binom{n}{2}-3\right]^{2}(n-2)!+\left[\binom{n}{3}-1\right]^{2}(n-3)!+\sum_{r=0}^{n-4}\binom{n}{r}^{2} r! \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{o}(n)=2 n-4+(n-2)^{2}(n-1)!+\left[\binom{n}{2}-1\right]^{2}(n-2)!+\sum_{r=0}^{n-3}\binom{n}{r}^{2} r! \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

These lengthier versions of $\mathfrak{e}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ also make their relationship with $\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right|$ more apparent.

## 3. Not larger than $\mathfrak{e}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{o}(n)$

In this section, we prove that any 2-generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ has size at most $\mathfrak{e}(n)$ in the even case and at most $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ in the odd case. At several points in this section, an
upper bound on the order of any element of the symmetric group of degree $m \leqslant n$ is required. The largest order of an element in $\mathcal{S}_{m}$ is known as Landau's function $\lambda(m)$, and it is the greatest least common divisor of any partition of $m$. Several tight bounds are known for Landau's function. However, for our purposes it will suffice to note that, if $m \geqslant 4$, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$, then by induction on $m$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha| \leqslant(m-1)!. \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us begin in earnest by proving that any pair of non-permutations in $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ generates a semigroup with size less than $\mathfrak{e}(n)$.

Lemma 3.1. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}_{n} \backslash \mathcal{S}_{n}$ and $n \geqslant 5$, then $|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant \mathfrak{e}(n)<\mathfrak{o}(n)$.
Proof. By (2.2) and (2.3),

$$
\mathfrak{o}(n)-\mathfrak{e}(n) \geqslant \frac{1}{3}(n-3)!\left(13 n^{3}-54 n^{2}+47 n+15\right)-n+5 \geqslant(n-3)!-n+5>0
$$

when $n \geqslant 5$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{e}(n)<\mathfrak{o}(n)$ for all $n \geqslant 5$.
If $a$ and $b$ are elements missing from the images of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, then any element in $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ is missing either $a$ or $b$ from its image. Likewise, if $c \notin \operatorname{dom}(\alpha)$ and $d \notin \operatorname{dom}(\beta)$, then either $c \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ or $d \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$. Thus, it is not possible to choose all the elements missing from $\operatorname{im}(\mu)$ or $\operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ from the complement of $\{a, b\}$ or $\{c, d\}$, respectively. It follows that the number of distinct domains, and images, that elements of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ with rank $r$ admit is at most

$$
\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-2}{r-2}
$$

Inequality (2.1) tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\left[\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-2}{r-2}\right]^{2} r!. \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the proof is completed by showing that the coefficients of each of the terms $r$ ! in (3.2) are not greater than the corresponding coefficients in (2.2). When $r=0,1, \ldots, n-4$ this is obvious. Simplify the remaining terms in (3.2) to obtain

$$
4(n-1)!+\left[\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n-2}{2}\right]^{2}(n-2)!+\left[\binom{n}{3}-\binom{n-2}{3}\right]^{2}(n-3)!
$$

Comparing these coefficients with those in $(2.2), 4 \leqslant(n-3)^{2},\binom{n-2}{2} \geqslant 3$ and $\binom{n-2}{3} \geqslant 1$ when $n \geqslant 5$, and the result follows.

If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$, then $|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant n!<\mathfrak{e}(n)$ when $n \geqslant 4$. Therefore, it remains to prove that any permutation together with any non-permutation in $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ generate a subsemigroup with size less than $\mathfrak{e}(n)$ in the even case and less than $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ in the odd case. The next simple lemma is used in the proof of both cases. Denote by $\alpha_{i}$ the cycle of $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ containing the number $i$.

Lemma 3.2. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{I}_{n} \backslash \mathcal{S}_{n}$ with $a \notin \operatorname{dom}(\beta)$ and $b \notin \operatorname{im}(\beta)$, then

$$
|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant|\alpha|+\sum_{r=0}^{s}\left[\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{n-r}\right]^{2} r!
$$

where $s=\operatorname{rank}(\beta)$ and $t=\max \left\{\left|\alpha_{a}\right|,\left|\alpha_{b}\right|\right\}$.
Proof. Any element $\mu \neq \alpha^{i}$, for any $i$, of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ can be written as $\alpha^{i} \beta \omega \beta \alpha^{j}$, or $\alpha^{i} \beta \alpha^{j}$, for some $i, j$ and $\omega \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$. Thus, $a \alpha^{-i} \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ and $b \alpha^{j} \notin \operatorname{im}(\mu)$. In other words, there is an element in $\alpha_{a}$ that is not in $\operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ and an element in $\alpha_{b}$ that is not in $\operatorname{im}(\mu)$. So, as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, the number of distinct domains that elements of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ with rank $r$ admit is at most

$$
\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-\left|\alpha_{a}\right|}{n-r} \leqslant\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{n-r} .
$$

Likewise, the number of distinct images that elements of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ with rank $r$ admit is at most

$$
\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-\left|\alpha_{b}\right|}{n-r} \leqslant\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{n-r}
$$

The inequality in the lemma now follows from (2.1) and the fact that, for all $\mu \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$, $\operatorname{rank}(\mu) \leqslant s$ or $\operatorname{rank}(\mu)=n$.

Using Lemma 3.2 it is now possible to prove the main result of this section in the case where $n$ is even.

Lemma 3.3. If $n \geqslant 10$ is even, $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{I}_{n} \backslash \mathcal{S}_{n}$, then $|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant \mathfrak{e}(n)$.
Proof. Let $a \notin \operatorname{dom}(\beta)$ and $b \notin \operatorname{im}(\beta)$. Assume without loss of generality that $\left|\alpha_{a}\right| \leqslant$ $\left|\alpha_{b}\right|$. If $\left|\alpha_{b}\right|=n-3$, then the inequality $|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant \mathfrak{e}(n)$ follows directly from Lemma 3.2. When $\left|\alpha_{b}\right| \leqslant n-4$, it suffices to prove that

$$
|\alpha|+\sum_{r=n-3}^{n-1}\left[\binom{n}{r}-\binom{4}{n-r}\right]^{2} r!<\varepsilon(n)+\sum_{r=n-3}^{n-1}\left[\binom{n}{r}-\binom{3}{n-r}\right]^{2} r!
$$

This is equivalent to proving that

$$
(n-1)!=(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)!\leqslant \varepsilon(n)+\left(6 n^{3}-25 n^{2}+6 n+25\right)(n-3)!,
$$

since $|\alpha| \leqslant(n-1)$ ! by (3.1). To prove the second inequality it is sufficient to show that $(n-1)(n-2)<6 n^{3}-25 n^{2}+6 n+25$ for $n \geqslant 10$, since $\varepsilon(n)>0$ when $n \geqslant 4$. It is possible to do this using elementary calculus. Indeed, take the real-valued functions $f(x)=x^{2}-3 x+2=(x-1)(x-2)$ and $g(x)=6 x^{3}-25 x^{2}+6 x+25$. Then $f(10)=72<$ $3585=g(10)$. Moreover, if $x \geqslant 3$, then $f^{\prime}(x)<2 x<2 x(9 x-25)<18 x^{2}-50 x+6=g^{\prime}(x)$.

It remains to consider what happens when $\left|\alpha_{b}\right|=n-2, n-1$ or $n$. Note that in this case, since $n$ is even, $|\alpha| \leqslant n$. If $N$ is the number of elements of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ of rank $n-1$, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \leqslant|\alpha|^{2}(n-2)!\leqslant n^{2}(n-2)! \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{rank}(\beta)<n-1$, then there are no elements of rank $n-1$ and (3.3) is satisfied. Assume that $\operatorname{rank}(\beta)=n-1$. There are two cases to consider.

First, if $b \alpha^{i} \neq a$, for all $i$, then any product of $\alpha \mathrm{s}$ and $\beta \mathrm{s}$, containing more than 1 occurrence of $\beta$, has rank at most $n-2$. Consequently, there are at most $|\alpha|^{2} \leqslant n^{2}$ elements of rank $n-1$.

Second, if there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b \alpha^{i}=a$, then $\operatorname{dom}\left(\alpha^{i} \beta\right)=\operatorname{im}\left(\alpha^{i} \beta\right)$ and the unique element not in this set is $b$. Note that since $\alpha^{i} \beta$ is a permutation of its domain, which has size $n-1,\left|\alpha^{i} \beta\right| \leqslant(n-2)$ ! by (3.1). As in the previous case, we will prove that every element of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ with rank $n-1$ has the form $\alpha^{j}\left(\alpha^{i} \beta\right)^{k} \alpha^{l}$ for some $j, k, l$. To this end observe that if $x \alpha^{k}=x$, for some $k$ and some $x$ in $\alpha_{b}$, then $y \alpha^{k}=y$ for all $y$ in $\alpha_{b}$. Moreover, since $\left|\alpha_{b}\right|=n-2, n-1$ or $n$, and $n$ is even, it follows that $\alpha^{k}$ is the identity permutation $1_{n}$. Taking the contrapositive, if $\alpha^{k} \neq 1_{n}$, then $y \alpha^{k} \neq y$ for all $y$ in $\alpha_{b}$. In particular, $b \alpha^{k} \neq b$. Therefore, every element of the form $\omega_{1}\left(\alpha^{i} \beta\right) \alpha^{k}\left(\alpha^{i} \beta\right) \omega_{2}$, $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ and $\alpha^{k} \neq 1_{n}$, has rank at most $n-2$. It follows from this that if $\beta \alpha^{k} \beta$ is a factor of an element in $\left\langle\alpha, \alpha^{i} \beta\right\rangle=\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ with rank $n-1$, then $k=i$. Thus, any element of rank $n-1$ has the form $\alpha^{j}\left(\alpha^{i} \beta\right)^{k} \alpha^{l}$ and there are at most $|\alpha|^{2}\left|\alpha^{i} \beta\right| \leqslant n^{2}(n-2)$ ! elements of this type. Hence,

$$
h(n)=n+n^{2}(n-2)!+\sum_{r=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{r}^{2} r!\geqslant|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| .
$$

To complete the proof we show that

$$
\mathfrak{e}(n)-h(n)=\varepsilon(n)-n+\left(n^{4}-\frac{40}{3} n^{3}+41 n^{2}-\frac{110}{3} n+1\right)(n-3)!>0
$$

when $n \geqslant 10$.
Now, $\varepsilon(n)-n>n-6>0$ when $n \geqslant 7$ and so it suffices to prove that

$$
n^{4}-\frac{40}{3} n^{3}+41 n^{2}-\frac{110}{3} n+1>0
$$

when $n \geqslant 10$. As above, take the real-valued function

$$
k(x)=x^{4}-\frac{40}{3} x^{3}+41 x^{2}-\frac{110}{3} x+1
$$

Then $k(10)=401$ and

$$
k^{\prime}(x)=4 x^{3}-40 x^{2}+82 x-\frac{110}{3}>4 x^{3}-40 x^{2}+80 x-40=4 x\left(x^{2}-10 x+20\right)-40
$$

Now, $x(x-10) \geqslant 0>-19$ when $x \geqslant 10$. Thus, $x^{2}-10 x+20>1$ and so $k^{\prime}(x)>0$ when $x \geqslant 10$.

Finally, and again using Lemma 3.2, it is possible to prove the main result in the case that $n$ is odd.

Lemma 3.4. If $n \geqslant 7$ is odd, $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{I}_{n} \backslash \mathcal{S}_{n}$, then $|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant \mathfrak{o}(n)$.

Proof. Let $a \notin \operatorname{dom}(\beta)$ and $b \notin \operatorname{im}(\beta)$. Assume without loss of generality that $\left|\alpha_{a}\right| \leqslant$ $\left|\alpha_{b}\right|$. If $\left|\alpha_{b}\right|=n-2$, then the inequality $|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant \mathfrak{o}(n)$ follows directly from Lemma 3.2. If $\left|\alpha_{b}\right| \leqslant n-3$, then, by Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove that

$$
|\alpha|+\sum_{r=n-2}^{n-1}\left[\binom{n}{r}-\binom{3}{n-r}\right]^{2} r!<2 n-4+\frac{1}{4}\left(n^{4}+2 n^{3}-23 n^{2}+36 n-12\right)(n-2)!
$$

or, equivalently, to prove that

$$
(n-1)!\leqslant 2 n-4+\left(4 n^{2}-9 n-3\right)(n-2)!
$$

since $|\alpha|<(n-1)$ !. When $n \geqslant 3,2 n(2 n-5)>2$ and so $4 n^{2}-9 n-3>n-1$ and the result follows in this case.
Now, assume that the length of $\left|\alpha_{b}\right|$ is $n-1$ or $n$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, if $N$ denotes the number of elements of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ with rank $n-1$, then

$$
N \leqslant|\alpha|^{2}(n-2)!\leqslant n^{2}(n-2)!.
$$

Therefore,

$$
|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant n+n^{2}(n-2)!+\sum_{r=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{r}^{2} r!
$$

Now, $2 n-4>n$ when $n \geqslant 5$ and the coefficients of $r!, r \neq n-2$, in the two sums are equal. So, we need only verify that the coefficient of $(n-2)$ ! in $\mathfrak{o}(n)$, as shown in Theorem 1.2, is greater than that in the last sum. In other words, we must prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}\left(n^{4}+2 n^{3}-23 n^{2}+36\right. & n-12)-\left[n^{2}+\binom{n}{2}^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(n^{4}+2 n^{3}-23 n^{2}+36 n-12\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(n^{4}-2 n^{3}+5 n^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(4 n^{3}-28 n^{2}+36 n-12\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

But $0<4 n(n-6)(n-1)-12$ when $n \geqslant 7$ and $4 n(n-6)(n-1)-12=4 n\left(n^{2}-7 n+6\right)-12<$ $4 n\left(n^{2}-7 n+9\right)-12=4 n^{3}-28 n^{2}+36 n-12$, as required. It follows that $|\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle| \leqslant \mathfrak{o}(n)$ for $n \geqslant 7$.

## 4. Realizing $\mathfrak{e}(\boldsymbol{n})$ and $\mathfrak{o}(\boldsymbol{n})$

In this section, we complete the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by proving that there are 2 -generated subsemigroups of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ with size $\mathfrak{e}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{o}(n)$. This necessitates two examples to cover the cases when $n$ is odd and when $n$ is even.

The proof of the following elementary result, reportedly first proved in [9], will be required to prove that our two examples are 2-generated.

Lemma 4.1. If $n \neq 4$ and $\alpha$ is any nonidentity permutation of degree $n$, or $n=4$ and $\alpha \neq(12)(34),(13)(24)$ or $(14)(23)$, then there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ such that $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=\mathcal{S}_{n}$.

The first of our examples, $\mathcal{O}(n)$, is defined to be
(i) all powers of the permutation $\alpha=(12 \cdots n-2)(n-1 n)$,
(ii) all elements $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$, where there exist $d, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $d \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ and $i \notin \operatorname{im}(\mu)$.

If $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(n)$, then $\mu^{-1}: x \mu \mapsto x, x \in \operatorname{im}(\mu)$, is the unique inverse of $\mu$ in $\mathcal{I}_{n}$. But there exist $i, d \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $d \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu)=\operatorname{im}\left(\mu^{-1}\right)$ and $i \notin \operatorname{im}(\mu)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\mu^{-1}\right)$. This implies that $\mu^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ and so $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$. The next lemma shows that $\mathcal{O}(n)$ has the desired size and number of generators.

Lemma 4.2. If $n \geqslant 5$ is odd, then $|\mathcal{O}(n)|=\mathfrak{o}(n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is 2-generated.
Proof. The first conclusion, that $|\mathcal{O}(n)|=\mathfrak{o}(n)$, follows immediately by (2.3), and since $n$ is odd. Since $n-2$ is odd, $\alpha^{n-2}=(n-1 n)$. Thus, if

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\
- & 3 & 4 & \cdots & n & 2
\end{array}\right),
$$

then together $\alpha^{n-2}$ and $\beta$ generate all permutations on $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$. So, $\beta^{n-1}=1_{\{2, \ldots, n\}}$, the partial identity with domain $\{2, \ldots, n\}$. If $m=0,1, \ldots, n-3$, then

$$
\alpha^{-m} \beta^{n-1} \alpha^{m}=1_{\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \backslash\{m+1\}}
$$

and so

$$
1_{\{m+2, \ldots, n\}}=\beta^{n-1}\left(\alpha^{-1} \beta^{n-1} \alpha\right)\left(\alpha^{-2} \beta^{n-1} \alpha^{2}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha^{-m} \beta^{n-1} \alpha^{m}\right) .
$$

The partial identity $1_{\{n\}}$ is produced by taking the composition $1_{\{n-1, n\}} \pi 1_{\{n-1, n\}}$ where $\pi \in\left\langle\alpha^{n-2}, \beta\right\rangle$ is the permutation on $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$ that swaps $n-2$ and $n-1$. Likewise, the empty mapping is produced by taking the composition $1_{\{n\}} \sigma 1_{\{n\}}$, where $\sigma$ is the permutation that swaps $n$ and $n-1$.
Let $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ be arbitrary with $d, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $d \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ and $i \notin \operatorname{im}(\mu)$. If $\operatorname{rank}(\mu)=n$ or 0 , then $\mu$ is a power of $\alpha$ or the empty mapping. Either way $\mu \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$.
Assume that $\operatorname{rank}(\mu)=n-m$ for some $m \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then $1 \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu) \alpha^{-d+1}$ and $1 \notin \operatorname{im}(\mu) \alpha^{-i+1}$. It follows that 1 is in neither the domain nor the image of $\alpha^{d-1} \mu \alpha^{-i+1}$. Therefore, there exists a (partial) permutation $\hat{\mu} \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ of $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\left.\hat{\mu}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(\mu) \alpha^{-d+1}}=\alpha^{d-1} \mu \alpha^{-i+1}$.

Then let $\nu$ be any permutation of $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
\{m+1, \ldots, n\} \nu=\operatorname{dom}(\mu) \alpha^{-d+1} .
$$

Of course, $\nu \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$. With this definition

$$
\alpha^{-d+1} \nu^{-1} 1_{\{m+1, \ldots, n\}} \nu \alpha^{d-1}=\alpha^{-d+1} 1_{\operatorname{dom}(\mu) \alpha^{-d+1}} \alpha^{d-1}=1_{\operatorname{dom}(\mu)} .
$$

So, to conclude, if $x \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$, then

$$
(x) 1_{\operatorname{dom}(\mu)} \alpha^{-d+1} \hat{\mu} \alpha^{i-1}=\left(x \alpha^{-d+1}\right) \hat{\mu} \alpha^{i-1}=\left(x \alpha^{-d+1}\right) \alpha^{d-1} \mu \alpha^{-i+1} \alpha^{i-1}=x \mu,
$$

and $1_{\operatorname{dom}(\mu)} \alpha^{-d+1} \hat{\mu} \alpha^{i-1}$ is undefined on the complement of $\operatorname{dom}(\mu)$. Thus, $\mu \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$.

Table 1. Maximum size of a 2-generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}, n$ even

| $n$ | $M(n)$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4 | $141^{*}$ |
| 6 | $8509^{*}$ |
| 8 | $1079625^{*}$ |
| 10 | 200798485 |
| 12 | 48777044515 |
| 14 | 15243109621301 |

The second of the required semigroups, $\mathcal{E}(n)$, is defined to be
(i) all powers of the permutation $\alpha=(12 \cdots n-3)(n-2 n-1)$, or (12 $\cdots n-$ $3)(n-2 n-1 n)$, when $3 \mid n$ or $3 \nmid n$, respectively,
(ii) all elements $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ with $d, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-3\}$ satisfying $d \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ and $i \notin \operatorname{im}(\mu)$.

It is possible to verify that $\mathcal{E}(n)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ in the same way that $\mathcal{O}(n)$ was shown to be.

Lemma 4.3. If $n \geqslant 6$ is even, then $|\mathcal{E}(n)|=\mathfrak{e}(n)$ and $\mathcal{E}(n)$ is 2-generated.
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.2, the first conclusion, that $|\mathcal{E}(n)|=\mathfrak{e}(n)$, follows immediately by (2.2), and since $n$ is even. If $3 \mid n$, then $\alpha^{n-3}=(n-2 n-1)$, and if $3 \nmid n$, then $\alpha^{n-3}=(n-2 n-1 n)$. In either case, Lemma 4.1 guarantees that it is possible to find a permutation $\beta$ of $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$ such that together $\alpha^{n-3}$ and $\beta$ generate all permutations of $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$. For example, if $3 \mid n$, then $\beta$ can be

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\
- & 3 & 4 & \cdots & n & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

and if $3 \nmid n$, then $\beta$ can be

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-2 & n-1 & n \\
- & 3 & 4 & \cdots & 2 & n & n-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The rest of the proof is, more or less, identical to that of Lemma 4.2 and, for brevity, it is omitted.

## 5. Small values, asymptotics and embedding $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ in $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}$

As the title suggests, in this section some small values of the maximum size $M(n)$ of a 2 -generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ are given. When $n \geqslant 7$ and odd, or $n \geqslant 10$ and even, $M(n)$ is precisely $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{e}(n)$, respectively. The asymptotic behaviour of the ratio $M(n) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right|$ is also studied. The first few values of $M(n)$ are given in Tables 1 and 2 . The values marked with an asterisk were not obtained by applying Theorems 1.1 and 1.2; all

Table 2. Maximum size of a 2-generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}, n$ odd

| $n$ | $M(n)$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 3 | $31^{*}$ |
| 5 | $934^{*}$ |
| 7 | 103692 |
| 9 | 15561168 |
| 11 | 3180734980 |
| 13 | 860918107056 |
| 15 | 299336064843732 |

the other values were. The values when $n=3$ or 4 were obtained by computation. The remaining values, when $n=5,6$, or 8 , were obtained using Lemma 3.1 and arguments analogous to those used in the proof of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. The largest 2 -generated subsemigroups of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ in these cases are not always the same as the semigroups $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{E}(n)$. The following two examples describe 2 -generated semigroups with the largest possible size when $n=3,4,5,6$ and 8 .

Example 5.1. If $n=3$, then the partial permutations

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
- & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

generate an inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ with size 31 . Moreover, this semigroup consists of all partial permutations of $\{1,2,3\}$ with rank at most 2 and the powers of $\alpha$. The semigroup $\mathcal{O}(5)$ has size 934.

Example 5.2. When $n=4,6$ or 8 , the semigroups with the largest possible size are found by taking a cycle $\alpha$ of order $n$ in $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ together with a group element $\beta$ of rank $n-1$ with maximum possible order, that is, 3,6 or 12 , respectively. The semigroup $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ contains all the elements of rank at most $n-2, n^{2}|\beta|$ elements of rank $n-1$ and the $n$ powers of $\alpha$.

The paper is concluded by making some easy observations.
Lemma 5.3. The sequence $M(n) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right|$ tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. The sequence $\mathfrak{o}(n) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right|$ tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, since $\mathfrak{o}(n) \leqslant \mathfrak{e}(n+1)$, the result follows.

From the definition of the semigroups $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{E}(n)$, we deduce the following results. As mentioned in $\S 1$, this is already known (see [7]).

Theorem 5.4. The inverse semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{n}, n \geqslant 4$, can be embedded, as a local submonoid, in an inverse 2-generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}$.

Proof. It is well known that the symmetric inverse monoid on the set $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$ is generated by the permutations $(23),(23 \cdots n)$ and the idempotent

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\
- & - & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n
\end{array}\right)
$$

(see, for example, [6, Exercise 5.11.6]).
From the definition of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{E}(n)$ it is clear that these three partial permutations are elements of both of these monoids.
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