

# Embedding some transformation group $C^*$ -algebras into AF-algebras

MIHAI V. PIMSNER

*Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation,  
Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania*

(Received 27 November 1982)

*Abstract.* For a homeomorphism of a compact metrizable space  $X$ , we show that the property that every point of  $X$  is pseudo-non-wandering (see definition 2) is equivalent to the possibility of embedding the corresponding transformation group  $C^*$ -algebra into an AF-algebra.

Let  $T$  be a homeomorphism of the compact metrizable topological space  $X$ . The aim of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient condition on  $T$ , in order to embed the corresponding transformation group (crossed-product)  $C^*$ -algebra into an AF-algebra. Of course the difficult part is to show that the condition is sufficient. This is done with the same techniques that were used in [4], in the particular case of the irrational rotation of the unit circle. The only new difficulty that arises, is how to choose the appropriate AF-algebra. This is achieved by a ‘rough coding procedure’, based on the study of the periodic pseudo-orbits of the transformation  $T$  [1]. It turns out that the condition which ensures the embedding is the existence of sufficiently many periodic pseudo-orbits, or, to be more precise, the fact that every point of  $X$  is pseudo-non-wandering for  $T$  (see definition 2.). The proof of the necessity of this condition relies on the adaptation to  $C^*$ -algebras of the notion of quasidiagonality introduced by P. R. Halmos [2]. The fact that a  $C^*$ -algebra containing a non-unitary isometry is not quasidiagonal was also used by D. Hadwin to characterize those transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras having only quasidiagonal quotients. (Of course a transformation group  $C^*$ -algebra may be quasidiagonal without having all quotients quasidiagonal.)

Finally let us mention that another generalization of [4] has been announced by A. M. Vershik in [6]. Using a different approach he states in a slightly more particular (and slightly different) case, a more precise result concerning the embedding. The author gratefully acknowledges helpful advice from D. Voiculescu.

Throughout this paper  $X$  will denote a compact metrizable topological space,  $C(X)$  the (separable)  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous complex valued functions defined on  $X$ , and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  a homeomorphism of  $X$ .

As usual if  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = (W_j)_{j \in J}$  are open covers of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{W}$  will be called finer than  $\mathcal{V}$  if there exists a map  $f: J \rightarrow I$  such that  $W_j \subset V_{f(j)}$ . This will be denoted

$$\mathcal{V} <_f \mathcal{W}.$$

For any natural  $m$  and any open cover  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  we shall denote by  $\mathcal{V}^{(m)}$  the open cover

$$\mathcal{V} \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{V} \vee \dots \vee T^{-m+1}\mathcal{V},$$

that is  $\mathcal{V}^{(m)} = (V_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}})_{(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) \in I^{(m)}}$  where

$$V_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}} = V_{i_0} \cap T^{-1}V_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap T^{-m+1}V_{i_{m-1}}$$

and  $I^{(m)}$  is the subset of the direct product  $I^m$  consisting of those elements  $(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1})$  with the property that  $V_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}}$  is not empty. Also let  $\pi_k: I^{(m)} \rightarrow I$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ , be the maps defined by

$$\pi_k(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) = i_k.$$

We shall always consider  $\mathcal{V}^{(m)}$  finer than  $\mathcal{V}$  by means of the projection  $\pi_0$  so that we shall simply write  $\mathcal{V} < \mathcal{V}^{(m)}$ . If  $\mathcal{W} = (W_j)_{j \in J}$  is another open cover such that  $\mathcal{V} <_f \mathcal{W}$  we shall also denote by  $f: J^{(m)} \rightarrow I^{(m)}$  the map induced by  $f$  so that  $\mathcal{V}^{(m)} <_f \mathcal{W}^{(m)}$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . A sequence  $\omega = (\omega(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\omega(n) \in I$  is called a  $\mathcal{V}$ -pseudo-orbit of  $T$  if

$$V_{\omega(n)} \cap T^{-1}(V_{\omega(n+1)}) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If the  $\mathcal{V}$ -pseudo orbit  $\omega$  is periodic we shall denote by  $p(\omega)$  its principal period, that is, the smallest natural number  $p$  such that

$$\omega(n+p) = \omega(n) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If  $\mathcal{W}$  is another open cover such that  $\mathcal{W} <_f \mathcal{V}$  we shall denote by  $f\omega$  the  $\mathcal{W}$ -pseudo-orbit

$$(f \circ \omega(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Suppose now that  $\omega$  is a  $\mathcal{V}^{(m)}$  pseudo-orbit of  $T^m$ . We shall denote by  $\omega^m$  the  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit of  $T$  obtained in the following way: write  $n = mq + r$  with  $0 \leq r < m$  and define

$$\omega^m(n) = \pi_r(\omega(q)) \in I.$$

It is straightforward from the definitions that

$$f(\omega^m) = [f(\omega)]^m.$$

If  $k$  is a natural number that divides  $m$ ,  $m = k \cdot l$ , we may also regard  $\omega$  as a  $(\mathcal{V}^{(l)})^{(k)}$  pseudo-orbit of  $(T^l)^k$  so that  $\omega^k$  makes sense and is a  $\mathcal{V}^{(l)}$  pseudo-orbit of  $T^l$ . Moreover

$$(\omega^{k_1})^{k_2} = \omega^{k_1 \cdot k_2}$$

whenever the product  $k_1 \cdot k_2$  divides  $m$ .

**Definition 2.** A point  $x \in X$  is said to be *pseudo-non-wandering* for  $T$  if for every open cover  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$  and any  $i \in I$  such that  $x \in V_i$  there exists a periodic  $\mathcal{V}$ -pseudo-orbit  $\omega = (\omega(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $\omega(0) = i$ .

The set of pseudo-non-wandering points for  $T$  will be denoted  $X(T)$ . (This set coincides with the *chain recurrent set* introduced by C. C. Conley.)

It is an easy consequence of the definition that  $X(T)$  is a closed  $T$ - and  $T^{-1}$ -invariant subset of  $X$ . Every non-wandering point is clearly pseudo-non-wandering, the converse being false in general. A simple example is the action of the shift on the one point compactification of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $Tx = x + 1$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $T(\infty) = \infty$ , where the only non-wandering point is  $\infty$ , whereas every point is pseudo-non-wandering. (For the definition of non-wandering points see, e.g., [1].)

LEMMA 1.  $X(T) = X(T^m)$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$  is an open cover and that  $x \in V_{i_0}$ . If  $x \in X(T^m)$ , then there exists a periodic  $\mathcal{V}^{(m)}$  pseudo-orbit for  $T^m$ ,  $\omega(n) \in I^{(m)}$  such that  $\pi_0(\omega(0)) = i_0$ . The  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit  $\omega^m$  of  $T$  is then periodic and

$$\omega^m(0) = \pi_0(\omega(0)) = i_0$$

so that  $x \in X(T)$ . Conversely, let  $\mathcal{W} = (W_k)_{k \in K}$ ,  $\mathcal{W} <_f \mathcal{V}$  be an open cover with the property that

$$T^{-i}W_{\omega(n+i)} \subset V_{f(\omega(n))}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq m,$$

for every  $\mathcal{W}$ -pseudo orbit  $\omega$  of  $T$ . To choose  $\mathcal{W}$  one may fix a metric on  $X$  and choose the  $W_k$ 's to be balls of sufficiently small radii. We leave the details to the reader. If  $x \in X(T)$  and  $\omega$  is a periodic  $\mathcal{W}$  pseudo-orbit for  $T$  such that  $f(\omega(0)) = i_0$ , then

$$\tilde{\omega} = (f \circ \omega(m \cdot n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is a periodic  $\mathcal{V}$ -pseudo-orbit for  $T^m$  so that  $x \in X(T^m)$ . □

We shall be interested mainly in the case when  $X(T) = X$ . A typical example when this does not hold is the action of the shift on the two point compactification of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $Tx = x + 1$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $T(+\infty) = +\infty$ ,  $T(-\infty) = -\infty$ . The following lemma shows that this example is in some sense generic.

LEMMA 2. *The point  $x$  belongs to  $X \setminus X(T)$  if and only if there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $T(\bar{U}) \subset U$  and  $x \in U \setminus T(\bar{U})$ . (As usual  $\bar{U}$  stands for the closure of  $U$ .)*

*Proof.* If the open set  $U$  has the above properties, consider the open cover  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, V_3)$  where  $V_1 = U$ ,  $V_2 = U \setminus T(\bar{U})$ ,  $V_3 = X \setminus T(\bar{U})$ . Since

$$V_2 \cap T^{-1}V_2 = \emptyset = V_2 \cap T^{-1}V_3$$

and

$$V_1 \cap T^{-1}V_2 = \emptyset = V_1 \cap T^{-1}V_3,$$

any  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit  $\omega$  with  $\omega(n_0) = 2$  satisfies  $\omega(n) = 1$  for every  $n > n_0$ . So there is no periodic  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit with  $\omega(0) = 2$  which in turn implies that

$$V_2 \subset X \setminus X(T).$$

To prove the converse suppose that there exists an open cover  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$  and an index  $i_0 \in I$  such that  $x \in V_{i_0}$  and that no periodic  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit  $\omega$  satisfies  $\omega(0) = i_0$ . Consider the set  $J$  of all indices  $j \in I$  with the property that there exists a  $\mathcal{V}$

pseudo-orbit  $(j(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $j(0) = i_0$  and  $j(n) = j$  for some  $n \geq 0$  and define

$$U = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_j.$$

Any point  $y \in T(\bar{U})$  has the property that whenever  $i$  is such that  $V_i \ni y$  there exists  $j \in J$  satisfying

$$V_j \cap T^{-1}V_i \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies on the one hand that any such  $i$  belongs to  $J$  so that

$$T(\bar{U}) \subset U$$

and on the other hand

$$V_{i_0} \cap T(\bar{U}) = \emptyset.$$

For otherwise there would be a  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit  $\omega$  and an  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\omega(0) = i_0 = \omega(n)$ . This would easily imply the existence of a periodic  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit  $\omega'$  such that  $\omega'(0) = i_0$ , in contradiction to the choice of  $i_0$ . □

*Definition 3.* A  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit  $\omega$  is said to *split* into the  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbits  $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in K}$  if there are increasing maps  $\varphi_k : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\varphi_k(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \varphi_{k'}(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } k \neq k',$$

$$\bigcup_k \varphi_k(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\eta_k(n) = \omega(\varphi_k(n)),$$

$$\omega(\varphi_k(n) - 1) = \omega(\varphi_k(n - 1)) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and every } k \in K.$$

Note that no condition is imposed on  $\omega(\varphi_k(n) + 1)$  and that the possibility of all  $\eta_k$ 's being equal is not excluded. We shall also say that  $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in K}$  is a *decomposition of  $\omega$* . It is clear that if  $\omega$  splits into the  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbits  $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in K}$  and each  $\eta_k$  splits into  $\{\mu_l\}_{l \in K(k)}$  then  $\omega$  also splits into  $\{\mu_l\}_{l \in \bigcup_k K(k)}$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite open cover consisting of  $\alpha$  open sets and  $\omega = (\omega(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbit of period  $p$ . (Thus  $p$  is a multiple of  $p(\omega)$ ). Then there exists a decomposition  $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in K}$  of  $\omega$  into periodic  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbits such that  $p(\eta_k) \leq \alpha$  for every  $k \in K$  and*

$$\bigcup_k \varphi_k([0, p(\eta_k))) = [0, p). \tag{1}$$

(Intervals will always be integer valued.)

*Proof.* It is enough to show that if  $p > \alpha$ , then  $\omega$  splits into  $\eta$  and  $\tilde{\eta}$  where  $p(\eta) \leq \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$  has period  $\tilde{p} = p - p(\eta)$  and

$$\varphi_\eta([0, p(\eta))) \subset [0, p),$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{\eta}}([0, \tilde{p})) \subset [0, p);$$

for then the  $\tilde{\eta}$ 's can be further decomposed until  $\tilde{p} \leq \alpha$ . Finally if  $\tilde{p} = m \cdot p(\tilde{\eta})$ , then  $\tilde{\eta}$  splits into  $m$  identical copies of  $\tilde{\eta}$ .

To prove the above assertion choose  $a$  and  $b$  in  $[0, p)$  such that  $\omega(a) = \omega(b)$  and  $0 < b - a \leq \alpha$ . This is possible since  $p > \alpha$ . Moreover one can assume that all indices

$\omega(k)$  with  $a < k \leq b$  are distinct. Define  $\varphi_\eta, \varphi_{\tilde{\eta}}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  in the following way:

If  $n = (b - a)q + r, 0 \leq r < b - a$  put

$$\varphi_\eta(n) = p \cdot q + a + r + 1,$$

and if  $n = [p - (b - a)]\tilde{q} + \tilde{r}, 0 \leq \tilde{r} < p - b + a$  put

$$\varphi_{\tilde{\eta}} = \begin{cases} p\tilde{q} + \tilde{r} & \text{if } \tilde{r} \leq a, \\ p\tilde{q} + \tilde{r} + b - a & \text{if } \tilde{r} > a. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that if  $\eta(n) = \omega(\varphi_\eta(n))$  and  $\tilde{\eta}(n) = \omega(\varphi_{\tilde{\eta}}(n))$ , then  $\eta$  and  $\tilde{\eta}$  are  $\mathcal{V}$  pseudo-orbits satisfying the desired properties. □

*Remark.* The splitting described in the preceding lemma depends essentially on  $p$ . For example the same construction carried out with  $p$  replaced by  $2p$  yields a different decomposition.

From now on we shall suppose that  $X(T) = X$ . The AF-algebra associated to  $(X, T)$  will depend on some rough symbolic which we start to describe. For any finite open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  and any positive integer  $m$  we shall denote by  $\Omega(\mathcal{V}, m)$  the set of all periodic  $\mathcal{V}^{(m)}$  pseudo-orbits of  $T^m$  whose principal period does not exceed the cardinality of the cover  $\mathcal{V}^{(m)}$ . Consider a sequence of open covers such that  $\mathcal{V}_n <_{f_n} \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$  and a sequence  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of positive integers such that  $m_n$  divides  $m_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ .

For each  $\omega \in \Omega(\mathcal{V}_{n+1}, m_{n+1})$  we shall consider  $f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}$  as a  $\mathcal{V}_n^{(m_n)}$  pseudo-orbit of  $T^{m_n}$  of period  $p(\omega) \cdot (m_{n+1}/m_n)$  and we shall fix a decomposition of  $f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}$  into pseudo-orbits belonging to  $\Omega(\mathcal{V}_n, m_n)$  with the additional properties stated in lemma 3. In order to keep this fixed decomposition in mind, we shall denote by  $F_n(\omega)$  the index set which was denoted  $K$  in the definition of the splitting. By forcing the notation a little we shall regard the elements of  $F_n(\omega)$  as  $\mathcal{V}^{m_n}$  pseudo-orbits of  $T^{m_n}$ . So equal pseudo-orbits may be distinct as elements of  $F_n(\omega)$ . Identifying these elements we get a map denoted  $\tilde{F}_n$  from the subsets of  $\Omega(\mathcal{V}_{n+1}, m_{n+1})$  to the subsets of  $\Omega(\mathcal{V}_n, m_n)$ . If  $\tilde{F}_{n,p}$  denotes the composition  $\tilde{F}_n \circ \tilde{F}_{n+1} \circ \dots \circ \tilde{F}_{n+p-1}$  define

$$\Omega_n = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{F}_{n,p}(\Omega(\mathcal{V}_{n+p}, m_{n+p})),$$

which is clearly non-void since  $\Omega(\mathcal{V}_n, m_n)$  is a finite set. In addition  $\tilde{F}_n(\Omega_{n+1}) = \Omega_n$ . More precisely the following lemma holds.

**LEMMA 4.** For every  $x \in X$  and every  $j = (i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) \in I^{(m_n)}$  such that  $x \in V_j \in \mathcal{V}_n^{(m_n)}$  there exists a  $\mathcal{V}_n^{(m_n)}$  pseudo-orbit  $\omega$  of  $T^{m_n}$  belonging to  $\Omega_n$  such that  $\omega(0) = j$ .

*Proof.* Choose a sequence  $j_p = (i_0, \dots, i_{m_{n+p}-1}) \in I_{n+p}^{(m_{n+p})}, p \geq 0$  such that  $j_0 = j$  and

$$j_{p-1} = (f_{n+p-1}(i_0), \dots, f_{n+p-1}(i_{m_{n+p-1}-1})).$$

This is easily achieved by looking at the orbit of the point  $x$ . Since

$$X = X(T) = X(T^{m_n})$$

by lemma 1, the subset

$$\Omega_{n+p}(x) \subset \Omega(\mathcal{V}_{n+p}, m_{n+p})$$

consisting of those pseudo-orbits satisfying  $\eta(0) = j_p$  is non-empty for each  $n$ .

Moreover the additional requirement (1) of lemma 3 ensures that

$$\tilde{F}_{n,p}(\Omega_{n+p}(x)) \cap \Omega_{n+p-p'}(x) \neq \emptyset$$

for every  $p$  and  $p'$ ,  $p' \leq p$  so that finally

$$\Omega_n \cap \Omega_n(x) \neq \emptyset. \quad \square$$

The AF-algebra associated to  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_n(m_n)_n$  and to the decomposition maps  $(F_n)_n$  is defined as follows. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$A_n = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_n} M_\omega$$

where  $M_\omega$  is the finite-dimensional factor isomorphic to the  $p(\omega) \cdot m_n \times p(\omega) \cdot m_n$  matrix algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The morphism  $\phi_n : A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$  will be constructed by exhibiting for each  $\omega \in \Omega_n$  a unital  $*$ -monomorphism  $\phi_\omega$  from  $\bigoplus_{\eta \in F_n(\omega)} M_\eta$  to  $M_\omega$ . Note that since  $\tilde{F}_n(\Omega_{n+1}) = \Omega_n$ ,  $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_{n+1}} \phi_\omega$  will determine a unital embedding of  $A_n$  into  $A_{n+1}$ .

The explicit construction of the  $\phi_\omega$ 's will be given below. First, we shall identify each  $M_\omega$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega_n \subset \Omega(\mathcal{V}_n, m_n)$  with

$$B(l^2[0, p(\omega)] \otimes l^2[0, m_n]),$$

the algebra of bounded operators acting on the complex Hilbert space

$$l^2[0, p(\omega)] \otimes l^2(0, m_n).$$

(Intervals are integer valued.) Recall that if  $\omega \in \Omega_{n+1}$ , then  $F_n(\omega)$  is a decomposition of  $f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}$  as described in lemma 3. So that there are  $\varphi_\eta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\eta \in F_n(\omega)$  such that

$$\varphi_\eta([0, p(\eta))) \subset \left[ 0, p(\omega) \frac{m_{n+1}}{m_n} \right),$$

$$\varphi_\eta(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \varphi_\mu(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } \eta, \mu \in F_n(\omega), (\eta \neq \mu, \text{ as elements in } F_n(\omega)),$$

$$\bigcup_{\eta} \varphi_\eta(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\eta(n) = \omega'(\varphi_\eta(n)),$$

$$\omega'(\varphi_\eta(n-1)) = \omega'(\varphi_\eta(n) - 1) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and every } \eta \in F_n(\omega),$$

where

$$\omega' = f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}.$$

For every  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$  we shall denote by  $U_{s,t} \in B(l^2[0, s] \otimes l^2[0, t])$  the unitary defined by

$$U_{s,t} e_i \otimes e_j = \begin{cases} e_i \otimes e_{j+1} & \text{if } j+1 \neq t, \\ e_{i+1} \otimes e_0 & \text{if } j+1 = t, i+1 \neq s, \\ e_0 \otimes e_0 & \text{if } j+1 = t, i+1 = s. \end{cases}$$

If  $t = 1$  we shall write  $U_s$  instead of  $U_{s,1}$ .

If  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  is another pair of natural numbers such that  $s \cdot t = u \cdot v$  we shall denote by

$$U_{st}^{uv} : l^2[0, u] \otimes l^2[0, v] \rightarrow l^2[0, s] \otimes l^2[0, t]$$

the unitary

$$W_{st}^{uv} e_i \otimes e_j = e_q \otimes e_r$$

where

$$vi + j = tq + r \quad 0 \leq r < t.$$

Note that

$$W_{st}^{uv} U_{uv} = U_{st} W_{st}^{uv}.$$

Consider next the isometries

$$W_{0,\eta}, W_{1,\eta} : l^2[0, p(\eta)) \rightarrow l^2\left[0, p(\omega) \frac{m_{n+1}}{m_n}\right)$$

$$W_{0,\eta} e_i = e_{\varphi_\eta(i)} \quad i \in [0, p(\eta))$$

$$W_{1,\eta} = U_{p(\omega)m_{n+1}/m_n}^* W_{0,\eta} U_{p(\eta)}.$$

Note that if  $\eta \neq \mu$  (as elements in  $F_n(\omega)$ ),

$$W_{0,\eta}^* W_{0,\mu} = 0 = W_{1,\eta}^* W_{1,\mu},$$

so that

$$W_0 = \bigoplus_{\eta} W_{0,\eta}$$

and

$$W_1 = \bigoplus_{\eta} W_{1,\eta}$$

are isometries from  $\bigoplus_{\eta \in F_n(\omega)} l^2[0, p(\eta))$  to  $l^2[0, p(\omega)m_{n+1}/m_n)$ , and taking into account the dimensions of the two spaces they are in fact unitaries. In particular  $W_1 W_0^*$  is a unitary element in

$$B\left(l^2\left[0, p(\omega) \frac{m_{n+1}}{m_n}\right)\right)$$

and a simple spectral argument shows the existence of a unitary  $U$  in the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $W_1 W_0^*$  such that

$$U^{m_n} = W_1 W_0^*$$

and

$$\|1 - U\| \leq 2\pi/m_n.$$

Let

$$W_\omega^{(1)} : \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \in F_n(\omega)} l^2[0, p(\eta))\right) \otimes l^2[0, m_n) \rightarrow l^2\left[0, p(\omega) \frac{m_{n+1}}{m_n}\right) \otimes l^2[0, m_n)$$

be the unitary defined by

$$W_\omega^{(1)} (\xi \otimes e_i) = (U^i W_0 \xi) \otimes e_i.$$

The map  $\phi_\omega$  is defined to be conjugation with the unitary

$$W_\omega = W_\omega^{(2)} W_\omega^{(1)} \quad \text{where } W_\omega^{(2)} = W_{p(\omega), m_{n+1}}^{p(\omega)(m_{n+1}/m_n), m_n}.$$

Keeping the identification  $M_\omega \simeq B(l^2[0, p(\omega)] \otimes l^2[0, m_n])$  for  $\omega \in \Omega_n$  in mind we shall denote by  $U_\omega \in M_\omega$  the unitary  $U_{p(\omega), m_n}$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{n+1}$ , then

$$(1) \quad \left\| U_\omega W_\omega - W_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta \in F_n(\omega)} U_\eta \right) \right\| \leq 2\pi / m_n;$$

$$(2) \quad \left\| \phi_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta \in F_n(\omega)} U_\eta \right) - U_\omega \right\| \leq 2\pi / m_n.$$

Proof. Obviously, we have to prove only the first assertion. Let

$$\xi \otimes e_j \in \left( \bigoplus_{\eta \in F_n(\omega)} l^2[0, p(\eta)] \right) \otimes l^2[0, m_n].$$

Using the definition of  $W_\omega$  and the intertwining properties of the unitaries  $W_{st}^{uv}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} U_\omega W_\omega (\xi \otimes e_j) &= W_\omega^{(2)} V U_{p(\omega)(m_{n+1}/m_n), m_n} (U^j W_0 \xi \otimes e_j) \\ &= \begin{cases} W_\omega^{(2)} (U^j W_0 \xi \otimes e_{j+1}) & \text{if } j+1 \neq m_n \\ W_\omega^{(2)} (U_{p(\omega)(m_{n+1}/m_n)} U^{m_n-1} W_0 \xi \otimes e_0) & \text{if } j+1 = m_n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} W_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} U_\eta \right) (\xi \otimes e_j) &= \begin{cases} W_\omega^{(2)} W_\omega^{(1)} (\xi \otimes e_{j+1}) & \text{if } j+1 \neq m_n \\ W_\omega^{(2)} W_\omega^{(1)} ((\bigoplus U_{p(\eta)}) \xi) \otimes e_0 & \text{if } j+1 = m_n \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} W_\omega^{(2)} (U^{j+1} W_0 \xi) \otimes e_{j+1} & \text{if } j+1 \neq m_n \\ W_\omega^{(2)} \left( W_0 \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} U_{p(\eta)} \right) \xi \right) \otimes e_0 & \text{if } j+1 = m_n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$W_0 \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} U_{p(\eta)} \right) = U_{p(\omega)(m_{n+1}/m_n)} W_1$$

it follows finally that

$$\begin{aligned} W_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} U_\eta \right) (\xi \otimes e_j) &= \begin{cases} W_\omega^{(2)} (U^{j+1} W_0 \xi) \otimes e_{j+1} & \text{if } j+1 \neq m_n \\ W_\omega^{(2)} (U_{p(\omega)(m_{n+1}/m_n)} U^{m_n} W_0 \xi) \otimes e_0 & \text{if } j+1 = m_n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|U^{j+1} - U^j\| \leq 2\pi / m_n$  and

$$\left( U_\omega W_\omega - W_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} U_\eta \right) \right) (\xi \otimes e_i)$$

is orthogonal to

$$\left( U_\omega W_\omega - W_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} U_\eta \right) \right) (\xi \otimes e_j)$$

for  $i \neq j$ , it follows that

$$\left\| U_\omega W_\omega - W_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} U_\eta \right) \right\| \leq 2\pi / m_n. \quad \square$$

Choose now for each open set  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}_n^{(m_n)}$ ,  $i \in I^{(m_n)}$  a point  $x_i \in V_i$ . To each  $\mathcal{V}^{(m_n)}$  pseudo-orbit of  $T^{m_n}$ ,  $\omega$ , there corresponds the sequence  $(x_\omega(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  where  $x_\omega(k) = x_{\omega(k)}$ .

Note that  $x_\omega(k)$  does not depend on  $\omega$  but only on the index  $\omega(k) \in I^{(m_n)}$ . Let  $\pi_\omega : C(X) \rightarrow M_\omega$  be the representation

$$\pi_\omega(f) e_i \otimes e_j = f(T^j x_\omega(i)) \cdot e_i \otimes e_j \quad \text{for every } i \in [0, p(\omega)], j \in [0, m_n).$$

LEMMA 6. Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{n+1}$  and  $f \in C(X)$  then

- (1)  $\left\| \pi_\omega(f) W_\omega - W_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta \in F_n(\omega)} \pi_\eta(f) \right) \right\| \leq \max_{V \in \mathcal{V}_n} \sup_{x, y \in V} |f(x) - f(y)|;$
- (2)  $\left\| \pi_\omega(f) - \phi_\omega \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_\eta(f) \right) \right\| \leq \max_{V \in \mathcal{V}_n} \sup_{x, y \in V} |f(x) - f(y)|;$
- (3)  $\| \pi_\omega(f \circ T) - U_\omega^* \pi_\omega(f) U_\omega \| \leq \max_{V \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}} \sup_{x, y \in V} |f(x) - f(y)|$   
 $\quad + \max_{V \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}} \sup_{x, y \in V} |f(Tx) - f(Ty)|.$

*Proof.* Note first that if  $\pi_{\eta,j}(f) \in l^2[0, p(\eta))$  denotes the restriction of  $\pi_\eta(f)$  to the subspace  $l^2[0, p(\eta)) \otimes e_j$ , i.e.

$$\pi_{\eta,j}(f) e_i = f(T^j x_\eta(i)) e_i,$$

then

$$W_0 \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta,j}(f) \right) W_0^* = W_1 \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta,j}(f) \right) W_1^*.$$

This follows from the fact that

$$f(T^j x_\eta(l)) = f(T^j x_\mu(k))$$

whenever  $\varphi_\eta(l) = \varphi_\mu(k + 1) - 1$ , for then denoting by  $\omega'$  the pseudo-orbit  $f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}$  the properties of the splitting imply that

$$\eta(l) = \omega'(\varphi_\eta(l)) = \omega'(\varphi_\mu(k + 1) - 1) = \omega'(\varphi_\mu(k)) = \mu(k)$$

so that

$$x_\eta(l) = x_\mu(k).$$

This implies that  $W_0(\bigoplus \pi_{\eta,j}) W_0^*$  commutes with  $W_1 W_0^*$  and so with  $U$ . Note further that

$$\pi_\omega(f) W_\omega^{(2)} = W_\omega^{(2)} \tilde{\pi}_\omega(f)$$

where

$$\tilde{\pi}_\omega(f) e_i \otimes e_j = f(T^r x_\omega(q)) e_i \otimes e_j$$

where  $im_n + j = qm_{n+1} + r$ , ( $0 \leq r < m_{n+1}$ ). Now let  $\xi \otimes e_j$  be a vector in

$(\bigoplus_{\eta} l^2[0, p(\eta)]) \otimes l^2[0, m_n]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\omega}(f) W_{\omega} \xi \otimes e_j &= W_{\omega}^{(2)} \tilde{\pi}_{\omega}(f)(U^j W_0 \xi) \otimes e_j \\ W_{\omega}(\bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta}(f)) \xi \otimes e_j &= W_{\omega}^{(2)} W_{\omega}^{(1)} \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta,j}(f) \xi \right) \otimes e_j \\ &= W_{\omega}^{(2)} \left( U^j W_0 \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta,j}(f) \right) \xi \right) \otimes e_j \\ &= W_{\omega}^{(2)} \left( W_0 \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta,j}(f) \right) W_0^* U^j W_0 \xi \right) \otimes e_j \\ &= W_{\omega}^{(2)} (W_0 \otimes 1) \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta}(f) \right) (W_0^* \otimes 1) (U^j W_0 \xi \otimes e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left\| \pi_{\omega}(f) W_{\omega} - W_{\omega} \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta}(f) \right) \right\| = \left\| \tilde{\pi}_{\omega}(f) - W_0 \otimes 1 \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta}(f) \right) W_0^* \otimes 1 \right\|$$

and since the difference is a diagonal operator the above norm is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i,j} \left\| \tilde{\pi}_{\omega}(f) e_i \otimes e_j - (W_0 \otimes 1) \left( \bigoplus_{\eta} \pi_{\eta}(f) \right) (W_0^* \otimes 1) e_i \otimes e_j \right\| \\ = \max_{i,j} |f(T^r x_{\omega}(q)) - f(T^j x_{\eta}(k))| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$im_n + j = qm_{n+1} + r, \quad 0 \leq r < m_{n+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\eta}(k) = i.$$

Recall that  $\{\eta\}$  was a decomposition of  $f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}$ . In particular

$$\eta(k) = f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}(\varphi_{\eta}(k)).$$

From the definition of  $f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}$  we see that

$$f_n \omega^{m_{n+1}/m_n}(\varphi_{\eta}(k)) = \pi_{\tilde{r}}(f_n \cdot \omega(\tilde{q}))$$

where

$$\varphi_{\eta}(k) = \tilde{q}(m_{n+1}/m_n) + \tilde{r} \quad 0 \leq \tilde{r} < m_{n+1}/m_n.$$

Since

$$\varphi_{\eta}(k) m_n + j = qm_{n+1} + r \quad 0 \leq r < m_{n+1}$$

and

$$\varphi_{\eta}(k) m_n + j = \tilde{q}m_{n+1} + \tilde{r}m_n + j \quad 0 \leq \tilde{r} < m_{n+1}/m_n,$$

it follows that  $\tilde{q} = q$  and  $\tilde{r}m_n + j = r$ . So that

$$\eta(k) = \pi_{\tilde{r}}(f_n \omega(q)).$$

This implies that  $x_{\eta}(k)$  and  $T^{\tilde{r}m_n} x_{\omega}(q)$  lie in the same open set of  $\mathcal{V}_n^{(m_n)}$  so that  $T^j x_{\eta}(k)$  and  $T^{j+\tilde{r}m_n} x_{\omega}(q) = T^r x_{\omega}(q)$  lie in the same open set of  $\mathcal{V}_n$ . This proves (1) and (2).

To prove (3) note that operator  $\pi_{\omega}(f \circ T) - U_{\omega} \pi_{\omega}(f) U_{\omega}^*$  is diagonal, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \pi_{\omega}(f \circ T) - U_{\omega}^* \pi_{\omega}(f) U_{\omega} \right\| \\ = \max_{i,j} \left\| \pi_{\omega}(f \circ T) e_i \otimes e_j - U_{\omega}^* \pi_{\omega}(f) U_{\omega} e_i \otimes e_j \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\pi_\omega(f \circ T)e_i \otimes e_j - U_\omega^* \pi_\omega(f) U_\omega e_i \otimes e_j\| \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & j+1 \neq m_{n+1} \\ |f(T^{m_{n+1}}x_\omega(i)) - f(x_\omega(i+1))| & \text{if } j+1 = m_{n+1}, i+1 \neq p(\omega) \\ |f(T^{m_{n+1}}x_\omega(p(\omega)-1)) - f(x_\omega(0))| & \text{if } j+1 = m_{n+1}, i+1 = p(\omega). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\omega$  is  $p(\omega)$  periodic, so that  $x_\omega(0) = x_\omega(p(\omega))$ , so that it suffices to estimate

$$|f(T^{m_{n+1}}x_\omega(k)) - f(x_\omega(k+1))|$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\omega$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^{(m_{n+1})}$  pseudo-orbit of  $T^{m_{n+1}}$  there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $y \in V_{\omega(k)}$  and  $T^{m_{n+1}}y \in V_{\omega(k+1)}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(T^{m_{n+1}}x_\omega(k)) - f(x_\omega(k+1))| \\ & \leq |f(T^{m_{n+1}}x_\omega(k)) - f(T^{m_{n+1}}y)| + |f(T^{m_{n+1}}y) - f(x_\omega(k+1))| \\ & \leq \max_{V \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}} \sup_{x,y \in V} |f(Tx) - f(Ty)| + \max_{V \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}} \sup_{x,y \in V} |f(x) - f(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of (3) □

Recall that the AF-algebra associated to  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_n$ ,  $(m_n)_n$  and to the decomposition maps  $(F_n)_n$  is the inductive limit

$$\longrightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} A_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where  $A_n = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_n} M_\omega$  and  $\phi_n = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_{n+1}} \phi_\omega$ . Define  $U_n = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_n} U_\omega$  and

$$\pi_n : C(X) \rightarrow A_n \quad \text{by} \quad \pi_n(f) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_n} \pi_\omega(f).$$

Recall also that  $\alpha_T$  is the automorphism of  $C(X)$  defined as

$$\alpha_T(f) = f \circ T^{-1}$$

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $T$  be a homeomorphism of the compact topological metrizable space  $X$  with the property that every point  $x \in X$  is pseudo-non-wandering. Then there exists a sequence of finite open covers  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_n$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $(m_n)_n$  such that for any decomposition maps  $F_n$ ,  $C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z}$  may be unittally embedded into the AF-algebra associated to  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_n$ ,  $(m_n)_n$  and  $(F_n)_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a countable dense subset of  $C(X)$  such that  $\alpha_T(S) = S$  and choose the sequence of finite open covers  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_n$  to have the property that

$$\sum_n \left( \max_{V \in \mathcal{V}_n} \sup_{x,y \in V} |f(x) - f(y)| \right) < \infty \quad \text{for every } f \in S.$$

Suppose also that the  $m_n$ 's satisfy

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{m_n} < \infty.$$

Combining lemmas 5 and 6 one sees that the sequences  $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{\pi_n(f)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $f \in S$  are norm convergent and that

$$\|\pi_n(\alpha_T(f)) - U_n \pi_n(f) U_n^*\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for every } f \in S.$$

This implies that  $\pi(f) = \lim_n \pi_n(f)$  exists for every  $f \in C(X)$  and that, denoting  $U = \lim_n U_n$ , the pair  $(\pi, U)$  is a covariant representation of the  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(C(X), \alpha_T, \mathbb{Z})$ . This pair generates a unital  $*$ -representation

$$\rho: C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A,$$

so all we have to prove is that  $\rho$  is faithful. This will follow once we show that for each finite sum  $\sum_{i=0}^N f_i u^i \in C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z}$  with  $f_i \in S$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^N \pi_n(f_i) U_n^i \right\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^N f_i u^i \right\|.$$

If we represent  $C(X)$  faithfully as multiplication operators on  $l^2(X)$ , then [3, corollary 7.7.8] shows that  $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \pi_x$  is a faithful representation of  $C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z}$  on  $\bigoplus_{x \in X} H_x$  where

$$H_x \cong l^2(\mathbb{Z})$$

with canonical basis  $e(n)$ ,

$$\pi_x(f)e(n) = f(T^n x)e(n), \quad f \in C(X)$$

and

$$\pi_x(u)e(n) = e(n+1), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x \in X.$$

Thus

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^N f_i u^i \right\| = \sup_{x \in X} \left\| \pi_x \left( \sum_{i=0}^N f_i u^i \right) \right\|$$

so that for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we may find  $x \in X$  and a sequence  $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $\sum |\xi_k|^2 = 1$  and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^N f_i u^i \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_{k-i} f_i(T^k x) \right|^2 + \varepsilon.$$

Moreover we may suppose (by replacing the point  $x$  too if necessary) that  $\xi_k = 0$  if  $k$  does not belong to some interval  $[0, M]$ . Let  $\delta$  be positive and choose  $n$  big enough to get

$$\max_{V \in \mathcal{V}_n} \sup_{x, y \in V} |f_i(x) - f_i(y)| < \delta \quad i = 0, \dots, N,$$

and

$$m_n \geq M + N.$$

By lemma 4 there exists a  $\mathcal{V}_n^{(m_n)}$  pseudo-orbit of  $T^{m_n}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega_n$ , such that  $x \in V_{\omega(0)}$ . In other words, for every  $k \in [0, M + N]$ ,  $x_\omega(k)$  and  $T^k x$  lie in the same open set

$V \in \mathcal{V}_n$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^N \pi_n(f_i) U_n^i \right\|^2 &\geq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^N \pi_\omega(f_i) U_\omega^i \right\|^2 \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^N \pi_\omega(f_i) U_\omega^i \left( \sum_0^M \xi_k e_k \right) \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{M+N} \left| \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_{k-i} f_i(x_\omega(k)) \right|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{M+N} \left| \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_{k-i} f_i(T^k x) \right|^2 - (M+N)N^2\delta^2 \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^N f_i u^i \right\|^2 - \varepsilon - (M+N)N^2\delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  small enough we get the desired result. □

We conclude this paper by showing that the condition  $X = X(T)$  in the above theorem is essential.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *If  $T$  acts on the compact space  $X$  such that  $X \neq X(T)$ , then there exists a non-unitary isometry in  $C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of theorem 7 we represent  $C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z}$  faithfully on  $\bigoplus_{x \in X} H_x$  where

$$H_x \cong l^2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

with canonical bases  $e(n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_x(f)e(n) &= f(T^n x)e(n), & f \in C(X) \\ \pi_x(u)e(n) &= e(n+1) & n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2 there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $T(\bar{U}) \subset U$  and  $U \setminus T(\bar{U}) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in C(X)$  be such that  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $x \in X \setminus U$ ,  $f(x) = 2$  for  $x \in T(\bar{U})$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \leq f(x) \leq 2$  for every  $x \in X$ . Thus  $\pi_x(f \cdot u)$  is a weighted shift whose weights satisfy the properties that if  $\alpha_k < 2$  then  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $n < k$  and if  $\alpha_k > \frac{1}{2}$  then  $\alpha_n = 2$  for every  $n > k$ . Since there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\pi_x(f \cdot u)$  has weights both  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 2 and any two such shifts are similar by means of an invertible  $S$  satisfying

$$\|S\| \leq 2, \quad \|S^{-1}\| \leq 2$$

it follows that  $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \pi_x(1 - fu)$  is an injective semi-Fredholm operator of negative index. Hence the isometry in the polar decomposition of  $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \pi_x(1 - fu)$  is in  $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \pi_x(C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z})$ . □

Recall from [5] that a unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called *quasidiagonal* if there is a unital  $*$ -monomorphism

$$\rho: A \rightarrow B(H)$$

such that

$$\rho(A) \cap K(H) = 0,$$

( $K(H)$  denotes the compact operators on  $H$ ), and a sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of finite dimensional orthogonal projections in  $B(H)$  such that

$$\cdots \leq P_n \leq P_{n+1} \leq \cdots, \quad \overline{\bigcup_n P_n(H)} = H$$

and

$$\|P_n \rho(a) - \rho(a) P_n\| \xrightarrow{n} 0 \quad \text{for every } a \in A.$$

That the definition does not depend on the representation  $\rho$  follows from the non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann-type theorem of D. Voiculescu [7]. In particular, any subalgebra of a quasidiagonal algebra is again quasidiagonal. Since any AF-algebra is quasidiagonal, non quasidiagonality is an obstruction to the embedding into an AF-algebra.

The next theorem shows that this is the only obstruction in the case of  $C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z}$ .

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $T$  be a homeomorphism of the compact metrizable space  $X$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X = X(T)$ ;
- (2)  $C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z}$  is quasidiagonal;
- (3) there exists a unital embedding of  $C(X) \times_{\alpha_T} \mathbb{Z}$  into an AF-algebra.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) are already proved, while (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from proposition 8 combined with the result of P. R. Halmos, [2], that a non-unitary isometry is not a quasidiagonal operator.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bowen. *Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 470. Springer-Verlag: Heidelberg-New York-London, 1975.
- [2] P. R. Halmos. Quasitriangular operators. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **29** (1968), 283–293.
- [3] G. K. Pedersen. *C\*-Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*. Academic Press: London–New York–San Francisco, 1979.
- [4] M. V. Pimsner & D. V. Voiculescu. Imbedding the irrational rotation C\*-algebra into an AF-algebra. *J. Operator Theory* **4** (1980), 201–210.
- [5] N. Salinas. Homotopy invariance of  $\text{Ext}(A)$ . *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), 777–794.
- [6] A. M. Vershik. Uniform algebraic approximation of the multiplication and translation operators. (In Russian.) *Doklady Akad. Nauk.* (1981).
- [7] D. V. Voiculescu. A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.* **21** (1976), 97–113.