

Timing Detection of Eclipsing Binary Planets and Transiting Extrasolar Moons

Laurance R. Doyle

SETI Institute, 2035 Landings Drive, Mountain View, CA 94043

Hans-Jörg Deeg

Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38200 La Laguna Tenerife, Spain

Abstract. We investigate the improved detection of extrasolar planets around eclipsing binaries using eclipse minima timing and extrasolar moons around transiting planets using transit timing offered by the upcoming COROT (ESA, 2005), Kepler (NASA, 2007), and Eddington (ESA 2008) spacecraft missions. Hundreds of circum-binary planets should be discovered and a thorough survey of moons around transiting planets will be accomplished by these missions.

1. Eclipsing Binary Minima Timing

In addition to providing a higher probability for edge-on planetary orbital alignments for transit events (Deeg et al. 1998; Doyle et al. 2000), eclipsing binary star systems also allow the discovery of even non-planar outer giant planets because the eclipse minima act as definitive events that can be timed for offsets around the binary/planet center of mass—the barycenter (Doyle et al. 1996; Deeg et al. 2000). A giant outer planet around any such systems will offset the binary causing a periodic early or late arrival of the light from the eclipse minimum events across the offset barycenter by an amount (Schneider & Doyle 1995):

$$\delta t = M_p a_p / c M_* \quad (1)$$

where M_p and M_* are the masses of the planet and star, respectively, a_p is the semi-major axis of the planet's orbit and c is the speed of light.

The precision with which the timing of the binary eclipse minima at time t_0 can be determined is a function of the stellar brightness $L(t_i, t_0)$ at any given time t_i , so that the relationship between the measurement error in brightness δ_L and the error in eclipse minimum timing δ_{t_0} can be determined by the basic considerations of error propagation (e.g., Press et al. 1986) and given as:

$$\delta_{t_0} = \delta_L \left[\sum_i \left(\frac{\partial L(t_i, t_0)}{\partial t_0} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

(This equation corrects a typesetting error in Equation 3 of Doyle et al. 1996.) If the measurement of L is taken at equidistant points t_i , where $\Delta t = t_{i+1} - t_i$ the




Figure 1. Eclipse minima timing precision achievable with the COROT, Kepler, and Eddington spacecraft missions for binary systems consisting of two solar masses, a planet of a given mass at 2/3 AU (140-day period), with 20 eclipse minima having been measured. Note that the planet does not have to be in a coplanar orbit with the eclipsing binary, although the measurable offset will, of course, have a planetary orbital inclination sine dependence.

relation $L(t_i, t_0 + \Delta t) = L(t_{i-1}, t_0)$ holds, which allows a very simple numerical calculation of the derivative in Equation 2 from a single lightcurve (measure of star brightness with time), using:

$$\frac{\partial L(t_i, t_0)}{\partial t_0} \approx \frac{L(t_{i-1}, t_0) - L(t_{i+1}, t_0)}{2\Delta t} \quad (3)$$

An approximation for an easy application to observational data can also be derived through an analytical integration of Equation 1 for an eclipse with a triangular shape (the following equation therefore also sets a strict lower limit):

$$\delta_{t_0} \approx \delta_L \frac{T_{ec}}{2\Delta L\sqrt{N}} \quad (4)$$

where T_{ec} is the duration of the eclipse event (from first to last contact), N is the number of observational data points taken during T_{ec} , and ΔL is the relative depth of the eclipse (with the out-of-eclipse brightness being unity). As an example, for observations of the CM Draconis eclipsing binary, $T_{ec} \approx 80$ minutes = 4800 seconds, $\Delta L = 0.46$, with a relative photometric error (error in brightness measurements) of $\delta_L = 0.01$, taken with a cadence of 5 seconds ($N = 960$), we obtain an eclipse minimum timing error of about 1.7 seconds or less.

Deeg et al. (2000) used this method to set an upper limit of 2-3 Jupiter masses for the presence of third bodies (with $P < 3$ yr) around the CM Draconis system. The actual average timing errors in this work were about 6 sec.

Spacecraft such as the COROT, Kepler, and Eddington missions can be expected to achieve a much higher photometric precision (at least a few parts in 10^5) as well as many more eclipse minima over the 3-to-4-years of continuous monitoring of a given crowded stellar field (e.g., Borucki et al. 1997). As an example, assuming a total eclipsing binary mass of two solar masses, a planetary orbital period of about 140 days (i.e. a semi-major axis of about $2/3$ of an AU), with 20 eclipse minima recorded, and a sampling rate of 15 minutes, better than 0.1-second precision in the timing of the eclipse minima should be achievable, as shown in Figure 1 (where photon noise dominates so that the precision is proportional to the effective mirror size of each spacecraft.) Hundreds of new outer giant planets around eclipsing binaries should be discoverable by these missions, then, using this approach to the same photometric data sets they are already going to be acquiring.

2. Transiting Planet Timing

The precise timing of planetary transits themselves (hundreds of which are expected to be discovered by the COROT, Kepler, and Eddington missions) can reveal the presence of extrasolar moons around these planets, as first pointed out by Sartoretti & Schneider (1999).

The timing offset of the planet produced by the presence of a moon around planet/moon barycenter may be formulated (Sartoretti & Schneider 1999) as:

$$\delta t = \frac{a_m M_m P_p}{\pi a_p M_p} \quad (5)$$

where a_m and M_m are the semi-major axis and the mass of the extrasolar moon, respectively, and P_p is the orbital period of the planet. Using a similar formulation to estimate the timing errors, we arrive at the detection limits given in Fig. 2. An Earth-Moon system is easily detectable (once the precision needed to detect an Earth-sized planet in transit has been achieved). But even a Europa/Jupiter configuration would be within the limits of detectability for the Kepler and Eddington Missions. In perhaps most cases the accompanying moon would not show up in transit so that the timing offsets of the transiting planet itself would be the only way to detect their presence. From orbital stability considerations no detectably massive moons may be expected for very short period (less than a week) giant planets (Barnes & O'Brien 2002). Since, in this case, the offset of a transiting planet by its moon is more of an astrometric effect (the spatial displacement of the planet by the moon against the stellar disc) rather than a displacement toward or away from the observer, this effect is essentially independent of the inclination to the line-of-sight of the displacing moon's orbit.

Transit Timing Detectability of Extrasolar Moons




Figure 2. For the hundreds of transiting planets that the COROT, Kepler, and Eddington missions will discover, timing can also distinguish if any of these planets have moons in orbit around them. An Earth/Moon-type system is easily distinguished. But, interestingly, Europa/Jupiter-type systems would also be discoverable. Note that the vertical axis for the expected spacecraft timing errors are 3σ .

3. Conclusions

Within the past decade over 100 extrasolar planetary systems have been discovered but, as of this date, none have been found in circum-binary orbits. The eclipsing binary timing method may be the only method that can achieve such detections (besides possibly the gravitational microlensing technique) and this is of some importance since close binary systems might be considered of particular interest in understanding planet formation processes because of their different, and likely more complex, angular momentum histories. Discovering the prevalence of moons around extrasolar planets will also be of great interest both for understanding the formation of our own moon, but also—since Europa/Jupiter-type systems are also detectable by both the Kepler and Eddington missions—as possible tidally heated habitable zones that might be similar to the ocean environment that exists under the ice crust on Jupiter’s moon Europa today. The rough statistical prevalence of circum-binary planets and extrasolar moons are questions that we should finally begin to have answers to within the next decade.

References

Barnes, J. W., & O’Brien, D. P. 2002, *ApJ*, 575, 1087

- Borucki, W. J., et al. 1997, PASA, 113, 439
Deeg, H.-J., Doyle, L. R., Kozhevnikov, V. P. 1998, A&A, 338, 479
Deeg, H.-J., et al. 2000, A&A, 358, L5
Doyle, L. R., et al. 2000, ApJ, 535, 4338
Doyle, L. R., et al. 1996, in ASP Conf. Series 134: Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets, ed. R. Rebolo, E. Martin, & M. Osorio, 224
Press, W. H., Flannery, B. P., Teukolsky, S. A., & Vetterling, W. T. 1986, Numerical Recipes, (New York: Cambridge U. Press), 523
Schneider, J. & Doyle, L. R., 1995, in Earth, Moon, and Planets, 71, 153
Sartoretti, P. & Schneider, J. 1999, A&AS, 134, 553



Laurance Doyle (*photo: Seth Shostak*)