VOLUME 10 NUMBER 1 MARCH 1987 An International Journal of Current Research and Theory with Open Peer Commentary

Behavioral and Brain

Sciences

Appearing in this issue, with Commentary . . .

Why are children in the same family so different from one another? Robert Plomin and Denise Daniels

Précis of Vaulting Ambition: Sociobiology and the Quest for Human Nature Philip Kitcher

Relationships between the superior colliculus and hippocampus: Neural and behavioral considerations Nigel Foreman and Robin Stevens

Also, Continuing Commentary on
"The case for mental duality" (Puccetti)
"Associations across time" (Rawlins)

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editor

Stevan Harnad 20 Nassau St., Suite 240 Princeton, NJ 08542

Assistant Editor

Laura Triest

Associate Editors

Behavioral Biology Jack P. Hailman/U. Wisconsin Hubert Markl/U. Konstanz

Biosocial Behavior Glendon Schubert/U. Hawaii, Manoa

Cognition and Artificial Intelligence Zenon Pylyshyn/U. Western Ontario

Cognitive Development

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/MRC, London and MPI, Nijmegen

Evolutionary Biology

Michael T. Ghiselin/California Academy of Sciences

Experimental Analysis of Behavior

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

History and Systems Julian Jaynes/Princeton

Language and Cognition

Philip Johnson-Laird/MRC, Cambridge Peter Wason/University College, London

Language and Language Disorders Max Coltheart/Birbeck College, London

Linguistics

Robert Freidin/Princeton

Neurobiology

Irving Kupfermann/Columbia

Neuropharmacology

Susan D. Iversen/Merck Sharp and Dohme, Ltd.

Neuropsychology

Jeffrey A. Gray/Inst. Psychiatry, London

Neurophysiology

Sten Grillner/Karolinska Institutet

Paleoneurology

Stephen Jay Gould/Harvard

Perception

Richard Gregory/U. Bristol

Philosophy
Daniel C. Dennett/Tufts
Gilbert Harman/Princeton

Philosophy of Science

Adolf Grünbaum/U. Pittsburgh

Psvchobiology

Victor H. Denenberg/U. Connecticut

David S. Olton/Johns Hopkins

Quantitative Methods

Donald B. Rubin/Harvard

Vision and Artificial Intelligence Stuart Sutherland/U. Sussex

Editorial Policy Behavioral and Brain Sciences (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary* to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See Instructions for Authors and Commentators, inside back cover.) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project.

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to write to the editor.

This publication was supported in part by NIH Grant LM 03539 from the National Library of Medicine.

*Modelled on the 'CA Comment' service of the journal Current Anthropology.

Copying This journal is registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (27 Congress St., Salem, MA 01970). Organizations in the U.S.A. who are also registered with the CCC may therefore copy material (beyond the limits permitted by sections 107 and 108 of U.S. Copyright Law) subject to payment to the CCC of the per-copy fee indicated in the code on the first page of the article. This consent does not extend to multiple copying for promotional or commercial purposes.

ISI Tear Sheet Service, 3501 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, is authorized to supply single copies of separate articles for private use only.

For all other use, permission should be sought from the Cambridge or New York offices of the Press.

Subscriptions Behavioral and Brain Sciences (ISSN 0140-525X) is published quarterly in March, June, September and December. Four parts form a volume. The subscription price, which includes postage, of Volume 10 (1987) is US \$140.00 net in the U.S.A. and Canada (£83.00 in the U.K. and rest of the world) for institutions; US \$61.00 net (£39.00) for individuals; US \$35.00 net (£23.00) for BBS Associates: and US \$35.00 net (£23.00) for students (in the U.S.A. and Canada only) who provide proof of eligibility with order. Single parts cost US \$39.00 net (£23.00) plus postage. Institutional orders may be sent to a bookseller or, in the U.S.A. and Canada direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, England. Individuals must order direct from the Press. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes in the U.S.A. and Canada to Brain and Behavioral Sciences, Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Promotion Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

Contents Volume 10:1 March 1987

Plomin, R. & Daniels, D. Why are	ehild	ren in the same family so	7
different from one another? Open Peer Commentary Bernstein, I. S. Perceptions are nonshared environments Bock, R. D. & Zimowski, M. F. Contributions of the biometrical approach to individual differences in personality measures Boklage, C. E. The unmapped methodological territory between one gene and many comprises some intriguing environments Boomsma, D. I. Absence or underestimation of shared environment? Buss, D. M. Evolutionary hypotheses and behavioral genetic methods: Hopes for a union of two disparate disciplines Caspari, E. W. Genes and environmental factors in the determination of behavioral characters Chess, S. Let us consider the roles of temperament and of fortuitous events Costa, P. T., Jr. & McCrae, R. R. On the need for longitudinal evidence and multiple measures in behavioral-genetic studies of adult personality Eysenck, H. J. The myth of the shared environment Harper, L. V. Evaluation of gene—environment interaction requires more precise description of both environment and behavior Hartung, J. On nonheritable genetic differences Hay, D. A. A cumulative model of within-family differences Jensen, A. R. Unconfounding genetic and nonshared environmental effects Johnson, R. C. & Nagoshi, C. T. Secular change in the relative influence of G, E1, and E2 on cognitive abilities Kovach, J. K. Quantitative genetics and developmental psychology: Shall the twain ever meet? Lamb, M. E. Niche picking by siblings and scientists Loehlin, J. C. Twin studies, environment differences, age changes	16 17 18 19 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 30 30	Lykken, D. T. An alternative explanation for low or zero sih correlations McArdle, J. J. & Gottesman, I. I. Some models where independent ≠ different McCartney, K. The problem of documenting systematic nonshared environmental effects directly Morton, N. E. Shared environment and cultural inheritance Nyborg, H. Individual differences or different individuals? That is the question Rose, R. J. & Kaprio, J. Shared experience and similarity of personality: Positive data from Finnish and American twins Roubertoux, P. & Nosten, M. Toward a relevant application of nonshared environment Rowe, D. C. The puzzle of nonshared environmental influences Scarr, S. Distinctive environments depend on genotypes Scott, J. P. Why does human twin research not produce results consistent with those from nonhuman animals? Sternberg, R. J. Behavior genetics moves beyond percentages—at last Stevenson, J. How do vulnerability effects relate to the nonshared environment? Wachs, T. D. The relevance of the concept of nonshared environment to the study of environmental influences: A paradigmatic shift or just some gears slipping? Zuckerman, M. All parents are environmentalists until they have their second child Editorial commentary Authors' Response Plomin, R. & Daniels, D. Children in the same family are very different, but why?	31 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 40 41 42 44
Kitcher, P. Précis of Vaulting Ambit Human Nature Open Peer Commentary Bateson, P. Familiarity out-breeds Beckwith, J. Criticism and realism Bernstein, I. S. Saving sociobiology: The use and abuse of logic Churchland, P. S. Leapfrog over the brain Draper, P. Testing sociobiological hypotheses ethnographically Dupré, J. Sociobiology and the problem of culture Futterman, A. & Allen, G. E. Putting sociobiology in its place Ghiselin, M. T. Species are individuals: Therefore human nature is a metaphysical delusion	<i>ion:</i> 71 72 73 73 74 75 76 77	Sociobiology and the Quest for Harpending, H. Faulting ambition: A double standard? Johnston, T. D. Amplifying sociobiology's hollow ring Lamb, M. E. Useful distinctions in human sociobiology McGrew, W. C. Enough of polemics – let's look at data! Plotkin, H. C. Rising out of the ashes Rosenberg, A. Is there really "juggling," "artifice," and "trickery" in Genes, Mind, and Culture? Salmon, M. H. Pop sociobiology and meta-ethics Saunders, P. T. & Ho, MW. "Scotch'd the snake, not killed it"	78 78 79 79 79 80 83 83

Singer, P. The hypothalamus and the impartial perspective Smith, E. A. Folk psychology versus pop sociobiology Smith, P. K. Is human sociobiology a progressive or a	84 85	Stenseth, N. Bridging the sociobiological gap Symons, D. Darwin and human nature	88 89
degenerating research programme? Sober, E. Optimist/pessimist	86 87	Author's Response Kitcher, P. Confessions of a curmudgeon	89
Foreman, N. & Stevens, R. Relationand hippocampus: Neural and bel	_	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	101
Open Peer Commentary Bennett, T. Hippocampal-collicular interactions: An example of input linkages to the hippocampus Bureš, J. & Burešová, O. Are hippocampus and	119	Mayes, A. R. How close is the functional interdependence between hippocampus and superior colliculus? Mellgren, R. L. The assumptions of an interactive-	126
superior colliculus more related to each other than to other brain structures?	120	modular model of the brain Milner, D. A. Different spatial frameworks Robinson, D. L. & McClurkin, J. W. Using awake,	127 128
Casagrande, V. A. The "reciprocal loop" model: How strong is the evidence, how useful is the model? Dean, P. & Redgrave, P. How does the rat	121	behaving animals to study the brain Schmajuk, N. A. Real-time attention theories of	129
hippocampus see? Ewert, JP. & Finkenstädt, Th. Modulation of tectal functions by prosencephalic loops in amphibians	121 122	hippocampal function Stein, B. E. Hippocampus and superior colliculus: Interdependence or independence?	130 131
Gerbrandt, L. Dependence of the hippocampal formation on other brain structures	123	Will, B. E., Dalrymple-Alford, J. C. & Di Scala, G. The heterogeneity and plasticity of cerebral	
Greene, E. Hippocampal deficits: More than meets the eye Isaac, W. Some limited neural and behavioral	124	structures Winocur, G. The hippocampus and attention	131 132
comparisons of the superior colliculus and the		Authors' Response	
hippocampus Kimble, D. P. A short quiz for neuropsychologists	125 125	Foreman, N. & Stevens, R. Collicular-hippocampal linkage: Reflections and further considerations	133
Continuing Commentary	153		
On Puccetti, R. The case for mental duali considerations. BBS 4:93–123.	ity: Ev	ridence from split-brain data and other	153
Denenberg, V. H. Mental duality and motor decisions	153	Author's Response	
		Puccetti, R. Two paddlers or one?	154
On Rawlins, J. N. P. Associations across to store. BBS 8:479–528.	ime: T	he hippocampus as a temporary memory	154
Bureš, J., Burešová, O., and Bolhuis, J. J. Processing		Author's Response	
temporally discontiguous information is neither an exclusive nor the only function of the hippocampus	154	Rawlins, J. N. P. Time to close the store?	156