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#### Abstract

Let $c \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Terai ['A note on the Diophantine equation $x^{2}+q^{m}=c^{n}$, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 90 (2014), 20-27] conjectured that the exponential Diophantine equation $x^{2}+(2 c-1)^{m}=c^{n}$ has only the positive integer solution $(x, m, n)=(c-1,1,2)$. He proved his conjecture under various conditions on $c$ and $2 c-1$. In this paper, we prove Terai's conjecture under a wider range of conditions on $c$ and $2 c-1$. In particular, we show that the conjecture is true if $c \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $3 \leq c \leq 499$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $c \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Clearly, the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+(2 c-1)^{m}=c^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the positive integer solution $(x, m, n)=(c-1,1,2)$. In [6], Terai conjectured that (1.1) has no other solution and he proved this in five special cases determined by certain conditions on $c$ and $2 c-1$ [6, Proposition 3.2]. When $2 c-1=q$, where $q$ is a prime, Terai obtained several results [6, Theorems 1.2-1.4] concerning the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+q^{m}=c^{n} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these results, together with results of Ljunggren [5], Zhu [7] and Arif-Abu Muriefah [1], Terai showed that, apart from $c=12,24$, his conjecture holds for $2 \leq c \leq 30$. The cases $c=12,24$ have been treated in [4]. In this paper, we show that Terai's conjecture is true under a wider range of conditions on $c$ and $2 c-1$. The methods are elementary, but rely on results obtained by more advanced means. We prove the following theorems. Here $(:)$ denotes the Legendre symbol.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $c \geq 2$ is a positive integer with $c \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Let $s$ be a positive integer and $k, l, t$ be nonnegative integers. If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then Terai's conjecture is true:
(i) $2 c-1$ is a power of a prime;
(ii) $2 c-1=(8 k+3)(8 l+7)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(8 k+3,8 l+7)=1$;
(iii) $2 c-1=(8 s+1)(8 t+5)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(8 s+1,87+5)=1$ and there is an odd prime $q$ such that one of the following two alternatives holds:
(a) $\quad q \mid(8(s+t)+6)$ and $q \nmid c$;
(b) $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ or $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, and $8 s+1 \equiv 0(\bmod q)$,
$((8 t+5) / q)=-1$, or $8 t+5 \equiv 0(\bmod q),((8 s+1) / q)=-1$.
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime such that $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Let $s$ be a nonnegative integer. If $c=p^{2 s+1}$, then Terai's conjecture is true.

Theorem 1.3. Let p be an odd prime and s and t be nonnegative integers. If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then Terai's conjecture is true:
(i) $2 c-1=3^{2 s+1} p^{2 t+1}$, where $p \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ or $p \equiv 3(\bmod 16)$;
(ii) $2 c-1=3^{4 s+1} p^{4 t+1}$, where $p \equiv 5(\bmod 16)$ or $p \equiv 3(\bmod 5)$;
(iii) $2 c-1=5^{2 s+1} p^{2 s+1}$, where $p \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ and $p+5 \not \equiv 0(\bmod 32)$;
(iv) $2 c-1=9^{2^{s}} p^{2 t+1}$, where $p \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$;
(v) $c=2^{s+1}$.

Corollary 1.4. If $c \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $3 \leq c \leq 499$, then Terai's conjecture is true.
Theorem 1.3 extends Terai's results [6, Proposition 3.2(ii)-(v)] by allowing for multiple prime factors dividing $2 c-1$.

## 2. Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 [2, Theorem 1.1]. If $n \geq 4$ is an integer and $C=1,2,3,5,6,10,11,13$ or 17, then the equation $x^{n}+y^{n}=C z^{2}$ has no solutions in nonzero pairwise co-prime integers $(x, y, z)$ with, say, $x>y$, unless $(n ; C)=(4 ; 17)$ or $(n ; C ; x, y, z)$ is one of $(5 ; 2 ; 3,1, \pm 11),(5 ; 11 ; 3,2, \pm 5)$ or $(4 ; 2 ; 1,1, \pm 1)$.

Lemma 2.2 [3, Theorem XI]. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be integers such that $3 \leq \alpha<\beta, 2 \nmid \alpha \beta$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=1$. Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime and $p^{a} \| \alpha+\beta$. Then $p^{a+1} \| \alpha^{p}+\beta^{p}$ and therefore $p \|\left(\alpha^{p}+\beta^{p}\right) /(\alpha+\beta)$.

Lemma 2.3 [3, Theorem XXV]. Let $x, y$ be coprime positive integers with $x>y$ and let $r$ be a positive integer. If $r>2$, then $x^{r}+y^{r}$ has a prime divisor $p$ such that $p \nmid x^{k}+y^{k}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, r-1$, except when $(x, y, r)=(2,1,3)$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $x, y$ be positive integers such that $3 \leq x<y$ and $2 \nmid x y$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(x+y) \leq x y+1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $y=x+a$ and $f(x)=x y+1-2(x+y)=x^{2}+(a-4) x-2 a+1$. Clearly, $a$ is even with $a \geq 2$. If $a=2$, the only positive root of $f(x)=0$ is $x=3$, so (2.1) holds. Suppose that $a \geq 4$. Let the bigger root of $f(x)=0$ be $r$. Since

$$
2<r=\frac{4-a+\sqrt{a^{2}+12}}{2}<\frac{4-a+a+2}{2}=3
$$

it follows that (2.1) still holds.
Lemma 2.5. Let $c>1$ be a positive integer with $c \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and suppose that (1.1) has a positive integer solution. Then:
(i) $m=2 m^{\prime}+1$ is odd and $n=2 N$ is even;
(ii) if $m=3$ and $2 c-1=P Q$ with $3 \leq P<Q$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$, then $P^{m}+Q^{m}=2 c^{N}$ has no solution.

Proof. (i) Taking (1.1) modulo $c$ gives $m=2 m^{\prime}+1$. Since $2 c-1 \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, taking (1.1) modulo $2 c-1$ yields

$$
1=\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2 c-1}\right)=\left(\frac{c^{n}}{2 c-1}\right)=\left(\frac{2 c-1}{c}\right)^{n}=\left(\frac{-1}{c}\right)^{n}=(-1)^{n}
$$

and hence $n=2 N$.
(ii) Clearly, $N>1$. Suppose that $N=2$. From (1.1),

$$
P^{3} Q^{3}=(2 c-1)^{3}=c^{4}-x^{2}=\left(c^{2}-x\right)\left(c^{2}+x\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(c^{2}-x, c^{2}+x\right)=1,
$$

so $c^{2}-x=P^{3}, c^{2}+x=Q^{3}$ and $P^{3}+Q^{3}=2 c^{2}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P+Q,\left(P^{3}+Q^{3}\right) /(P+Q)\right)=$ 1 or 3 , we have two cases to consider.
Case 1: $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P+Q,\left(P^{3}+Q^{3}\right) /(P+Q)\right)=1$. Write $c=c_{1} c_{2}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=1$. From

$$
P^{3}+Q^{3}=(P+Q)\left(\frac{P^{3}+Q^{3}}{P+Q}\right)=2 c^{2}=2 c_{1}^{2} \cdot c_{2}^{2}
$$

we have $\left(P^{3}+Q^{3}\right) /(P+Q)=c_{2}^{2}$, which leads to a contradiction because

$$
\frac{P^{3}+Q^{3}}{P+Q}=P^{2}+Q^{2}-P Q \equiv 2-5 \equiv 5 \not \equiv c_{2}^{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 8) .
$$

Case 2: $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P+Q,\left(P^{3}+Q^{3}\right) /(P+Q)\right)=3$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$
P+Q=2 \cdot 3 c_{1}^{2}, \quad \frac{P^{3}+Q^{3}}{P+Q}=3 c_{2}^{2}
$$

where $c=3 c_{1} c_{2}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(3 c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=1$. As in Case 1 , we reach a contradiction because

$$
\frac{P^{3}+Q^{3}}{P+Q}=P^{2}+Q^{2}-P Q \equiv 2-5 \equiv 5 \not \equiv 3 c_{2}^{N} \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 8)
$$

Finally, if $N \geq 3$, the equation $P^{3}+Q^{3}=2 c^{N}$ has no solution because, by Lemma 2.4,

$$
P^{3}+Q^{3}<(P+Q)^{3} \leq\left(\frac{P Q+1}{2}\right)^{3}=c^{3}<2 c^{3} \leq 2 c^{N}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $n=2 N$, where $N$ is a positive integer. If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then Terai's conjecture is true:
(i) $2 c-1=p^{s}$, where $p$ is a prime;
(ii) $P^{m}+Q^{m}=2 c^{N}$ has no solution for $m>1$, where $P Q=2 c-1,3 \leq P<Q$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$.
Proof. (i) As in part (ii) of the proof of Lemma 2.5, from $x^{2}+(2 c-1)^{m}=c^{2 N}$, we have $c^{N}-x=1$ and $c^{N}+x=(2 c-1)^{m}$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 c-1)^{m}+1=2 c^{N} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m=1$, (2.2) gives $N=1$ and the solution $(x, m, n)=(c-1,1,2)$ to (2.2). If $m=2$, then $2 c^{2}<(2 c-1)^{2}+1<2 c^{3}$ implies that (2.2) has no solution. If $m \geq 3$, then (2.2) has no solution by Lemma 2.3.
(ii) Suppose $x^{2}+(2 c-1)^{m}=c^{2 N}$. As in (i), if $c^{N}-x=1$ and $c^{N}+x=(2 c-1)^{m}$, then $(2 c-1)^{m}+1=2 c^{N}$ and, if $c^{N}-x=P^{m}, c^{N}+x=Q^{m}$, with $P Q=2 c-1$, $3 \leq P<Q$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{m}+Q^{m}=2 c^{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (i), the equation $(2 c-1)^{m}+1=2 c^{N}$ has no solution for $m>1$. By assumption, (2.3) has no solution for $m>1$. If $m=1$, then $P+Q \leq(P Q+1) / 2=c<2 c^{N}$ by Lemma 2.4, so again (2.3) has no solution. Hence, Terai's conjecture is true.

Remark 2.7. In the case $2 c-1=p^{s}$, to prove Terai's conjecture, we need only prove that $n=2 N$ by Lemma 2.6(i). In the case $2 c-1 \neq p^{s}$, from the proof of Lemma 2.6(ii), we see that (2.3) has no solution for $m=1$ and $(2 c-1)^{m}+1=2 c^{N}$ has only one solution. Therefore, in the case $2 c-1 \neq p^{s}$, to prove Terai's conjecture, we need only prove that $n=2 N$ and that (2.3) has no solution for $m>1$. Under some circumstances, we can prove that (2.3) has no solution without assuming that $m>1$ (see the proof of Theorem 1.1(iii) and the proofs of Theorem 1.3(i), (ii) and (iv)).

## 3. Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.5(i), $m$ is odd and $n=2 N$ is even.
For part (i), the result follows from Lemma 2.6(i).
For part (ii), by Remark 2.7, we only need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{m}+Q^{m}=2 c^{N}, \quad P=8 k+3, Q=8 l+7 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solution for $m>1$, where $P Q=2 c-1, P \geq 3, Q \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$. By interchanging $P$ and $Q$, if necessary, we can suppose that $P<Q$. By taking (3.1) modulo 8 , we see that $N$ is even. By Lemma 2.1, we get $m \leq 3$. But $m>1$, so this gives $m=3$ and (3.1) has no solution by Lemma 2.5(ii). Therefore, (3.1) has no solution for $m>1$.

For part (iii), we will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{m}+Q^{m}=2 c^{N}, \quad P=8 k+1, Q=8 l+5 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solution, where $P Q=2 c-1, P \geq 3, Q \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$. We consider three cases.
Case 1. If there is an odd prime $q$ such that $q \mid(P+Q)$ and $q \nmid c$, then (3.2) clearly has no solution.
Case 2. By assumption,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P Q=2 c-1=(8 k+1)(8 l+5) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is a prime $q$ with $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ or $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 k+1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod q) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{8 l+5}{q}\right)=-1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q \equiv 3$ or $5(\bmod 8)$, from (3.3),

$$
\left(\frac{2 c}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{q}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{c}{q}\right)=-\left(\frac{c}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)=1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left(\frac{c}{q}\right)=-1 .
$$

Taking (3.2) modulo $q$ and using (3.4),

$$
-1=\left(\frac{8 l+5}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{q}\right)\left(\frac{c}{q}\right)^{N}=(-1)^{N+1} .
$$

Therefore, $N$ is even. Taking (3.2) modulo 8 gives the contradiction

$$
P^{m}+Q^{m} \equiv 6 \not \equiv 2 c^{N} \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 8),
$$

so (3.2) has no solution.
If $q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, from (3.3),

$$
\left(\frac{2 c}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{q}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{c}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)=1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left(\frac{c}{q}\right)=-1
$$

Taking (3.2) modulo $q$ and using (3.4),

$$
\left(\frac{P^{m}+Q^{m}}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{8 l+5}{q}\right)=-1=\left(\frac{2 c^{N}}{q}\right)=1
$$

which is impossible.
Case 3. By assumption, (3.3) holds and there is a prime $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ or $q \equiv 5$ $(\bmod 8)$ such that

$$
8 l+5 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod q) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{8 k+1}{q}\right)=-1 .
$$

Proceeding as in Case 2, we similarly prove that (3.2) has no solution.
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 2.5(i), $m$ is odd and $n=2 N$ is even. By Remark 2.7, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{m}+Q^{m}=2 c^{N} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P Q=2 c-1,3 \leq P<Q$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$. Since $P Q=2 c-1 \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, we see that $P+Q$ must have an odd prime factor; therefore, by Lemma 2.3, if $m>1$, it follows that $P^{m}+Q^{m}$ has at least two different odd prime factors. Hence, (3.5) has no solution for $m>1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We consider the five parts of the theorem in turn. In each case, by Remark 2.7, we need only consider (3.5), where $P Q=2 c-1, P \geq 3, Q \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$.
(i) From $2 c-1=3^{2 s+1} p^{2 t+1}$, we get $c \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $1 \equiv x^{2} \equiv c^{n}(\bmod 3)$, so $n=2 N$. We consider (3.5) with $P=3^{2 s+1}, Q=p^{2 t+1}$.

If $p \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, then, from $P Q=2 c-1 \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, we deduce that $c \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$. By Theorem 1.1(ii), Terai's conjecture is true.

Now consider $p \equiv 3(\bmod 16)$. In this case, $c \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Taking (3.5) modulo 16 gives $2 \cdot 3^{m} \equiv 2 \cdot 5^{N}(\bmod 16)$, which means that $m \equiv N \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. But, taking (3.5) modulo 3 leads to the contradiction $1 \equiv P^{m}+Q^{m}=2 c^{N} \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. So, (3.5) has no solution.
(ii) Let $2 c-1=P Q$, where $P=3^{4 s+1}, Q=p^{4 t+1}$. If $p \equiv 5(\bmod 16)$, then $c \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 8)$, so $2 c^{N} \equiv 0(\bmod 16)$. But $P^{m}+Q^{m} \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$ if $m$ is even, and $P^{m}+Q^{m} \equiv$ $8(\bmod 16)$ if $m$ is odd. If $p \equiv 3(\bmod 5)$, then $c \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ and, by taking (3.5) modulo 5 , we get the contradiction $2 \cdot 3^{m} \equiv 2 \cdot c^{N} \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$.
(iii) From $2 c-1=5^{2 s+1} p^{2 s+1}$ with $p \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, we deduce that $c \equiv 3(\bmod 5)$ and $c \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Taking (1.1) modulo 4 and 5 in turn gives $2 \nmid m$ and $2 \mid n$. Let $n=2 N$ and $P=5^{2 s+1}, Q=p^{2 s+1}$. Since $(p+5) \not \equiv 0(\bmod 32), c \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $(5+p) \mid\left(P^{m}+Q^{m}\right)$, we must have $N=1$. If $m>1$, then

$$
P^{m}+Q^{m} \geq P^{3}+Q^{3}>2 P Q=4 c-2>2 c,
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, (3.5) with $P=5^{2 s+1}, Q=p^{2 s+1}$ has no solution for $m>1$.
(iv) From $2 c-1=9^{2^{s}} p^{2 t+1}$, we obtain $3 \nmid c, p \nmid c$ and $2 c-1 \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$; hence, $c \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Taking (1.1) modulo $c$ and 3 in turn gives $2 \nmid m$ and $2 \mid n$. Let $n=2 N$ and $P=9^{2^{s}}, Q=p^{2 t+1}$. We prove that (3.5) has no solution.

Since $\frac{1}{2}(P+Q) \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, there must be a prime $q$ such that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $P+Q \equiv 0(\bmod q)$. Thus, $P Q \equiv-P^{2}(\bmod q)$. On the other hand, $P+Q \equiv 0$ $(\bmod q)$, so $2 c^{N}=P^{m}+Q^{m}=(P+Q)\left(\left(P^{m}+Q^{m}\right) /(P+Q)\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$ and $2 c \equiv 0$ $(\bmod q)$. Hence,

$$
2 c=P Q+1 \equiv-P^{2}+1 \equiv P^{2}-1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod q)
$$

that is, $q \mid P^{2}-1$. Since

$$
P^{2}-1=\left(9^{2^{s}}-1\right)\left(9^{2^{s}}+1\right)=\left(9^{2}-1\right)\left(9^{2}+1\right) \cdots\left(9^{2^{s-1}}+1\right)\left(9^{2^{s}}+1\right)
$$

and $q \nmid(9-1)(9+1)$, there must be an integer $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that $q \mid\left(9^{2^{i}}+1\right)$. But this gives the contradiction

$$
1=\left(\frac{9^{2^{i}}}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)=-1
$$

(v) By Terai's result in [6, Proposition 3.3], we can suppose that $s \geq 5$. Suppose first that $s=2 t$. Since $x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $2 c-1 \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, taking (1.1) modulo 4 and modulo 3 respectively gives $2 \nmid m$ and $n=2 N$. So, we have the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{m}+Q^{m}=2 c^{N}=2 \cdot 2^{2 t N} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P Q=2 c-1=2^{2 t+1}, 3 \leq P<Q$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$.
If $2^{2 t+1}-1=p^{r}$, Terai's conjecture is true by Lemma 2.6(i). If $2^{2 t+1}-1 \neq p^{r}$, we need to prove that (3.6) has no solution for $m>1$. Since $m>1$ and $m, P$ and $Q$ are odd, then, from Lemma 2.3, $P^{m}+Q^{m}$ has a prime factor $p \neq 2$. So, (3.6) has no solution for $m>1$ and Terai's conjecture is true by Lemma 2.6(ii).

Now suppose that $s=2 t-1$. Since $x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $2 c-1 \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, taking (1.1) modulo 4 gives $2 \nmid m$. Note that $2 c-1=2^{2 t}-1 \neq p^{r}$. So, similar to the proof in the case of $s=2 t$, we can show that Terai's conjecture is true.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.
Proof of Corollary 1.4. By the results obtained in [6] and [4], we may suppose that $31 \leq c \leq 499$ with $c \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

For $c=p^{2 s+1}$, where $p$ is a prime, $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4), s \geq 0$ and $31 \leq p^{2 s+1} \leq 499$, that is, $c \in\{31,43,47,59,67,71,79,83,103,107,127,131,139,151,163,167,179,191$, $199,223,227,239,243\left(=3^{5}\right), 251,263,271,283,307,311,331,343\left(=7^{3}\right), 347,359$, $367,379,383,419,431,439,443,463,467,479,487,491,499$ \}, we see that Terai's conjecture is true by Theorem 1.2.

For $c \in\{51,55,63,75,87,91,99,115,135,147,159,175,187,195,211,231,255$, $279,327,339,351,355,387,399,411,415,427,471\}$, since $2 c-1$ is a power of a prime, the same conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1(i).

For $c \in\{35,39,95,119,155,171,207,219,235,259,287,291,295,299,335,375$, $391,395,407,435,447,459,495\}$, since $2 c-1=(8 k+3)(8 l+7)$, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1(ii).

For $c \in\{111,123,183,203,247,267,275,303,315,319,423,451,455,475,483\}$, since $2 c-1=(8 s+1)(8 t+5)$, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1(iii). For example, take $c=275$, so that $2 c-1=3^{2} \cdot 61$. Set $P=3^{2}, Q=61$. Then Theorem 1.1(iii) applies because $\left(\frac{9}{61}\right)=\left(\frac{61}{3}\right)=1$ and $7 \mid P+Q, 7 \nmid c$.

For $c \in\{143,215,323,371,403\}$, we have $2 c-1=p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$ and Terai's conjecture is true by Theorem 1.1(ii) and (iii). For example, $c=143$ implies that $2 c-1=3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19$. If we take $P=3, Q=95$ or $P=15, Q=19$, then $2 c-1=(8 k+3)(8 l+7)$ and Terai's conjecture follows by Theorem 1.1(ii). If we take $P=5, Q=57$, then $2 c-1=$ $(8 s+1)(8 t+5)$ and $\left(\frac{57}{5}\right)=-1$ and Terai's conjecture follows by Theorem 1.1(iii).

Finally, suppose that $c=3 \cdot 11^{2}=363$. Then $2 c-1=25 \cdot 29$, so, by Lemma 2.5(i), $m$ is odd and $n=2 N$ is even. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
25^{m}+29^{m}=2 \cdot 363^{N}=2 \cdot 3^{N} \cdot 11^{2 N} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking (3.7) modulo 11 gives $m=5(2 s+1)$, so $\left(25^{5}+29^{5}\right) \mid\left(25^{m}+29^{m}\right)$. But $50971 \mid\left(25^{5}+29^{5}\right)$, so (3.7) has no solution.

This completes the proof of Corollary 1.4.
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