

# THE MODULAR REPRESENTATION ALGEBRA OF GROUPS WITH SYLOW 2-SUBGROUP $Z_2 \times Z_2$

S. B. CONLON

(Received 18 January 1965)

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2 and let  $G$  be a finite group. Let  $A(G)$  be the modular representation algebra<sup>1</sup> over the complex numbers  $C$ , formed from  $kG$ -modules<sup>2</sup>. If the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  is isomorphic to  $Z_2 \times Z_2$ , we show that  $A(G)$  is semisimple<sup>1</sup>. We make use of the theorems proved by Green [4], and the results of the author concerning  $A(\mathcal{A}_4)$  [2], where  $\mathcal{A}_4$  is the alternating group on 4 symbols.

## 1. Generalities on representation algebras

Let  $A$  be any commutative linear algebra over the complex number field  $C$ . A *point* of  $A$  is a non-zero algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : A \rightarrow C.$$

Thus  $\phi(A) = C$ .  $A$  is said to be *semisimple* if for each non-zero element  $a \in A$ , there exists a point  $\phi$  of  $A$  such that  $\phi(a) \neq 0$ . If  $\dim_C A = r$  is finite,  $A$  is semisimple if and only if  $A$  has  $r$  points;  $A$  is then the direct sum of  $r$  copies of  $C$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $B$  is an ideal of  $A$  such that both  $A/B$  and  $B$  are semisimple, then  $A$  is semisimple.*

**PROOF.** Take  $a \in B$ , and let  $\phi$  be a point of  $B$  such that  $\phi(a) \neq 0$ . We extend<sup>3</sup>  $\phi$  to be a point of  $A$  by noting that, as  $\phi(B) = C$ , there exists in  $B$  an element  $b$  such that  $\phi(b) = 1$ . For  $x$  any element of  $A$ , we define  $\phi(x) = \phi(xb)$ .

Secondly let  $a \notin B$ . Thus there exists a point  $\phi$  of  $A/B$  such that  $\phi(a+B) \neq 0$ . But  $\phi$  can be regarded as a point of  $A$  which is zero on  $B$ . Thus  $\phi(a) \neq 0$  and so  $A$  is semisimple.

Let  $k$  be an arbitrary field and  $G$  a finite group. Let  $M$  be a  $kG$ -module

<sup>1</sup> We adopt the definitions and notation of Green in [4].

<sup>2</sup> A  $kG$ -module is a finitely generated  $k$ -module on which  $G$  acts as a group of left operators.  $kG$  is the group algebra on  $G$  over  $k$ .

<sup>3</sup> As in lemma 6 of [4].

(of finite  $k$ -dimension), and write  $\{M\}$  for the class of modules  $kG$ -isomorphic to  $M$  (or simply the "class of  $M$ "). As in [4] we form the modular representation algebra  $A(G)$  as an algebra over the complex numbers  $C$  in which sum corresponds to direct sum of modules and multiplication to tensor product of  $kG$ -modules. A basis for  $A(G)$  over  $C$  is provided by the indecomposable  $kG$ -module classes.  $k_G$  will denote the trivial  $kG$ -module, and  $1_G = \{k_G\}$  its class. Then  $A(G)$  is a commutative algebra over  $C$  with identity  $1_G$ .

Let  $\theta : H \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism of groups,  $L$  a  $kH$ -module and  $M$  a  $kG$ -module. Then  $\theta^*M$  will denote the restricted  $kH$ -module, where the operation of a group element  $h \in H$  on  $m \in M$  is given by

$$h \cdot m = \theta(h)m.$$

$\theta_*L$  will denote the induced  $kG$ -module

$$kG \otimes_{kH} L,$$

where  $kG$  is regarded as a right  $kH$ -module by means of  $\theta$ . Thus we get induced linear maps:

$$\theta^* : A(G) \rightarrow A(H), \quad \theta_* : A(H) \rightarrow A(G).$$

$\theta^*$  is an algebra homomorphism, while for  $\theta_*$  we have the identity

$$(1)^4 \quad \theta_*L \otimes M \approx \theta_*(L \otimes \theta^*M).$$

Here ' $\otimes$ ' denotes Tensor (or Kronecker) product of the representation modules. In particular, if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , with  $\theta$  the embedding map, we write  $M_H = \theta^*M$ , and  $L^G = \theta_*L$ ; also  $\theta^*$ ,  $\theta_*$  coincide with the maps  $r_{GH}$ ,  $t_{HG}$  respectively of Green [4].

If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $L$  is  $kH$ -module, let  $S$  denote the set of elements  $s \in G$  such that  $s \otimes_{kH} L \approx L$  as left  $kH$ -modules. Then  $S$  is a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$  and is called the *stabilizer* of  $L$  in  $G$ . If  $S = G$ , we say that  $L$  is *stable* in  $G$ . § 2 of [1] contains the following theorem:

(2) If  $L$  is indecomposable, then  $L^G$  decomposes according to the decomposition of a certain twisted group algebra on  $S/H$  into one-sided indecomposable ideals.

(2') It should be noted that twisted group algebras on cyclic groups are always isomorphic to the group algebras.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $G_1G_2$  is the direct product of finite groups  $G_1, G_2$  and if  $(|G_1|, p) = 1$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $k$ , or if  $k$  has characteristic 0, then*

<sup>4</sup> For proof of (1), see p. 268 of [3].

$$A(G_1G_2) \approx A(G_1) \otimes_C A(G_2).$$

PROOF. Write  $G = G_1G_2$ , and let  $\sigma_i: G \rightarrow G_i$  be the natural homomorphisms ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then we have

$$\sigma_i^* : A(G_i) \rightarrow A(G),$$

and combining these we get an algebra homomorphism

$$\sigma^* = \sigma_1^* \otimes \sigma_2^* : A(G_1) \otimes_C A(G_2) \rightarrow A(G),$$

which we show to be an isomorphism.

By Higman's theorem 1 in [5], every indecomposable  $kG$ -module can be considered as a direct summand of  $L^G$ , where  $L$  is an indecomposable  $kG_2$ -module. Now  $L$  is stable in  $G$  and the twisted group algebra of (2) is the group algebra  $kG_1$ . Indeed the endomorphisms  $\theta_{\alpha, \beta}$  of  $L$  in the analysis of § 2 of [1] may all be taken to be the identity automorphism, and for  $g \in G$  we may take

$$D_g = \lambda(\sigma_2(g)) \quad (\text{Notation as in § 2 of [1]}),$$

where  $\lambda$  is a  $G_2$ -representation afforded by  $L$ . If  $\pi$  is a principal indecomposable  $G_1$ -representation, the typical indecomposable  $G$ -representation  $\psi$  has the form

$$\psi(g) = \pi(\sigma_1(g)) \otimes \lambda(\sigma_2(g)),$$

analogously to proposition 1 of § 2 in [1]. Hence the indecomposable  $kG$ -modules have the form <sup>5</sup>

$$(3) \quad P \neq L,$$

where  $P$  and  $L$  are indecomposable  $kG_1$ - and  $kG_2$ -modules respectively. Then  $\sigma^*\{P \otimes_C L\} = \{P \neq L\}$ , and  $\sigma^*$  is onto.

Moreover, if  $P, L$  are indecomposable, and

$$(4) \quad P \neq L \approx P' \neq L' \quad (\text{as } kG\text{-modules}),$$

by restricting to  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  it follows that  $P \approx P', L \approx L'$ . As  $\{P \otimes_C L\}, \{P' \neq L'\}$  form free bases over  $C$  for  $A(G_1) \otimes_C A(G_2), A(G_1G_2)$  respectively,  $\sigma^*$  is 1-1 and so  $\sigma^*$  is an isomorphism.

Identity (1) has the following consequence when representations are stable.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and suppose all the indecomposable  $kH$ -modules are stable in  $G$ . Then  $A(H)$  isomorphic to an ideal direct summand of  $A(G)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\phi: H \rightarrow G$  be the inclusion homomorphism and let  $L$  be a  $kH$ -module. Define

<sup>5</sup> This is the *outer tensor product* as defined on p. 315 of [3].

$$\sigma\{L\} = \frac{1}{m} \phi_*\{L\} \quad (m = G : H),$$

and then  $\sigma$  induces a homomorphism of  $A(H)$  into  $A(G)$ . For if  $L, L'$  are  $kH$ -modules, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\{L\} \cdot \{L'\}) &= \sigma\{L \otimes L'\}, \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \phi_*\{L \otimes L'\}, \\ (5) \qquad &= \frac{1}{m^2} \phi_*\{L \otimes \phi^*(\phi_*(L'))\} \quad (\text{as } L' \text{ is stable}), \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \{\phi_*(L) \otimes \phi_*(L')\} \quad (\text{by (1)}), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\sigma(\{L\} \cdot \{L'\}) = \sigma\{L\} \cdot \sigma\{L'\}.$

Now  $I = \sigma(1_H)$  is an idempotent of  $A(G)$  from (5), and if  $M$  is any  $kG$ -module it follows from (1) that

$$I \cdot \{M\} = \sigma\{\phi^*M\}.$$

Again from (5)

$$I \cdot \sigma\{L\} = \sigma\{L\},$$

and so the image of  $\sigma$  is the ideal direct summand  $I \cdot A(G)$  of  $A(G)$ .

Furthermore the restriction  $\rho$  of  $\phi^*$  to  $I \cdot A(G)$  satisfies the conditions,

$$\rho\sigma = \text{identity homomorphism on } A(H),$$

and

$$\sigma\rho = \text{identity homomorphism on } I \cdot A(G),$$

and so  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism of  $A(H)$  onto  $I \cdot A(G)$ .

Thus we see that in  $A(G_1) \otimes_C A(G_2) (\approx A(G_1G_2))$  of proposition 2 we have direct summands isomorphic to  $A(G_1)$  and  $A(G_2)$ .

We will require the following

**PROPOSITION 4.** *If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then the decomposition of  $A(G/H)$  as a direct sum of ideals gives rise to a corresponding one for  $A(G)$ .*

**PROOF.** Consider the natural map  $\theta : G \rightarrow G/H$ . This induces a monomorphism  $\theta^* : A(G/H) \rightarrow A(G)$ . Moreover  $\theta^*(1_{G/H}) = 1_G$ . Thus any decomposition of the identity  $1_{G/H}$  of  $A(G/H)$  into the sum of idempotents is carried over by  $\theta^*$  into  $A(G)$ , and similarly for the ideals generated by these idempotents in their respective algebras.

Let  $P$  be a subgroup of  $G$ , and write  $A_P(G)$  for the  $C$ -subspace of  $A(G)$  spanned by the symbols  $\{L\}$  for all  $P$ -projective  $kG$ -modules  $L$ . Write

$A'_P(G)$  for the subspace of  $A(G)$  spanned by the symbols  $\{L\}$  for all  $H$ -projective  $kG$ -modules  $L$ , where  $H \leq_G P$ ,  $H \neq_G P^g$ . As in [4],  $A_P(G)$  and  $A'_P(G)$  are ideals of  $A(G)$ , with  $A'_P(G) \subseteq A_P(G)$ .

Write  $W_P(G) = A_P(G)/A'_P(G)$ .

(6) If  $k$  has characteristic  $p$ , then Green in [4] shows that  $A(G)$  is semisimple if, for each  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ ,  $W_P(N(P))$  is semisimple, where  $N(P)$  is the normalizer of  $P$  in  $G$ .

(7) Proposition 3 in [2] shows that if we take the trivial  $p$ -subgroup  $P = \{e\}$ , then  $W_P(N(P)) = A_P(G)$  is the "projective ideal" of  $A(G)$ , which is an ideal direct summand of  $A(G)$  consisting of the direct sum of a finite number of copies of  $C$ . Hence for  $P = \{e\}$ ,  $W_P(N(P))$  is semisimple. We denote the projective ideal of  $A(G)$  by  $A_*(G)$ .

Finally it should be noted that as far as the question of the semisimplicity of  $A(G)$  is concerned we can assume  $k$  to be algebraically closed. For if not let  $k^*$  be its algebraic closure and let  $A^*(G)$  be the corresponding modular representation algebra. Proposition 1 and (3) of [2] show there is a natural monomorphism

$$(8) \quad A(G) \rightarrow A^*(G),$$

and so, if  $A^*(G)$  is semisimple, the restrictions of its points to  $A(G)$  ensure the semisimplicity of  $A(G)$ .

### 2. Representation algebras of $\mathcal{V}_4$ and $\mathcal{A}_4$

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, let  $\mathcal{V}_4 = Z_2 \times Z_2$ , be the Klein 4-group and let  $\mathcal{A}_4$  be the alternating group on 4 symbols. We shall consider  $\mathcal{V}_4$  to be identified with the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}_4$ . The following facts are proved in [2].

The indecomposable  $k\mathcal{V}_4$ -module classes may be written

$$A_0 = B_0, A_n, B_n, C_n(\pi), D,$$

where  $n > 0$ , and  $\pi \in k \cup \{\infty\}$ . If we write

$$\mathcal{V}_4 = \{x, y | x^2 = y^2 = e, xy = yx\},$$

then the vertices of these classes are as follows:

(9i)  $A_0, A_n (n > 0), B_n (n > 0), C_n(\pi) (n > 1)$ , and  $C_1(\pi) (\pi \neq 0, 1, \infty)$  have vertex  $\mathcal{V}_4$ ,

(9ii)  $C_1(0), C_1(1), C_1(\infty)$  have vertices  $\{y\}, \{xy\}, \{x\}$  respectively (order 2),

(9iii) and  $D$  has vertex  $\{e\}$  (order 1).

$D$  is the regular (indecomposable) module class.

$\nu H \leq_G P$  means that there exists an element  $x \in G$  such that  $x^{-1}Hx \leq P$ , etc.

We require the following products:

(10i)  $B_m C_n(\pi) = A_m C_n(\pi) = C_n(\pi) \pmod{A_e(\mathcal{V}_4)}$  ( $n > 0, m \geq 0$ ),

(10ii)  $C_m(\pi)C_n(\pi') = 0, \text{ if } \pi \neq \pi',$   
 $2C_n(\pi), \text{ if } \pi = \pi', m \geq n,$   
 except that  
 $C_1(\pi)C_1(\pi) = C_2(\pi)$   
 if  $\pi \neq 0, 1 \text{ or } \infty,$  }  $\pmod{A_e(\mathcal{V}_4)}.$

The representation algebra  $A(\mathcal{V}_4)$  may be written:

(11)  $A(\mathcal{V}_4) = \left( C \left[ X, \frac{1}{X} \right] + \left\{ \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi, n \\ n > 0}} CI_{n, \pi} \right\} \right) \oplus CI_D,$

where  $X^m I_{n, \pi} = I_{n, \pi}$  (all integers  $m$ ) and where  $\{ \bigoplus_{\pi, n > 0} CI_{n, \pi} \}$  is the direct sum of ideals isomorphic to  $C$ .  $CI_D$  is the projective ideal  $A_e(\mathcal{V}_4)$ . Here we have the following identifications module  $A_e(\mathcal{V}_4)$ :

(12i)  $X^n = A_n \quad (n \geq 0),$

(12ii)  $X^{-n} = B_n \quad (n \geq 0),$

$I_{1, \pi} = \frac{1}{2}C_1(\pi),$   
 $I_{n, \pi} = \frac{1}{2}(C_n(\pi) - C_{n-1}(\pi)) \quad (n > 1),$  } when  $\pi = 0, 1 \text{ or } \infty,$

(12iii)  $I_{1, \pi} = \frac{1}{4}(C_2(\pi) - \sqrt{2}C_1(\pi)),$   
 $I_{2, \pi} = \frac{1}{4}(C_2(\pi) + \sqrt{2}C_1(\pi)),$   
 $I_{n, \pi} = \frac{1}{2}(C_n(\pi) - C_{n-1}(\pi)) \quad (n > 2),$  } when  $\pi \neq 0, 1 \text{ or } \infty.$

$A(\mathcal{V}_4)$  is semisimple. We may write

(13)  $W_{\mathcal{V}_4}(\mathcal{V}_4) = C \left[ X, \frac{1}{X} \right] + \left( \left\{ \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \neq (0, 1, \infty) \\ n > 0}} CI_{n, \pi} \right\} \oplus \left\{ \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi = (0, 1, \infty) \\ n > 1}} CI_{n, \pi} \right\} \right)$

and as in the proof of the semisimplicity of  $A(\mathcal{V}_4)$  in § 4 of [2],  $W_{\mathcal{V}_4}(\mathcal{V}_4)$  is semisimple.

$\mathcal{V}_4 \triangleleft \mathcal{A}_4$  and so we can consider the stability of  $k\mathcal{V}_4$ -module classes in  $\mathcal{A}_4$ . We have that

(14i)  $A_0, A_n, B_n, C_n(\omega), C_n(\omega^2), D$  are stable in  $\mathcal{A}_4,$

(14ii)  $C_n(\pi)$  ( $\pi \neq \omega, \omega^2$ ) are not stable in  $\mathcal{A}_4,$  where  $\omega$  is a primitive cube root of unity in  $k$ .

Say  $w$  is an element of order 3 of  $\mathcal{A}_4$  with  $w^{-2}xw^2 = w^{-1}yw = xy$ . Then we have that the  $k\mathcal{V}_4$ -module class

(15)  $w \otimes_{k\mathcal{V}_4} C_n(\pi) = C_n(\theta(\pi)),$

where  $\theta(\pi) = (1 + \pi)/\pi$ , with the obvious interpretation when  $\pi = 0$  or  $\infty$ .  $\theta$  gives a permutation on  $k \cup \{\infty\}$ . We denote the typical class of transitivity by  $\mu = (\pi, \theta(\pi), \theta^2(\pi))$ , but  $(\omega)$  and  $(\omega^2)$  form transitivity classes by themselves. Applying (2) together with Higman's theorem 1 in [5], we see that the indecomposable  $k\mathcal{A}_4$ -module classes can be written (see [2])

$$(16i) \quad A_0^\alpha, A_n^\alpha, B_n^\alpha, C_n^\alpha(\omega), C_n^\alpha(\omega^2), D^\alpha$$

$$(16ii) \quad C_n^*(\mu),$$

where  $n > 0$  and  $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$ . Superscripts  $\alpha$  will always be taken modulo 3 (0, 1 or 2). Note that

$$(C_n^*(\mu))\mathcal{V}_4 = C_n(\pi) + C_n(\theta(\pi)) + C_n(\theta^2(\pi)), \text{ and } (L^\alpha)\mathcal{V}_4 = L,$$

where  $L^\alpha$  is any one of (16i). The vertices of the above  $k\mathcal{A}$ -module classes remain the same as the corresponding  $k\mathcal{V}_4$ -module classes. The representation algebra  $A(\mathcal{A}_4)$  may be written

$$(18) \quad A(\mathcal{A}_4) = \left( C \left[ Y_0, \frac{1}{Y_0} \right] + \left\{ \bigoplus_{\substack{n>0 \\ \phi=\omega, \omega^2, \mu}} CI_{n0}(\phi) \right\} \right) \\ \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\beta=1,2} \left[ C \left[ Y_\beta, \frac{1}{Y_\beta} \right] + \left\{ \bigoplus_{\substack{n>0 \\ \phi=\omega, \omega^2}} CI_{n\beta}(\phi) \right\} \right] \right) \\ \oplus (C \oplus C \oplus C),$$

where the last term is the projective ideal  $A_*(\mathcal{A}_4)$ ,

$$Y_\beta^\alpha I_{n\beta}(\omega^\alpha) = u^{-\alpha\beta m} I_{n\beta}(\omega^\alpha) \quad (\beta = 0, 1, 2; \alpha = 1, 2), \\ Y_0^\alpha I_{n0}(\mu) = I_{n0}(\mu),$$

with  $u$  a primitive cube root of unity in  $C$ . We have the following identifications modulo  $A_*(\mathcal{A}_4)$ :

$$Y_\beta^n = \frac{1}{3}(A_n^0 + u^\beta A_n^1 + u^{2\beta} A_n^2), \\ Y_\beta^{-n} = \frac{1}{3}(B_n^0 + u^\beta B_n^1 + u^{2\beta} B_n^2),$$

$I_{n\beta}(\phi) =$  finite linear combination of  $C_m^\alpha(\phi)$ , for  $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2$ .  $A(\mathcal{A}_4)$  is again semisimple.

### 3. $A(G)$ for $G$ with Sylow 2-subgroup $Z_2 \times Z_2$

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 and  $G$  a finite group with Sylow 2-subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathcal{V}_4 = Z_2 \times Z_2$ . To see that  $A(G)$  is semisimple we use Green's theorem (6) and show that  $W_P(N(P))$  is semisimple, where  $P$  is a 2-subgroup of  $G$  of order 1, 2 or 4.

The case when  $|P| = 1$  has been dealt with in (7). When  $|P| = 2$ , a basis for  $W_P(N(P))$  is obtained from the indecomposable direct summands of  $(k_P)^{N(P)}$ . But these correspond, as in (2), to the principal representations of  $k(N(P)/P)$ , and it is readily seen that  $W_P(N(P))$  is a homomorphic image of the projective ideal <sup>6</sup> of  $A(N(P)/P)$ . Thus by (7)  $W_P(N(P))$  is semisimple.

Now assume that  $|P| = 4$ , and so  $P \approx \mathcal{V}_4$ . Write  $H = N(P)$ . Two cases arise:

- (a) the centralizer  $C(P)$  of  $P$  in  $H$  is  $H$  itself, and
- (b) the centralizer  $C(P)$  is not  $H$ .

In case (a) it is clear that  $H = RP$ , the direct product of two groups. Thus by proposition 2

$$A(H) \approx A(R) \otimes_C A(P).$$

Moreover in this correspondence

$$W_P(H) \approx A(R) \otimes_C W_P(P).$$

$A(R)$  is semisimple and of finite dimension over  $C$  as  $(|R|, 2) = 1$ , and  $W_P(P)$  is semisimple by (13). Hence  $W_P(H)$  is semisimple.

Case (b). In this case we show  $W_P(H)$  to be semisimple by taking an ideal  $S$  of  $W_P(H)$  such that both  $S$  and  $W_P(H)/S$  are semisimple.  $W_P(H)$  is itself semisimple by proposition 1.

*Structure of  $H$ .* We can find a complement  $R$  to  $P$  in  $H$  and write  $H = RP$ . The centralizer  $C(P)$  of  $P$  in  $H$  may be written in the form  $QP$ , a direct product of groups, where  $Q$  is a normal subgroup of  $H$  contained in  $R$ .  $H/QP \approx R/Q$  has order 3, as elements of  $R/Q$  correspond to automorphisms of  $\mathcal{V}_4$  whose orders are prime to 2. Take  $r \in R$  such that  $rQ$  generates  $R/Q$ . Then any element  $h \in H$  has a unique expression in the form

$$(20) \quad h = r^\beta q\phi,$$

where  $\beta = 0, 1, 2, q \in Q, \phi \in P$ . Write  $\rho_1 : H \rightarrow R$  to be the epimorphism  $\rho_1(h) = r^\beta q$ . We define  $K$  to be the extension <sup>7</sup> of  $P$  by  $R/Q$ , its elements being written in the form  $(r^\beta Q)(\phi)$  or  $(r^\beta)(\phi)$  and satisfying the relation

$$(r^\beta)(\phi) = (r^\beta \phi r^{-\beta})(r^\beta).$$

Thus  $P$  is its own centralizer in  $K$  and  $K \approx \mathcal{A}_4$ . Further there is an epimorphism  $\rho_2 : H \rightarrow K$  given by  $\rho_2(h) = (r^\beta)(\phi)$ , where  $h$  is given by (20). Finally we have a monomorphism,

$$(21) \quad \rho : H \rightarrow RK,$$

into the direct product of  $R$  and  $K$  given by  $\rho(h) = \rho_1(h) \cdot \rho_2(h)$ .

<sup>6</sup> In fact,  $W_P(N(P)) \approx A_s(N(P)/P)$ .

<sup>7</sup>  $K \approx H/Q$  essentially.

*Indecomposable  $kH$ -modules.* To obtain the indecomposable  $kH$ -modules, we use Higman's theorem 1 in [5] and look at the break-up of  $kH$ -modules  $L^H$ , where  $L$  is an indecomposable  $kQP$ -module. As in (3),  $L$  has the form  $M \# N$ , where  $M$  is an indecomposable (principal)  $kQ$ -module and  $N$  is an indecomposable  $kP$ -module. By (4),  $M \# N$  is stable in  $H$  if and only if  $M$  is stable in  $R$  and  $N$  is stable in  $K$ . By (2) and (2'),  $(M \# N)^H$  is the direct sum of 3 non-isomorphic  $kH$ -modules

$$(22i) \quad (M \# N)^\alpha,$$

if  $M \# N$  is stable in  $H$ , or otherwise

$$(22ii) \quad (M \# N)^H$$

is indecomposable. In the latter case it should be noted that

$$(22iii) \quad (r^\beta \otimes (M \# N))^H \approx ((r^\beta \otimes M) \# (r^\beta \otimes N))^H \approx (M \# N)^H.$$

Moreover the vertex of an indecomposable  $kH$ -module so generated is the same as the vertex of  $N$ .

Now  $W_P(H) = A_P(H)/A'_P(H) = A(H)/A'_P(H)$ . Further  $A'_P(H) \geq A_e(H)$ , and so in looking at  $W_P(H)$  we can work modulo the indecomposable  $kH$ -projectives. These last are in 1-1 correspondence with the indecomposable projectives of  $kR$ , for the regular  $kP$ -module  $N$  is stable in  $K$  as in (14i) and if  $M$  is any indecomposable  $kQ$ -module,  $M \# N$  is stable in  $H$  if and only if  $M$  is stable in  $R$ . Hence  $(M \# N)^H$  decomposes just as  $M^R$  does by (2).

*Definition and semisimplicity of  $S$ .* Consider the subspace  $S$  of  $W_P(H)$  spanned by classes of indecomposable  $kH$ -modules of the form  $(M' \# N')^H$  where  $N'$  is unstable in  $K$ . Then if  $X$  is any  $kH$ -module such that  $X_{(PQ)}$  has form  $\oplus (M_\alpha \# N_\alpha)$ , then

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} X \otimes (M' \# N')^H &\approx \oplus ((M_\alpha \# N_\alpha) \otimes (M' \# N'))^H && \text{(by (1)),} \\ &\approx \oplus ((M_\alpha \otimes M') \# (N_\alpha \otimes N'))^H. \end{aligned}$$

The unstable classes  $\{N\}$  span an ideal of  $A(P)$  and so  $S$  is an ideal of  $W_P(H)$ . Furthermore the map

$$(M' \# N')^H \rightarrow M' \otimes_C (N')^K$$

is an isomorphism from  $S$  onto  $A(Q) \otimes_C T$ , where  $T$  is the subspace of  $A_P(K)$  coming from indecomposable  $kP$ -modules which are unstable in  $K$ . But from (18)  $T$  is the direct sum of copies of  $C$  and so  $S$  is a semisimple ideal of  $W_P(H)$ .

$W_P(H)/S$ . We now consider  $W_P(H)/S$ . Whereas the basis elements of  $S$  came from  $kP$ -modules classes which were unstable in  $K$ , a basis of

$W_P(H)/S$  will be obtained from  $kP$ -module classes which have vertex  $P$ , and are stable in  $K$ .

The embedding homomorphism  $\rho$  of  $H$  into the direct product  $RK$  as in (21) gives rise to an algebra homomorphism

$$\rho^* : A(RK) \rightarrow A(H).$$

By proposition 2,  $A(RK) \approx A(R) \otimes_C A(K)$ , and so we get a succession of homomorphisms:

$$A(R) \otimes A(K) \approx A(RK) \rightarrow A(H) \rightarrow A(H)/A'_P(H) = W_P(H) \rightarrow W_P(H)/S.$$

Let  $\sigma$  denote the composition of these homomorphisms. We show  $\sigma$  is onto and analyse  $W_P(H)/S$  as a quotient of  $A(R) \otimes A(K)$ .

$\sigma$  is onto. Let  $N$  be an indecomposable  $kP$ -module which is stable in  $K$ , and let  $\nu(\phi)$  ( $\phi \in P$ ) be a representation afforded by this module. As  $N$  is stable in  $K$ , there exists a matrix  $R_\nu$  such that

$$\nu(r^{-1}\phi r) = R_\nu^{-1} \nu(\phi) R_\nu \quad (\phi \in P).$$

Then

$$\nu_\alpha(\phi) = \nu(\phi), \quad \nu_\alpha(r) = \omega^\alpha R_\nu, \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, 2),$$

are 3 inequivalent indecomposable  $K$ -representations "contained" in  $N^K$ .

Let  $M$  be an indecomposable  $kQ$ -module. Let  $\mu(q)$  ( $q \in Q$ ) be a representation afforded by this module. Then the  $kQP$ -module  $M \# N$  is stable in  $H$  if and only if  $M$  is stable in  $R$ .

(i) Say  $M$  is unstable in  $R$ . Let  $\bar{\mu}$  be the  $R$ -representation afforded by the indecomposable  $kR$ -module  $M^R$ . In the representation  $\zeta$  afforded by  $(M \# N)^H$  (indecomposable) choose a basis according to the direct sum decomposition

$$((M \# N)^H)_{QP} = \oplus (r^\beta \otimes M) \# (r^\beta \otimes N),$$

but in the subspace corresponding to  $r^\beta \otimes N$  choose the basis such that we have

$$\zeta(q\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(q) \otimes \nu(\phi) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu(r^{-1}qr) \otimes \nu(\phi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu(r^{-2}qr^2) \otimes \nu(\phi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $\zeta(r)$  takes the form

$$\zeta(r) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mu(r^3) \otimes R_\nu \\ I \otimes R_\nu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \otimes R_\nu & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is now clear that

$$(24i) \quad \zeta(h) = \tilde{\mu}(\rho_1(h)) \otimes \nu_0(\rho_2(h))$$

for all  $h \in H$ . Thus  $\{(M \neq N)^H\}$  lies in the image of  $\rho^*$ .

(ii) Say  $M$  is stable in  $R$ . Thus there exists a matrix  $R_\mu$  such that

$$\mu(r^{-1}qr) = R_\mu^{-1}\mu(q)R_\mu,$$

and

$$\mu_\alpha(q) = \mu(q), \mu_\alpha(r) = \omega^\alpha R_\mu, \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, 2),$$

are 3 inequivalent indecomposable  $R$ -representations "contained" in  $M^R$ . Now

$$(M \neq N)^H \approx \bigoplus_{\alpha=0}^2 (M \neq N)^\alpha,$$

and we can take the representation  $\zeta_\alpha$  afforded by  $(M \neq N)^\alpha$  to be in the form

$$\zeta_\alpha(q\phi) = \mu(P) \otimes \nu(\phi), \zeta_\alpha(r) = \omega^\alpha R_\mu \otimes R_\nu.$$

Thus again we have

$$(24ii) \quad \zeta_\alpha(h) = \mu_\alpha(\rho_1(h)) \otimes \nu_0(\rho_2(h)) \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, 2).$$

Hence  $\sigma$  is onto  $W_P(H)/S$ .

*Study of ker  $\sigma$ .* Elements of the form

$$\{L\} \otimes C_n^*(\mu) \quad (\mu \neq (\omega), (\omega^2)), \quad \{L\} \otimes D^\alpha$$

of  $A(R) \otimes A(K)$  ( $L$  an  $kR$ -module) either have vertex of order less than 4 or map to elements of  $S$ . Hence if  $U$  is the ideal of  $A(R) \otimes A(K)$  generated by the above elements, we can regard  $\sigma$  as a map  $\bar{\sigma}: (A(R) \otimes A(K))/U \rightarrow W_P(H)/S$ . Moreover, from (18) the structure of  $(A(R) \otimes A(K))/U$  may be written

$$(25) \quad (A(R) \otimes A(K))/U \approx A(R) \otimes \left[ \bigoplus_{\beta=0}^2 \left( C \left[ Y_\beta, \frac{1}{Y_\beta} \right] + \left\{ \bigoplus_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ \phi = \omega, \omega^2}} CI_{n\beta}(\phi) \right\} \right) \right].$$

This is semisimple, as  $A(R)$  is the direct sum of a finite number of copies of  $C$  and the direct factors on the right are each semisimple as is shown in § 4 of [2].

$\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\rho^*$ . We next show that  $(A(R) \otimes A(K))/U$  is the ideal direct sum of three ideals, two of which are sent to 0 by  $\bar{\sigma}$  and the last of which is isomorphic to  $W_P(H)/S$  under  $\bar{\sigma}$ . To this end we look more closely at  $\rho^*$ .

If  $M, N$  are indecomposable  $kQ$ -,  $kP$ -modules respectively with  $N$  stable in  $K$ , then from (24i), (24ii) under  $\rho^*$  we obtain

$$(26i) \quad M^R \otimes N^\alpha \rightarrow (M \neq N)^H \text{ when } M \text{ is unstable in } R, \text{ and}$$

$$(26ii) \quad M^\beta \otimes N^\alpha \rightarrow (M \neq N)^{\alpha+\beta} \text{ when } M \text{ is stable in } R$$

(superscripts being modulo 3). Clearly the only elements of  $(A(R) \otimes A(K))/U$  which can map onto these basis elements are in the subspace generated by  $M^R \otimes N^\alpha$  or  $M^\beta \otimes N^\alpha$  as the case may be.

(27) Thus in either case we have a subspace of dimension 3 mapping onto a 1-dimensional subspace (if we consider  $\alpha + \beta$  fixed (modulo 3) in the second case).

*Idempotents of  $(A(R) \otimes A(K))/U$ .* To obtain the ideal direct summands of  $(A(R) \otimes A(K))/U$  we proceed to obtain their generating idempotents as follows.

Let  $E^\alpha, F^\alpha, G^\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$ ) be the 3 1-dimensional  $k_R$ -,  $k_K$ -,  $k_H$ -modules respectively corresponding to the matrix representations

$$r^\beta \rightarrow \omega^{\beta\alpha}.$$

Thus we can write  $k_R = E^0, k_K = F^0, k_H = G^0$ . We use the same symbols  $E^\alpha, F^\alpha, G^\alpha$  to denote the corresponding module classes. Then under  $\rho^*$  we have from (26ii) that

$$(28) \quad E^\alpha \otimes F^\beta \rightarrow G^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Consider the normal subgroup  $QP$  of  $RK$ .  $RK/QP \approx R/Q \cdot K/P$ , which is the direct product of two cyclic groups of order 3. We can denote the various  $k(R/Q \cdot K/P)$ -module classes by  $E^\alpha \otimes_C F^\beta$  ( $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2$ ). Thus we get that  $A(R/Q \cdot K/P)$  is the direct sum of 9 copies of  $C$  with idempotents

$$I_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{3}(E^0 + u^\alpha E^1 + u^{2\alpha} E^2) \otimes \frac{1}{3}(F^0 + u^\beta F^1 + u^{2\beta} F^2),$$

where  $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2$ , and  $u$  is a primitive cube root of unity in  $C$ . By proposition 4 we get a corresponding decomposition of  $A(RK) \approx A(R) \otimes A(K)$ , and so one induced on the quotient  $(A(R) \otimes A(K))/U$ . Consider the 3 idempotents

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= I_{00} + I_{11} + I_{22} = \frac{1}{3}(E^0 \otimes F^0 + E^1 \otimes F^2 + E^2 \otimes F^1), \\ J_1 &= I_{10} + I_{21} + I_{02} = \frac{1}{3}(E^0 \otimes F^0 + uE^1 \otimes F^2 + u^2E^2 \otimes F^1), \\ J_2 &= I_{20} + I_{01} + I_{12} = \frac{1}{3}(E^0 \otimes F^0 + u^2E^1 \otimes F^2 + uE^2 \otimes F^1). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\rho^*(J_0) = G^0$ , the identity of  $A(H)$ , and  $\rho^*(J_1) = \rho^*(J_2) = 0$ . On the other hand none of the following products vanishes:

$$\begin{aligned} J_\beta \cdot (M^R \otimes N^\alpha) & \quad (M \text{ unstable in } R), \\ J_\beta \cdot (M^\beta \otimes N^\alpha) & \quad (M \text{ stable in } R), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta = 0, 1, 2$ , and the 3-dimensional subspaces of (27) are the sum of 1-dimensional subspaces one in each of the summands  $J_\beta \cdot A(RK)$  ( $\beta = 0, 1, 2$ ).

Hence restricting  $\bar{\sigma}$  to the direct summand  $J_0 \cdot (A(R) \otimes A(K))/U$  we have that  $\bar{\sigma}$  is one-to-one and onto. Thus

$$W_P(H)/S \approx J_0 \cdot (A(R) \otimes A(K))/U.$$

Hence  $W_P(H)/S$  is isomorphic to an ideal (direct summand) of a semisimple algebra (by (25)) and so  $W_P(H)/S$  is semisimple.

$W_P(H)$  contains an ideal  $S$  such that  $W_P(H)/S$  and  $S$  are semisimple and so by proposition 1 it is semisimple. This completes the proof of the semisimplicity of  $W_P(N(P))$  for  $P$  of orders 1, 2 or 4. By Green's theorem (6),  $A(G)$  is semisimple. By (8) we can further remove the restriction of  $k$  being algebraically closed and so we have the following theorem:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group whose Sylow 2-subgroup is isomorphic to  $Z_2 \times Z_2$ , and let  $k$  be any field of characteristic 2. Then the modular representation algebra  $A(G)$  formed from  $kG$ -modules is semisimple.*

### References

- [1] Conlon, S. B., *Twisted group algebras and their representations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 4 (1964), 152–173.
- [2] Conlon, S. B., *Certain representation algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 5 (1965), 83–99.
- [3] Curtis, C. W. and Reiner, I., *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [4] Green, J. A., *A transfer theorem for modular representations*, J. of Algebra 1 (1964), 73–84.
- [5] Higman, D. G., *Indecomposable representations at characteristic  $p$* , Duke Math. J. 21 (1954), 377–381.

University of Sydney