

True, the "*Upper and Lower Bagshot Beds* are not noticed" in my Table; for the simple reason, that they cannot be regarded as good "*Marine Types*," like the Barton and Bracklesham Clays: one contains only a few vegetable (Terrestrial) remains; and the other rarely any fossils, except in one place, where, however, they are "in too friable a condition to bear transport or examination." (See Jukes's Manual, 1st ed. pp. 527 and 531; also Phillips's Manual, p. 387.)

In placing certain "*marine and fresh-water types*" on "*the same line*," the object was to show that they may be *approximately* "*of the same age*."

When "*W. W.*" takes on himself again

"To spy into abuses, and shape faults  
That are not,"

or to "*point out*" the "*many other mistakes*" which he fancies I have committed, I would feel obliged by his showing the relation between the Lower Green Sand and the Atherfield Clay. At the friendly suggestion of the Editor of the '*Geologist*,' I have inserted, in a new edition of the Table, now printing as a separate sheet, the Lower Green Sand, placing it at the bottom of the Cretaceous System.

Permit me to embrace the present opportunity of making a few corrections before closing this letter. The name *Rhynchopora* in my Table (proposed for a genus or sub-genus, typified by De Verneuil's *Terebratula Geinitziana*, the peculiar characters of which were described in my "*Notes on Permian Fossils*," published in the '*Annals and Magazine of Natural History*' for April, 1856) should have been spelled *Rhynchopora*. "*Somerset Teleosaurus Upper Lias*," suggested by my friend Mr. C. Moore, of Bath, was by some mistake placed in the Jurassic instead of the Liasic System.

In my paper "*On the Origin of Species*," contained in the last number of the '*Geologist*,' a slight mistake has occurred. The first line of the sixth paragraph ought to have been—"There is no difficulty in referring to instances," etc.

I am, dear Sir, yours very faithfully,  
WILLIAM KING.

*Belmont, near Galway, July 4, 1862.*

#### *Tertiary Mammalian Remains at Dulwich.*

SIR,—It may be interesting to your readers to know that I have lately found a front tooth (incisor or small canine) of a mammalian animal from the Woolwich Beds, near Dulwich, exposed some time since by the works for the southern high-level sewer. Mr. Rickman has found some bones he calls mammalian, but there is a doubt as to their being such.

Yours, etc.,

A. BOTT.

*5, Hanover Terrace, Peckham, 11th July, 1862.*

#### *Sicilian Bone-Caves.*

SIR,—I hasten to give that explanation of the error or rather confusion in my Table which Dr. Falconer, as the original describer of the Grotta di Maccagnone, has a right to demand.