

ON DIFFERENTIAL CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

MAN-HO HO

(Received 12 April 2014; accepted 15 October 2014; first published online 4 December 2014)

Communicated by M. Murray

Abstract

In this paper we give explicit formulas for differential characteristic classes of principal G -bundles with connections and prove their expected properties. In particular, we obtain explicit formulas for differential Chern classes, differential Pontryagin classes and the differential Euler class. Furthermore, we show that the differential Chern class is the unique natural transformation from (Simons–Sullivan) differential K -theory to (Cheeger–Simons) differential characters that is compatible with curvature and characteristic class. We also give the explicit formula for the differential Chern class on Freed–Lott differential K -theory. Finally, we discuss the odd differential Chern classes.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 53C08; secondary 57R20, 19L50.

Keywords and phrases: characteristic classes, differential characters, differential K -theory.

1. Introduction

Differential characteristic classes of principal G -bundles with connections are secondary characteristic classes which refine primary characteristic classes. A famous example is given in [8], where the transgression form lives in the total space. In [7] differential characters are defined with the motivation of defining secondary characteristic classes living in the base space. The differential characteristic classes constructed in [7] involve universal bundles and universal connections. In particular, we have differential Chern classes, differential Pontryagin classes and the differential Euler class (see also [9] for the use of a simplicial method to construct differential Chern classes). Since a refinement of topological K -theory was not available at that time, differential Chern classes were not considered as natural transformations between refinements of the corresponding cohomology theories.

In recent years differential K -theory—the differential extension of topological K -theory—has received extensive study because of its motivation in geometry, topology and theoretical physics. In [2] the differential Chern classes are defined on a model of differential K -theory which consists of vector bundles with connections

and odd forms, where the form part has a slightly different additive structure when compared to [10]. The total differential Chern class defined in [2] respects the direct sum, but the ring structure of this K -theory is lost. In [4] the differential Chern class is defined as a natural transformation between the differential extensions of topological K -theory and ordinary cohomology (regarded as functors) which are only assumed to satisfy the axioms of differential cohomology given in [6]. One of the advantages of this approach is the independence of the construction of the differential Chern classes on a particular model of differential extension of K -theory [5, 10, 14, 19] and of ordinary cohomology [3, 7]. Roughly speaking, the differential Chern classes in [4] are defined by approximating the classifying space of K^0 by a sequence of compact manifolds satisfying some nice properties and pulling back some universal classes. When working with a particular model of differential K -theory, it would be nice to have explicit formulas for the differential Chern classes.

In [1] differential characters are extended to smooth spaces, and the group of smooth singular cycles is replaced by the group of diffeomorphism classes of smooth maps from stratifolds to smooth spaces, which is much more geometric in nature. Inspired by [1], we give explicit formulas for differential characteristic classes for principal G -bundle with connections, where G is a Lie group with finitely many components. The construction and the proofs of the expected properties do not appeal to universal bundles and universal connections. Moreover, we give an ‘absolute’ interpretation of the necessarily closed $(2k - 1)$ -form α in the formula, which is the pullback of the transgression form constructed in [8]. Such an interpretation of α is not available for differential characters in general.

Since all the existing models of differential K -theory are isomorphic by unique isomorphisms [6, Theorem 3.10] and the explicit isomorphisms between different models of even differential K -theory are known [12, 13, 15], it suffices to define differential Chern classes in any one of these models. We prove that the explicit differential Chern class formula induces a natural transformation from Simons–Sullivan differential K -theory to differential characters. We also give the explicit formula for the differential Chern class defined on Freed–Lott differential K -theory, where we do not make use of the explicit isomorphisms. Finally, we discuss the odd differential Chern classes on the odd counterpart of Simons–Sullivan differential K -theory.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains all the necessary background materials, and the main results are proved in Section 3.

2. Background materials

Throughout this paper, A is a proper subring of \mathbb{R} , G is a Lie group with finitely many components, and X is a smooth space [1, Definition 2.2].

2.1. Geometric chains. In this subsection we recall some notions in [1]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $(C(X), \partial)$ be the complex of smooth singular n -chains on X with integral coefficients. Denote by $Z_n(X)$ and $B_n(X)$ the subgroups of n -cycles and n -boundaries,

respectively. The space of smooth n -forms on X is denoted by $\Omega^n(X)$. A smooth singular chain $y \in C_n(X)$ is said to be thin [1, Definition 3.1] if for all $\omega \in \Omega^n(X)$, we have $\int_y \omega = 0$. Denote by $S_n(X) \subseteq C_n(X)$ the subgroup of thin n -chains on X . Denote by $[c]_{S_n}$ the equivalence class of $c \in C_n(X)$ in $C_n(X)/S_n(X)$. Note that $\partial S_{n+1}(X) \subseteq S_n(X)$, so we have a homomorphism

$$\frac{Z_n(X)}{\partial S_{n+1}(X)} \rightarrow \frac{C_n(X)}{S_n(X)}.$$

Denote by $[z]_{\partial S_{n+1}}$ the equivalence class of $z \in Z_n(X)$ in $Z_n(X)/(\partial S_{n+1}(X))$.

We recall the definition and basic properties of geometric chains [1, Ch. 4]. Let

$$C_n(X) = \{[f : M^n \rightarrow X] \mid f : M^n \rightarrow X \text{ is a smooth map}\}$$

be the abelian semigroup of diffeomorphism classes of smooth maps $f : M^n \rightarrow X$, where M^n is an oriented compact n -dimensional regular p -stratifold with boundary [16]. Elements in $C_n(X)$ are called geometric chains. The boundary operator $\partial : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X)$ is given by restriction to the geometric boundary. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n(X) &= \{\xi \in C_n(X) \mid \partial \xi = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_n(X) &= \{\xi \in C_n(X) \mid \exists \beta \in C_{n+1}(X) \text{ such that } \partial \beta = \xi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Elements in $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ are called geometric cycles and elements in $\mathcal{B}_n(X)$ are called geometric boundaries. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_k(X) := \mathcal{L}_k(X)/\mathcal{B}_k(X)$ the corresponding cohomology group. Define a homomorphism $\psi_n : \mathcal{L}_n(X) \rightarrow Z_n(X)/(\partial S_{n+1}(X))$ by

$$\psi_n([f : M \rightarrow X]) = [f_*(c)]_{\partial S_{n+1}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $c \in Z_n(M)$ is an n -cycle representing the fundamental class of M . ψ_n is independent of the choices of c by [1, Remark 3.2].

Note that $\mathcal{H}_k(X) \cong H_k(X)$ via the map ψ_n [16, Theorem 20.1]. Henceforth we write $[\zeta]_{\partial S_{n+1}}$ for $\psi_n(\zeta)$ for $\zeta = [f : M \rightarrow X] \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$. A similar convention applies to elements in $C_n(X)$.

LEMMA 2.1 [1, Lemma 4.2]. *There exist homomorphisms $\zeta : C_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(X)$, $a : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(X)$ and $y : C_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow Z_{n+1}(X)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial \zeta)(c) &= \zeta(\partial c), \\ [\zeta(c)]_{S_{n+1}} &= [c - a(\partial c) - \partial a(c + y(c))]_{S_{n+1}}, \\ [\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{n+1}} &= [z - \partial a(z)]_{\partial S_{n+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $c \in C_{n+1}(X)$ and all $z \in Z_{n+1}(X)$.

2.2. Cheeger–Simons differential characters. In this subsection we recall Cheeger–Simons differential characters [7] (see also [1, Ch. 5]).

Let $k \geq 1$. A degree k differential character f with coefficients in A is a group homomorphism $f : Z_{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/A$ such that there exists a fixed $\omega_f \in \Omega^k(X)$ such that for all $c_k \in C_k(X)$,

$$f(\partial c) = \int_c \omega_f \text{ mod } A.$$

Let $f \in \widehat{H}^k(X; \mathbb{R}/A)$. If $c \in S_k(X)$ is a thin chain, then

$$f(\partial c) = \int_c \omega = 0 \pmod A.$$

This property of differential characters is referred to as thin invariance [1, Remark 5.2]. Note that the results in [1] hold if \mathbb{Z} is replaced by A .

3. Main results

3.1. Differential characteristic classes. First of all we prove the uniqueness of differential characteristic classes.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $(P, u) \in K^{2k}(G, A)$, where $k \geq 1$. For each principal G -bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ with a connection θ , if there exists $S_{P,u}(E, \theta) \in \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/A)$ such that $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$ is natural and $\delta_1(S_{P,u}(E, \theta)) = P(\Omega)$, where Ω is the curvature of θ , then $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$ is unique.*

PROOF. Let $z \in Z_{2k-1}(X)$. By (2.2) we have $[\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} = [z - \partial a(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}$. Then

$$S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) = S_{P,u}(E, \theta)([\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}) + S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(\partial a(z)). \tag{3.1}$$

By the definition of differential character and the assumption $\delta_1(S_{P,u}(E, \theta)) = P(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(\partial a(z)) &= \int_{a(z)} \delta_1(S_{P,u}(E, \theta)) \pmod A \\ &= \int_{a(z)} P(\Omega) \pmod A. \end{aligned}$$

Write $\zeta(z) = [g : M \rightarrow X] \in \mathcal{L}_{2k-1}(X)$. Since $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$ is assumed to be natural, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{P,u}(E, \theta)([\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}) &= S_{P,u}(E, \theta)([g : M \rightarrow X]) \\ &= S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(g_*(c)) \\ &= g^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(c) \\ &= S_{P,u}(g^* E, g^* \theta)(c), \end{aligned}$$

where $c \in Z_{2k-1}(M)$ is a cycle representing the fundamental class of M . It follows from these two observations that (3.1) becomes

$$S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) = S_{P,u}(g^* E, g^* \theta)(c) + \int_{a(z)} P(\Omega) \pmod A. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $\dim(M) = 2k - 1$, it follows that $\delta_2(S_{P,u}(g^* E, g^* \theta)) = 0$. Thus there exists $\alpha \in (\Omega^{2k-1}(M))/(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k-1}(M))$ such that $S_{P,u}(g^* E, g^* \theta) = i_2(\alpha)$. Thus (3.2) becomes

$$S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) = \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} P(\Omega) \pmod A. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z)$ is uniquely determined by (3.3). □

We take (3.3) as the definition of $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$.

The following proposition shows that (3.3) is independent of the choices made in the proof of Proposition 3.1, and it defines a differential character.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $(P, u) \in K^{2k}(G, A)$, where $k \geq 1$. For each principal G -bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ with a connection θ , the differential characteristic class $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$ defined in (3.3) is independent of the choices made in Proposition 3.1, that is, for $z \in Z_{2k-1}(X)$, if $\zeta'(z) = [g' : M \rightarrow X] \in \mathcal{L}_{2k-1}(X)$ and $a'(z) \in C_{2k}(X)$ are such that $[\zeta'(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} = [z - \partial a'(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}$, and $\alpha' \in \Omega^{2k-1}(M')$ is such that $(g')^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta) = i_2(\alpha')$, then*

$$S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) = \int_{M'} \alpha' + \int_{a'(z)} P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A.$$

Moreover, $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$ given by (3.3) defines a differential character in $\widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/A)$.

The proof is virtually the same as [1, Lemma 5.13].

PROOF. Note that $[z] = [\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} = [\zeta'(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}$, and therefore $\zeta'(z) - \zeta(z) = \partial\beta(z)$ for some $\beta(z) \in C_{2k}(X)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial a(z) - \partial a'(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} &= [\zeta(z) - \zeta'(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} \\ &= [\partial\beta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} \\ &= \partial[\beta(z)]_{S_{2k}} \\ &\Rightarrow 0 = \partial[a(z) - a'(z) - \beta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists $w(z) \in Z_{2k}(X)$ such that

$$[a(z) - a'(z) - w(z)]_{S_{2k}} = [\beta(z)]_{S_{2k}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Write $\beta(z) = [G : N \rightarrow X]$, where, by definition, N is a $2k$ -dimensional compact oriented p -stratifold with boundary $\partial N = M' \sqcup \bar{M}$ with $g = G|_M$ and $g' = G|_{M'}$. Since $H^{2k}(N; A) = 0$ and $G^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta) \in \widehat{H}^{2k}(N; \mathbb{R}/A)$, it follows that $\delta_2(G^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta)) = 0$. Thus there exists $\chi \in (\Omega^{2k-1}(N))/(\Omega_A^{2k-1}(N))$ such that $G^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta) = i_2(\chi)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} i_2(\alpha') - i_2(\alpha) &= (g')^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta) - g^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta) \\ &= (G|_{\partial N})^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta) \\ &= (G^* S_{P,u}(E, \theta))|_{\partial N} \\ &= i_2(\chi)|_{\partial N} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha' - \alpha = \chi|_{\partial N} + \eta \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for some $\eta \in \Omega_A^{2k-1}(\partial N)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{M'} \alpha' + \int_{a'(z)} P(\Omega) \right) - S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) \text{ mod } A \\ &= \int_{M'} \alpha' + \int_{a'(z)} P(\Omega) - \int_M \alpha - \int_{a(z)} P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A \\ &= \int_{\partial N} (\alpha' - \alpha) + \int_{a'(z)-a(z)} P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A \\ &= \int_{\partial N} (\chi + \eta) + \int_{-w(z)} P(\Omega) + \int_{-[\beta(z)]_{S_{2k}}} P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A \end{aligned}$$

by (3.4) and (3.5). Since $\eta \in \Omega_A^{2k-1}(\partial N)$ and $P(\Omega) \in \Omega_A^{2k}(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{M'} \alpha' + \int_{a'(z)} P(\Omega) \right) - S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) &= \int_{\partial N} \chi + \int_{-[\beta(z)]_{S_{2k}}} P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A \\ &= \int_N d\chi + \int_{-[\beta(z)]_{S_{2k}}} P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A \\ &= \int_N G^* P(\Omega) + \int_{-[\beta(z)]_{S_{2k}}} P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A \\ &= \int_{G_*[N]_{S_{2k}} - [\beta(z)]_{S_{2k}}} P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $[\beta(z)] = G_*[N]_{S_{2k}}$, and the third equality follows from the commutativity of the lower triangle of (2.3).

Since ζ and a in (3.3) are homomorphisms by Lemma 2.1, it follows that $S_{P,u}(E, \theta) : Z_{2k-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/A$ is a homomorphism.

To prove that $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$ is a differential character, we need to show that for $z = \partial c$, where $c \in C_{2k}(X)$,

$$S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(\partial c) = \int_c P(\Omega) \text{ mod } A. \tag{3.6}$$

The proof of (3.6) is essentially the same as (a) in the proof of [1, Theorem 5.14]. Thus $S_{P,u}(E, \theta) \in \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/A)$. □

The following proposition shows the expected properties of differential characteristic classes.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $(P, u) \in K^{2k}(G, A)$, where $k \geq 1$. For each principal G -bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ with a connection θ , we have:*

- (1) $\delta_1(S_{P,u}(E, \theta)) = P(\Omega)$;
- (2) $\delta_2(S_{P,u}(E, \theta)) = u(E)$; and

(3) if $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is any smooth map, then

$$S_{P,u}(f^*E, f^*\theta) = f^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta).$$

PROOF. (1) This follows directly from (3.6).

(2) Recall that a cocycle $u_k \in Z^{2k}(X; A)$ representing $\delta_2(S_{P,u}(E, \theta)) \in H^{2k}(X; A)$ is defined by

$$u_k(c) = \int_c P(\Omega) - T(\partial c),$$

where $T \in \text{Hom}(Z_{2k-1}(X), \mathbb{R})$ is a lift of $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$, that is, $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) = T(z) \bmod A$ for all $z \in Z_{2k-1}(X)$. A priori u_k is a real cocycle. Since

$$\begin{aligned} u_k(c) &= \int_c P(\Omega) - T(\partial c) \bmod A \\ &= S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(\partial c) - S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(\partial c) \bmod A \\ &= 0 \bmod A, \end{aligned}$$

u_k is indeed an A -cocycle. Note that u_k depends on the lift T , but its cohomology class does not. Since $u_k(z) = \int_z P(\Omega)$ for all $z \in Z_{2k}(X)$, it follows from the uniqueness of the de Rham theorem that u_k represents $u(E)$.

(3) The proof is similar to (c) in [1, Theorem 5.14]. Let $z \in Z_{2k-1}(X)$. By (2.2) we have $[\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} = [z - \partial a(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}$, where $\zeta(z) \in \mathcal{L}_{2k-1}(X)$ and $a(z) \in C_{2k}(X)$. Write $\zeta(z) = [g : M \rightarrow X]$. By (3.3),

$$S_{P,u}(f^*E, f^*\theta)(z) = \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} P(f^*\Omega) \bmod A, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\alpha \in (\Omega^{2k-1}(M))/(\Omega_A^{2k-1}(M))$ is the unique closed form such that

$$i_2(\alpha) = S_{P,u}(g^*f^*E, g^*f^*\theta) = S_{P,u}((f \circ g)^*E, (f \circ g)^*\theta). \tag{3.8}$$

Since $f^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) = S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(f_*z)$, we compute f_*z . Define $\zeta(f_*z) := f_*\zeta(z) = [f \circ g : M \rightarrow Y]$ and $a(f_*z) := f_*a(z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f_*z - \partial a(f_*z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} &= f_*[z - \partial a(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} \\ &= f_*[\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} \\ &= [f_*\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) &= S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(f_*z) \\ &= S_{P,u}(E, \theta)([f_*\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}) + S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(\partial a(f_*z)) \\ &= S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(f_*[\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}) + \int_{f_*a(z)} P(\Omega) \bmod A \\ &= S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(f_*(g_*(c))) + \int_{a(z)} f^*P(\Omega) \bmod A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta) = (f \circ g)^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(c) + \int_{a(z)} P(f^*\Omega) \text{ mod } A. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $(f \circ g)^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta) \in \widehat{H}^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R}/A)$ and $\dim(M) = 2k - 1$, it follows from one of the exact sequences of (2.3) that there exists a unique closed form $\beta \in (\Omega^{2k-1}(M))/(\Omega_A^{2k-1}(M))$ such that

$$i_2(\beta) = S_{P,u}((f \circ g)^*E, (f \circ g)^*\theta).$$

It follows from (3.8) that $\beta - \alpha \in \Omega_A^{2k-1}(M)$. Thus (3.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) &= \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} P(f^*\Omega) \text{ mod } A \\ &= S_{P,u}(f^*E, f^*\theta)(z). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

REMARK 3.4. Since the $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$ given by (3.3) satisfies Theorem 3.3, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that $S_{P,u}(E, \theta)$ is indeed the unique such differential character. In particular, this gives a proof of [7, Theorem 2.2] without using universal bundles and universal connections.

The following lemma gives an ‘absolute’ interpretation of the form α in (3.3).

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let $(P, u) \in K^{2k}(G, A)$, where $k \geq 1$. For each principal G -bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ with a connection θ , if*

$$(\pi^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta))(z) = \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} P(\pi^*\Omega) \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z},$$

for $z \in Z_{2k-1}(E)$, then

$$\alpha = g^* \text{TP}(\theta) \in \frac{\Omega^{2k-1}(M)}{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k-1}(M)},$$

where $[g : M \rightarrow E] = \zeta(z)$, and $\text{TP}(\theta) \in \Omega^{2k-1}(E)$ is the transgression form defined in [8, Section 3].

PROOF. By the naturality of $S_{P,u}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*S_{P,u}(E, \theta)(z) &= S_{P,u}(\pi^*E, \pi^*\theta)(z) \\ &= \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} P(\pi^*\Omega) \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $\alpha \in \Omega^{2k-1}(M)$ is unique up to a closed $(2k - 1)$ -form with periods in \mathbb{Z} , such that $i_2(\alpha) = g^*S_{P,u}(\pi^*E, \pi^*\theta)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_z \text{TP}(\theta) &= \int_{g_*c} \text{TP}(\theta) + \int_{a(z)} d \text{TP}(\theta) \\ &= \int_M g^* \text{TP}(\theta) + \int_{a(z)} \pi^*P(\Omega) \\ &= \int_M g^* \text{TP}(\theta) + \int_{a(z)} P(\pi^*\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $c \in Z_{2k-1}(M)$ represents the fundamental class of M . Here the second equality follows from [8, Proposition 3.2] and the third equality follows from the fact that $P(\Omega) \in \Omega(\pi^*E)$ is horizontal. Since

$$\pi^*(S_{P,u}(E, \theta)) = i_2(\text{TP}(\theta))$$

by [7, Proposition 2.8], by (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$\alpha = g^* \text{TP}(\theta) \in \frac{\Omega^{2k-1}(M)}{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k-1}(M)}. \quad \square$$

One can apply a similar procedure to that in Proposition 3.1 to construct differential Chern classes, differential Pontryagin classes and the differential Euler class. For a Hermitian bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with a unitary connection ∇^E , the k th differential Chern class $\widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla) \in \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, where $k \geq 1$, is given by

$$\widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla)(z) = \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} c_k(\nabla) \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.12)$$

The total differential Chern class $\widehat{c}(E, \nabla)$ is defined to be

$$\widehat{c}(E, \nabla) := 1 + \widehat{c}_1(E, \nabla) + \dots. \quad (3.13)$$

For a Euclidean vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with a metric connection ∇^E , the k th differential Pontryagin class $\widehat{p}_k(E, \nabla) \in \widehat{H}^{4k}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$\widehat{p}_k(E, \nabla)(z) = \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} p_k(\nabla) \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.14)$$

and the differential Euler class $\widehat{\chi}(E, \nabla) \in \widehat{H}^{2n}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ with $n = \text{rank}(E)$ is given by

$$\widehat{\chi}(E, \nabla)(z) = \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} \chi(\nabla) \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.15)$$

In particular, statements analogous to Theorem 3.3 hold for these differential characteristic classes, and therefore its uniqueness. One can compare (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15) with [7, (4.4)], [7, (3.3)] and [7, (5.1)].

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow X$ be a trivial complex vector bundle with a metric and a unitary flat connection d . For $k \geq 1$, since $\widehat{c}_k(\varepsilon, d)$ is natural and its curvature and characteristic class are zero respectively, it follows from the uniqueness (see Remark 3.4) that $\widehat{c}_k(\varepsilon, d) = 0$.

3.2. Differential Chern classes on \widehat{K}_{SS} . In this subsection we show that the differential Chern class given by (3.12) is the unique natural transformation from Simons–Sullivan differential K -theory to differential characters. For the details of \widehat{K}_{SS} we refer to [19].

First of all we give a ‘relative’ interpretation of the form α in (3.12).

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a Hermitian bundle with X compact. If ∇^0 and ∇^1 are two unitary connections on $E \rightarrow X$ and, for $i = 0, 1$,*

$$\widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla^i)(z) = \int_M \alpha_i + \int_{a(z)} c_k(\nabla^i) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$$

as given in (3.12), then

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 = g^* Tc_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0) \in \frac{\Omega^{2k-1}(M)}{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k-1}(M)} \tag{3.16}$$

where $Tc_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0)$ is the transgression form between the k th Chern forms of ∇^1 and ∇^0 , and $\zeta(z) = [g : M \rightarrow X]$.

PROOF. For $z \in Z_{2k-1}(X)$, we have $[\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}} = [z - \partial a(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}$ by (2.2). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} i_2(Tc_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0))(z) &= \int_z Tc_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ &= \int_{[\zeta(z)]_{\partial S_{2k}}} Tc_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0) + \int_{a(z)} dTc_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ &= \int_M g^* Tc_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0) + \int_{a(z)} (c_k(\nabla^1) - c_k(\nabla^0)) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla^1)(z) - \widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla^0)(z) = \int_M (\alpha_1 - \alpha_0) + \int_{a(z)} (c_k(\nabla^1) - c_k(\nabla^0)) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{3.18}$$

By the analogue of [7, Proposition 2.9] for vector bundles,

$$\widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla^1) - \widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla^0) = i_2(Tc_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0)).$$

Thus (3.16) follows from (3.17) and (3.18). □

The following proposition shows that the differential Chern class is a well-defined map from Simons–Sullivan differential K -theory to differential characters.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let X be compact. For each $k \geq 1$, the k th differential Chern class $\widehat{c}_k : \widehat{K}_{SS}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, defined on a generator \mathcal{E} of $\widehat{K}_{SS}(X)$ by*

$$\widehat{c}_k(\mathcal{E}) := \widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla), \tag{3.19}$$

is a well-defined map.

PROOF. *A priori $\widehat{c}_k(\mathcal{E})$ is not well defined on the level of generators as the right-hand side of (3.19) depends on the choice of $\nabla \in [\nabla]$. Take another connection $\nabla' \in [\nabla]$. By the definition of $\widehat{K}_{SS}(X)$, we have $CS(\nabla', \nabla) = 0 \in (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(X))/(d\Omega^{\text{even}}(X))$. Thus $\text{ch}(\nabla') = \text{ch}(\nabla)$, which implies that $c_k(\nabla') = c_k(\nabla)$ for all $k \geq 1$. If we write*

$$\widehat{c}_k(E, \nabla')(z) = \int_M \alpha' + \int_{a(z)} c_k(\nabla') \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$$

for $z \in Z_{2k-1}(X)$, it follows from Lemma 3.7 that

$$\alpha' - \alpha = g^* Tc_k(\nabla', \nabla) = 0 \in \frac{\Omega^{2k-1}(M)}{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k-1}(M)}.$$

Thus $\widehat{c}_k(\mathcal{E})$ is independent of the choice of representative of the connection.

We now show that \widehat{c}_k is a well-defined map. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} \in \widehat{K}_{SS}(X)$. We prove that

$$\widehat{c}_k(\mathcal{E}) = \widehat{c}_k(\mathcal{F}) \tag{3.20}$$

for all $k \geq 1$. By the definition of $\widehat{K}_{SS}(X)$, there exists a structured bundle \mathcal{G} such that

$$\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}.$$

By [18, Corollary 3] there exists a structured inverse \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{G} (which is proved without using universal bundles and universal connections), that is, $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{G} = [n]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{H} &\cong \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{H} \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{E} - [n] \cong \mathcal{F} - [n] \\ &\Rightarrow \widehat{c}(\mathcal{E} - [n]) = \widehat{c}(\mathcal{F} - [n]). \end{aligned}$$

By Example 3.6,

$$\widehat{c}(\mathcal{E} - [n]) := \frac{\widehat{c}(\mathcal{E})}{\widehat{c}([n])} = \widehat{c}(\mathcal{E}),$$

and similarly we have $\widehat{c}(\mathcal{F} - [n]) = \widehat{c}(\mathcal{F})$. Thus (3.20) holds. □

Denote by $s_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the k th elementary symmetric function and $P_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the k th Newton function of n variables x_1, \dots, x_n , that is,

$$s_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}, \quad P_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^k.$$

For any $k \leq n$, we have [17]

$$P_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} P_{k-j} s_j + (-1)^k s_k = 0.$$

It follows from the above identity that we can express each s_k in terms of the P_j and vice versa.

Let $k \geq 1$. Define a map $s_k : \Omega^{\text{even}}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{2k}(X)$ as follows. Write $\omega = \sum_{j=0} (1/j!) \omega_{[2j]} \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(X)$, where $(1/j!) \omega_{[2j]} \in \Omega^{2j}(X)$ is the degree $2j$ component of ω . Write $\omega_{[2j]} = (1/j!) \omega'_{[2j]}$, where $\omega'_{[2j]} := j! \omega_{[2j]}$. Define

$$s_k(\omega) = s_k\left(\frac{1}{2!} \omega'_{[2]}, \dots, \frac{1}{j!} \omega'_{[2j]}, \dots\right),$$

to be the k th elementary symmetric function of the $\omega_{[2j]}$. Note that for each $k \geq 1$, $s_k(\omega)$ can be given in terms of the Newton functions $P_\ell(\omega'_{[2]}, \dots, \omega'_{[2j]}, \dots)$. For example, $s_k(\text{ch}(\nabla)) = c_k(\nabla)$, the k th Chern form of ∇ .

Let $\Omega_{\text{BU}}^\bullet(X) = \{\omega \in \Omega_{d=0}^\bullet \mid [\omega] \in \text{Im}(\text{ch}^\bullet : K^{-(\bullet \bmod 2)}(X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{Q}))\}$, where $\bullet \in \{\text{even}, \text{odd}\}$.

LEMMA 3.9. $s_k(\Omega_{\text{BU}}^{\text{even}}(X)) \subseteq \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k}(X)$.

PROOF. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{BU}}^{\text{even}}(X)$. Then $\omega = \text{ch}(\nabla) + d\alpha$, where ∇ is a unitary connection on a Hermitian bundle over X and $\alpha \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(X)$. Write $\text{ch}(\nabla) = \sum_{j=0}^n \text{ch}_j(\nabla)$, where $\text{ch}_j(\nabla)$ is the degree $2j$ component of $\text{ch}(\nabla)$. Thus for each $j \geq 1$, $\omega_{[2j]} = \text{ch}_j(\nabla) + d(\alpha_{[2j-1]})$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} s_k(\alpha) &= s_k\left(\frac{1}{2!}\omega'_{[2]}, \dots, \frac{1}{j!}\omega'_{[2j]}, \dots\right) \\ &= c_k(\nabla) + Q_k\left(\frac{1}{2!}\omega'_{[2]}, \dots, \frac{1}{j!}\omega'_{[2j]}, \dots\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $Q_k((1/2!)\omega'_{[2]}, \dots, (1/j!)\omega'_{[j]}, \dots)$ is a sum of rational multiples of $\text{ch}_j(\nabla)^m \wedge (d\alpha_{[2i-1]})^q$ for some $m \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$. Since each $\text{ch}_j(\nabla)^m \wedge (d\alpha_{[2i-1]})^q$ is exact, it has period 0. Together with the fact that $c_k(\nabla) \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k}(X)$, we have $s_k(\omega) \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k}(X)$. \square

By Proposition 3.8, we can reformulate Theorem 3.3 as follows.

COROLLARY 3.10. For each $k \geq 0$, there exists a unique natural transformation $\widehat{c}_k : \widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}(*) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{2k}(*; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ such that it is compatible with curvature and characteristic class, that is, for each compact X , the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{c}_k} & \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{ch}_{\widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_1 \\ \Omega_{\text{BU}}^{\text{even}}(X) & \xrightarrow{s_k} & \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2k}(X) \\ \widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{c}_k} & \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_2 \\ K(X) & \xrightarrow{c_k} & H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

where $\text{ch}_{\widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ch}(\nabla)$ and $\delta(\mathcal{E}) = [E]$.

We now prove the product formula of the total differential Chern class.

PROPOSITION 3.11. Let X be compact. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}(X) \times \widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & \widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}(X) \\ \widehat{c} \downarrow \widehat{c} & & \downarrow \widehat{c} \\ \widehat{H}^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \widehat{H}^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{*} & \widehat{H}^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \tag{3.21}$$

where $*$ is the product of differential characters [7].

PROOF. For a pair $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \in \widehat{K}_{SS}(X) \times \widehat{K}_{SS}(X)$, Remark 3.4, the uniqueness of the ring structure of $\widehat{H}^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, and (3) of [7, Theorem 1.11] imply that $\widehat{c}(\mathcal{E}) * \widehat{c}(\mathcal{F})$ is the unique natural differential character whose curvature and characteristic class are given by

$$\delta_1(\widehat{c}(\mathcal{E}) * \widehat{c}(\mathcal{F})) = c(\nabla^E) \wedge c(\nabla^F) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2(\widehat{c}(\mathcal{E}) * \widehat{c}(\mathcal{F})) = c(E) \cup c(F). \quad (3.22)$$

On the other hand, for a pair $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \in \widehat{K}_{SS}(X) \times \widehat{K}_{SS}(X)$, $\widehat{c}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$ is also the unique natural differential character whose curvature and characteristic class are given by (3.22). Thus (3.21) holds. \square

3.3. Differential Chern classes on \widehat{K}_{FL} . In this subsection we give the explicit formula for the differential Chern class on Freed–Lott differential K -theory. We refer to [10] for the details of Freed–Lott differential K -theory.

Defining differential Chern classes on Freed–Lott differential K -theory \widehat{K}_{FL} involves one more issue: since generators of \widehat{K}_{FL} are of the form (E, h, ∇, ϕ) , where $\phi \in (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(X))/(d\Omega^{\text{even}}(X))$, we have to consider ϕ when defining \widehat{c}_k on generators of $\widehat{K}_{FL}(X)$. A natural choice for the form part in the definition of $\widehat{c}_k(E, h, \nabla, \phi)$ would be $i_2(\phi_{[2k-1]})$, where $\phi_{[2k-1]}$ is the degree $(2k - 1)$ component of ϕ , as the differential Chern character $\widehat{ch}_{FL} : \widehat{K}_{FL}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$ is defined in this way [10, Section 8.13]. However, as we will see below, this definition is not correct.

On the other hand, Simons–Sullivan differential K -theory is isomorphic to Freed–Lott differential K -theory via unique ring isomorphisms $f : \widehat{K}_{SS}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_{FL}(X)$ and $g : \widehat{K}_{FL}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_{SS}(X)$ (see [12, Theorem 1] for the definitions of f and g). We might define differential Chern classes on \widehat{K}_{FL} , denoted by $\widehat{c}_k^{FL} : \widehat{K}_{FL}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, by

$$\widehat{c}_k^{FL}(E, h^E, \nabla^E, \phi) := (\widehat{c}_k \circ g)(E, h^E, \nabla^E, \phi).$$

Since the formula for g is complicated, we refrain from doing so. Instead, we define differential Chern classes on \widehat{K}_{FL} directly, as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.12. *Let X be compact. The map $\widehat{c}_k^{FL} : \widehat{K}_{FL}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ defined by*

$$\widehat{c}_k^{FL}(E, h^E, \nabla^E, \phi^E)(z) = \int_M \alpha + \int_{a(z)} s_k(\text{ch}(\nabla^E) + d\phi^E) \text{ mod } \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.23)$$

where $z \in Z_{2k-1}(X)$, M , α and $a(z)$ are chosen as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, is well defined.

PROOF. One can prove the theorem along the lines of $S_{P,u}$. Namely, we first assume the existence of $\widehat{c}_k^{FL}(E, h^E, \nabla^E, \phi^E)$ as a differential character with its naturality and the compatibility with curvature to prove its uniqueness as in Proposition 3.1. We then get the explicit formula (3.23). Then we prove that (3.23) is independent of the choices as in Proposition 3.2, and it defines a differential character. Then we prove the naturality and the compatibility with curvature and with characteristic class of $\widehat{c}_k^{FL}(E, h, \nabla, \phi)$. This will imply the uniqueness of $\widehat{c}_k^{FL}(E, h^E, \nabla^E, \phi^E)$ by Remark 3.4.

To prove that the map $\widehat{c}_k^{\text{FL}} : \widehat{K}_{\text{FL}}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{2k}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is well defined, let \mathcal{E} be a generator of $\widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}(X)$. By (3.12) and (3.23), we have

$$\widehat{c}_k(\mathcal{E}) = (\widehat{c}_k^{\text{FL}} \circ f)(\mathcal{E}),$$

where f is given by [12, Theorem 1]. Since f and \widehat{c}_k are well defined, it follows that $\widehat{c}_k^{\text{FL}}$ is well defined. \square

In particular, statements analogous to Corollary 3.10 hold for $\widehat{c}_k^{\text{FL}}$. From (3.23) we have $\delta_1(\widehat{c}_k^{\text{FL}}(\mathcal{E})) = s_k(\text{ch}(\nabla^E) + d\phi^E)$. The reason for choosing this term is as follows. Recall that there are ring homomorphisms, given by $\text{ch}_{\widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}}(E, h, [\nabla]) = \text{ch}(\nabla)$ and $\text{ch}_{\widehat{K}_{\text{FL}}}(E, h, \nabla, \phi) = \text{ch}(\nabla) + d\phi$, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}(X) & \xrightarrow{f} & \widehat{K}_{\text{FL}}(X) \\ & \searrow \text{ch}_{\widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}} & \downarrow \text{ch}_{\widehat{K}_{\text{FL}}} \\ & & \Omega_{\text{BU}}^{\text{even}}(X) \end{array}$$

where f is the ring isomorphism given in [12, Theorem 1]. Since

$$\delta_1(\widehat{c}_k(E, h, [\nabla])) = c_k(\nabla) = s_k(\text{ch}(\nabla)) = s_k(\text{ch}_{\widehat{K}_{\text{SS}}}(X)),$$

it follows that we must define $\widehat{c}_k^{\text{FL}}$ so that its curvature is given by

$$s_k(\text{ch}_{\widehat{K}_{\text{FL}}}(E, h, \nabla, \phi)) = s_k(\text{ch}(\nabla) + d\phi),$$

which implies the compatibility between differential Chern classes on the Simons–Sullivan and Freed–Lott models of differential K -theory. Thus (3.23) gives the correct formula for differential Chern classes on the differential K -group defined by vector bundles with connections and odd forms.

3.4. Odd differential Chern classes. One can define odd differential Chern classes in a model-independent way as in [4, Theorem 1.2], which we recall here. The $(2k + 1)$ th odd differential Chern class $\widehat{c}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}} : \widehat{K}^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{2k+1}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is defined to be the composition in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{K}^{-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{c}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}} & \widehat{H}^{2k+1}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow S & & \uparrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} \\ \widehat{K}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{c}_{k+1}} & \widehat{H}^{2k+2}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \tag{3.24}$$

that is, $\widehat{c}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}} := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} \circ \widehat{c}_{k+1} \circ S$, where $S : \widehat{K}^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{K}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X)$ is the suspension map and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}}$ is the integration along the fibers of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$ in \widehat{H} . The odd differential

Chern classes so defined satisfy the commutative diagram [4, Theorem 1.2]

$$\begin{CD}
 \widehat{K}^{-1}(X) @>\widehat{c}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}>> \widehat{H}^{2k+1}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \\
 @V\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{K}}VV @VV\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}}V \\
 \widehat{K}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X) @>\widehat{c}_{k+1}>> \widehat{H}^{2k+2}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})
 \end{CD} \tag{3.25}$$

where $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{K}} : \widehat{K}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X) \rightarrow \widehat{K}^{-1}(X)$ is the integration along the fibers of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$ in \widehat{K} . The proof of (3.25) follows immediately from the definition of the integration map (see [6, Proposition 4.2] and also Appendix A). As in the proof of [2, Theorem 1.2], $\widehat{c}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}$ is unique as $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{K}}$ is surjective.

There are various models of odd differential K -theory [5, 10, 11, 20]. For example, if we use the odd differential K -group defined in [20], which is the odd counterpart of Simons–Sullivan differential K -theory, then the odd differential Chern class defined by (3.24) is well defined by Proposition 3.8. Denote by $c_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}([g])$ the $(2k + 1)$ th odd Chern class. Note that $\delta_2(\widehat{c}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}([g])) = c_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}([g])$ by the compatibility between $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}}$ with δ_2 [6, Proposition 4.2] and the definition of $c_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}([g])$.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Thomas Schick for valuable suggestions and comments at the beginning of this work, and the referee for helpful comments.

Appendix A. A proof of (3.25)

For the convenience of the reader we include a proof of (3.25). Note that for any $x \in \widehat{K}^{-1}(X)$, $S(x) \in \widehat{K}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X)$ is equal to multiplying x by a certain element in $\widehat{K}^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, for which we denote it by e .

Denote by \widehat{E} the differential extension of a generalized cohomology theory E which is multiplicative. Let $i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \times X$ be the inclusion map and $p : \mathbb{S}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$ the projection map. Since $p \circ i = \text{id}_X$, it follows that

$$\widehat{E}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X) = \text{Im}(p^*) \oplus \ker(i^*).$$

As in [6, Proposition 4.2], every $x \in \ker(i^*)$ can be uniquely written as $x = e \times y + a(\rho)$, where $y \in \widehat{E}^{-1}(X)$ and $\rho \in (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X))/(\text{Im}(d))$. Thus every $u \in \widehat{E}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X)$ can be written as

$$u = p^*z \oplus x = p^*z \oplus (e \times y + a(\rho)).$$

The map $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{E}} : \widehat{E}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X) \rightarrow \widehat{E}^{-1}(X)$ is defined to be the composition

$$\widehat{E}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times X) \longrightarrow \ker(i^*) \longrightarrow \widehat{E}^{-1}(X)$$

where the first map is the projection map. Obviously the integration map satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{E}} \circ p^* = 0$, and is defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{E}} u = y + a\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho\right).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} \widehat{c}_{k+1}(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} \widehat{c}_{k+1}(p^* z \oplus (e \times y + a(\rho))) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} p^* \widehat{c}_{k+1}(z) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} \widehat{c}_{k+1}(e \times y + a(\rho)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} \widehat{c}_{k+1}(e \times y + a(\rho)), \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.24),

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{c}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{K}} u\right) &= \widehat{c}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}\left(y + a\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho\right)\right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} \widehat{c}_{k+1}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(y + a\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\widehat{H}} \widehat{c}_{k+1}(e \times y + a(\rho)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.25) holds.

References

- [1] C. Bär and C. Becker, ‘Differential characters and geometric chains’, in: *Differential Characters*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2112 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2014), 1–187.
- [2] A. Berthomieu, ‘A version of smooth K -theory adapted to the total Chern class’, *J. K-Theory* **6**(2) (2010), 197–230.
- [3] J.-L. Brylinski, *Loop Spaces, Characteristic Classes and Geometric Quantization*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2008), reprint of the 1993 edition.
- [4] U. Bunke, ‘Chern classes on differential K -theory’, *Pacific J. Math.* **247**(2) (2010), 313–322.
- [5] U. Bunke and T. Schick, ‘Smooth K -theory’, *Astérisque* **328** (2009), 45–135.
- [6] U. Bunke and T. Schick, ‘Uniqueness of smooth extensions of generalized cohomology theories’, *J. Topol.* **3** (2010), 110–156.
- [7] J. Cheeger and J. Simons, ‘Differential characters and geometric invariants’, in: *Geometry and Topology (College Park, MD 1983/84)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1167 (eds. J. Alexander and J. Harer) (Springer, Berlin, 1985), 50–80.
- [8] S.-S. Chern and J. Simons, ‘Characteristic forms and geometric invariants’, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **99** (1974), 48–69.
- [9] J. Dupont, R. Hain and S. Zucker, ‘Regulators and characteristic classes of flat bundles’, in: *The Arithmetic and Geometry of Algebraic Cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, CRM Proceedings & Lecture Notes, 24 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000), 47–92.

- [10] D. Freed and J. Lott, 'An index theorem in differential K -theory', *Geom. Topol.* **14** (2010), 903–966.
- [11] P. Hekmati, M. K. Murray, V. S. Schlegel and R. F. Vozzo, 'A geometric model for odd differential K -theory'. [arXiv:1309.2834](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2834).
- [12] M.-H. Ho, 'The differential analytic index in Simons-Sullivan differential K -theory', *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **42**(4) (2012), 523–535.
- [13] M.-H. Ho, 'Remarks on flat and differential K -theory', *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal* **21**(1) (2014), 91–101.
- [14] M. Hopkins and I. M. Singer, 'Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M -theory', *J. Differential Geom.* **70** (2005), 329–425.
- [15] K. Klonoff, 'An index theorem in differential K -theory', PhD Thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2008.
- [16] M. Kreck, *Differential Algebraic Topology*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 110 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010).
- [17] D. G. Mead, 'Newton's identities', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **99**(8) (1992), 749–751.
- [18] V. P. Pingali and L. A. Takhtajan, 'On Bott–Chern forms and their applications', *Math. Ann.* **360**(1–2) (2014), 519–546.
- [19] J. Simons and D. Sullivan, 'Structured vector bundles define differential K -theory', in: *Quanta of Maths*, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, 11 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010), 579–599.
- [20] T. Tradler, S. O. Wilson and M. Zeinalian, 'An elementary differential extension of odd K -theory', *J. K-Theory* **12**(2) (2013), 331–361.

MAN-HO HO, Department of Mathematics,
Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong,
Kowloon, Hong Kong
e-mail: homanho@hkbu.edu.hk