

principles of stemmatics (Blok 232) and must have known something of the history of the excerpts, should have proved himself here no better than a Havercamp (cf. Munro's ed. of Lucretius, i⁴. 18). In the present state of our ignorance, and since Heinsius *was* Heinsius and not Havercamp, I have, wisely or unwisely, cited the excerpts fairly freely in my apparatus as if they possessed independent authority.

Peterhouse, Cambridge

E. J. KENNEY

ERRATUM

I take this opportunity of correcting a particularly reprehensible error of my own on p. 140 of my edition of these poems. At *A.A.* i. 730 read '. . . hoc multi †non ualuisse† putant'; and at ll. 3-4 of the critical apparatus read '*equidem* multi<s> *utique*' eqs. In other words, the manuscripts are unanimous in offering *multi*. I hope that Dr. Lenz will be glad to have this evidence of our common humanity (*Maia* xiii [1961], 131).

E. J. K.