# Antigenicity in hamsters of inactivated vaccines prepared from recombinant influenza viruses

# BY M. HAMZAWI, R. JENNINGS AND C. W. POTTER

Department of Virology, Academic Division of Pathology, University of Sheffield Medical School, Sheffield S10 2RX

(Received 3 March 1981)

#### SUMMARY

Inactivated vaccines prepared from influenza virus strains obtained by the recombination of A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) or A/FM/1/47 (H1N1) viruses with A/Victoria/3/75 (H3N2) virus, were tested for their antigenicity in hamsters. The parental origin of the genes of each cloned recombinant virus was determined by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and vaccines prepared from each strain by concentration, purification on sucrose density gradients and inactivation with formalin. All the recombinant strains used in these studies possessed surface haemagglutinin and neuraminidase antigens derived from the A/Victoria/75 parent strain.

On inoculation into hamsters, at equivalent concentrations, these vaccines varied in their ability to induce haemagglutination-inhibiting (HI) antibodies in the serum. This variation was not dependent on concentration and was observed using neutralization and single radial haemolysis, as well as HI. The possible reasons for the findings are discussed.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Immunization of man against influenza is usually carried out using inactivated whole or subunit virus vaccines (see reviews Davenport, 1979; Potter, 1979). Whole virus vaccines have been used for many years for the protection of humans against influenza (Davenport, 1961), while subunit vaccines, containing only the haemagglutinin (H) and neuraminidase (N) antigens of the influenza virus particle, have only recently become available (Bachmayer, 1975). To obtain these vaccines seed virus strains with good growth capacity and bearing relevant surface antigens are grown in embryonated eggs, inactivated by treatment with formalin or  $\beta$ -propiolactone and concentrated and purified by zonal centrifugation in sucrose density gradients (Brady & Furminger, 1976). These materials are then used either as whole virus preparations, split to produce a disrupted virus vaccine or split to give preparations from which purified, subunit vaccines can be prepared (Laver & Webster, 1966; Bachmayer, 1975; Brady & Furminger, 1976). The seed viruses used for vaccine production are obtained by recombination (Kilbourne, 1963) of wild-type influenza viruses bearing the current haemagglutinin (H) and neuraminidase (N) antigens with strains such as A/PR/8/34, a virus with high-yielding growth characteristics (Kilbourne, 1969; McCahon & Schild, 1972; McCahon, Stealey & Beare, 1976). Those viruses bearing surface antigens derived from the wild-type parent virus and showing high-yielding growth characteristics are used for vaccine production.

In this approach to influenza virus vaccine production the assumption is made that the serum haemagglutination-inhibiting (HI) antibody titres induced by the vaccine are directly related to the virus antigen content of the vaccine, and that vaccines prepared from recombinant strains with high growth capacity and bearing the relevant surface antigens will constitute the best vaccines (Baez, Palese & Kilbourne, 1980). This is not necessarily true, since previous studies from this laboratory suggest that different recombinant viruses bearing the same surface antigens may vary significantly in their capacity to induce serum antibody in hamsters (Jennings & Potter, 1973; Jennings, Potter & McLaren, 1974).

In the present study, we report differences in the ability of inactivated vaccines prepared from recombinant influenza viruses, bearing the same surface antigens and containing the same concentration of haemagglutinin, to induce serum HI antibody in hamsters. The recombinants were all derived from A/Victoria/75 virus with either A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) or A/FM/1/47 (H1N1) virus as the second parent. The HA content of vaccines prepared from these strains were all standardized by rocket immunoelectrophoresis.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Virus strains

Influenza viruses A/PR/8/34 (H1N1), A/FM/1/47 (H1N1), A/Victoria/3/75 (H3N2) and X47 (H3N2), a recombinant of A/PR/8/34 and A/Victoria/75 viruses, were obtained from Dr J. J. Skehel, National Institute for Medical Research, Mill Hill, London. A group of A/PR/8/34 and A/Victoria/75 recombinants were obtained from Dr C. Huygelen, Recherche et Industrie Therapeutique, Rixensaart, Belgium. Virus pools were prepared by the allantoic inoculation of embryonated hens' eggs, as described previously (Jennings, Denton & Potter, 1976). Virus infectivity titres were determined using the allantois-on-shell method (Fazekas de St Groth, Witchell & Lafferty, 1958) and the haemagglutinin titres by standard methods (Expert Committee on Respiratory Virus Vaccines, 1959).

#### Animals

The source of hamsters and the methods used for virus infection of hamsters together with the preparation and titration of lung homogenates is described elsewhere (Abou-Donia, Jennings & Potter, 1980).

#### Preparation of recombinant viruses

# (a) A/PR8-A/Victoria/75 recombinants

Recombinants were prepared according to the methods described by Lubeck, Palese & Schulman (1979). Confluent MDCK cell monolayers on plastic dishes were infected with 10 and 100 PFU per cell of A/PR/8/34 and A/Victoria/3/75 viruses respectively, in the presence of 0.25 u./ml of TPCK trypsin (Worthington Biochemical Company, New Jersey, U.S.A.). After 30 min at room temperature

455

(RT) the monolayers were washed with PBS, and Eagles medium containing 2% foetal calf serum added. After incubation at 33 °C for 18 h in atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air, the mixed yields were assayed for plaques at 33 °C in MDCK cell monolayers in the presence of trypsin and A/PR8 antiserum. Plaques with morphology similar to those produced by A/PR8 or untypical of those produced by A/Victoria/75 virus were cloned at least twice by plaque-to-plaque passage in the presence of A/PR8 antiserum prior to the preparation of virus pools in embryonated eggs.

# (b) A/FM1-A/Victoria/75 recombinants

Recombinant virus strains were prepared by the double infection of either 10-day embryonated eggs (McCahon & Schild, 1972) or MDCK cell monolayers (Lubeck et al. 1979). In embryonated eggs, double infections were carried out by the allantoic inoculation of  $10^{3\cdot0}$  and  $10^{4\cdot0}$  EBID<sub>50</sub>/ml of A/FM1 and A/Victoria/75 parent strains respectively. After incubation for 18 h at 33 °C the allantoic fluids were collected, and 0·1 ml samples of diluted fluids mixed with A/FM1 antiserum and left overnight at 4 °C. The virus-antiserum mixtures were then inoculated into eggs or egg-bit pieces, incubated at 33 °C for 48 h and fluids from individual cultures passaged twice at high limit dilution in eggs or egg-bits in the presence of A/FM1 antiserum, and virus pools prepared in embryonated eggs.

All virus-containing allantoic fluids were tested by HI and neuraminidase inhibition (NI) tests and strains bearing N3N2 surface antigens used for further study.

# Isotopic labelling of virus-specific polypeptides in infected cells

Monolayers of MDCK cells in Petri dishes were inoculated with 10 to 100 PFU/cell of various putative recombinant viruses, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Maintenance medium was added and the dishes incubated at 33 °C for 6 h in an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air. The medium was then removed and 1 ml of MEM deficient in methionine and containing 20  $\mu$ Ci/ml of (<sup>35</sup>S) methionine (The Radiochemical Centre, Amersham, Bucks) was added to the cells. After 15 min, the label was removed, each monolayer was washed with cold PBS and immediately lysed with 0.2 ml of a solution containing 2% SDS, 1% mercaptoethanol, 6 M urea, 0.005% bromophenol blue and 0.0625 M Tris hydrochloride (pH 6.8).

#### SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

Virus-specific polypeptides from infected cells were subjected to SDSpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using the methods of Laemmli (1970) as applied by Ritchey, Palese & Schulman (1977). Thus, the lysates from cells infected with putative recombinant viruses were boiled for 2 min and analysed for polypeptides in SDS-polyacrylamide gradient and single-strength gels. A constant 200 V was applied to the gels for 4 to 8 h and tris-glycine buffer circulated using a peristaltic pump. After electrophoresis, gels were dried and exposed to X-ray film for 1 to 7 days to localize the labelled proteins. The parental origin of the recombinant virus polypeptides was determined by direct reference to the migration of polypeptides from the parent viruses tested in the same gel.

#### Serological tests

#### (a) Haemagglutination-inhibition

HI tests were carried out using a modification of the microtitre method (Sever, 1962). Before testing, serum samples were treated with cholera filtrate for 18 h at 37 °C and subsequently heated for 60 min at 56 °C. The serum dilutions in PBS were mixed with equal volumes of buffer containing eight (50 %) haemagglutination (HA) units of RIT 4050 virus antigen. A further volume of 0.5 % fowl erythrocytes in PBS was added to each well and the cells allowed to settle at RT. Titres were expressed as the highest serum dilution causing a 50 % reduction in HA. The sera used for identification of the HA antigens of the recombinant virus strains were mono-specific sera raised against A/Victoria/75, A/PR8 and A/FM1 viruses in ferrets.

#### (b) Neutralization tests

Sera were tested for neutralizing antibody to RIT 4050 virus by the allantoison-shell (A-O-S) method (Fazekas de St Groth *et al.* 1958), as described elsewhere (Potter *et al.* 1975). In the present study RIT 4050 virus was used as antigen at a concentration of eight haemagglutinating units. Neutralizing antibody titres were calculated by the method of Reed & Muench (1938).

#### (c) Single radial haemolysis (SRH)

SRH was carried out to determine the antibody response of hamsters to immunization, using the methods of Oxford *et al.* (1979). Immunoplates containing virus-sensitized cells and guinea-pig complement in agarose gels were prepared according to standard methods (Schild, Oxford & Virelizier, 1976). The strain of virus used in all SRH tests was RIT 4050 virus.

#### (d) Neuraminidase-inhibition tests

NI tests were carried out using standard World Health Organisation methods (Aymard-Henry *et al.* 1973), using the X42 (HEqui-1/N2) recombinant strain as antigen. The N2 antigen of this virus was derived from A/Port Chalmers/73 (H3N2), and hyperimmune rabbit serum to X42 virus was used for the identification of the neuraminidase antigens of recombinant virus strains.

#### Rocket immunoelectrophoresis

The concentration of HA in the inactivated virus vaccines prepared from different recombinant viruses was standardized by rocket immunoelectrophoresis (Laurell, 1965; Oxford, *et al.* 1979), using monospecific antiserum to purified influenza virus RIT 4050 HA. This antiserum was prepared according to the methods of Brand & Skehel (1972).

After electrophoresis the rocket-shaped precipitates were stained with 0.3% Kenacid blue (British Drug Houses, Poole, Dorset) in 50% methanol containing 7% (w/v) glacial acetic acid, and the rockets' heights measured using a micrometer eye-piece (AMT Marketing and Sales, Shalford, Surrey). HA concentrations in each preparation were determined by comparison with a standard preparation of A/Victoria/75 virus of known HA concentration, kindly supplied by Dr J. S. Oxford, National Institute for Biological Standardisation and Control, Holly Hill, London.

#### Preparation of inactivated influenza virus vaccines

Pools of parental A/Victoria/75 virus and recombinant virus strains prepared from A/Victoria/75 and A/PR8 or A/FM1 viruses were made in 10-day embryonated eggs, concentrated and purified by differential and rate-zonal centrifugation in 10-40% linear sucrose density gradients and inactivated using 0.04% formaldehyde solution. Prior to inoculation into hamsters the concentration of HA in each vaccine was adjusted to give an inoculum of 20  $\mu$ g/HA per ml.

#### RESULTS

# The surface antigens of the influenza virus strains

The identity of the HA antigens in the three parental viruses and ten recombinant virus strains used in the present study was determined by HI tests using monospecific ferret antisera. In addition, all the viruses were tested for 50 % inhibition of neuraminidase activity using antiserum prepared against X42 virus. The results are shown in Table 1. All the recombinant virus strains possessed surface antigens derived from the A/Victoria/75 parent strain and showed H3N2 specificity, although the dilution of standard serum required to inhibit the HA or NA activity of the various recombinant strains was variable, particularly for the NA antigen. Thus, a 1 in 20000 dilution of N2 antiserum inhibited the NA activity of strain VFE-2, but dilutions of 1:1000 were required for strains VP1 and VP2.

#### Polypeptide analysis of influenza viruses

The gene composition of each virus was determined by polypeptide analysis, and it was found that virus strains VFEB-82, VFEB-91 and VFE-1 possessed both matrix (M) and non-structural (NSI) proteins coded for by genes derived from A/FM1. The M proteins of three other strains, VP1, VP2, and VP7 migrated similarly to the M protein of A/PR8 and these viruses thus derive the gene coding for this protein from A/PR8. Similarly, the gene's coding for the NS proteins of strains VP1 and VP2 were derived from A/PR8 and also the NP genes of VP1 and VP7.

The gene composition of RIT 4050 was reported by Florent *et al.* (1977), while the gene constellation of X47 virus is identical to that of RIT 4050. Thus both RIT 4050 and X47 derive P2, P3, M and NS genes from A/PR8. RIT 1454 virus has obtained all its genes, with the exception of P3, and possibly P2, from A/Victoria/75.

#### Serum HI antibody responses to recombinant virus vaccines

To test the capacity of the recombinants to induce serum HI antibody, each strain was prepared as an inactivated, whole virus vaccine in PBS, standardized to contain 20  $\mu$ g of HA/ml and inoculated in 1 ml amounts into hamsters. Groups of 5–12 hamsters were inoculated intramuscularly with each vaccine, and blood samples collected at 21 days post-immunization were tested for HI antibodies against RIT 4050 virus together with serum obtained prior to immunization. In four separate experiments the serum HI antibody responses of hamsters were relatively uniform for a given vaccine. Thus, the geometric mean titre (g.m.t.) of

,	•	Inibition o	f virus HA	•
	Domission of	activit	y with	Inhibition of virus
Virus strain	virus strain	H3 antiserum	H1 antiserum	with N2 antiserum
Parent virus A /Viotoria /3 /75	Wild turns winns	95.RO#	06 \	9500
A/FM/1/47	Laboratory virus strain	<ul><li>20</li><li>20</li></ul>	1280	< 50
A/PR/8/34	Laboratory virus strain	< 20	2560	< 50
Recombinant viruses				
<b>RIT 4050</b>	A/PR8 × A/Victoria/75	2560	< 20	10000
X47	A/PR8 × A/Victoria/75	1960	< 20	10000
<b>RIT 1454</b>	A/PR8 × A/Victoria/75	2560	< 20	8400
VP1	A/PR8 × A/Victoria/75	2560	< 20	1000
VP2	A/PR8 × A/Victoria/75	2560	< 20	960
VP7	A/PR8 × A/Victoria/75	2560	< 20	10000
VFEB-82	$A/FM1 \times A/Victoria/75$	2560	< 20	13300
VFEB-91	$A/FM1 \times A/Victoria/75$	640	< 20	10600
VFE-1	$A/FM1 \times A/Victoria/75$	2560	< 20	9500
VFE-2	$A/FM1 \times A/Victoria/75$	640	< 20	20000
* Titres expressed as the	reciprocal of the serum dilutio	n inhibiting either	HA or NA activ	ity of the virus by $50\%$ .

Table 1. Serological specificity of the surface antigens of parent and recombinant influenza virus strains

3 a) 3 d va sauni r



Fig. 1. Serum HI Antibody Response of Hamsters to A/PR/8/34 or A/FM/1/47Recombinant viruses in comparison to their response to the wild-Type A/Victoria/3/75parent virus. The figures on the ordinate represent the fold-difference in mean antibody titre of the virus shown, to that of A/Victoria/75 virus represented by the abscissa.

HI antibody in hamsters recieving 20  $\mu$ g/HA of A/Victoria/75 vaccine varied from 16 to 39 with an overall g.m.t. for all 30 animals of 25·1. In contrast, hamsters immunized with RIT 4050 or VFEB-82 recombinant virus vaccines developed relatively high titres of serum HI antibody. Thus, in three separate experiments, the g.m.t. of hamsters given VFEB-82 vaccine were 160, 105 and 156, with an overall mean titre for all hamsters of 131. Similarly high antibody responses were observed in animals given 20  $\mu$ g/HA of RIT 4050 virus vaccine (individual g.m.ts, 165, 170, 134; overall g.m.t., 157·4). However, the A/PR8-A/Victoria/75 recombinant virus vaccine, VP-1, was similar to the A/Victoria/75 parent virus in inducing a relatively poor serum HI antibody response, and in three separate experiments g.m.t. values of 24 to 41 were observed, with an overall mean titre of 29·8.

Figure 1 shows the serum HI antibody responses of hamsters to ten different recombinant virus vaccines. The results are expressed as the fold-differences in serum HI antibody elicited by the recombinant virus vaccines relative to that observed for A/Victoria/75 vaccine and indicate that the different recombinants, each bearing HA antigen coded for by a gene derived from A/Victoria/75, and inoculated into hamsters at the same dose, induced significantly different titres of serum HI antibody. RIT 4050 vaccine for instance, induced 5.6-fold greater antibody titres than A/Victoria/75 vaccine (T = 7.82, P = < 0.01); VFEB-82 induced 3.5-fold greater antibody titres than A/Victoria/75 vaccine (T = 6.16, P = < 0.01), whilst VP-2 vaccine induced 1.2-fold lower antibody titres than A/Victoria/75 vaccine, although this difference was not significant.

When HI tests were carried out using A/Victoria/75 or VFEB-82 as antigens,

### M. HAMZAWI, R. JENNINGS AND C. W. POTTER

**460** 

sera from animals given RIT 4050 or VFEB-82 vaccines showed relatively high HI titres compared to those found in hamsters given VP1 or VP2 vaccines, although the differences were not so marked.

#### Neutralization and single radial haemolysis

Sera from hamsters inoculated with five of the vaccines were also tested for antibody by neutralization and SRH, in order to show that the differences in serological response elicited by the various recombinant virus vaccines were not detectable only in the HI test. The results in Table 2 show that differences in antigenicity between the recombinant virus vaccines were also observed using the neutralization and SRH tests. Thus, by neutralization test, sera from hamsters inoculated with vaccine prepared from strain VFEB-82, showed titres ranging from 1 in 300 to 1 in 1200, with a mean of 1 in 423 in one experiment and a mean of 1 in 363 in a second experiment. Conversely, sera from hamsters immunized with strain VP1, showed considerably lower titres, with means of 56 and 50 obtained in sera from different experiments.

Similarly, when tested by SRH, sera from hamsters inoculated with VFEB-82 and RIT 4050 showed larger areas of haemolysis than those seen with sera from animals inoculated with VP1 vaccine. Indeed, areas of haemolysis were not detectable on SRH of sera from hamsters given the latter vaccine. The A/Victoria/75 parent virus also induced relatively low antibody titres as determined by neutralization or SRH (Table 2).

#### Protection of hamsters immunized with recombinant influenza virus vaccines

To determine if immunization with recombinant influenza virus vaccines provides protection against challenge infection with A/Victoria/75 virus, groups of hamsters were inoculated intramuscularly with various doses of VP1, VFEB-82 and A/Victoria/75 vaccines. Blood samples were collected 2 and 22 weeks post-immunization, and after the latter blood sampling, each hamster received 1060  $EBID_{so}$  of A/Victoria/75 virus intranasally. Three days later the animals were killed, 40% lung suspensions prepared and titrated for virus in A-O-S cultures. The results (Table 3) show that at both 2 and 22 weeks higher HI antibody levels were present in sera from hamsters immunized with VFEB-82 vaccine, compared to the levels seen in animals receiving VP1 or A/Victoria/75 vaccines, although the differences were considerably less marked after the longer time interval. At dosages of 2 or 0.2  $\mu$ g HA neither VFEB-82 nor VP1 vaccines elicited protection against challenge with A/Victoria/75 virus, but protection was evident in hamsters immunized with 20  $\mu$ g HA of VFEB-82 or A/Victoria/75 vaccines. In contrast, hamsters receiving an equivalent dose of VP1 vaccine showed no protection against challenge infection.

#### DISCUSSION

In earlier studies of the immune response of hamsters to inactivated influenza A virus vaccine, we have reported that the concentration of virus antigen required to induce a detectable serum HI antibody response varied according to the virus strain used in the vaccine (Jennings & Potter, 1973; Jennings *et al.* 1974). However

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HINo.VaccineNo.VariationShuttalizationVirusNo.VirusNo.VaccineNo.VaccineNo.VaccineNo.VaccineNo.VaccineNo.VaccineNo.A/Victoria/75No.SolutionSolutionA/Victoria/75No.A/Victoria/75No.SolutionSolutionA/Victoria/75SolutionA/Victoria/75SolutionA/Victoria/75SolutionA/Victoria/75No.SolutionSolutionA/Victoria/75No.SolutionSolutionA/Victoria/75No.SolutionSolutionA/Victoria/75No.SolutionSolutionA/Victoria/75No.SolutionSolutionSolutionSolutionA/Victoria/75No. <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>rum anu</th><th>ouy utres as determin</th><th>Suisn nau</th><th></th></th<>						rum anu	ouy utres as determin	Suisn nau	
Virus       Vaccine       No.       No.       No.       No.         vaccine       hamster)       no.       tested       (g.m.t.)       tested       mm <sup>a</sup> (mean)         A/Victoria/75       20       1       5       <40-160 (60)       5       <100-100 (57)       -*         A/Victoria/75       20       1       5       <40-160 (60)       5       <100-100 (57)       -*         A/Victoria/75       20       1       4       80-320 (11)       5       <100 (50)       12       70-201 (12:5)         RIT 4050       20       1       4       80-320 (190)       4       100-400 (234)       12       452:5 (452:5)         VPI       20       1       6       320-80 (26)       5       <100 (50)       12       70 (70)         VFEB-82       20       1       6       300-1200 (363)       12       70 (70)         VFEB-82       20       1       6       300-1200 (363)       12       70 (70)         VFEB-82       20       20       640 (384)       6       300-1200 (423)       -       -       -       -       70 (70)         VFEB-82       20       20       640 (384)       6       300-12	Virus       Vacute doe ( $\mu$ Exp.       No.       No.       No.       No.         Virus       ( $\mu$ Exp.       sera       Range of titres       sera       Range of zone area ( $\mu$ matter)       no.       tested $m1^{\circ}$ (mean)         A/Victoria/75       20       1       5       40–160 (60)       5       <100–100 (57) $-*$ $-$ A/Victoria/75       20       1       4       80–320 (10)       4       100–400 (234)       12       70–201 (125)         RIT 4050       20       1       4       80–320 (190)       4       100–400 (234)       12       70 (70)         VP1       20       1       5       <20–90 (131)       6       <100–100 (56)       12       70 (70)         VP1       20       1       6       320–640 (384)       6       <00–100 (56)       12       70 (70)         VFEB-82       20       2       6       031)       6       <00–100 (56)       12       70 (70)         VFEB-82       20       2       6       031)       6       <00–100 (56)       12       70 (70)         VFEB-82       20       2       6       0       300–1200 (433)       12 $70^{\circ}$ (70) <th></th> <th>11</th> <th></th> <th>l</th> <th>HI</th> <th></th> <th>Veutralization</th> <th></th> <th>SRH</th>		11		l	HI		Veutralization		SRH
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	A/Victoria/752015< 400-100 (57)	Virus vaccine	v accine dose (µgHA/ hamster)	Exp. no.	No. sera tested	Range of titres (g.m.t.)	No. sera tested	Range of titres (g.m.t.)	No. sera tested	Range of zone area mm <sup>4</sup> (mean)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	RIT 4050201480-320 (190)4100-400 (234)12452.5 (452.5)VP12015< 20-80 (26)	A/Victoria/75	20 20	- 6	טי טי	40–160 (60) < 20–20 (11)	ο Ω	< 100-100 (57) < 100 (50)	<b>1</b> 2	— 7-0-201 (12·5)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VP1       20       1       5       < 20-80 (26)       5       < 100-100 (56)       12       70 (70)         20       2       6       20-40 (31)       6       < 100-100 (56)	<b>RIT 4050</b>	20	1	4	80-320 (190)	4	100-400 (234)	12	452.5 (452.5)
VFEB-82 20 1 6 320-640 (384) 6 300-1200 (423) 20 2 6 320-640 (384) 6 300-400 (363) 12 380-531 (445) * Sera from this experiment not tested. g.m.t. = geometric mean titre.	VFEB-82       20       1       6       320-640 (384)       6       300-1200 (423) $ -$ 20       2       6       320-640 (384)       6       300-400 (363)       12       380-531 (445)         *       Sera from this experiment not tested.       •       Sera from this experiment not tested.       380-531 (445)         *       Sera from this experiment not tested.       •       Sera from this experiment not tested.       380-531 (445)         *       Sera from this experiment not tested.       •       Sera from titre.       12       380-531 (445)         *       Sera from this experiment not tested.       •       Sera from titre.       •       Sera from titre.         *       3.       Protection of hamsters immunized with recombinant influenza virus vaccines against $A/Victoria/75$ challenge in Virus recovery from lung.	VP1	88	- 2	r O	< 20-80 (26) 20-40 (31)	n Q	< 100 (50) < 100-100 (56)	12	
<ul> <li>Sera from this experiment not tested.</li> <li>g.m.t. = geometric mean titre.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>* Sera from this experiment not tested.</li> <li>g.m.t. = geometric mean titre.</li> <li>3. Protection of hamsters immunized with recombinant influenza virus vaccines against A/Victoria/75 challenge in Virus recovery</li> </ul>	VFEB-82	88	- 01	\$ \$	320-640 (384) 320-640 (384)	<b>\$</b> \$	300-1200 (423) 300-400 (363)	12	— 380–531 (445)
	3. Protection of hamsters immunized with recombinant influenza virus vaccines against A/Victoria/75 challenge in Virus recovery				•	Sera from this expeig.m.t. = geometri	riment nol ic mean tit	tested. re.		
							Serum H	I titre ø.m.t. at	Viru fr	s recovery om lung

Dose         No. of         2 weeks         22 weeks         EBIDs           VP1         (µgHA) hamsters         post-immunization         at 22 weeks         (EBIDs           VP1         20         10         19         22         10 <sup>4</sup> (A/PR8-A/Victoria/75)         2         10         11         13         10 <sup>4</sup> (A/PR8-A/Victoria/75)         2         10         174         56         10 <sup>4</sup> VFEB-82         20         10         174         56         10 <sup>4</sup> Vretoria/75)         2         10         174         56         10 <sup>4</sup> Vretoria/75)         2         10         174         56         10 <sup>4</sup> Nitotoria/75)         2         10         24         13         10 <sup>4</sup> A/Victoria/75         2         0         24         13         10 <sup>4</sup> A/Victoria/75         2         10         24         13         10 <sup>4</sup> A/Victoria/75         2         10         26         <10         10 <sup>4</sup> A/Victoria/75         2         10         69         45         <10 <sup>4</sup> 10 <sup>4</sup>				Serum HI to	itre g.m.t. at	from lung
VP1VP120101510(A/PR8-A/Victoria/75)2101516100-21011131010VFEB-82201017456<10VFEB-82201017456<10VFEB-82201017456<10VFEB-822010241310VFEB-822010241310Nil-5<102413Nil-5<10<2010Nil-5<10<10<10Nil-5<10<10<10* EBID titree of moded lung suspensions.* EBID titree of moded lung suspensions.<10	Virus strain	Dose (µgHA)	No. of hamsters	2 weeks post-immunization	22 weeks post-immunization	EBID <sub>so</sub> /ml) at 22 weeks
(A/PR8-A/Victoria/75)210151610 $0^2$ 10111310'VFEB-82201017456<10'	VPI	20	10	19	22	10,.,*
VFEB-82       0-2       10       11       13       10*         VFEB-82       20       10       174       56       < 10*	(A/PR8-A/Victoria/75)	5	10	15	16	103.0
VFEB-82       20       10       174       56       < 10^{1}         (A/FM1-A/Victoria/75)       2       10       40       30       10^{2}         (A/FM1-A/Victoria/75)       2       10       40       30       10^{2}         A/Victoria/75       20       10       69       45       < 10^{1}	•	0-2	10	11	13	104.1
(A/FM1-A/Victoria/75)       2       10       40       30       10 <sup>2</sup> 0-2       10       24       13       10 <sup>4</sup> A/Victoria/75       20       10       69       45       <10 <sup>1</sup> Nil        5       <10	VFEB-82	20	10	174	56	< 10 <sup>1.8</sup>
0-2       10       24       13       10*         A/Victoria/75       20       10       69       45       < 10*	(A/FM1-A/Victoria/75)	5	10	<b>4</b> 0	80	1024
A/Victoria/75         20         10         69         45         < 10^1           Nil         -         5         < 10	•	0-2	10	24	13	104.5
Nil — 5 <10 <10 <sup>4</sup> RBID titres of pooled lung suspensions.	A/Victoria/75	20	10	69	45	< 10 <sup>1.9</sup>
* F.BID titres of moded lung suspensions.	Nil	I	ũ	< 10	< 10	104.8
		• E]	BID., titres	of pooled lung susper	nsions.	

# Recombinant influenza virus vaccines

# M. HAMZAWI, R. JENNINGS AND C. W. POTTER

462

the vaccines were standardized using chick embryo cell agglutinating (CCA) units. In recent years this method of standardization has been superseded by more accurate techniques for estimating vaccine potency such as single radial diffusion and rocket immunoelectrophoresis (Schild, Wood & Newman, 1975; Oxford *et al.* 1977), which give more reliable quantitation of the haemagglutinin present in the vaccines.

In the present study we have shown that the antigenicity in hamsters, of inactivated, whole influenza virus vaccines prepared from A/PR8-A/Victoria or A/FM1-A/Victoria recombinant virus strains and bearing surface antigens derived from the A/Victoria/75 parent virus, shows wide variation. In addition, the serum HI antibody levels induced by three of the vaccines can be related to resistance against A/Victoria/75 challenge infection, and both VFEB-82 and A/Victoria/75 vaccines induced antibody levels high enough to protect the animals at 22 weeks post-immunization. The antibody levels induced by VP1 vaccines were not protective. No challenge studies were carried out at 2 weeks post-immunization, but it seems probable that similar results would have been obtained.

These findings may have considerable implications for the commercial production of inactivated influenza virus vaccines since the recombinant virus strains used are selected on the basis of their high and rapid growth capacity (McCahon & Schild, 1972; McCahon *et al.* 1976) and not on their ability to induce protective antibody. The antigenicity of inactivated, whole type A influenza virus vaccines for use in humans is determined by studies in animals (Schulman & Kilbourne, 1971; Fenton, Jennings & Potter, 1977), and by clinical trials (Potter *et al.* 1980); however, these studies are directed towards standardizing dosage, and not the comparison of antigenicity of different virus strains. Virus strains of high antigenicity could be used at relatively low concentrations and this could offset the possibly lower titres of virus obtained from eggs and also result in a lower incidence of reactions following immunization.

The reasons for the difference in antigenicity of the various inactivated whole virus vaccines studied in the present report are unknown, but could relate to the physical form of the virus particles in the vaccine, and the form in which antigens are presented to the immune system is known to influence the immune response (Unanue, 1972). Electron microscope studies of the gross appearance of the recombinant virus vaccines showed them to consist of intact spherical particles with few aberrant or filamentous forms, but studies of their ultrastructure were not undertaken. However, differences in the quantity and the arrangement of these antigens on the virion surface and the physical presentation of the antigenic sites, may be important and in this respect differences in the nature of the packing of the internal proteins may affect the packing and configuration of the surface antigens.

Alternatively, the differences in antigenicity may be due to differences in the surface antigens themselves, and although all the vaccines carried HA and NA antigens derived from A/Victoria/75, differences in various phenotypic properties of the HA of influenza virus strains, indistinguishable by normal serological tests, have been reported (Kilbourne, 1978; Erickson & Kilbourne, 1980). Recent studies have shown that influenza A virus variants can readily emerge on passage (Brand & Palese, 1980), and such variants could differ in their antigenicity.

# Recombinant influenza virus vaccines

Although the results presented here do not allow us to differentiate between these possibilities, the identification of influenza strains with relatively high antigenicity may have considerable potential value in influenza vaccine preparation.

#### REFERENCES

- ABOU-DONIA, H., JENNINGS, R. & POTTER, C. W. (1980). Growth of influenza A viruses in hamsters. Archives of Virology 65, 99-107.
- AYMARD-HENRY, M., COLEMAN, M. T., DOWDLE, W. R., LAVER, W. G., SCHILD, G. C. & WEBSTER, R. G. (1973). Influenza virus neuraminidase and neuraminidase-inhibition test procedures. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation 48, 199–202.
- BACHMAYER, H. (1975). Selective solubilisation of haemagglutinin and neuraminidase from influenza viruses. Intervirology 5, 260-272.
- BAEZ, M., PALESE, P. & KILBOURNE, E. D. (1980). Gene composition of high-yielding influenza vaccine strains obtained by recombination. Journal of Infectious Diseases 141, 362-365.
- BRADY, M. I. & FURMINGER, I. G. S. (1976). A surface antigen influenza vaccine. I. Purification of haemagglutinin and neuraminidase proteins. *Journal of Hygiene* 77, 161–180.
- BRAND, C. & PALESE, P. (1980). Sequential passage of influenza virus in embryonated eggs or tissue culture: Emergence of mutants. Virology 107, 424-433.
- BRAND, C. M. & SKEHEL, J. J. (1972). Crystalline antigen from the influenza virus envelope. Nature New Biology 238, 145-147.
- DAVENPORT, F. M. (1961). Inactivated influenza virus vaccine: past present and future. American Review of Respiratory Diseases (Supplement) 83, 146-156.
- DAVENPORT, F. M. (1979). The search for the ideal influenza vaccine. Journal of Biological Standardisation 55, 78-86.
- ERICKSON, A. H. & KILBOURNE, E. D. (1980). Mutation in the haemagglutinin of A/N-WS/33 influenza virus recombinants influencing sensitivity to trypsin and antigenic reactivity. *Virology* 107, 320-330.
- EXPERT COMMITTEE ON RESPIRATORY VIRUS DISEASES (1959). World Health Organisation Technical Report Number 170. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- FAZEKAS DE ST GROTH, S., WITCHELL, S. J. & LAFFERTY, K. J. (1958). An improved assay for neutralising antibodies against influenza viruses. Journal of Hygiene 56, 415–426.
- FENTON, R. J., JENNINGS, R. & POTTER, C. W. (1977). The serological response of experimental animals to inactivated whole and split influenza virus vaccines. Journal of Biological Standardisation 5, 217-229.
- FLORENT, G., LOBMAN, M., BEARE, A. S. & ZYGRAICH, N. (1977). RNA's of influenza A recombinant viruses derived from parents of known virulence for man. Archives of Virology 54, 19-28.
- JENNINGS, R., DENTON, M. D. & POTTER, C. W. (1976). The hamster as an experimental animal for the study of influenza. *Medical Microbiology and Immunology* 162, 217-226.
- JENNINGS, R. & POTTER, C. W. (1973). Enhanced response to influenza A vaccines in hamsters primed by prior heterotype influenza infection. Archiv. für die gesamte Virusforschung 42, 197-206.
- JENNINGS, R., POTTER, C. W. & MCLAREN, C. (1974). Effect of preinfection and preimmunisation on the serum antibody response to subsequent immunisation with heterotypic influenza vaccines. Journal of Immunology 113, 1834–1843.
- KILBOURNE, E. D. (1963). Influenza virus genetics, Progress in Medical Virology 5, 79-126.
- KILBOURNE, E. D. (1969). Future influenza vaccines and the use of genetic recombinants. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation 41, 643-645.
- KILBOURNE, E. D. (1978). Genetic dimorphism in influenza viruses: Characteristics of stably associated haemagglutinin mutants differing in antigenicity and biological properties. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A. 75, 6258-6262.
- LAEMMLI, V. K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature, London 227, 680-685.
- LAURELL, C. B. (1965). Antigen-antibody crossed electrophoresis. Analytical Biochemistry 10, 358-361.
- LAVER, W. G. & WEBSTER, R. G. (1966). Influenza virus subunit vaccines: immunogenicity and

# 464 M. HAMZAWI, R. JENNINGS AND C. W. POTTER

lack of toxicity for rabbits of ether and detergent-disrupted virus. Journal of Immunology 96, 595-605.

- LUBECK, M. D., PALESE, P. & SCHULMAN, J. L. (1979). Nonrandom association of parental genes in influenza A virus recombinants. Virology 95, 269–274.
- McCAHON, D. & SCHILD, G. C. (1972). Segregation of antigenic and biological characteristics during influenza virus recombination. Journal of General Virology 15, 73-77.
- MCCAHON, D., STEALEY, V. & BEARE, A. S. (1976). The production of live attenuated influenza A strains by recombination with A/Okuda/57 (H2N2). Postgraduate Medical Journal 52, 389-394.
- OXFORD, J. S., SCHILD, G. C., POTTER, C. W. & JENNINGS, R. (1979). The specificity of the anti-haemagglutinin antibody response induced in man by inactivated influenza vaccines and by natural infection. Journal of Hygiene 82, 51-61.
- OXFORD, J. S., SCHILD, G. C., WOOD, J. M., NEWMAN, R. W. & SEAGROATT, V. (1977). The assay of influenza virus structural antigens in vaccines by rocket immunoelectrophoresis. Developments in Biological Standardisation 39, 201–208.
- POTTER, C. W. (1979). Prevention of influenza. in Virus Diseases (ed. R. B. Heath), pp. 9-24. Pitman Medical.
- POTTER, C. W., CLARK, A., JENNINGS, R., SCHILD, G. C., WOOD, J. M. & MCWILLIAMS, P. K. A. (1980). Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of inactivated influenza A (H1N1) virus vaccine in unprimed children. Journal of Biological Standardisation 8, 35-48.
- POTTER, C. W., JENNINGS, R., MCLAREN, C. & CLARK, A. (1975). Immunity following intranasal administration of an inactivated, freeze-dried A/England/42/72 vaccine. Archives of Virology 48, 307-316.
- REED, L. J. & MUENCH, H. (1938). A simple method for estimating 50% endpoints. American Journal of Hygiene 27, 493-497.
- RITCHEY, M. B., PALESE, P. & SCHULMAN, J. L. (1977). Differences in protein patterns of influenza A viruses. Virology 76, 121-128.
- SCHULMAN, J. L. & KILBOURNE, E. D. (1971). Correlated studies of a recombinant influenza virus vaccine. II. Definition of antigenicity in experimental animals. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 124, 463–472.
- SEVER, J. L. (1962). Application of microtechnique to viral serological investigations. Journal of Immunology 88, 320-329.
- SCHILD, G. C., OXFORD, J. S. & VIRELIZIER, J. L. (1976). The immune response to influenza methods of analysis for antibodies and antigens. In *The Role of Immunological Factors in Infectious, Allergic and Autoimmune Processes* (ed. R. F. Beers and E. G. Bassett). New York: Raven Press.
- SCHILD, G. C., WOOD, J. M. & NEWMAN, R. W. (1975). A single-radial immunodiffusion technique for the assay of influenza haemagglutinin antigen. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation 52, 223-231.
- UNANUE, E. R. (1972). The regulatory role of macrophages in antigenic stimulation. Advances in Immunology 15, 95-165.