Health Systems s55

of each organization, and their relation to each other, by creating a matrix of the functions and organizations.

Background: When a natural disaster or mass-casualty incident occurs, a large number of injured people visit hospitals. During these times, hospitals need to provide additional services. Thus, it is necessary for various related organizations such as hospitals, municipalities, medical associations, and trade associations, to collaborate. There is a pressing need to establish an Area Disaster Resilience Management System for Healthcare (ADRMS-H) to increase medical resilience. It is necessary to identify the functions of, and coordination between, organizations needed to develop ADRMS-H.

Methods: We identified the medical care requirements during a disaster and the functions that guarantee ongoing health care by investigating disaster records and interviewing several doctors who provided health care services during the Great East Japan Earthquake. In addition, we analyzed the changes in the functions by the hour, and divided the phases based on the changes. Furthermore, we identified the organizations that are needed to fulfill each function and created a matrix between the functions and organizations of each phase.

Results: We created the matrix based on each of the seven phases. The functions included those that must be fulfilled by hospitals, such as providing treatment, and those that must be fulfilled by municipalities, and so on, such as establishing aid stations. Some functions are fulfilled in cooperation with various organizations.

Conclusion: When large earthquakes occurred in Japan, it was difficult to understand the functions needed to ensure continued health care services. Creating the matrix of each phase enables us to understand the changing roles of each organization by the hour. This facilitates in the establishment of ADRMS-H.

Prehosp Disaster Med 2017;32(Suppl. 1):s54–s55 doi:10.1017/S1049023X17001510

A Systematic Review of Human Health following Flood and Storm Disasters

Dell Saulnier, Kim Brolin Ribacke, Johan Von Schreeb Department Of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm/Sweden

Study/Objective: The objective of this review was to elucidate the health problems over time, following flood and storm disasters.

Background: The health care response to a flood or storm disaster should be guided by the expected health needs of the affected population, for both existing conditions and those caused by the disaster. It is essential to know how the burden of disease varies during different phases after the disaster, but there are few studies on the quantifiable changes in health, or in comparing the difference between floods and storms.

Methods: A literature search of the databases Medline, Cinahl, Global Health, Web of Science Core Collection, Embase, and PubMed was conducted in June 2015, for English-language research articles on morbidity or mortality and flood or storm disasters. Articles on mental health,

interventions, and health care workers were excluded. Data were extracted from articles that met the eligibility criteria and analyzed by narrative synthesis.

Results: The review included 113 studies. Poisonings, wounds, gastrointestinal infections, and skin or soft tissue infections all increased after storms. Gastrointestinal infections were more frequent after floods. Leptospirosis and diabetes-related complications increased after both. The majority of changes occurred within four weeks of floods or storms.

Conclusion: Health changes differently after floods and after storms. There is a lack of data on the health effects of floods alone, long-term changes in health, and the strength of the association between the disaster and health problems. An analysis of how contextual factors affect health problems would be a useful complement to the results. The review highlights areas of consideration for medical response, and the need for high quality, systematic research in this area. The study was funded by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare.

Prehosp Disaster Med 2017;32(Suppl. 1):s55 doi:10.1017/S1049023X17001522

Mass Fatality Management in the US

Robyn R. Gershon¹, Qi Zhi², Ezinne Nwankwo², Jacquenline A. Merrill³

- Înstitute For Health Policy Studies, Sch Of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco/United States of America
- Institute For Health Policy Studies, Sch Of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco/CA/United States of America
- 3. School Of Nursing And Department Of Biomedical Informatics, Columbia University, New York/NY/United States of America

Study/Objective: This study was conducted to assess the infrastructure's capacity and capability to effectively respond to Mass Fatality Incidents (MFI).

Background: In recognition of the increasing incidence of Mass Fatality Incidents (MFI), a large and complex multi-level infrastructure has been developed in the US to prepare and respond to these types of disaster events.

Methods: In 2013, anonymous online surveys were distributed to representatives of 5 key sectors comprising the MFI infrastructure (medical examiners/coroners, the death care industry, health departments, faith-based organizations, and offices of emergency management). Three new metrics were developed to measure "preparedness:" (1) organizational capacity, (2) operational capability, and (3) resource sharing capability (between response partners).

Results: A total of 879 respondents reported highly variable organizational capacity: 15% had previously responded to mass fatality incidents (MFI); 42% reported staff trained for MFI, but only 27% for complex MFI (ie. involving hazardous contaminants). Less than half (48%) participated in jurisdictionwide MFI drills. An estimated 75% of staff would be willing and able to report to duty for MFI, but that declined to 53% if contaminants were involved. Most perceived their organization as "somewhat prepared," but 13% indicated "not at all." Fewer than 25 additional fatalities in a 48-hr period would exceed