## THE MULTIPLIER THEOREM FOR DIFFERENCE SETS

RICHARD J. TURYN

In a recent paper (5) Newman proved the following theorem: if $D$ is a difference set in a cyclic group $G$ and $n=q$ is prime, then $q$ is a multiplier of $D$. If $n=2 q$ and $(v, 7)=1$, then $q$ is a multiplier of $D$. The purpose of this note is to point out that a stronger statement than the first part was proved in (1), to remove the restriction $(v, 7)=1$ in the second part, and to give again and make some comments about the proof of the theorem which asserts that a prime divisor of $n$ is a multiplier of $D$ if prime to $v$.

Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $v$. A subset $D$ of $G$ of order $k$ is a difference set if every $g \in G, g \neq e$, can be represented in precisely $\lambda$ ways as a difference of two elements in $D$. Equivalent definitions are
(1) $\left|\sum_{G \in g} \chi(g)\right|=\sqrt{ } n, \quad n=k-\lambda, \chi$ any non-principal character,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{D} y_{g} y_{\theta+h}=\lambda, \quad h \neq e \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{g}=1$ if $g \in D, y_{g}=0$ otherwise. For any automorphism $\sigma$ of $G, g \in G$, $\sigma(D)+g$ is a difference set if and only if $D$ is. If $\sigma(D)+g=D, \sigma$ is a multiplier of $D$. If $(t, v)=1$, the integer $t$ is a multiplier if $\sigma_{t}$, defined by $\sigma_{t}(g)=t g$, is. We write, as in (3), $\chi(D)$ for $\sum y_{g} \chi(g)$.

A rephrasing of the definition of difference set is as follows: let $D$ denote the element of the group algebra of $G, \sum_{D} g$, and let $D^{-1}$ be $\sum_{D} g^{-1}$. Then in the group algebra of $G$ we must have $D D^{-1}=n e+\lambda G,\left(G=\sum_{G} g\right)$.

In (1), Hall showed that if $G$ is cyclic, $m \nmid n$ and for any prime $p$ dividing $m$ there is a $j$ such that $p^{j} \equiv t(\bmod v)$, then $t$ is a multiplier of $D$ if $(t, v)=1$, $m>\lambda$. This theorem was generalized by Menon in (4) to any abelian group $G$. The restriction $m>\lambda$ appears unnecessary. (For example, in (2) E. Lehmer showed that the theorem is true for all known residue difference sets.)

The formula

$$
n-\lambda=\frac{k(v-2 k)+1}{v-1}
$$

shows that $n>\lambda$ if we choose $D$ so that $2 k<v$ (by taking the complement of $D$ if necessary). Thus Hall's theorem shows that if $n=q^{j}, q$ a prime, $(q, v)=$ 1 implies that $q$ is a multiplier (a stronger statement than the first part of the theorem in (5)).

[^0]The proof of the multiplier theorem, as in (1, 3, 4, 5), may be broken up into two steps: if $G$ is an abelian group, $D$ a difference set, form $D \sigma(D)^{-1}-\lambda G=$ $\sum a_{g} g$ in the group algebra of $G$; here $\sigma(D)^{-1}=\sum_{D} \sigma(g)^{-1}$. The first step involves showing that only one of the $a_{g}$ is not zero; the second consists of concluding that $D=\sigma(D) g_{0}$ for some $g_{0}$ in $G$, and is a straightforward computation (multiply both sides by $\sigma(D)$ and simplify). We shall be concerned only with the first step; we remark at this point that the proof of the first step in (5) is almost isomorphic to the one in (1) (the isomorphism arising from the isomorphism between the group algebra of the integers $(\bmod v)$, the ring $Z[X] /\left(X^{v}-1\right)$, and the ring of cyclic matrices of order $v$ with integer coefficients).

The element of the group algebra of $G$ constructed above, $\sum a_{g} g$, has the property that if $\chi$ is any character of $G,\left|\sum a_{g} \chi(g)\right|=n$. (This is true if $\chi$ is non-principal because then $\chi(G)=0$, and for the principal character, $\chi_{0}\left(D \sigma(D)^{-1}-\lambda G\right)=k^{2}-\lambda v=n$.) This is equivalent to the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum a_{g}=n,  \tag{1}\\
& \sum a_{g} a_{g+h}=0, \quad h \neq e
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear from (1) that if all the $a_{g}$ are $\geqslant 0$, all but one must be 0 .
We shall show that if $G$ is abelian and $t, m$ are as in the statement of Hall's theorem, $m \mid a_{g}$ for all $g$. The automorphism $\sigma=\sigma_{t}$ leaves invariant all the prime ideals dividing $m$ in the field of $v$ th roots of 1 . Therefore for any character $\chi$, the prime ideals which divide $m$ in $\bar{\chi}(\sigma D)$ are the same as those in $\bar{\chi}(D)$, and $m \mid \chi(D) \bar{\chi}(D)$ implies that $m \mid \chi(D) \bar{\chi}(\sigma D)$. By the orthogonality of characters,

$$
a_{h}=\frac{1}{v} \sum_{\chi}\left(\sum_{g} a_{o} \chi(g)\right) \bar{\chi}(h)
$$

Now $m \mid \sum a_{g} \chi(g)$ for all $\chi$, because $\sum a_{g}=n$ and for non-principal $\chi, \sum a_{g} \chi(g)=$ $\chi(D) \bar{\chi}(\sigma D)$. Since $(m, v)=1, m \mid a_{h}$ for all $h . a_{g}$ was constructed as an integer $\geqslant-\lambda$, and $m>\lambda$ implies $a_{g} \geqslant 0$. (This is a somewhat shortened version of the proof in (3).) If a prime $p$ divides $n$ and $v$ but divides $n$ to a higher power $\left(v=p^{a} v_{1},\left(v_{1}, p\right)=1, p^{a+b} \mid n, b>0\right)$ and if in addition $t \equiv p^{j}\left(\bmod v_{1}\right)$ for some $j$, we may assert that $p^{b} \mid a_{g}$ for all $g$. The automorphism $\sigma$ need not be of the form $\sigma_{t}$, but we must know that $m \mid \chi(D) \overline{\chi(\sigma D)}$.

It is easy to construct examples of integers $a_{g}$ which satisfy (1). For example, if $\zeta^{7}=1, \zeta \neq 1$, we have $2=\left(\zeta+\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{4}\right) \overline{\left(\zeta+\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{4}\right)}$. Now

$$
\left(\zeta+\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{4}\right)^{2}=\zeta+\zeta^{2}+2 \zeta^{3}+\zeta^{4}+2 \zeta^{5}+2 \zeta^{6}
$$

The sum of the coefficients in this expression is 9 . Subtracting $\sum_{0}^{6} \zeta^{i}$, we get $-1+\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{5}+\zeta^{6}$ and therefore the integers $\left(a_{i}\right)=(-1,0,0,1,0,1,1)$ satisfy (1) with $n=2, v=7$.

Several years ago I remarked to Professor Hall that to conclude the multiplier theorem by the preceding proof it was necessary to show that there is no set $\left(a_{g}\right)$ satisfying (1), such that the $a_{g}$ arise from the difference set as
$a_{h}=\sum_{g} y_{g} y_{o(g-n)}-\lambda$; Professor Hall emphasized the importance of the second condition.
We shall now show that if $n=2 q^{a}, a$ odd, $q$ prime, $(q, v)=1, q$ is a multiplier of $D$ if $G$ is abelian. Proceeding as before, we find $q^{q} \mid a_{g}, a_{g}=b_{g} q^{a}$. Then $\sum b_{g}=2, \sum b_{g} b_{g+h}=0$ if $h \neq e$. This implies $\sum b_{g}{ }^{2}=4$; either one $b_{g}$ is 2 and the others all 0 , in which case we conclude as before that $q$ is a multiplier, or three of the $b_{g}$ are 1 and one is -1 . A computation, such as in (5), shows that $7 \mid v$ and that $D \sigma(D)^{-1}-\lambda G=h\left(-e+g+g^{2}+g^{4}\right)$, with $h, g \in G, g^{7}=e$. (A simple approach to this computation is to verify that all the correlations in (1) are 0 .) This is done in (5) under the assumption that $G$ is cyclic. We now show that this case cannot arise. Since the exponent of $q$ in $n$ is odd, and $7 \mid v$, $q$ must be a square $(\bmod 7)$. Let $\chi$ be a character of $G$ of order 7 such that $\chi(g) \neq 1$. Then $\chi\left(D \sigma(D)^{-1}\right)=\chi(h)\left(-1+\zeta+\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{4}\right)$, with $\zeta$ a primitive seventh root of 1 . Thus $\chi(D) \chi\left(\sigma(D)^{-1}\right)=\chi(h)\left(\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{6}+\zeta^{5}\right)^{2}$, and since $\zeta+\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{4}$ generates a prime ideal in the field of seventh roots of 1 , we must have $\chi(D)=\left(\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{6}+\zeta^{5}\right) w$, with $w$ a unit (a root of 1 since then $|w|=1$ ). But since $\sigma_{q}\left(\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{6}+\zeta^{5}\right)=\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{6}+\zeta^{5}$ if $q$ is a square, $\chi\left(D(\sigma D)^{-1}\right)=$ $\chi(D) \chi\left(\sigma D^{-1}\right)$ would have to be divisible by 2 , which shows that this solution is impossible. The proof applies to $n=2 \pi q_{i}^{a_{i}}, q_{i}$ distinct odd primes, $t \equiv q_{i}^{b_{i}}$ $(\bmod v)$, provided $t$ is a square $(\bmod 7)$ if $7 \mid v($ which must be the case if at least one of the $a_{i}$ is odd).
There are no known counter-examples to the general multiplier theorem $(q \mid n,(q, v)=1, q$ prime implies $q$ is a multiplier). It seems likely, however, (cf. 2) that a general proof must go deeper into the structure of difference sets.
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