



LETTER

Do Minorities Feel Welcome in Politics? A Cross-Cultural Study of the United States and Sweden

Nazita Lajevardi¹, Moa Mårtensson²  and Kåre Vernby³ 

¹Department of Political Science, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA, ²Department of Government, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden and ³Department of Political Science, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

Corresponding author: Kåre Vernby; Email: kare.vernby@statsvet.su.se

(Received 9 December 2022; revised 6 September 2023; accepted 3 February 2024)

Abstract

Racial and ethnic minorities are underrepresented in most Western democracies. This article investigates one potential root cause behind this pattern: minority and majority citizens might expect to feel discriminated against if they enter politics. Using data from three large-scale surveys, we find that minorities in both the United States and Sweden are less likely to expect to feel welcome than the majority population. These discrepancies in expected discrimination persist, even after controlling for other factors. Moreover, expected discrimination is not without political consequences: those who expect to feel less welcome are less likely to indicate an interest in running for political office. Finally, these results do not differ for politically engaged citizens who constitute a more realistic pool of potential candidates. We conclude by discussing what expectations of discrimination can tell us about the fairness of the political system and how these attitudes shape political ambition among minorities.

Keywords: minority politics; discrimination; minority candidates; political ambition

Across Western democracies, studies evaluating political discrimination have documented racial bias not only in politicians' responsiveness to citizens (Alizade and Ellger 2022; Costa 2017; Mendez and Grose 2018; White, Nathan, and Faller 2015) but also in the actual selection of new candidates (Brouard and Tiberj 2010; Dancygier et al. 2021; Eriksson and Vernby 2021; Kalla, Rosenbluth, and Teele 2018; Soininen 2011; Tolley 2019). Together, this body of research points to a significant problem: the political system signals to minorities that it does not include their voices and that it does not welcome diversity, thus posing challenges to democratic legitimacy.

A way to offset this would be greater descriptive representation of marginalized groups among the political classes (Geese 2022; Hayes and Hibbing 2017; Stout, Tate, and Wilson 2021). Nonetheless, research consistently finds that minorities appear to be underrepresented because they are not running for elected office in the first place (Gonzalez Juenke and Shah 2015; Scott 2018; Shah 2014; Shah, Juenke, and Fraga 2022; Shah, Scott, and Juenke 2019). It is important to note that this is not necessarily due to a lack of interest in pursuing office among these groups (Dancygier et al. 2021; Shah 2014; 2015).¹

¹It is important to note that scholarship has found that racialized individuals, especially black Americans, have high levels of political ambition (Shah 2014; Shah 2015). This is especially the case with black women, whose longtime exclusion from politics, development of nontraditional forms of engagement, and sense of community leads them to engage in political work (Darcy and Hadley 1988; Dickinson 2023; Dowe 2020; Dowe 2022; Scott 2018). Work by Tolley (2023) confirms this pattern;

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

When racial and ethnic minorities do hold elected office, tangible outcomes that improve the day-to-day lives of these groups are generated. For example, relationships between law enforcement and communities of colour ease (Aneja and Ritadhi 2022; Christiani et al. 2022), political knowledge and participation increase among minority constituents (Fisher et al. 2015; Griffin and Keane 2006; Wolak and Juenke 2021), and voters evaluate governmental responsiveness more positively (Arnesen and Peters 2018; Banducci, Donovan, and Karp 2004). Indeed, several studies have shown that experiences of discrimination and the desire to improve public policy can motivate members of underrepresented groups to take political action (Besco et al. 2022; Oskooii 2020; Pantoja, Ramirez, and Segura 2001).

Before entering politics, a potential candidate's primary consideration is the non-monetary 'cost' of entry. Racial and ethnic minorities, who are often aware of the backlash they face from majority populations (see, for example, André and Dronkers 2017; Lu 2020), weigh the extent to which they would face discrimination if they were to enter politics (Brown and Lemi 2021; Phillips 2021). Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez's experience is an illustrative example of the costs minorities incur when entering politics. As a young Latina Member of Congress who assumed office without any prior political experience, she reflected in a GQ interview, 'Others may see a person who is admired, but my everyday lived experience here is as a person who is despised ... Imagine working a job and your bosses don't like you and folks on your team are suspicious of you. And then the competing company is trying to kill you.'² Ocasio-Cortez's comments demonstrate how unwelcome she feels in politics, not just by political opponents but also by senior members of her own party. While Ocasio-Cortez provides a vivid example of the non-monetary 'costs' of entry, underrepresented groups may also be the target of subtler behaviours that result in feeling unwelcome. Experimental research in the United States found that chairs from both major parties perceived Latin and black candidates as less viable and substantially less likely to win and, therefore, strategically chose to recruit and support other candidates (Doherty, Dowling, and Miller 2019). In the Swedish system, where parties control nominations, an interview study confirmed that immigrant politicians have already experienced discrimination at the nomination stage (Blomqvist 2005, 90). More extensive studies using administrative data have also confirmed that immigrants are underrepresented in Swedish politics, in large part due to decisions made by party elites at the nomination stage (Dancygier et al. 2015), which are key to candidate recruitment into politics (Soininen 2010). In Soininen and Qvists's (2021, 568, 570) interviews with members of nomination committees, respondents opine that immigrants 'stand out' when they 'don't speak exactly like we do' and 'must be able to create contacts with other parts of a relatively white, established [...] political culture' to succeed.

In this article, we descriptively assess whether members of minoritized groups *expect* to face discrimination if they were to enter politics. We introduce a new measure of *expected discrimination* that captures how welcome citizens would expect to feel among other politicians if they were elected to office.³ The basic logic underlying our measure is the assumption, drawn from the theory of ethnic homophily, that members of an ethnic group tend to prefer interacting

they find similar levels of aspiration between racialized and white women in Canada but observe discrepancies emerge between them throughout the legislative process (see Fig. 2). Specifically, racialized Canadians aspire to politics at rates that roughly match their presence in the population, but unlike white women, who experience an elevation during candidate selection, racialized women face consistent disadvantages and bottlenecks beginning throughout the recruitment process, for example with party selectorates (Tolley 2023). Our discussion here is not about an absence of aspiration or nascent political ambition (for example, Fox and Lawless 2005) but rather about the unique barriers and considerations that might deter individuals from pursuing actual political candidacies.

²<https://www.gq.com/story/alexandria-ocasio-cortez-october-cover-profile>.

³Others have developed measures to gauge expected discrimination and belonging. Dancygier et al. (2021), for example, use the measure from the 2017 survey in a composite index of expected discrimination. Similarly, Ocampo's (2018) 'political belonging' measure examines whether respondents believed elected officials perceived them as valuable members of society, saw them as true Americans, paid attention to their demands, and cared enough to help members of their group succeed (p. 37). Instead, our measure aims to focus on potential candidacies of minority groups. It intentionally builds on these

with members of their own group. From this, it follows that if members of the ethnic majority are overrepresented in politics, we might expect non-majority groups to feel less welcome. This, in turn, could exacerbate adverse consequences on the ‘supply side’ of minority representation.

We draw on three large-scale public opinion surveys fielded in the United States and Sweden, where respondents answered similar questions about whether they or members of their group would anticipate feeling welcome among other politicians if they were to enter politics. While the political systems of the United States and Sweden differ in many respects, they are both cases where questions of descriptive representation are normatively pressing, given the large shares of minorities living in each country and the rapidly changing population demographics.⁴ In Sweden, 26.3 per cent of the population is either foreign-born or has two parents who are foreign-born as of 2021, a rise from 19 per cent in 2010 (Statistics Sweden 2022), while the US non-Hispanic white population fell from 72.4 per cent in 2010 to 61.6 per cent in 2020 (United States Census 2021).

What is more, racial/ethnic minorities are underrepresented in politics across both country contexts. The literature on the underrepresentation of immigrant-origin people in Sweden finds that exclusion is not due to a dearth of resources or political interest but is driven by party gatekeepers who exclude immigrants from higher office (see, for example, Adman and Strömblad 2015; Dahlstedt and Hertzberg 2007; Dancygier et al. 2015; Dancygier et al. 2021; Lindgren, Nicholson, and Oskarsson 2021; Lindgren and Österman 2022). Similarly, the US race and ethnic politics literature finds that minority candidates emerge at lower rates than whites (Fraga, Juenke, and Shah 2020) and that party elites disproportionately assist whites over minority candidates (Fraga and Hassell 2021).

Across both country contexts, racial/ethnic minorities are less likely than their majority citizen counterparts to indicate that they would feel welcome among other politicians. These results persist for each minority group examined. We also extend our analysis in a number of ways. First, we replicate the results by adding controls for socioeconomic status, demographics, and political interest to examine if minority status per se makes minorities feel less welcome or if some potential correlate of minority status, such as education, is driving the observed effect. After adding controls, the Swedish results hardly change, and the US results remain statistically significant, though somewhat weakened. Second, we use a unique feature of the Swedish survey from 2021 to cross-validate the perceptions of minority and majority groups. We find that members of the majority group agree that minorities are less likely to feel welcome in politics. We then explore the connection between expectations of feeling welcome and interest in running for office. In two out of three surveys, there is a strong relationship between the two, attesting to the relevance of expected discrimination for political candidacy.⁵ Using the US survey, we can show that, when controlling for measures that tap into past experiences of discrimination that are positively associated with interest in running for office, the impact of our new measure of expected discrimination remains virtually unchanged. Finally, we replicate our main analysis by restricting the sample to only include those with high political engagement, measured by their high level of political interest and involvement in civil society organizations. The results are substantively similar to the main results, suggesting that divergent perceptions of the inclusiveness of politics are also present for individuals who are a realistic part of the candidate pool.

two concepts – expected discrimination in politics and belonging – to evaluate whether members of these groups would feel welcome if they were to serve as elected officials.

⁴Note that while US literature has focused on racial and ethnic minorities, the European literature instead has examined immigrant status and background.

⁵In the 2021 Swedish survey, the results are less clear, but this may be due to the fact that the question about feeling welcome asked about whether members of a list of groups, rather than the respondent themselves, could expect to feel welcome.

Cases, Data and Methods

Our analysis draws on three surveys: one fielded in the United States in 2021 and two conducted in Sweden in 2017 and 2021.⁶ Comparative politics scholars studying minority politics have long recognized that conceptualizations of minority status should be attentive to context and have struggled with valid cross-national measures to distinguish minorities from non-minorities (Bloemraad 2013).

We construct our ‘minority’ variables differently for Sweden and the United States, taking into account the unique contexts of each of our cases. Therefore, how a ‘minority’ is classified differs between our two country cases. While no established definition exists, American political scholars have, for historical (and practical) reasons, focused on ‘visible’ minorities, whereas European scholars have, for similar reasons, focused on the background of migration. We follow these traditions depending on the country context being examined. Our analyses focus on migration background in the Swedish context and racial background in the US context. Sweden and the United States are ‘most different systems’ in terms of their electoral systems and immigration histories, and if minorities in both countries expect to face discrimination if they were to enter politics, this suggests our results may generalize to other cases as well.

The US data comes from the 2020 Comparative Multiracial Post-Election Survey (CMPS). The CMPS was fielded between April and August 2021 and intentionally oversampled racial and ethnic minorities. Our analyses include 3,749 whites, 3,121 Asians, 4,363 blacks, and 3,071 Latinos. CMPS respondents were asked, ‘Imagine you are a new politician in the area where you live, would you feel welcome at meetings with other politicians?’ Response options ranged from ‘Yes, absolutely’, ‘Maybe’, ‘No, probably not’, to ‘No, absolutely not.’⁷ Given the ordinal nature of the data, in our main analysis, we will focus on the share answering ‘Yes, absolutely’ in response to the above statement.⁸

The first Swedish survey was conducted between May and September 2017. Similar surveys were sent to both politicians and eligible voters. Our analysis only relies on the latter sample since we are interested in potential (rather than actual) candidates. This sample includes 1,948 individuals who grew up in Sweden and 646 who grew up outside Sweden. Respondents were asked ‘Imagine you are a new politician in the municipal council, do you think you would feel welcome?’ The response options were ‘Yes, absolutely’, ‘Yes, maybe’, ‘No, probably not’, and ‘No, absolutely not.’

The second Swedish survey was conducted between September and December 2021. It included the question: ‘Thinking about the municipality where you live, do you think that a newly elected politician would feel welcome in meetings with other politicians if the newly elected politician ...’ followed by the prompts, ‘Mainly grew up in Sweden’, ‘Mainly grew up in Europe’, and ‘Mainly grew up outside Europe.’ Here, the response options were ‘Yes, absolutely’, ‘Yes, maybe’, ‘No, probably not’, and ‘No, absolutely not.’ We then match this to information about where the respondents mainly grew up. This allows us to create a measure that reflects the extent to which respondents believe members of their own group would feel welcome among other politicians. The survey data analyzed comprised 1,292 individuals who mainly grew up in Sweden and 102 individuals who grew up outside of Sweden.

As is clear, all three surveys posed questions that measured respondents’ expectations about *who* is welcome in politics. While the surveys used slightly different question wordings and

⁶An important reason for including both Swedish surveys is that they have lower number of respondents than the US survey.

⁷Our measures across the US and Swedish surveys direct respondents to think of the ‘area’ (US) or ‘municipality’ (Sweden) where they live. We chose to structure the question and lead respondents to focus on a more local context because barriers to entering national politics are high in both country contexts, and many newcomer politicians enter politics at the local level (for example, Berg 2020; Bose 2021).

⁸In Appendix A.4, we show that our main analyses are robust to using the full range of the response variable by estimating ordered probit models.

cannot be directly compared, they enable us to assess whether a general pattern exists in how welcome minorities feel in politics across cultural and political contexts.⁹ Appendix A.1 provides more details about each survey.

Main Results

Figure 1 displays the proportion of respondents who expect that they, or members of their ethnic group, would feel welcome among other politicians if elected to office. In the United States, around 25 per cent of white survey respondents indicated that they would feel welcome, whereas the corresponding figures for Latinos, Blacks and Asians were 21 per cent, 21 per cent, and 20 per cent, respectively. Turning to the 2017 Swedish survey, 25 per cent of respondents who grew up in Sweden reported that they would feel welcome, whereas the corresponding figures for respondents who grew up in Europe and outside Europe are 18 per cent and 14 per cent, respectively. In the 2021 Swedish survey, we asked respondents whether members of their own group (rather than themselves) would feel welcome among other politicians. With this alternative question wording, the ethnic gap in expected discrimination is larger.¹⁰ The share who grew up in Sweden who think that members of their own group would feel welcome is over 60 per cent, whereas the corresponding figures for the respondents who grew up in Europe and outside Europe are 27 per cent and 28 per cent, respectively.

It is clear from these results that, in both the American and Swedish contexts, minorities expect more discrimination from fellow politicians than the majority group if they were to enter politics. Figure 2, in which we regressed our measures of feeling welcome on our indicators of minority status, further reinforces this finding. All estimates are negative and statistically significant. Moreover, many group differences are quite substantial. In the United States, Blacks are 16 per cent less likely to say they expect to feel welcome than Whites, and the corresponding figures for Latinos and Asians are similar.¹¹ In the 2017 Swedish survey, respondents who grew up in Europe and outside Europe were 25 per cent and 44 per cent less likely to feel welcome than the majority group. Finally, the likelihood of feeling welcome is more than halved when comparing respondents who mainly grew up outside Sweden to the majority group in the 2021 Swedish survey.

Extensions: Potential Roots and Effects of Expected Discrimination

Next, we examine the potential roots and effects of expected discrimination in politics. We first explore the possibility that the relationship between minority status and feeling welcome, observed in Figure 2, is mediated by socioeconomic status, demographic attributes, or political interest. We control for these additional covariates because – when citizens form expectations about whether or not they would feel welcome among other politicians – these additional factors, which tend to correlate with minority status, may also play a part. For example, it could be that it is not minority status per se but low socioeconomic status that makes minorities feel less welcome. Appendix Figure A.2 displays these results. Overall, they mirror those in Figure 2 in so far as all coefficient estimates are negative and statistically significant. For the Swedish case, the estimates in Figure A.2 are nearly identical to the ones in Figure 2, though these estimates are relatively smaller for the US survey. This is suggestive evidence that minority status exerts an independent effect in shaping expectations of discrimination.

⁹All subsequent analyses use survey weights when available. Note, that the 2021 Swedish survey does not provide weights.

¹⁰At the same time, members of all groups are more optimistic, highlighting an interesting avenue worthy of exploration in future research.

¹¹The size of the majority/minority gaps in feeling welcome in the US survey is close to that observed between women and men. See Table A.5. Note also that the magnitudes of the effects persist when we run these models as ordered probits. See Tables A.11, A.12, and A.13.




Figure 1. The share who indicate that they (U.S. Survey 1 and Swedish Survey 1) or members of their ethnic group (Swedish Survey 2) would feel welcome among other politicians, by minority status.

Note: The number of observations is $N = 14,395$ (US Survey), $N = 2,594$ (Swedish Survey 2017) and $N = 1,394$ (Swedish Survey 2021).

Second, the 2021 Swedish survey asked whether respondents expected members of their own group to feel welcome in politics and whether members of other groups could be expected to feel welcome. This feature allows us to infer whether it is only minorities who think they are less welcome in politics or if there is broader agreement that norms of exclusion exist in politics. Appendix Table A.4 shows that individuals who grew up in Sweden agree with those who grew up in the rest of Europe and outside Europe about how to welcome new politicians from different groups are expected to feel ($p > 0.10$ for all group-wise comparisons). This is further suggestive evidence that the results in Figure 2 reflect minorities picking up on signals that the political system does not always welcome diversity, signals which are also evident to non-minorities.

Third, we analyze how expected discrimination shapes office-seeking ambitions. So far, our results have shown that minority citizens across these two country contexts expect discrimination if they were to enter politics. Do these perceptions affect office-seeking ambitions? Scholarship has shown that the decision to enter politics is likely to be shaped by voter discrimination (Shah 2014).¹² We argue that expectations of discrimination may play an important and overlooked role in shaping minority descriptive representation.¹³ Our data largely confirms this. Appendix Table A.3 reveals a strong negative relationship between expected discrimination and interest in running for office both in the United States and in the 2017 and 2021 Swedish

¹²For a discussion of expected discrimination, political engagement, and public space avoidance, see Oskooii 2020 and Hobbs and Lajevardi (2019).

¹³Note that minorities are not necessarily less interested in running for office than majority-citizens (Dancygier et al. 2021). Our results simply suggest that they are less interested than they would have been without expected discrimination.




Figure 2. Group differences in the share who say they (US Survey 1 and Swedish Survey 1) or members of their ethnic group (Swedish Survey 2) would feel welcome among other politicians.

Note: Plots display coefficient estimates with 95 per cent confidence intervals from models that regress expectations of feeling welcome on race/ethnicity in the three surveys. The reference category in the upper left plot is 'White.' The reference category in the upper right and lower plot is "Grew up in Sweden." The number of observations is $N = 14,395$ (U.S. Survey), $N = 2,594$ (Swedish Survey 2017) and $N = 1,394$ (Swedish Survey 2021). Confidence intervals are based on robust standard errors.

surveys. In the US case, the probability of expressing an interest in running for office increases by 20 percentage points when a respondent expects to feel welcome among other politicians. The corresponding figures in the 2017 and 2021 Swedish surveys are 18 percentage points and 9 percentage points, respectively.¹⁴

In addition, previous literature has shown that past experiences of discrimination may increase political participation (Besco et al. 2022; Oskooii 2020; Pantoja, Ramirez, and Segura 2001). At first glance, our result that expectations of discrimination dampen interest in running for office may appear to run counter to previous findings. This contradiction is only apparent, however. Conceptually, it is likely that, in many cases, experiences of discrimination have the potential to mobilize those affected by it, but this depends on how welcoming they perceive the political system to be. Most importantly, we can show empirically that when we control for experiences of discrimination (Oskooii 2020), which has a positive impact on interest in running for office, the positive relationship between feeling welcome and interest in running for office hardly changes (see Table A.6), suggesting the importance of 'feeling welcome' as an independent and important variable that, on its own, contributes to shaping minority representation.¹⁵

¹⁴The coefficient is smaller and insignificant ($p > 0.05$) in the 2021 Swedish survey when controls are included.

¹⁵While neither of the Swedish surveys includes questions about experiences of discrimination, the 2021 CMPS does. Therefore, this analysis relies only on the US survey.

Finally, we re-run our main analysis, taking into account the fact that only the most politically interested and engaged are likely to overcome the hurdles associated with running for – and winning – political office. Thus, most people never become a realistic part of the actual candidate pool. Therefore, Appendix Tables A.1 and A.2 interact race/ethnicity with political engagement, as measured by high levels of political interest and involvement in civil society. Overall, these analyses do not alter our conclusions and show that both among the more and the less realistic pools of candidates, minorities feel less welcome than members of the majority population.

Conclusion

Our study turns to the underrepresentation of minorities in politics. It examines a key consideration that potential candidates weigh when deciding whether to run for political office – expected discrimination. Our findings suggest that the underrepresentation of minority candidates might stem from a reluctance to contend in the first place. We illuminate that the perceived unwelcomeness in politics, grounded in anticipated discrimination, is a potent deterrent for potential minority candidates and shapes the ‘supply side’ of minority candidacies. The results demonstrate that minorities who comprise large and ever-growing segments of the population expect to face discrimination if they were ever to enter politics. We find that minorities in the United States and Sweden anticipate feeling less welcome in politics compared to majority citizens. These expectations persist even when controlling for potential correlates of minority status, such as education. We also find that expected discrimination has political repercussions: those who do not anticipate feeling welcome among other politicians are less interested in running for office, all else being equal. Our findings underscore these perceptions’ consequential role in discouraging minorities from pursuing political office, thereby challenging conventional wisdom that heightened awareness or experience of discrimination that invariably galvanizes political participation. The fact that we uncover similar dynamics across two very different country contexts speaks to the potential generalizability of our findings.

These findings challenge the legitimacy of the democratic process. They undermine the fundamental notion that every citizen seeking redress for societal injustices should have equal access to the political arena. Moreover, our results suggest a vicious cycle where minority underrepresentation signals that the political system does not welcome diversity, which dissuades some potential candidates from running for political office. Therefore, parties interested in attracting a diverse roster of candidates should highlight how they welcome minorities into politics.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123424000073>.

Data availability statement. Replication materials for this article can be found in Harvard Dataverse at: <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/WF51RQ>.

Acknowledgements. We thank Matt Barreto and Patrik Öhberg for their valuable comments on the survey questions used in the US 2021 and Sweden 2021 surveys. We also thank Karl-Oskar Lindgren, Pär Nyman and Rafaela Dancygier for generously sharing the Sweden 2017 data they collected jointly with Vernby. Finally, the comments from the editor and three anonymous reviewers significantly improved this article.

Financial support. This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (grant number 2018-01887).

Competing interests. None.

Ethical standards. This research includes the use of third-party survey data. The US 2021 results draw on the Collaborative Multiracial Post-election Survey (CMPS). The principal CMPS investigators acquired ethical approval before fielding the survey. The Swedish 2021 results are drawn from a survey conducted by the SOM Institute. The principal investigators of the SOM survey acquired ethical approval prior to fielding the survey. The Swedish 2017 data was collected for the research project ‘The Underrepresentation of Immigrants in Politics.’ This survey’s principal investigators acquired ethical approval before fielding the survey.

References

- Adman P and Strömblad P** (2015) Political trust as modest expectations. *Nordic Journal of Migration Research* 5(3), 107.
- Alizade J and Ellger F** (2022) Do politicians discriminate against constituents with an immigration background? Field experimental evidence from Germany. *The Journal of Politics* 84(3), 1823–7.
- André S and Dronkers J** (2017) Perceived in-group discrimination by first and second generation immigrants from different countries of origin in 27 EU member-states. *International Sociology* 32(1), 105–29.
- Aneja A and Ritadhi SK** (2022) How representation reduces minority criminal victimization: Evidence from scheduled castes in India. *The Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 38(3), 675–720.
- Arnesen S and Peters Y** (2018) The legitimacy of representation: How descriptive, formal, and responsiveness representation affect the acceptability of political decisions. *Comparative Political Studies* 51(7), 868–99.
- Banducci S, Donovan T and Karp J** (2004) Minority representation, empowerment, and participation. *The Journal of Politics* 66(2), 534–56.
- Berg H** (2020) On the returns to holding political office (is it worth it?). *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 178, 840–65.
- Besco R et al.** (2022) Fight not flight: The effects of explicit racism on minority political engagement. *Electoral Studies* 80, 102515.
- Bloemraad I** (2013) Accessing the corridors of power: Puzzles and pathways to understanding minority representation. *West European Politics* 36(3), 652–70.
- Blomqvist P** (2005). Närvarons politik och det månggetniska Sverige (Doctoral dissertation). University of Gothenburg.
- Bose P** (2021) Political (self-) selection and competition: Evidence from US Congressional elections. Working paper.
- Brouard S and Tiberj V** (2010) Yes they can: An experimental approach to the eligibility of ethnic minority candidates in France. *The Political Representation of Immigrants and Minorities*. Routledge, 184–200.
- Brown NE and Lemi DC** (2021) *Sister Style: The Politics of Appearance for Black Women Political Elites*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Christiani L et al.** (2022) Better for everyone: Black descriptive representation and police traffic stops. *Politics, Groups, and Identities* 10(5), 807–16.
- Costa M** (2017) How responsive are political elites? A meta-analysis of experiments on public officials. *Journal of Experimental Political Science* 4(3), 241–54.
- Dahlstedt M and Hertzberg F** (2007) Democracy the Swedish way? The exclusion of ‘immigrants’ in Swedish politics. *Scandinavian Political Studies* 30(2), 175–203.
- Dancygier RM et al.** (2015) Why are immigrants underrepresented in politics? Evidence from Sweden. *American Political Science Review* 109(4), 703–24.
- Dancygier RM et al.** (2021) Candidate supply is not a barrier to immigrant representation: A case-control study. *American Journal of Political Science* 65(3), 683–98.
- Darcy R and Hadley CD** (1988) Black women in politics: The puzzle of success. *Social Science Quarterly* 69(3), 629.
- Dickinson K** (2023). A Collection of Studies Examining Black Women’s Experiences as Candidates and Latent Candidates (PhD thesis). Michigan State University.
- Doherty D, Dowling CM, and Miller MG** (2019) Do local party chairs think women and minority candidates can win? Evidence from a conjoint experiment. *The Journal of Politics* 81(4), 1282–97.
- Dowe PK** (2022) The community matters: Finding the source of the radical imagination of black women’s political ambition. *Journal of Women, Politics & Policy* 43(3), 263–78.
- Dowe PKF** (2020) Resisting marginalization: Black women’s political ambition and agency. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 53(4), 697–702.
- Eriksson L and Vernby K** (2021) Welcome to the party? Ethnicity and the interaction between potential activists and party gate-keepers. *Journal of Politics* 83(4), 1861–6.
- Fisher SD et al.** (2015) Candidate ethnicity and vote choice in Britain. *British Journal of Political Science* 45(4), 883–905.
- Fox RL and Lawless JL** (2005) To run or not to run for office: Explaining nascent political ambition. *American journal of political science* 49(3), 642–59.
- Fraga BL and Hassell HJ** (2021) Are minority and women candidates penalized by party politics? Race, gender, and access to party support. *Political Research Quarterly* 74(3), 540–55.
- Fraga BL, Juenke EG, and Shah P** (2020) One run leads to another: minority incumbents and the emergence of lower ticket minority candidates. *The Journal of Politics* 82(2), 771–75.
- Geese L** (2022) Does descriptive representation narrow the immigrant gap in turnout? A comparative study across 11 Western European democracies. *Political Studies* 71(4), 1277–97.
- Gonzalez Juenke E, and Shah P** (2015) Not the usual story: the effect of candidate supply on models of Latino descriptive representation. *Politics, Groups, and Identities* 3(3), 438–53.
- Griffin JD and Keane M** (2006) Descriptive representation and the composition of African American turnout. *American Journal of Political Science* 50(4), 998–1012.

- Hayes M and Hibbing M** (2017) The symbolic benefits of descriptive and substantive representation. *Political Behavior* 39(1), 31–50.
- Hobbs W and Lajevardi N** (2019) Effects of divisive political campaigns on the day-to-day segregation of Arab and Muslim Americans. *American Political Science Review* 113(1), 270–6.
- Kalla J, Rosenbluth F, and Teele D** (2018) Are you my mentor? A field experiment on gender, ethnicity, and political self-starters. *The Journal of Politics* 80(1), 337–41.
- Lajevardi N, Mårtensson M, and Vernby K** (2024) Replication Data for: Do Minorities Feel Welcome in Politics? A Cross-Cultural Study of the United States and Sweden. Available from <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/WF51RQ>, Harvard Dataverse, V1.
- Lindgren K-O, Nicholson MD and Oskarsson S** (2021) Immigrant political representation and local ethnic concentration: Evidence from a Swedish refugee placement program. *British Journal of Political Science* 52(3), 997–1012.
- Lindgren K-O and Österman M** (2022) Opening a door to politics? Labour market entrance and political candidacy among refugees. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 49(15), 4052–70.
- Lu F** (2020) Forging ties: The effect of discrimination on Asian Americans' perceptions of political commonality with Latinos. *Politics, Groups, and Identities* 8(3), 595–614.
- Mendez M and Grose C** (2018) Doubling down: Inequality in responsiveness and the policy preferences of elected officials. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* 43(3), 457–91.
- Ocampo AX** (2018). The politics of inclusion: A sense of belonging to US society and Latino political participation (PhD thesis). UCLA.
- Oskooii KA** (2020) Perceived discrimination and political behavior. *British Journal of Political Science* 50(3), 867–92.
- Pantoja AD, Ramirez R and Segura GM** (2001) Citizens by choice, voters by necessity: Patterns in political mobilization by naturalized Latinos. *Political Research Quarterly* 54(4), 729–50.
- Phillips CD** (2021) *Nowhere to Run: Race, Gender, and Immigration in American Elections*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Scott JS** (2018) *Ambition is not Enough: Explaining Candidate Emergence in State Level Politics*. Lansing: Michigan State University.
- Shah P** (2014) It takes a black candidate: A supply-side theory of minority representation. *Political Research Quarterly* 67(2), 266–79.
- Shah P** (2015) Stepping up: Black political ambition and success. *Politics, Groups, and Identities* 3(2), 278–94.
- Shah P, Scott J, and Juenke EG** (2019) Women of color candidates: Examining emergence and success in state legislative elections. *Politics, Groups, and Identities* 7(2), 429–43.
- Shah PR, Juenke EG, and Fraga BL** (2022) Here comes everybody: Using a data cooperative to understand the new dynamics of representation. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 55(2), 300–02.
- Soininen M** (2010) Ethnic inclusion or exclusion in representation? Local candidate selection in Sweden. *The Political Representation of Immigrants and Minorities*. Routledge, 165–83.
- Soininen M** (2011) Ethnic inclusion or exclusion in representation? Local candidate selection in Sweden. In Bird K, Saalfeld T and Wüst A (eds), *The Political Representation of Immigrants and Minorities*. New York: Routledge, 165–83.
- Soininen M and Qvist M** (2021) Political integration and the career opportunities of immigrants in political parties: Experiences from Swedish party organisations. *Migration Studies* 9(3), 556–75.
- Statistics Sweden** (2022). Population in Sweden by Country/Region of Birth, Citizenship and Swedish/Foreign background and Year.
- Stout CT, Tate K, and Wilson M** (2021) Does black representation matter? A review of descriptive representation for African Americans in legislative offices. *National Review of Black Politics* 2(1), 2–21.
- Tolley E** (2019) Who you know: Local party presidents and minority candidate emergence. *Electoral Studies* 58, 70–79.
- Tolley E** (2023) Gender is not a proxy: Race and intersectionality in legislative recruitment. *Politics & Gender* 19(2), 373–400.
- U.S. Census** (2021) Improved Race and Ethnicity Measures Reveal U.S. Population Is Much More Multiracial.
- White AR, Nathan NL and Faller JK** (2015) What do I need to vote? Bureaucratic discretion and discrimination by local election officials. *American Political Science Review* 109(1), 129–42.
- Wolak J and Juenke EG** (2021) Descriptive representation and political knowledge. *Politics, Groups, and Identities* 9(1), 129–50.