## ON CONFORMALLY FLAT SPACES WITH COMMUTING CURVATURE AND RICCI TRANSFORMATIONS

## R. L. BISHOP AND S. I. GOLDBERG

Let (M, g) be a  $C^{\infty}$  Riemannian manifold and A be the field of symmetric endomorphisms corresponding to the Ricci tensor S; that is,

$$S(X, Y) = g(AX, Y).$$

We consider a condition weaker than the requirement that A be parallel  $(\nabla A = 0)$ , namely, that the "second exterior covariant derivative" vanish  $(\nabla_X \nabla_Y A - \nabla_Y \nabla_X A - \nabla_{[X,Y]} A = 0)$ , which by the classical interchange formula reduces to the property

$$(P) R(X, Y) \circ A = A \circ R(X, Y),$$

where R(X, Y) is the curvature transformation determined by the vector fields X and Y.

The property (P) is equivalent to

$$(Q) R(AX, X) = 0.$$

To see this we observe first that a skew symmetric and a symmetric endomorphism commute if and only if their product is skew symmetric. Thus we have

$$(P) \Leftrightarrow R(Z, W)A \text{ is skew symmetric} \\ \Leftrightarrow g(R(Z, W)AX, X) = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow g(R(AX, X)Z, W) = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow (Q).$$

Let M be a connected conformally flat manifold of dimension  $n, n \ge 3$ . Then the Ricci endomorphisms determine the curvature according to the formula

(1) 
$$R(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n-2} (AX \wedge Y + X \wedge AY) - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} X \wedge Y,$$

where r = trace A and  $X \wedge Y$  denotes the endomorphism

$$Z \to g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y.$$

In this paper the connected conformally spaces satisfying (P) are classified.

**LEMMA 1.** Let M be an n-dimensional conformally flat space satisfying (P).

Received July 27, 1971.

 $\boldsymbol{799}$ 

Then

$$A^2 - \frac{r}{n-1}A = \rho I,$$

where  $\rho$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  function on M and I is the identity field.

*Proof.* Setting Y = AX in (1) and then applying (Q) gives

$$BX \wedge X = 0$$

where

$$B = A^2 - \frac{r}{n-1}A.$$

Since (3) may be interpreted as an exterior product, we conclude that every X is an eigenvector of B, so  $B = \rho I$  for some scalar field  $\rho$ .

LEMMA 2. Under the conditions in Lemma 1, A has at most the two eigenvalues

$$\frac{r \pm [r^2 + 4(n-1)\rho]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2(n-1)}.$$

Let M' be the open subset of M on which  $r^2 + 4(n-1) \rho \neq 0$ . Then the eigenspaces of A form smooth complementary orthogonal distributions on each connected component of M'.

The eigenvalues are the roots of

$$\mu^2-\frac{r}{n-1}\,\mu-\rho=0;$$

the rest is also routine.

Let us fix notation as follows: The eigenvalues of A are  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . They are defined and continuous on all of M and distinct on M'. The eigenspaces on M' are  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , of dimensions k and n - k. We shall use adapted orthonormal frames and coframes  $\{X_a, X_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\omega_a, \omega_\alpha\}, a, b = 1, \ldots, k$  and  $a, \beta = k + 1, \ldots, n$ ; moreover,  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ . The corresponding connection and curvature forms are  $\omega_{ab}$ , etc.

LEMMA 3. Let  $K = (\mu_1 - \mu_2)/(n-2)$ . On  $D_1$  the sectional curvature is K, on  $D_2$  it is -K, and on mixed sections it vanishes; that is,

*Proof.* Noting that  $r/(n-1) = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ , formula (1) becomes

$$R(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n-2} \{ AX \land Y + X \land AY - (\mu_1 + \mu_2)X \land Y \}.$$

The rest follows by taking orthonormal eigenvectors for X and Y.

800

Note that M' is just the set on which  $K \neq 0$ .

THEOREM. Let M be an n-dimensional connected conformally flat space satisfying (P),  $n \ge 3$ . Then M is one of four types:

(a) M is flat (M' empty).

In the remaining cases M = M'; that is, M is either flat everywhere or has no flat points; moreover, k is constant.

- (b) M has constant curvature (k = 0 or n).
- (c) M is locally the Riemannian product of a k-dimensional space of constant curvature K and an (n k)-dimensional space of constant curvature  $-K(1 \le k \le n 1)$ .
- (d) There is an open  $C^{\infty}$  map  $t: M \to \mathbf{R}^+$  (positive reals) such that  $K = K_0/t^2$ for some constant  $K_0$ . The map t is a Riemannian submersion having fibres which are totally umbilical hypersurfaces of constant (intrinsic) curvature  $(1 + K_0)/t^2$  (k = 1 or n - 1).

*Proof.* Define the vector valued 1-form  $F = F^i \otimes X_i$  by

$$F^{i} = A^{i}{}_{j}\omega^{j} - \frac{r}{2(n-1)}\omega^{i},$$

where  $A^{i}_{j}$  are the components of A. (The summation convention is employed here and in the sequel.) The  $X_{i}$  and  $\omega^{i}$  are any local vector field basis and the dual basis of 1-forms, respectively. If  $\omega^{i}_{j}$  are the connection forms for this basis we define the exterior covariant derivative DF of F as the vector-valued 2-form  $(DF)^{i} \otimes X_{i}$ , where

$$(DF)^i = dF^i + \omega^i{}_i \wedge F^j.$$

It is easily checked that DF is independent of the choice of basis. Using the first structural equation viz.,  $d\omega^i = -\omega^i{}_j \wedge \omega^j$ , and the coefficients  $\Gamma^i_{kj}$  of  $\omega^i{}_j(\omega^i{}_j = \Gamma^i_{kj}\omega^k)$ , we obtain

$$(DF)^{i} = \left(X_{k}A^{i}{}_{j} + A^{i}{}_{h}\Gamma^{h}_{kj} + A^{h}{}_{j}\Gamma^{i}_{kh} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\delta^{i}{}_{j}X_{k}r\right)\omega^{k} \wedge \omega^{j}$$
$$= \left(\nabla_{k}A^{i}{}_{j} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\delta^{i}{}_{j}\nabla_{k}r\right)\omega^{k} \wedge \omega^{j},$$

where  $\delta^{i}_{j}$  is the Kronecker delta. As a tensor, this has the components

$$(n-2)C^{i}_{jk} = \nabla_{k}A^{i}_{j} - \nabla_{j}A^{i}_{k} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (\delta^{i}_{j} \nabla_{k}r - \delta^{i}_{k} \nabla_{j}r),$$

where  $C_{jk}^{i}$  is Weyl's 3-index tensor. For a conformally flat space it is known that  $C_{jk}^{i} = 0$ . We use this by calculating DF in terms of an orthonormal basis adapted to the distributions  $D_{i}$ . In particular we can lower all superscripts.

Thus,

$$F_a = A_{ai}\omega_i - \frac{r}{2(n-1)}\omega_a$$
$$= \mu_1\omega_a - \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \mu_2)\omega_a$$
$$= L\omega_a,$$

where L = (n - 2)K/2, and

$$F_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha i} \omega_{i} - \frac{r}{2(n-1)} \omega_{\alpha}$$
$$= \mu_{2} \omega_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}) \omega_{\alpha}$$
$$= -L \omega_{\alpha},$$

from which

$$(4) dF_{a} = dL \wedge \omega_{a} + Ld\omega_{a} + \omega_{ab} \wedge L\omega_{b} - \omega_{a\beta} \wedge L\omega_{\beta} \\
= dL \wedge \omega_{a} - L\omega_{ai} \wedge \omega_{i} + L(\omega_{ab} \wedge \omega_{b} - \omega_{a\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta}) \\
= dL \wedge \omega_{a} - 2L\omega_{a\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta} \\
= 0, \\
(5) dF_{\alpha} = -dL \wedge \omega_{\alpha} + 2L\omega_{\alpha b} \wedge \omega_{b} \\
= 0.$$

When k = n, K is constant and M' = M follows immediately from Schur's theorem (or (4)).

Otherwise, by Cartan's lemma, (4) says that for each a, dL and the  $\omega_{a\beta}$  are dependent at most on  $\omega_a$  and the  $\omega_{\alpha}$  and (5) says that the same forms are dependent at most on  $\omega_{\alpha}$  and the  $\omega_b$ . Thus if  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$  we can make two choices of  $\alpha$  for each a and vice-versa, showing that dL = 0 and  $\omega_{a\beta} = 0$ . Consequently, L and K = 2L/(n-2) are constant and  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are parallel (in particular, completely integrable).

When k = 1 we still have by (5) that dL and  $\omega_{\alpha 1}$  are dependent at most on  $\omega_{\alpha}$  and  $\omega_1$ . Making two choices of  $\alpha$ , we get  $dL = H\omega_1$  for some  $C^{\infty}$  function H. Then, (4) reduces to  $\omega_{1\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta} = 0$ , so the  $\omega_{1\beta}$  cannot depend on  $\omega_1$ . Hence  $\omega_{1\alpha} = C_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha$  not summed) for some scalar field  $C_{\alpha}$ . But then by (5) again

$$-H\omega_1 \wedge \omega_{\alpha} + 2L(-C_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}) \wedge \omega_1 = 0;$$

that is,  $C = C_{\alpha} = H/2L$  is the same for all  $\alpha$ . The geometrical interpretation of the relation  $\omega_{1\alpha} = C\omega_{\alpha}$  is that  $D_2$  (the distribution annihilated by  $\omega_1$ ) is completely integrable and has totally umbilical leaves. In fact,  $d\omega_1 = -\omega_{1\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\alpha} = 0$ , so locally  $\omega_1$  has a primitive u; that is,  $du = \omega_1$ .

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1972-077-6 Published online by Cambridge University Press

802

A differential equation for C may be obtained from the fact that the curvature of the section  $X_1 \wedge X_{\alpha}$  vanishes:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{1\alpha} &= d\omega_{1\alpha} + \omega_{1\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha} \\ &= dC \wedge \omega_{\alpha} + Cd\omega_{\alpha} + \omega_{1\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha} \\ &= dC \wedge \omega_{\alpha} - C\omega_{\alpha i} \wedge \omega_{i} + C\omega_{\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha} \\ &= \left(\frac{dC}{du} - C^{2}\right)\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{dC}{du} - C^2 = 0.$$

Solving this, we obtain either C = 0 or

$$C = -\frac{1}{u - u_0} = -\frac{1}{t},$$

where  $u_0$  is a constant and hence t is another primitive for  $\omega_1$ . The signs of C and  $\omega_1$  can be changed, if necessary, so as to make t > 0.

If C = 0, then it must be so on connected sets. Hence H = dL/du = 2LC = 0 and L, and hence K, are constant. Moreover, C = 0 says  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are parallel so we are back in case (c).

If  $C \neq 0$ , then we solve H = 2LC for L, obtaining  $L = L_0/t^2$ , and hence  $K = K_0/t^2$  for constants  $L_0$  and  $K_0$ . Thus,  $t = (K_0/K)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a primitive for  $\omega_1$  in each component of M'. We don't know yet whether there is only one component, so  $K_0$  might have several values. As a map  $t: M' \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , t is clearly a Riemannian submersion whose fibres are the leaves of  $D_2$ . As such it is distance-non-increasing. Now suppose that  $M' \neq M$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a curve entirely in M' except for the last point  $\gamma(1) \in M - M'$ . The length of  $t\gamma$  is at most that of  $\gamma$  and is therefore bounded. Hence  $t\gamma(1) = \lim_{s\to 1^-} t\gamma(s)$  exists and is not  $\infty$ . It cannot be 0 either, for then there would be a sequence of plane sections converging to a section at  $\gamma(1)$  and having curvatures diverging to  $\lim_{t\to 0} K_0/t^2$ . A similar difficulty is presented at any other finite limit for  $t\gamma(1)$ , since we would then have curvatures converging to nonzero values contradicting the fact that M - M' is flat. Hence, M = M'.

To complete the proof we calculate the intrinsic curvature of the leaves of  $D_2$ . The connection forms  $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ , restricted to a leaf, become the connection forms of the leaf. Thus, denoting the curvature forms of a leaf by  $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}$ , the second structural equation for a leaf is

$$d\omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} + \Phi_{\alpha\beta}$$
  
=  $-\omega_{\alpha i} \wedge \omega_{i\beta} + \Omega_{\alpha\beta}$   
=  $-\omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} + (C^2 + K)\omega_{\alpha} \wedge \omega_B$ 

(

Evidently the curvature forms of the leaf are

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta} = (C^2 + K)\omega_{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\beta},$$

so the curvature of the leaves of  $D_2$  is  $(1 + K_0)/t^2$ .

*Remark.* If M is complete, then the case (d) cannot occur, since the base of a complete Riemannian submersion must be complete.

University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois

804