

Dietary and anthropometric indicators of nutritional status in relation to *Helicobacter pylori* infection in a paediatric population

Mariana A. Janjetic^{1,2,3*}, Paula Mantero¹, Eduardo Cueto Rua⁴, Norma Balcarce⁴, Gerardo Zerbetto de Palma⁵, Mariana Catalano⁵, Marcela B. Zubillaga¹, José R. Boccio¹ and Cinthia G. Goldman^{1,3}

¹Laboratory of Stable Isotopes Applied to Biology and Medicine, Physics Department, School of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Buenos Aires, Junín 956, 1113 Buenos Aires, Argentina

²Nutrition Department, School of Medicine, University of Buenos Aires, Marcelo T de Alvear 2202, 1122 Buenos Aires, Argentina

³Argentine National Scientific and Technological Research Council (CONICET), Rivadavia 1917, 1033 Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁴Gastroenterology Unit, Children Hospital 'Superiora Sor Maria Ludovica', Calle 14 No. 1631, 1900 La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁵Institute of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology (IMPAM, UBA-CONICET), School of Medicine, University of Buenos Aires – Argentine National Scientific and Technological Research Council, Paraguay 2155, 1121 Buenos Aires, Argentina

(Submitted 4 July 2014 – Final revision received 23 December 2014 – Accepted 26 January 2015 – First published online 12 March 2015)

Abstract

It has been postulated that *Helicobacter pylori* infection could affect growth and appetite, consequently influencing body weight. Therefore, the association between *H. pylori* infection and the dietary and anthropometric indicators of nutritional status of a paediatric population were investigated. A total of 525 children (aged 4–16 years) who were referred to the gastroenterology unit of the Sor Maria Ludovica Children's Hospital from Buenos Aires, Argentina, were enrolled and completed an epidemiological questionnaire. *H. pylori* infection was diagnosed using the ¹³C-urea breath test (¹³C-UBT). Height and weight were assessed for calculation of anthropometric indicators. Energy and macronutrient intakes were estimated by 24 h dietary recall. Data analysis was performed using a χ^2 test, a Student's *t* test, a Mann–Whitney *U* test and linear and logistic regressions. The prevalence of *H. pylori* infection was 25.1% (with a mean age of 10.1 (SD 3.1) years). A tendency towards lower energy, carbohydrate, protein and fat intakes was observed in infected patients; however, it was not associated with *H. pylori* infection in any of the evaluated age groups (4–8, 9–13 and 14–16 years). Underweight, stunting, overweight and obesity were also not associated with the infection. Although height-for-age and BMI-for-age *Z* scores tended to be lower in infected patients, the differences between *H. pylori*-positive and *H. pylori*-negative children were not statistically significant. In conclusion, *H. pylori* infection was not associated with dietary intake or with anthropometric indicators in the present population of children with gastrointestinal symptoms; however, an increased sample size would be needed to confirm the observed tendency towards lower dietary intake and lower anthropometric indicators of nutritional status in *H. pylori*-infected children.

Key words: *Helicobacter pylori*: Children: Dietary intake: Anthropometric indicators

Helicobacter pylori infection has been linked to the occurrence of several non-gastrointestinal disorders which might have health consequences in the long term⁽¹⁾. Because the infection is mainly acquired during childhood and persists throughout life unless treated, its association with micronutrient deficiencies and growth impairment, along with other extra-gastric conditions, has been postulated^(2,3). Although several cross-sectional and cohort studies have demonstrated

an association between *H. pylori* infection and growth retardation^(4–8), other investigations have not supported this relationship^(9–12). Interpretation of the results appears to be complex, because socio-economic factors, sanitary conditions, age, diet and other co-infections are all variables which are independently associated with growth variation^(6,13–16). According to the guidelines of the North American and European Societies for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition⁽¹⁷⁾

Abbreviations: DOB, delta over baseline; ¹³C-UBT, ¹³C-urea breath test; UHR, urea hydrolysis rate.

* **Corresponding author:** M. A. Janjetic, fax +54 11 4964 8201, email mjanje@ffyb.uba.ar

and the Canadian *Helicobacter* Study Group Consensus Conference⁽¹⁸⁾, there is not sufficient evidence to establish a causative role of *H. pylori* infection for growth retardation.

H. pylori colonisation has also been postulated to influence another aspect related to the modulation of appetite and its consequences in energy homeostasis and body weight. It has been proposed that gastric secretion of ghrelin, an orexigenic hormone produced mainly in the oxyntic mucosa of the stomach⁽¹⁹⁾, could be affected by *H. pylori* infection. A systematic review of the literature published in 2011 concluded that circulating ghrelin levels are lower in *H. pylori*-infected individuals⁽²⁰⁾; however, the impacts of *H. pylori* infection and its eradication on body weight are still controversial. Moreover, studies evaluating dietary intake in *H. pylori*-infected and *H. pylori*-uninfected individuals, particularly children, are scarce. In addition, body weight could also influence the values obtained from the ¹³C-urea breath test (¹³C-UBT) for *H. pylori* infection diagnosis. ¹³C-UBT results are usually expressed in terms of breath ¹³CO₂ enrichment related to the baseline values (delta over baseline, DOB), and this depends not only on the quantity of exhaled ¹³CO₂ but also on the endogenous CO₂ production as well. In this sense, a certain quantity of exhaled ¹³CO₂ could result in a higher DOB value for a person who has a lower CO₂ production rate (smaller body size) as compared to a person with a higher CO₂ production rate (larger body size). Alternatively, the urease activity expressed by the *H. pylori* burden may be independent of body size. In order to normalise these results by the endogenous CO₂ production, patients' surface area and BMR should be taken into account along with their DOB values when calculating their urea hydrolysis rates (UHR). ¹³C-UBT has been considered a semi-quantitative method for *H. pylori* diagnosis because DOB values have been related to bacterial load⁽²¹⁾. It could be speculated that higher UBT results (normalised as UHR values) are related to lower ghrelin production and consequently to a lower body weight.

The present retrospective cross-sectional study aimed to evaluate the association between *H. pylori* infection and the dietary and anthropometric indicators of nutritional status in a paediatric population with gastrointestinal symptoms from Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Patients and methods

Patients and ethics

A total of 525 children aged 4 to 16 years who were referred to the gastroenterology unit of the Superiora Sor Maria Ludovica Children's Hospital in La Plata, Argentina, were included in the present study. The hospital is a tertiary-level health care referral institution with the highest clinical complexity for attending children in Buenos Aires province. Inclusion criteria were the presence of upper gastrointestinal signs and symptoms, such as gastroesophageal reflux, symptoms of oesophagitis, dyspepsia and abdominal pain, and exclusion criteria were the use of antibiotics or acid suppressants during the previous month.

The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all

procedures involving patients were approved by the ethics committee of the Superiora Sor Maria Ludovica Children's Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from parents or legal guardians after they read the protocol information. Results on *H. pylori* infection status and the other measured variables were sent to the responsible health facilities, where children received appropriate treatment.

¹³C-urea breath test

The ¹³C-UBT is a highly accurate test, with values of sensitivity and specificity of more than 95% for the diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection⁽²²⁾. The test consisted of the following: after at least 6 h of fasting, children were instructed to exhale air into two hermetically sealed containers (Labco Limited) to determine basal ¹³C:¹²C ratios. Then, 150 ml of reconstituted, powdered, non-fatty milk containing 50 mg of ¹³C-urea (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc.) was taken by each patient, and two more breath samples were collected, one at 30 min and another at 45 min after the ingestion of the labelled solution. Each sample of exhaled air was measured in an isotope ratio mass spectrometer coupled to a gas chromatograph (Finnigan MAT GmbH, Thermo Fisher Scientific). A change of >3.5‰ in the DOB values was considered positive⁽²²⁾. In order to normalise these results by endogenous CO₂ production, the UHR was calculated⁽²³⁾ considering the DOB values of each patient and using the following formula:

$$\text{UHR} (\mu\text{g}/\text{min}) = \text{VCO}_2 (\text{mmol}/\text{min}) \times \text{DOB} \times 0.3463.$$

VCO₂ was estimated from body surface area using the Schofield equation, which provides an accurate estimate in children⁽²⁴⁾. Results expressed in terms of UHR could then be compared independent of age, sex or body size.

Dietary assessment

Energy and macronutrient intakes were assessed with 24 h dietary recalls administered to the parent or guardian of each child. A book of picture charts was used to aid respondents in portion size estimation⁽²⁵⁾. Data analysis was performed using the food composition database compiled in 2007 by the Argentine Ministry of Health⁽²⁶⁾. Information for two non-consecutive days of nutrient intake was obtained from a subsample of 160 children selected by systematic random sampling^(27,28). Epidemiological characteristics of the subsample did not differ statistically from the main sample, which meant that it was representative of the entire cohort. Adjustment of nutrient intake was made by calculating within-person and between-person variances using variance analyses (ANOVA) according to the methodology described by the National Research Council, the US National Academy of Sciences⁽²⁷⁾ and Slater *et al.*⁽²⁹⁾ in order to partially remove variation in within-person consumption and to obtain the estimated usual intake distribution for each nutrient. Transformation was applied to nutrient intakes that did not have a normal distribution in order to remove asymmetry, and data were back-transformed to the original scale.

The prevalence of inadequate intake was estimated by calculating the percentage of the group with usual nutrient intake that was less than the estimated average or the energy requirements recommended by the Food and Nutrition Board of the Institute of Medicine^(30,31). Those 24 h dietary recalls in which energy intake was lower than 2092 kJ/d (500 kcal/d) or higher than 15 062.4 kJ/d (3600 kcal/d) were considered to under- or over-report energy intake, respectively, and were consequently excluded from the adjustment⁽³²⁾.

Epidemiological questionnaire

An epidemiological questionnaire was administered to the parent or guardian of each child in order to obtain information about possible predictive variables for *H. pylori* positivity. The

questionnaire was focused on ethnicity, socio-demographic factors and sanitary conditions. Unsatisfied basic needs were defined according to the guidelines of the National Institute of Statistics and Census of Argentina⁽³³⁾, taking into account the following variables: family crowding, type of housing, type of flooring, type of toilet, source of water, education levels of the children, the head of the family and the mother and the number of family members who depend economically of the household head.

Anthropometric indicators

At enrolment, body weight and height were obtained from each of the participating children in duplicate by the same researcher. Height was recorded using a stadiometer (Stanley)

Table 1. Socio-demographical and sanitary data of the children included in the present study (Mean values and standard deviations; number of children; percentages)

	<i>H. pylori</i> negative (n)	%	<i>H. pylori</i> positive (n)	%	P
Overall	393	74.9	132	25.1	
Age (years)					0.24
Mean	10.0		10.3		
SD	3.1		3.1		
Age group (years)					0.60
4–8	130	33.1	38	28.8	
9–13	199	50.6	69	52.3	
14–16	64	16.3	25	18.9	
Sex					0.12
Female	211	53.7	81	61.4	
Male	182	46.3	51	38.6	
Ethnicity					<0.001*
Caucasian	367	93.4	110	83.3	
South American Indian	26	6.6	22	16.7	
Education Level					0.18
Kindergarten	35	8.9	17	12.8	
BGE‡	335	85.2	109	82.6	
Polimodal§	23	5.9	6	4.6	
Place of residence					0.02*
Great BA	35	8.9	23	17.4	
BA province	350	89.0	108	81.8	
Other	8	2.1	1	0.8	
Number of siblings					<0.01†
None	62	15.8	5	3.8	
1	139	35.4	37	28.0	
2	107	27.2	38	28.8	
3	45	11.5	21	15.9	
4 or more	40	10.2	31	23.5	
Family members in the household					<0.01†
2	11	2.8	3	2.3	
3	66	16.8	13	9.9	
4	134	34.1	36	27.3	
5	114	29.0	38	28.8	
6 or more	68	17.3	42	31.8	
Educational level of the mother					<0.01*
Incomplete primary school	11	2.8	19	14.4	
Primary school	175	44.5	77	58.3	
Secondary school	114	29.0	21	15.9	
Tertiary or University education	93	23.7	15	11.4	
UBN					<0.01*
Yes	114	29.0	67	50.8	
No	279	71.0	65	49.2	

BGE, basic general education; Great BA, Great Buenos Aires (suburban areas); BA province, Buenos Aires province (inner city); UBN, unsatisfied basic needs.

* Percentages were significantly different between the groups ($P < 0.05$; χ^2 test).

† Percentages were significantly different between the groups ($P < 0.05$; Mann–Whitney *U* test).

‡ For children aged 6 to 15 years.

§ For children aged 15 to 18 years.

to the nearest 0.1 cm, and weight was measured with a portable mechanical scale (CAM) to the nearest 100 g. Height-, weight- and BMI-for-age were expressed as *Z* scores, and underweight, stunting, overweight and obesity were defined relative to the age- and sex-appropriate standards in the WHO Growth Charts^(34,35). Anthropometric techniques were previously standardised⁽³⁶⁾, and *z* scores were calculated by the Anthro and AnthroPlus programmes.

Statistical analysis

An χ^2 test was used to analyse dependency between *H. pylori* positivity and other categorical variables. The normality of the data was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. A Student's *t* test was used when it was proven that variances were homogeneous; if not, the nonparametric Mann–Whitney *U* test was applied. A logistic regression was performed to estimate the association between socio-demographic variables and *H. pylori* status, and crude and adjusted OR were obtained with 95% CI. Linear regression was used to evaluate the association of *H. pylori* infection status with anthropometric indicators and nutrient intakes, and β coefficients were obtained with 95% CI. Variables associated with *H. pylori* infection which could affect nutrient intake and anthropometric indicators were considered as confounding factors for these parameters. Significance levels were set at $\alpha < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 17.0 (IBM SPSS).

Results

Epidemiology

The present study included 525 children (55.6% females) with a mean age of 10.1 years (95% CI 9.8, 10.3). The response rate was 100%. A total of 132 patients were found to be *H. pylori*-positive by means of the ¹³C-UBT, which correlated to a prevalence of 25.1% (95% CI 21.5, 29.5) in this symptomatic population. All of the patients completed the epidemiological questionnaire. There were no statistically significant differences in terms of demographic variables, such as mean age ($P=0.24$) and age groups ($P=0.60$), sex ($P=0.12$) and education level

of the children ($P=0.18$), between *H. pylori*-positive and *H. pylori*-negative patients (Table 1).

The variables which proved to be associated to *H. pylori* infection included ethnicity ($P<0.001$), with a higher prevalence in South American Indians, place of residence ($P=0.02$), a higher number of siblings ($P<0.01$) and family members ($P<0.01$) in the household, a lower education level of the mother and the head of the family ($P<0.01$), unsatisfied basic needs ($P<0.01$) (Table 1) and poorer sanitary conditions, as denoted by the type of flooring ($P<0.01$) and the type of toilet ($P<0.01$), where a cement or soil floor and a pit latrine toilet were associated with *H. pylori* infection. After adjusting for confounding factors, the remaining predictive variables for *H. pylori* positivity were ethnicity (OR 2.08, 95% CI 1.09, 3.97), number of siblings (OR 1.21, 95% CI 1.07, 1.38), education level of the mother (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.33, 0.86) and type of flooring (OR 1.99, 95% CI 1.27, 3.12).

Food intake

Dietary recalls were collected from all of the participating children. We excluded from the analysis six 24 h recalls due to under-reporting and fifteen recalls due to over-reporting of energy intake. The prevalence of inadequate energy intake was 42% in the whole population, with no significant differences according to *H. pylori* infection or age group ($P=0.34$). Table 2 summarises the macronutrient and energy intakes according to *H. pylori* status in the different age groups. Energy, carbohydrate, protein and fat intakes were not associated with *H. pylori* infection either by age or by sex. The association between *H. pylori* infection status alone and infection status adjusted by confounders and nutrient intake is shown in Table 3. Fat intake was observed to be affected by *H. pylori* infection ($P=0.02$); however, this result was no longer significant after adjustment ($P=0.08$).

Anthropometric indicators

When analysing the anthropometric indicators as quantitative variables, height-for-age *Z* score values and BMI-for-age

Table 2. Nutrient intake in the different age groups according to *Helicobacter pylori* infection (Mean values and standard deviations)

Age group (years)	Nutrient intake	<i>H. pylori</i> negative		<i>H. pylori</i> positive		<i>P</i>
		Mean	SD	Mean*	SD	
4–8	Energy (kJ/d)	7068.6	2178.4	6361.8	1863.5	0.07
	Carbohydrate (g/d)	214.3	50.0	204.3	47.7	0.30
	Protein (g/d)	57.8	15.3	52.7	12.8	0.06
	Fat (g/d)	59.8	6.7	57.7	5.8	0.08
9–13	Energy (kJ/d)	7998.9	2511.2	7473.0	2489.1	0.14
	Carbohydrate (g/d)	232.3	60.9	222.3	57.5	0.25
	Protein (g/d)	61.2	7.0	60.9	7.0	0.82
	Fat (g/d)	62.2	7.1	60.4	7.0	0.10
14–16	Energy (kJ/d)	7820.9	2809.3	8177.2	2555.2	0.59
	Carbohydrate (g/d)	228.0	62.8	249.3	61.5	0.17
	Protein (g/d)	64.9	18.3	64.4	16.5	0.90
	Fat (g/d)	61.6	7.2	60.3	7.7	0.47

* Mean values were not significantly different from those of the *H. pylori*-negative group ($P>0.05$; Mann–Whitney *U* test).

Table 3. Crude and adjusted β coefficients for nutrient intake and anthropometric indicators according to *Helicobacter pylori* infection

(β Coefficients and 95 % confidence intervals)

Indicator	Crude β	95 % CI	P	Adjusted β	95 % CI	P
Energy intake (kJ/d)	-383.35	-878.6, 115.9	0.13	-340.6†	-846.0, 164.8	0.18
Carbohydrate intake (g/d)	-3.2	-15.1, 8.8	0.60	-5.6†	-17.8, 6.5	0.36
Protein intake (g/d)	-1.3	-4.0, 1.0	0.25	-1.1†	-3.6, 1.5	0.42
Fat intake (g/d)	-1.8	-3.2, -0.3	0.02*	-1.3†	-2.8, 0.1	0.08
Height-for-age (Z score)	-0.2	-0.4, -0.01	0.04*	-0.1‡	-0.4, 0.1	0.20
BMI-for-age (Z score)	-0.2	-0.4, 0.1	0.20	-0.04‡	-0.3, 0.2	0.78

*Crude β coefficients were significantly different between the groups ($P < 0.05$; linear regression).

†Adjustment by number of siblings, unsatisfied basic needs, ethnicity, sex and age.

‡Adjustment by number of siblings, unsatisfied basic needs, ethnicity and protein intake.

Z score values were lower within the infected children (-0.38, 95 % CI -0.55, -0.19 and -0.02, 95 % CI -0.24, -0.20) than they were in the uninfected group (-0.17, 95 % CI -0.26, -0.06 and 0.12, 95 % CI -0.01, 0.25). Results for the anthropometric indicators showed a significantly lower height-for-age Z score in *H. pylori*-infected children (Table 3), but these scores were not significant after multiple variable analyses.

Table 4 summarises the anthropometric nutritional status of the children according to *H. pylori* infection. The prevalence of underweight, normal weight, stunting, overweight and obesity did not differ significantly between the infected and the uninfected children.

¹³C-urea breath test results and anthropometric nutritional status

¹³C-UBT results were normalised by endogenous CO₂ production through calculation of the UHR. In the *H. pylori* positive group, UHR values were significantly higher in children with underweight or normal weight ($P=0.02$), but in the *H. pylori* negative group, no significant difference in UHR could be found between children between underweight-normal weight and overweight-obesity ($P=0.66$). Neither DOB nor its normalised variable UHR differed in terms of their association with the anthropometric indicators of nutritional status.

Discussion

It has been postulated that *H. pylori* infection could affect dietary intake; however, studies that have investigated this relationship are limited. We aimed to evaluate the association between *H. pylori* positivity and the dietary and

anthropometric indicators of nutritional status in children with gastrointestinal symptoms. The nature of the sample, which was represented exclusively by symptomatic children, should be taken into consideration as a limitation of the present results. In addition, sources of measurement error in the 24 h dietary recall, the method we used for dietary assessment in the present epidemiological study, should also be considered; in particular, the parents or guardians of the children could have unintentionally misreported dietary intakes⁽³²⁾.

We found a higher prevalence of inadequate energy intake in the present population than that reported by the Argentine National Questionnaire of Nutrition and Health⁽³⁷⁾, in which 24 h dietary recalls were also used for dietary assessment. Because a lower mean energy intake has been described for dyspeptic children regardless of the presence of *H. pylori* infection⁽³⁸⁾, the lower intake could have been related to the presence of gastric symptoms in all of the children, representing a population bias. After a quantitative analysis of the dietary intakes in the present study, we did not find any significant differences in the mean values of the energy, carbohydrates, proteins and fat intakes between the *H. pylori*-infected and the *H. pylori*-uninfected children for any of the evaluated age groups (Table 2). However, a tendency towards lower intakes for all of the evaluated nutrients could be evidenced by the β coefficients described in Table 3. Moreover, fat intake was significantly lower in *H. pylori*-positive children, although this was no longer significant after adjustment for confounders. Sample size could have been another variable that limited the present results. In order to evaluate whether the observed tendency towards lower dietary intakes in *H. pylori*-positive children results in a significant difference, a higher number of subjects should be studied to increase the power of the study; in the present study, a 40% power was obtained from a retrospective power calculation based

Table 4. Anthropometric indicators of nutritional status according to *Helicobacter pylori* infection*

(Percentages and 95 % confidence intervals)

Nutritional status	<i>H. pylori</i> negative (%)	95 % CI	<i>H. pylori</i> positive (%)	95 % CI	P
Underweight	6.7	4.5, 9.5	5.3	2.6, 6.5	0.57
Normal weight	66.6	61.8, 71.1	68.9	60.6, 76.2	0.47
Stunting	3.3	1.9, 5.6	4.5	2.1, 9.6	0.52
Overweight	14.5	11.3, 18.3	15.2	10.0, 22.2	0.88
Obesity	8.9	6.5, 12.1	6.1	3.1, 11.5	0.29

* Percentages were not significantly different between the groups ($P > 0.05$; χ^2 test).

on mean differences in energy intake. On the other hand, the present results showed that anthropometric indicators of nutritional status did not differ significantly between infected and uninfected children with digestive symptomatology (Table 4). Future studies should be conducted to evaluate the anthropometric indicators of nutritional status in asymptomatic children with or without the infection.

It has been postulated that values from the ^{13}C -UBT are related to *H. pylori* bacterial load⁽²¹⁾. It could be speculated that higher UBT results (normalised as UHR values) may be associated with lower ghrelin production and consequently with lower body weight. In the present study, we investigated whether UHR could be associated with anthropometric indicators of nutritional status and obtained significantly higher UHR values for the *H. pylori*-positive children with underweight or normal weight ($P=0.02$). Nevertheless, measurements of circulating ghrelin levels as well as histological affection of the gastric mucosa should be evaluated in order to confirm these results. Because the *H. pylori* genotype and virulence factors, such as the presence of the *cag* pathogenicity island, are related to the severity of gastric disease⁽³⁹⁾, these variables should also be evaluated.

Growth is a complex phenomenon that is influenced by multiple factors. In the present study, we did not find an association between *H. pylori* infection and growth-related anthropometric indicators; however, we did observe a tendency towards lower *Z* scores for the anthropometric indicators evaluated in the *H. pylori*-infected children. Moreover, significant differences that were obtained for height-for-age *Z* scores in the *H. pylori*-positive group were no longer significant after adjustment for confounders, and this is consistent with findings from other cross-sectional studies^(9–12). Cohort studies evaluating the effect of *H. pylori* on growth retardation have reported controversial results^(5,7,12,40–42). Although growth velocity has been found to be impaired in *H. pylori*-positive infants and children from Colombia and Ecuador^(5,7,40,41), reports on children from other areas have not found the same effect⁽¹²⁾. Evaluation of the long-term effects on growth after *H. pylori* infection has been resolved suggests that the growth of children benefits when they are treated for *H. pylori* infection⁽⁸⁾. However, it has been reported that a BMI decrease is also related to the presence of recurrent abdominal pain associated with gastric lesions, independent of the presence of *H. pylori* infection⁽⁴³⁾.

In conclusion, predictive variables for *H. pylori* positivity included ethnicity, number of siblings, education level of the mother and type of flooring. *H. pylori* infection was not associated with dietary intake or with anthropometric indicators in children with gastrointestinal symptoms; however, an increased sample size would be needed to confirm the observed tendencies towards lower dietary intake and anthropometric indicators in *H. pylori*-infected children.

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Dr Tom Preston from the Stable Isotope Biochemistry Laboratory, The University of Glasgow, for kindly reviewing the final version of the present manuscript.

The present work was supported by the University of Buenos Aires (UBA) (C. G. G., Project UBACyT 20020100100837) and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) (C. G. G., Coordinated Research Programme ARG-16746). UBA and IAEA had no role in the design, analysis or writing of the present article.

The authors' contributions are as follows: M. B. Z., J. R. B. and C. G. G. formulated the research questions and designed the study; M. A. J., P. M., E. C. R., N. B., G. Z. d. P. and M. C. conducted the research; M. A. J. and P. M. performed the statistical analysis; M. A. J., P. M. and C. G. G. wrote the article. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

None of the authors has any conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Roubaud Baudron C, Franceschi F, Salles N, *et al.* (2013) Extragastric diseases and *Helicobacter pylori*. *Helicobacter* **18**, Suppl. 1, S44–S51.
2. Akcam M (2011) *Helicobacter pylori* and micronutrients. *Indian Pediatr* **47**, 119–126.
3. Queiroz DM, Rocha AM & Crabtree JE (2013) Unintended consequences of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in children in developing countries: iron deficiency, diarrhea, and growth retardation. *Gut Microbes* **4**, 494–504.
4. Richter T, Richter T, List S, *et al.* (2001) Five- to 7-year-old children with *Helicobacter pylori* infection are smaller than *Helicobacter*-negative children: a cross-sectional population-based study of 3,315 children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **33**, 472–475.
5. Mera RM, Correa P, Fonham EE, *et al.* (2006) Effects of a new *Helicobacter pylori* infection on height and weight in Colombian children. *Ann Epidemiol* **16**, 347–351.
6. Vilchis J, Duque X, Mera R, *et al.* (2009) Association of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and height of Mexican children of low socioeconomic level attending boarding schools. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **81**, 1091–1096.
7. Goodman KJ, Correa P, Mera R, *et al.* (2011) Effect of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on growth velocity of school-age Andean children. *Epidemiology* **22**, 118–126.
8. Mera RM, Bravo LE, Goodman KJ, *et al.* (2012) Long-term effects of clearing *Helicobacter pylori* on growth in school-age children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* **31**, 263–266.
9. Leandro Liberato SV, Hernandez Galindo M, Torroba Alvarez L, *et al.* (2005) *Helicobacter pylori* infection in the child population in Spain: prevalence, related factors and influence on growth. *An Pediatr (Barc)* **63**, 489–494.
10. Chi H, Bair MJ, Wu MS, *et al.* (2009) Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in high-school students on Lanyu Island, Taiwan: risk factor analysis and effect on growth. *J Formos Med Assoc* **108**, 929–936.
11. Cherian S, Forbes D, Sanfilippo F, *et al.* (2009) *Helicobacter pylori*, helminth infections and growth: a cross-sectional study in a high prevalence population. *Acta Paediatr* **98**, 860–864.
12. Chimonas MA, Baggett HC, Parkinson AJ, *et al.* (2006) Asymptomatic *Helicobacter pylori* infection and iron deficiency are not associated with decreased growth among Alaska Native children aged 7–11 years. *Helicobacter* **11**, 159–167.
13. Sood MR, Joshi S, Akobeng AK, *et al.* (2005) Growth in children with *Helicobacter pylori* infection and dyspepsia. *Arch Dis Child* **90**, 1025–1028.
14. Ozen A, Furman A, Berber M, *et al.* (2011) The effect of *Helicobacter pylori* and economic status on growth

- parameters and leptin, ghrelin, and insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I concentrations in children. *Helicobacter* **16**, 55–65.
15. Fialho AM, Braga AB, Queiroz DM, *et al.* (2007) The association between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and height in children from an urban community in north-east Brazil. *Ann Trop Paediatr* **27**, 55–61.
 16. Quinonez JM, Chew F, Torres O, *et al.* (1999) Nutritional status of *Helicobacter pylori*-infected children in Guatemala as compared with uninfected peers. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **61**, 395–398.
 17. Koletzko S, Jones NL, Goodman KJ, *et al.* (2011) Evidence-based guidelines from ESPGHAN and NASPGHAN for *Helicobacter pylori* infection in children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **53**, 230–243.
 18. Bourke B, Ceponis P, Chiba N, *et al.* (2005) Canadian *Helicobacter* Study Group Consensus Conference: update on the approach to *Helicobacter pylori* infection in children and adolescents—an evidence-based evaluation. *Can J Gastroenterol* **19**, 399–408.
 19. Date Y, Kojima M, Hosoda H, *et al.* (2000) Ghrelin, a novel growth hormone-releasing acylated peptide, is synthesized in a distinct endocrine cell type in the gastrointestinal tracts of rats and humans. *Endocrinology* **141**, 4255–4261.
 20. Nweneka CV & Prentice AM (2011) *Helicobacter pylori* infection and circulating ghrelin levels – a systematic review. *BMC Gastroenterol* **11**, 7.
 21. Ellenrieder V, Glasbrenner B, Stoffels C, *et al.* (1997) Qualitative and semi-quantitative value of a modified ¹³C-urea breath test for identification of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **9**, 1085–1089.
 22. Leal YA, Flores LL, Fuentes-Panana EM, *et al.* (2011) ¹³C-urea breath test for the diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Helicobacter* **16**, 327–337.
 23. Slater C, Preston T & Weaver LT (2004) Is there an advantage in normalising the results of the *Helicobacter pylori* ¹³C-urea breath test for CO₂ production rate in children? *Isotopes Environ Health Stud* **40**, 89–98.
 24. Rodriguez G, Moreno L, Sarria A, *et al.* (2000) Resting energy expenditure in children and adolescents: agreement between calorimetry and prediction equations. *Clin Nutr* **21**, 255–260.
 25. Avila T & Chiappe C (2007) *Atlas fotográfico de preparaciones de alimentos (Photographic Food Atlas)*, 1st ed., pp. 1–19, [T Avila and C Chiappe, editors]. Buenos Aires: Akadia.
 26. Ministerio de Salud y Ambiente de la Nación Argentina, Programa Materno Infantil (2006) Sistema de Análisis y Registro de Alimentos (Food Analysis and Registration System), SARA. <http://www.msal.gov.ar/promin/archivos/htm/descarga-sara.htm> (accessed September 2014)
 27. National Research Council (1986) *Nutrient Adequacy: Assessment Using Food Consumption Surveys. Report of the Food and Nutrition Board*. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences.
 28. Hoffmann K, Boeing H, Dufour A, *et al.* (2002) Estimating the distribution of usual dietary intake by short-term measurements. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **56**, Suppl. 2, S53–S62.
 29. Slater B, Marchioni DL & Fisberg RM (2004) Estimating prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake. *Rev Saude Publica* **38**, 599–605.
 30. Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board (2000) *Dietary Reference Intakes: Applications in Dietary Assessment*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
 31. Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board (2005) *Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
 32. Willett W (1998) Issues in analysis and presentation of dietary data. In *Nutritional Epidemiology*, 2nd ed., pp. 321–346 [W Willett, editor]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
 33. National Institute of Statistics and Census of Argentina (INDEC) (2010) <http://www.indec.gov.ar/indicadores-sociodemograficos.asp> (accessed September 2014)
 34. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group (2006) WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. *Acta Paediatr Suppl* **450**, 76–85.
 35. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, *et al.* (2007) Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bull World Health Organ* **85**, 660–667.
 36. Habicht JP (1974) [Standardization of quantitative epidemiological methods in the field]. *Bol Oficina Sanit Panam* **76**, 375–384.
 37. Ministerio de Salud y Ambiente de la Nación, Argentina (2007) *Encuesta Nacional de Nutrición y Salud. Documento de Resultados 2007 (National Survey on Health and Nutrition. Document of Results 2007)*. Buenos Aires: Ministerio de Salud. http://www.msal.gov.ar/htm/site/ennys/pdf/documento_resultados_2007.pdf (accessed September 2014).
 38. Soyly OB & Ozturk Y (2008) *Helicobacter pylori* infection: effect on malnutrition and growth failure in dyspeptic children. *Eur J Pediatr* **167**, 557–562.
 39. Rick JR, Goldman M, Semino-Mora C, *et al.* (2019) *In situ* expression of cagA and risk of gastroduodenal disease in *Helicobacter pylori* infected children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **50**, 167–172.
 40. Bravo LE, Mera R, Reina JC, *et al.* (2003) Impact of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on growth of children: a prospective cohort study. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **37**, 614–619.
 41. Egorov AI, Sempertegui F, Estrella B, *et al.* (2010) The effect of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on growth velocity in young children from poor urban communities in Ecuador. *Int J Infect Dis* **14**, 788–791.
 42. Thomas JE, Dale A, Bunn JE, *et al.* (2004) Early *Helicobacter pylori* colonisation: the association with growth faltering in The Gambia. *Arch Dis Child* **89**, 1149–1154.
 43. Gulcan M, Ozen A, Karatepe HO, *et al.* (2010) Impact of *H. pylori* on growth: is the infection or mucosal disease related to growth impairment? *Dig Dis Sci* **55**, 2878–2886.