in support of the likelihood of almandine crystallizing from an andesitic magma is not supported by H. S. Yoder's recent work (*Amer. Min.*, xl, 1955, p. 342) on the almandine garnet stability curve which, according to Yoder, accounts for the occurrence of almandine in metamorphic rocks *as well as in some igneous rocks*. There is little doubt that the garnets (of similar composition to those in the Borrowdale volcanics) contained in, for example, the Dartmoor Granite are magmatic (see A. Brammall and H. F. Harwood, *Miner. Mag.*, xx, 1923, pp. 39–52), and pressures operating on the granite at the time of garnet crystallization are not likely to have been very much higher than those affecting the Borrowdale andesitic magma at depth.

Dr. Firman's statement that plagioclase coronas round many of the Lake District garnets are illustrative of xenocrystal garnets in disequilibrium with the magmatic liquid is not consistent with his contention that the garnets as xenocrysts free to react with the liquid might be expected to vary in composition sympathetically with the composition of the containing rock.

The closest comparison to the " Borrowdale " garnets and their environment is, as far as the writer is aware, those contained in dacitic and rhyodacitic lavas in Victoria, Australia, discussed in a number of papers by Dr. A. B. Edwards and other workers. Edwards (*Proc. Roy. Soc. Victoria*, xlix, 1936, p. 40) admits the possibility that the Victorian garnetiferous rocks are syntectics—in other words, that they have been contaminated—and the same view is held by other Australian investigators. The presence of garnets, already mentioned, in the Dartmoor Granite is attributed by Brammall and Bracewell (*Nature*, cxxxi, 1933, p. 250) to contamination by the country rock. These examples, and also several others, indicate that a higher relative proportion of alumina than can be attained by " internal " magmatic differentiation is apparently necessary for the crystallization of almandine garnets. In the Lake District, the Skiddaw Slates, underlying the Borrowdale volcanics, are a not impossible source of aluminous material.

The writer considers it quite probable that assimilation of aluminous sediment (or metamorphosed sediment) has facilitated the crystallization of the " Borrowdale " garnets. Such an explanation would account for the rather random and patchy distribution of the garnets emphasized by Dr. Firman, though it would still be compatible with the restriction of the garnets to lavas of a limited compositional range (maintained by the writer). The absence in the lavas of definite and recognizable xenocrysts or xenoliths from metamorphic rocks does not support Dr. Firman's contention that the garnets are xenocrysts from metamorphic garnetiferous rocks at depth; nor is the suggestion that welded tuffs in the Lake District are a significant host and associate of the garnetiferous rocks substantiated by the non-occurrence of garnets in most welded tuffs recorded elsewhere.

R. L. Oliver.

c/o Photographic Survey Corporation,
P.O. Box 1339,
Colombo, Ceylon.
9th October, 1956.

THE AMMONITE GENERA *ARNIOCERAS* AND *CORONICERAS* HYATT

Sir,—In his account of the Liassic ammonites of the Stowell Park boring, published summer, 1956, Dr. Spath makes incorrect statements as to the type species of the above genera. In view of Dr. Spath's high authority on Liassic ammonites it is desirable to point this out in case other authors are misled into erroneous concepts of the genera.

Arnioceras Hyatt, 1867.—Dr. Spath (1956, p. 151) states that the type species " remains *A. ceratitoides* (Quenstedt) ". Apart from any question as to the identity of this species, the type species of the genus *Arnioceras*

has been designated by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature under its plenary powers as *A. cuneiforme* Hyatt, 1867 (Opinion 307, published 6th December, 1954).

Coroniceras Hyatt, 1867.—Dr. Spath (1956, p. 157) states that Buckman (1898, p. 459) "must be" considered to have selected *Amm. rotiformis* as type of *Coroniceras*. The facts are that *Coroniceras*, with many other genera, appeared in Buckman's (1898) notorious List of Genera, in which each genus is followed by one or more illustrative species, with the remark "*In most cases* the name which stands first *may be* considered as the type of the genus" (our italics). Article 30, IIg, of the Rules lays down: "The meaning of the expression 'select the type' is to be rigidly construed. Mention of a species as an illustration or example of a genus does not constitute a selection of a type." In case there should be any doubt of the decision by most palæontologists concerned to set aside Buckman's 1898 List, as not containing valid type selections, it is only necessary to refer to Buckman's own explanation of it. Buckman wrote (1924, p. 34): "Dr. Spath (1924, p. 202) says that I selected *Am. rotiformis* as genotype [of *Coroniceras*] in my 1898 paper. This is a mistake on his part: I particularly desired to avoid making any definite selection of genotypes. My phrase merely states possibilities: it is not positive . . . Had it said: In all cases the name which stands first is to be considered as the type species—it would have been a different matter."

In any case, the genus *Coroniceras* has been added to the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology by the International Commission with type species *Ammonites kridion* Hehl MS. in Zieten, as validly designated by Bonarelli in 1900 (Opinion 324, published 7th January, 1955). The original figured specimen of *Amm. kridion* has been refigured by Walliser (1956, p. 200, pl. 10, fig. 5) who maintains that it represents the inner whorls of an *Amm. rotiformis* J. de C. Sowerby; but even if this is so, *Amm. kridion* remains the nominal type species.

W. J. Arkell.
D. T. Donovan.

REFERENCES

Buckman, S. S., 1898. *Quart. Journ. Geol. Soc.*, liv, 442.
—— 1924. *Type Ammonites*, v, 34.
Spath, L. F., 1924. *Proc. Geol. Assoc.*, xxxv, 186.
—— 1956. *Bull. Geol. Surv. Gt. Brit.*, xi, 140.
Walliser, O. H., 1956. *Abh. Neues Jb. Geol. Pal.*, ciii, 181.
Opinions 307 (1954), *324* (1955). Opinions and Declarations Rendered by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, vol. 8, part 24, p. 323; vol. 9, part 15, p. 227.

STEINERINA Hudson, nom. nov.

Sir,—The name *Steineria* proposed by me (Hudson, 1956, p. 722) for a genus of Jurassic hydroids of the family Milleporidiidae is preoccupied by *Steineria* Micoletzky, 1922, p. 119, a subgenus of nematode worm. I therefore replace the generic name *Steineria* Hudson, 1956 (type species of genus: *Stromatopora romanica* Dehorne) by *Steinerina* nom. nov.

R. G. S. Hudson.

REFERENCES

Micoletzky, H., 1922. Die freilebenden Erd-Nematoden. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte*, Jhrg. 87 (for 1921), Abt. A, hefts 8, 9, 1–320, 320a, b, 321–650. (March, 1922.)
Hudson, R. G. S., 1956. Tethyan Jurassic Hydroids of the family Milleporidiidae. *Journ. Paleont.*, 30, 714–730.

Department of Geology,
Iraq Petroleum Company, Ltd.,
214 Oxford Street,
London, W. 1.

8th November, 1956.