GROUP ALGEBRAS OF SOME GENERALISED SOLUBLE GROUPS

by R. P. KNOTT (Received 18th March 1971)

1. In (8) Stonehewer referred to the following open question due to Amitsur: If G is a torsion-free group and F any field, is the group algebra, FG, of G over F semi-simple? Stonehewer showed the answer was in the affirmative if G is a soluble group. In this paper we show the answer is again in the affirmative if G belongs to a class of generalised soluble groups.

We define \mathcal{N} to be the class of nilpotent groups, \mathscr{A} to be the class of abelian groups. We use \acute{E} and L to denote the closure operations introduced by P. Hall in (3). Then $\acute{E}L\mathcal{N}$ denotes the class of all groups having an ascending locally nilpotent series. We recall that in (7) Plotkin has shown that each $\acute{E}L\mathcal{N}$ group G has an ascending series with $L\mathcal{N}$ -factors such that each term is normal in G. Since $\mathscr{A} \leq \mathscr{N}$ and $L\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}$, it is clear that $\acute{E}\mathscr{A} \leq \acute{E}L\mathcal{N}$. The former is the class SN^* in the notation of Kurosh (4) and has recently been studied by Wallace (10) under the name of restricted SN-groups. He has shown that if $G \in \acute{E}\mathscr{A}$ and F is any field of characteristic p where G has no non-trivial elements of order p, then FG is semi-simple.

We note that if G is a SN-group and F any field of characteristic zero then Villamayor (9) has shown that FG is semi-simple. We have been unable to extend our result to this larger class.

If G is any group, the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of G, denoted by $\eta(G)$, is the product of all the normal $L\mathcal{N}$ -subgroups of G. It is known that $\eta(G)$ is normal and $L\mathcal{N}$.

Let G be any group and F any field. Then FG will denote the group algebra of G over F. We denote the Jacobson radical of FG by J(FG) and recall that FG is Jacobson semi-simple if and only if $J(FG) = \{0\}$.

Let H be any subgroup of G and T a right transversal to H in G. We will assume that T contains 1 to represent the coset H. Let x be any non-zero element of FG. Then x can be written uniquely in the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i g_i \tag{1}$$

where $n \ge 1$, $0 \ne \alpha_i \in FH$ and the g_i 's are distinct elements of T for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

The integer *n* occurring in (1) is called the "*H*-length" of *x*. If $g \in G$ then *x* and *xg* have the same *H*-length. Hence, if $g \in T$ and *FG* has an element of

E.M.S.—A

H-length *n*, there exists an element $x \in FG$ of *H*-length *n* and $g_i = g$ for some *i* in (1). The remainder of this paper is divided into two sections. In the next section we prove certain lemmas necessary for the proof of our theorem. The proof of this theorem is given in the final section.

The author would like to thank Dr S. E. Stonehewer for suggesting this problem and for his help and encouragement during the preparation of this paper. This work was carried out while the author held a Science Research Council studentship.

2. We begin this section with a lemma due to Amitsur.

Lemma 1. Let G be any group, H any subgroup and F any field, then $J(FG) \cap FH \leq J(FH)$.

This was first proved in (1).

Lemma 2. Let F be any field and G a torsion-free LN-group. Then the following conclusions hold:

(a) FG has no zero-divisors.

(b) $J(FG) = \{0\}.$

Proof. We recall that if G is a torsion-free $L\mathcal{N}$ -group, then G can be ordered (6). The proofs of (a) and (b) can be found in (2).

Lemma 3. Let G be any group such that $\eta(G)$ is torsion-free and greater than 1. Let F be any field such that FG is not semi-simple. Then there exists a subgroup G_1 of G such that FG_1 is not semi-simple and the centre of G_1 is non-trivial.

Proof. If G has a non-trivial centre $G = G_1$ will do. If not, choose $0 \neq x \in J(FG)$. Let $H = \eta(G)$ and let T be a transversal (with 1) to H in G. Then x can be uniquely written in the form

$$x=\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_ig_i,$$

where $n \ge 1$, $0 \ne \alpha_i \in FH$ and the g_i 's are distinct elements of T for $1 \le i \le n$. Suppose that x has minimal H-length among the non-zero elements of J(FG). We can assume that one of the g_i 's, g_1 say, is 1, since J(FG) is an ideal of FG.

Now each α_i belongs to FH and can be written uniquely in the form

$$\alpha_i=\sum_{h\in H}\gamma_hh,$$

where $\gamma_h \in F$ and all but a finite number are zero. Let supp (α_i) be the set of all $h \in H$ such that γ_h is non-zero.

We can assume that supp $(\alpha_i) \neq 1$ for some *i*, since *x* and *xk* have the same *H*-length for all $k \in H$.

Let $H(x) = \langle \text{supp}(\alpha_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$.

Then H(x) is a finitely generated subgroup of H and hence nilpotent. Also, H(x) is greater than 1.

Let $Z \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ be the centre of H(x). Choose $h \in Z$, then $x - x^h \in J(FG)$ where $x^h = h^{-1}xh$.

$$x^{h} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} [h, g_{i}^{-1}] g_{i}$$
 and $[h, g_{i}^{-1}] \in H$,

since H is normal in G.

$$x-x^{h} = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_{i}(1-[h, g_{i}^{-1}])g_{i} = 0,$$

by the choice of x. Thus, $\alpha_i(1-[h, g_i^{-1}]) = 0$ by uniqueness. $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and since $\alpha_i(1-[h, g_i^{-1}]) \in FH$, Lemma 2 implies $[h, g_i^{-1}] = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $G_1 = \langle H(x), g_i: 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$. Then clearly Z is contained in the centre of G_1 and FG_1 is not semi-simple by Lemma 1.

3. In this section we prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem. Let G be any torsion-free $\pounds LN$ -group and F any field, then FG is semi-simple.

Proof. Assume that the theorem is false and let \mathscr{Y} be the collection of groups G such that G is a torsion-free \acute{ELN} -group with a non-trivial centre and FG is not semi-simple. Such a collection is non-empty by Lemma 3. We now find a group G^* in \mathscr{Y} such that if $H^* = \eta(G^*)$ then H^* coincides with the centre of G^* .

The rest of the proof is as follows:

Let L/H^* be the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of G^*/H^* . L is normal in G^* and L/H^* is locally nilpotent. However H^* is the centre of G^* and hence Lis locally nilpotent and contained in $\eta(G)$. Thus $H^* = L = G^*$, G^* is abelian and Lemma 2 gives a contradiction. We have to find $G^* \in \mathscr{Y}$ to prove the theorem. Choose $G \in \mathscr{Y}$ and let $H = \eta(G)$. Choose T to be a transversal to H in G where we assume T contains 1. Then each non-zero $x \in FG$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i g_i$$
, where $n \ge 1, 0 \ne \alpha_i \in FH$

and the g_i are distinct elements of T for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let N be the centre of G. Then $N \leq H$ and we choose S to be a transversal (with 1) to N in H. Now each α_i can be written uniquely in the form

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m(i)} \beta_{i,j} h_{i,j}, \text{ where } m(i) \ge 1, \ 0 \neq \beta_{i,j} \in FN$$

and the $h_{i,j}$ are distinct elements of S for i fixed and $1 \leq j \leq m(i)$.

Let t(x) be the minimal m(i) for $1 \le i \le n$, then t(x) = m(j) for some j and, without loss of generality, we may assume j = 1.

Let r(x) be the ordered pair (n, t(x)) and choose x from among the non-zero elements of J(FG) such that x is minimal with respect to \leq the lexicographic ordering on $Z^+ \times Z^+$.

We define r(x) = r(G) and choose $G \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that r(G) is minimal with respect to \leq among the elements of \mathcal{Y} . For this G choose $x \in J(FG)$ such that r(x) = r(G) and, in the decomposition of x, $g_1 = h_{1,1} = 1$. This we can do since r(x) = r(xg) for all $g \in G$.

Let $G^* = \langle N, h_i, g_i : 1 \leq j \leq m(i), 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$. Then $N \leq N^*$, the centre of G^* and $H \cap G^* \leq H^* = \eta(G^*)$. By Lemma 1, $x \in J(FG^*)$ and hence $G^* \in \mathscr{Y}$.

Hence we have to show $H^* = N^*$ to complete the proof. $h_{i,j}g_i$ and $h_{i,k}g_i$ belong to the same coset of H^* in G^* . Let this coset be $H^*g_i^*$ where g_i^* belongs to some transversal T^* to H^* in G^* . We assume T^* contains 1 and $g_1^* = 1$.

Then each $h_{i,j}g_i$ can be written in the form $h_{i,j}g_i = n_{i,j}^*h_{i,j}^*g_i^*$ where $n_{i,j}^* \in N^*$, and $h_{i,j}^*$ belongs to some transversal to N^* in H^* . We can assume $h_{1,1}^* = 1$.

Thus x can be written in the form $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m^{*}(i)} \beta_{i,j}^{*} h_{i,j}^{*} g_{i}^{*}$ where $\beta_{i,j}^{*} = \beta_{i,j} n_{i,j}^{*}$ and, for fixed *i*, the $h_{i,j}^{*}$ are distinct. By the choice of *n* the g_{i}^{*} 's are distinct and, by the choice of *x*, $m(1) = m^{*}(1) \leq m^{*}(i) \leq m(i)$.

Let *B* denote the subgroup $B = \langle N^*, h_{i,k}^* : 1 \leq k \leq m(i), 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$. Then $B \leq H^*$ and B/N^* is finitely generated. Hence B/N^* is nilpotent and since N^* is the centre of G^* , *B* is nilpotent. Also $N^* \leq M$, where *M* is the centre of *B*.

Let $\alpha_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{m^*(i)} \beta_{i,j}^* h_{i,j}^*$. Choose $g \in M$ and let x^g denote $g^{-1}xg$. Since $J(FG^*)$ is a two-sided ideal of FG^* , $x - x^g$ belongs to $J(FG^*)$. However, $x^g = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* [g, g_i^{*-1}] g_i^*$, where $[g, g_i^{*-1}] \in H^*$ for each *i*, since H^* is normal in G^* . Thus, $x - x^g = \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i (1 - [g, g_i^{*-1}]) g_i^*$, since $g_1^* = 1$. By the choice of $x, x - x^g = 0$ and hence by uniqueness, $\alpha_i^* (1 - [g, g_i^{*-1}]) = 0$ for all *i*. However H^* is a locally nilpotent torsion-free group and α_i^* is non-zero for each *i*, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore Lemma 2 (a) implies that $g_i^* = (g_i^*)^g$ for i = 2, 3, ..., n and all $g \in M$. Thus, $M = N^*$.

Let K/M be the centre of B/M and choose $k \in K$. Then $x - x^k \in J(FG^*)$,

$$x^{k} = \beta_{1,1}^{*} + \sum_{j=2}^{m^{*}(1)} \beta_{1,j}^{*} [k, h_{1,j}^{*-1}] h_{1,j}^{*}$$
$$+ \sum_{i=2}^{n} (\alpha_{i}^{*})^{k} [k, g_{i}^{*-1}] g_{i}^{*},$$

where $[k, h_{i,j}^{*-1}] \in N^*$ and $(\alpha_i^*)^k [k, g_i^{*-1}] \in FH^*$. Whence

$$x - x^{k} = \sum_{j=2}^{m^{\bullet}(1)} \beta_{1,j}^{*} (1 - [k, h_{1,j}^{*-1}]) h_{1,j}^{*} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} (\alpha_{i}^{*} - (\alpha_{i}^{*})^{k} [k, g_{i}^{*-1}]) g_{i}^{*}.$$

Thus by the choice of $x, x - x^k = 0$. By uniqueness $\alpha_i^* - (\alpha_i^*)^k [k, g_i^{*-1}] = 0$. Hence it follows that $\alpha_i^* g_i^* = (\alpha_i^* g_i^*)^k$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and all $k \in K$. Thus the number of conjugates of each $h_{i,j}^* g_i^*$ under transformation by

Thus the number of conjugates of each $h_{i,j}^* g_i^*$ under transformation by elements of K cannot exceed $|\operatorname{supp}(\alpha_i^*)|$. Thus $K/K \cap N^* = K/M$ is finite. However, B is nilpotent torsion-free and thus by (5) each factor of the upper central series of B is torsion-free. Thus, K/M is torsion-free and thus K = M = B. Thus each of the α_i^* 's belongs to FN^* . Choose h to be any element of H^* . Then $x - x^h \in J(FG^*)$ for $x \in J(FG^*)$. $x^h = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* [h, g_i^{*-1}]g_i^*$, where $[h, g_i^{*-1}] \in H^*$. Hence $x - x^h = \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i^* (1 - [h, g_i^{*-1}])g_i^* = 0$ by the choice of n. It follows from uniqueness that for $i = 2, 3, ..., n, \alpha_i^* (1 - [h, g_i^{*-1}]) = 0$. By Lemma 2(a), $g_i^* = (g_i^*)^h$ since $\alpha_i^* \neq 0$. Thus $h \in N^*$ and hence $H^* = N^*$ and we have found our G^* .

REFERENCES

(1) S. A. AMITSUR, On the semi-simplicity of group algebras, *Michigan Math.* J. 6 (1959), 251-253.

(2) A. A. BOVDI, Group rings of torsion-free groups, Sibirsk. Mat. Z. 1 (1960), 555-558.

(3) P. HALL, On non-strictly simple groups, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 59 (1963), 531-553.

(4) A. G. KUROSH, The Theory of Groups (Chelsea, New York, 1960).

(5) A. I. MAL'CEV, Nilpotent torsion-free groups, Izv. Akad. Nauk. USSR Ser. Mat. 13 (1949), 201-212.

(6) B. H. NEUMANN, On ordered groups, Amer. J. Math. 71 (1949), 1-18.

(7) B. I. PLOTKIN, Radical groups, Mat. Sb. N. S. 37 (79) (1955), 507-526: Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) 17 (1961), 9-28.

(8) S. E. STONEHEWER, Group algebras of some torsion-free groups, J. Algebra 13 (1969), 143-147.

(9) O. E. VILLAMAYOR, On the semi-simplicity of group algebras II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959), 27-31.

(10) D. A. R. WALLACE, The radical of the group algebra of a subgroup, of a polycyclic group and of a restricted SN-group, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 17 (II) (1970), 165-171.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SHEFFIELD POLYTECHNIC