- 5. University of New Mexico School of Medicine, Albuquerque, USA
- 6. Texas Army National Guard, Austin, USA
- 7. Ivano-Frankivsk National Medical University, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine
- 8. University of Washington School of Public Health, Seattle, USA
- 9. University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, USA

Introduction: Early in the Russian-Ukrainian conflict, the Ukrainian Ministry of Health (MoH) implemented policy reform to allow for pre-hospital whole blood transfusion (pWBT). Team Rubicon (TR) worked with a multinational group of experts to disseminate training that accelerated the implementation of pWBT across the country.

Method: TR utilized an assess, align, and act (A3) approach to drive the pWBT implementation. TR established relationships with Ukrainian providers to understand current needs, restrictions, and protocols for pWBT. TR aligned pWBT advocacy efforts, working with the disaster medicine program at Ivano-Frankivsk Medical National University to create a local lead advocate. Existing and novel coordination mechanisms were used to unite and inform MoH, World Health Organization, Non-Governmental Organizations, and local health systems. Finally, TR coordinated a multispecialty, multi-national team of healthcare providers who developed and delivered a training package in alignment with national guidelines utilizing a combination of didactics, videos, and demonstrations. From August to October of 2022, TR conducted pWBT trainings across Ukraine. Pre- and post-surveys were utilized to determine comfort with pWBT and usefulness of the training.

Results: TR emerged as the point of reference for pWBT in Ukraine. 109 individuals from over 14 organizations were trained. Participants included 69 physicians, 23 paramedics, 7 nurses, and 10 other professionals. 95% of those surveyed had not received prior pWBT training. Participants reported increased comfort levels, with average pre- and post-course comfort scores of 1.7 and 3.2 (4=very comfortable), respectively. The majority of participants found the training useful (average score of 3.8, 4=very useful). Feedback demonstrated high satisfaction ratings and an increased awareness of the regulatory changes.

Conclusion: TR utilized the A3 model to drive a coalition that supported policy reform and trauma system improvements in Ukraine. TR's ability to leverage international medical expertise, work collaboratively with MoH, and provide material resources supported local implementation of pWBT.

Prehosp. Disaster Med. 2023;38(Suppl. S1):s7-s8 doi:10.1017/S1049023X23000675

The Integration of Point-of-Care Ultrasound into Practical Trauma Training in Ukraine: A Case Study Highlighting Feasibility, Satisfaction, and Pre- and Post-Training Comfort with the Technology

Erica Nelson MD, PhM, MAS^{1,2}, Stephen Donnelly MD³, Calley Bilgram MS², Alyssa Quaranta MS², David Callaway MD, MPA^{4,2}

1. Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

2. Team Rubicon, Los Angeles, USA

- 3. Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, USA
- 4. Atrium Health Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte, USA

Introduction: As of October 2022, the civilian casualty count of the invasion of Ukraine is reported to be 16,295, with actual figures believed to be considerably higher. As explosive trauma continues to terrorize populations, frontline medical personnel are faced with escalating resource constraints including transport, imaging modalities, and electricity. Point of care ultrasound (POCUS) is considered the gold standard in acute trauma evaluation, but very few hospitals or pre-hospital medics have access to or training in POCUS.

Method: In collaboration with the Ukrainian Ministry of Health, the World Health Organization, and the Global Health Program at Butterfly Network, Team Rubicon developed and conducted 64 practical trauma trainings and donated 50 Butterfly iQ+ portable ultrasound devices in Ukraine between August and October, 2022. Of these trainings, 19 specifically focused on the use of POCUS for trauma. Pre- and post-surveys were deployed to determine demographics, comfort level with POCUS for trauma care, and usefulness of the course.

Results: In total, 149 individuals were trained in POCUS for trauma. Of these, 130 were physicians, 15 were paramedics, three were RNs, and one was a pharmacist. Only 14.8% of these clinicians self-reported any previous POCUS training. All participants reported an increase in comfort level, with an average pre- and post-course comfort scores of 1.9 and 3.3 (4=very comfortable), respectively. General satisfaction with the training was high (average score of 9.8/10). Qualitative feedback commended the quality and novelty of this training, requested further examples of pathology, and endorsed more POCUS trainings, generally. The most critical lesson learned was the need to re-orient training around the foundations of POCUS given low levels of experience and training.

Conclusion: Access and training in POCUS for trauma is critical for resource-constrained medical personnel operating in conflict-affected communities. A one-day POCUS practicum-oriented course is feasible to support awareness and proficiency.

Prehosp. Disaster Med. 2023;38(Suppl. S1):s8 doi:10.1017/S1049023X23000687

Emergency Nurse Roles, Challenges, and Preparedness in Hospitals in the Context of Armed Conflict

Zakaria Mani PhD^{1,2}, Professor Virgnia Plummer PhD^{3,2}, A/P Lisa Kuhn PhD²

- 1. Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia
- 2. Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
- 3. Federation University, Melbourne, Australia

Introduction: Emergency nurses' views on their roles, challenges, and preparedness in the context of armed conflict are necessary to capture in-depth insights into healthcare needs. They can identify the required education and training for emergency nurses and provide evidence of the situations of care in the context of armed conflicts. Unfortunately, the evidence about these factors in the context of armed conflict is scant.

