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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

It is well known that Wald's Fundamental Identity (F.I.) in sequential analysis can be used to derive approximate (and, sometimes exact) results in most situations wherein we have essentially a random walk phenomenon. Bartlett [2] used it for the gambler's ruin problem and also for a simple renewal problem. Phatarfod [18] used it for a problem in dam theory. It is the purpose of this paper to show how a generalization of the Fundamental Identity to Markovian variables, (Phatarfod [19]) can be used to derive approximate results in some problems in dam and renewal theories where the random variables involved have Markovian dependence. The reason for considering both the theories together is that the models usually proposed for both the theories - input distribution for dam theory, and lifedistribution for renewal theory - are similar, and only a slight modification (to account for the 'release rules' in dam theory, plus the fact that we have two barriers) is necessary to derive results in dam theory from those of renewal theory.

The generalization of the F.I. is as follows: Let $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$ be a Markov chain (discrete or continuous state space). Let $S_{N}$ denote the cumulative sum $X_{0}+X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots X_{N}$, and let $n$ be the least positive integer such that $S_{N}$ does not lie in the open interval $(b, a),(b \leqq 0, a>0)$. If the m.g.f. (for real $\theta$ in an interval ( $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ ) around zero) of $S_{N}$ can be written for large $N$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}(\theta) \sim C(\theta) \lambda_{1}^{N}(\theta) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\lambda_{1}(0)=1, \quad \lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0)>\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(0)^{2}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\exp \left(\theta S_{n}\right) \lambda_{1}^{-n}(\theta) d\left(\theta \mid X_{n}\right)\right]=C(\theta) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all real $\theta$ in $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ such that $\lambda_{1}(\theta) \geqq 1$. (The functions $C(\theta)$ and $d(\theta)$ have the relation $\left.E\left[d\left(\theta \mid X_{0}\right)\right]=C(\theta)\right)$.

From (1.2) one can derive results such as the probability of absorption at
either barrier, probability distribution of ' $n$ ' etc. in a manner similar to the case when $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables.

A considerable amount of work has been done to establish conditions under which (1.1) holds. The problem is essentially of generalizing results of Perron and Frobenius for non-negative matrices. The earliest such generalization seems to be due to Jentzsch [9] for integral operators with a positive kernel. More recently results have been given by Krein and Rutman [11], Birkhoff [3], Karlin [10], Chung [4], Harris [8], and Vere-Jones [21]. For applications, the main problem is the determination of $\lambda_{1}(\theta)$, the 'largest eigen value', and it seems, to the present author, that this can be determined only by ad hoc methods.

We consider two important examples of Markov chains for which the quantity $\lambda_{1}(\theta)$ is easily determinable, and which serve as useful models for life-distribution in renewal theory and as input-distribution in dam theory. The cases considered a are:
(i) Gamma Markov Sequence. The conditional probability density of $X_{r+1}$ given $X_{r}=y$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x \mid y)=\beta e^{-\alpha y-\beta x} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha y)^{j}}{j!} \frac{(\beta x)^{j+p-1}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \quad(0<x, y<\infty) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>0,0<\alpha<\beta$.
(ii) Negative Binomial Markov chain. The transition probability

$$
p_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{r+1}=j \mid X_{r}=i\right]
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}=\frac{(p+i+j-1)!}{j!(p+i-1)!} \cdot \frac{\rho^{j}}{(1+\rho)^{p+i+j}} \quad(0 \leqq i, j<\infty) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\rho \leqq 1$, and $p$ is a positive integer.
An extensive investigation of the processes i) and ii) has been carried out by Lampard [12] and Lee [13], who obtained them as output processes of a counter system. Among other results they show that the stationary distributions of the two processes are given by,
(i) the Gamma density:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=\frac{(\beta-\alpha)^{p}}{\Gamma(p)} x^{p-1} e^{-(\beta-\alpha) x} \quad(x>0) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,
(ii) the negative binomial distribution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\frac{(p+i-1)!}{i!(p-1)!}(1-\rho)^{p} \rho^{i},(i=0,1,2,3, \cdots) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.

In $\S 2$ it is shown that for the cases considered above the m.g.f. of $S_{N}$ is essentially of the form

$$
M_{N}(\theta)=\frac{C}{A \mu_{1}^{N}(\theta)+B \mu_{2}^{N}(\theta)}
$$

where $\mu_{1}(\theta), \mu_{2}(\theta)$ are particular solutions of a homogeneous linear second-order difference equation. In an interval around zero, one of the solutions dominates the other, and hence as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the m.g.f. has the required asymptotic expression (1.1). $\S 3$ and 4 deal with applications to renewal and dam theories respectively.

## 2. Derivation of moment generating functions

(i) Gamma Markov Sequence: Consider the Markov chain $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$ where the conditional probability density of $X_{r+1}$ given $X_{r}=y$ is given by (1.3). We have,

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[\exp \left(\theta X_{r+1}\right) \mid x_{r}\right] & =e^{-\alpha x_{r}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha x_{r}\right)^{j}}{j!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{j+p} x^{j+p-1} e^{-(\beta-\theta) x}}{\Gamma(j+p)} d x  \tag{2.1}\\
& =\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-\theta}\right)^{p} \exp \left(\frac{\alpha \theta x_{r}}{\beta-\theta}\right), \quad(\theta<\beta) .
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that $X_{0}$ has the stationary distribution given by (1.5), and writing $M_{r}(\theta)=E\left[\exp \left(\theta S_{r}\right)\right]$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{0}(\theta) & =\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha-\theta}\right)^{p}, \quad(\theta<\beta-\alpha)  \tag{2.2}\\
M_{1}(\theta) & =\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-\theta}\right)^{p} E\left\{\exp \left[\left(\theta+\frac{\alpha \theta}{\beta-\theta}\right) X_{0}\right]\right\}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-\theta}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha-K_{1} \theta}\right)^{p}, \quad\left(K_{1} \theta<\beta-\alpha\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the operator $K_{1}$ is defined by $K_{1} \theta=\theta+\alpha \theta /(\beta-\theta)$. Now, because of the Markovian property,

$$
E\left[\exp \left(\theta X_{r+1}\right) \mid x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right]=E\left[\exp \left(\theta X_{r+1}\right) \mid x_{r}\right] .
$$

Hence, we have from (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3),

$$
M_{2}(\theta)=\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-\theta}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-K_{1} \theta}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha-K_{2} \theta}\right)^{p}, \quad\left(K_{2} \theta<\beta-\alpha\right),
$$

where

$$
K_{2} \theta=\theta+\frac{\alpha K_{1} \theta}{\beta-K_{1} \theta} .
$$

Continuing this way, we obtain the m.g.f. of $S_{N}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}(\theta)=\left[\frac{\beta}{(\beta-\theta)} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\left(\beta-K_{1} \theta\right)} \cdots \frac{\beta}{\left(\beta-K_{N-1} \theta\right)} \cdot \frac{\beta-\alpha}{\left(\beta-\alpha-K_{N} \theta\right)}\right]^{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\theta$ such that $\operatorname{Max}_{r} K_{r} \theta<\beta-\alpha$, and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{r+1} \theta=\theta+\frac{\alpha K_{r} \theta}{\beta-K_{r} \theta}, \quad(r=0,1,2, \cdots, N-1) ;\left(K_{0} \theta=\theta\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $\beta /\left(\beta-K_{r} \theta\right)=A_{r} / A_{r+1},(r=0,1,2, \cdots, N-1)$, reduces (2.5) to a secondorder linear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta A_{r+2}+(\theta-\alpha-\beta) A_{r+1}+\alpha A_{r}=0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, for $r=0, A_{0} / A_{1}=\beta /(\beta-\theta)$, we have the boundary conditions for the difference equation as $A_{0}=\beta, A_{1}=\beta-\theta$; from this we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{r}=\frac{\beta}{\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}\left\{\mu_{1}\left(1-\mu_{2}\right) \mu_{1}^{r}-\mu_{2}\left(1-\mu_{1}\right) \mu_{2}^{r}\right\}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, from (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}(\theta)=\left[\frac{(\beta-\alpha)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}{\beta\left\{\mu_{1}^{2}\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)^{2} \mu_{1}^{N}-\mu_{2}^{2}\left(1-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} \mu_{2}^{N}\right\}}\right]^{p}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}(\theta), \mu_{2}(\theta)$ are the solution of $\beta x^{2}+(\theta-\alpha-\beta) x+\alpha=0$; we take,

$$
\mu_{1}=\frac{\alpha+\beta-\theta+\left\{(\alpha+\beta-\theta)^{2}-4 \alpha \beta\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2 \beta}, \mu_{2}=\frac{\alpha+\beta-\theta-\left\{(\alpha+\beta-\theta)^{2}-4 \alpha \beta\right\}^{\ddagger}}{2 \beta} .
$$

It can be easily seen that for $\theta<\alpha+\beta-2 \sqrt{\alpha \beta}, \mu_{1}(\theta)>\mu_{2}(\theta)>0$, and $\mu_{2}(\theta)<1$. We also note that for such values of $\theta$, the condition $K_{r} \theta<\beta-\alpha$ is satisfied for $r=0,1,2, \cdots, N$. Hence, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}(\theta) \sim C(\theta) \lambda_{1}^{N}(\theta), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C(\theta)=\left\{\frac{(\beta-\alpha)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}{\beta \mu_{1}^{2}\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}^{p}, \quad \lambda_{1}(\theta)=\mu_{1}(\theta)^{-p} .
$$

(ii) Negative Binomial Markov chain: Consider the Markov chain $X_{0}, X_{1}$, $X_{2}, \cdots$ where the conditional probability of $X_{r+1}=j$ given $X_{r}=i(i=0,1,2$, $2, \cdots$ ), is given by (1.4). It is convenient, in this case, to work with probability generating functions (p.g.f.). Writing $P_{r}(z)=E\left(z^{S_{r}}\right)$, we have, in a manner similar to that in the previous case,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{0}(z)=\left(\frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho z}\right)^{p}, P_{1}(z)=\frac{(1-\rho)^{p}}{[1+\rho(1-z)]^{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1-\rho K_{1} z\right)^{p}}, \\
& P_{N}(z)=\frac{(1-\rho)^{p}}{\left[\{1+\rho(1-z)\}\left\{1+\rho\left(1-K_{1} z\right)\right\} \cdots\left\{1+\rho\left(1-K_{N-1} z\right)\right\}\left\{1-\rho K_{N} z\right\}\right]^{p}} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{r+1} z=\frac{z}{1+\rho\left(1-K_{r} z\right)},(r=0,1,2, \cdots, N-1),\left(K_{0} z=z\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $\left\{1+\rho\left(1-K_{r} z\right)\right\}^{-1}=A_{r} / A_{r+1}$, we obtain the difference equation,

$$
A_{r+2}-(1+\rho) A_{r+1}+z \rho A_{r}=0 \text {, with } A_{v}=1, A_{1}=1+\rho(1-z) \text {. }
$$

We finally obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}(\theta)=\left[\frac{(1-\rho)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}{\mu_{1}\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)^{2} \mu_{1}^{N}-\mu_{2}\left(1-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} \mu_{2}^{N}}\right]^{p}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu_{1}(\theta), \mu_{2}(\theta)=\frac{(1+\rho) \pm\left\{(1+\rho)^{2}-4 \rho e^{\theta}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}
$$

In this case, we have, that for $\theta<\log (1+\rho)^{2} / 4 \rho, \mu_{1}(\theta)>\mu_{2}(\theta)>0$, and $\mu_{2}(\theta)<1$, and hence as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}(\theta) \sim C(\theta) \lambda_{1}^{N}(\theta) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C(\theta)=\left\{\frac{(1-p)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}{\mu_{1}\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}^{p}, \quad \lambda_{1}(\theta)=\mu_{1}^{-p}(\theta)
$$

Lee [13] has obtained results similar to (2.8) and (2.12) by using different methods.

## 3. Applications to renewal theory

One of the obvious generalizations of the standard renewal process is to assume that the lifetimes $\left\{X_{r}\right\}$ of artıcles to be renewed are not independent, but have a Markovian dependence. Wold, [22], [23] considered in some details the case where the conditional probability density of $X_{r+1}$ given $X_{r}=y$ is given by $f(x \mid y)=$ $\lambda(y) e^{-\lambda(y) x}$ where $\lambda(y)=\lambda y^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Cox [5] and Cox and Lewis [6] considered the case where $\lambda(y)$ above, is replaced by $\lambda_{0}\left(1+\lambda_{1} y\right)$. Here, we will consider the case where the dependence is given by (i) and (ii) of § 1 . The Gamma Markov sequence is particularly useful because in the stationary case the first-order serial correlation is given by $\alpha / \beta$, (Lampard [12]), and consequently can be controlled by varying both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in such a way that the stationary distribution given by (1.5) is not affected. By taking the particular case of $p=1$, we obtain a sequence whose stationary distribution is a negative exponential.

We will be mainly interested in deriving the asymptotic c.f. $C_{T}(\phi)$ of the number $N_{T}$ of renewals occurring in $(0, T)$. For simplicity, we consider an ordinary renewal process, i.e. at time $t=0$, a new item is installed. The number $N_{T}$ is then the least positive integer $n$ such that $S_{n} \geqq T$, and its c.f. can be obtained by applying thr results in § 1.

Putting $\lambda_{1}(\theta)=e^{-i \phi}$ in (1.2), and neglecting the overshooting over the barrier $T$ as well as the terms involving the initial and final states we obtain for the case (i)

$$
\log C_{T}(\phi) \sim \frac{\left(\beta e^{i \phi / p}-\alpha\right)\left(e^{i \phi / p}-1\right)}{e^{i \phi / p}} T
$$

from which, we obtain,

$$
E\left(N_{T}\right) \sim \frac{(\beta-\alpha)}{p} T, \quad V\left(N_{T}\right) \sim \frac{(\alpha+\beta)}{p^{2}} T .
$$

For the case (ii), similarly.

$$
C_{T}(\phi) \sim\left[\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho) e^{i \phi / p}-e^{2 i \phi / p}}\right]^{T}
$$

giving

$$
E\left(N_{T}, \sim \frac{(1-\rho)}{\rho p} T ; \quad V\left(N_{T}\right) \sim \frac{(1+\rho)}{\rho^{2} p^{2}} T\right.
$$

## 4. Applications to dam theory

A similar generalization to the theory of dams can be made, and in this case, the generalization is much more relevant. The theory of dams as formulated by Moran [17] and extended by Gani, Prabhu and others (for a review and references see Gani [7], Prabhu [20]) essentially dealt with the case of independent inputs to the dam. Recently, however, the case of Markov dependent inputs had received some attention. Lloyd [14], and Lloyd and Odoom [15], [16], considered the case when the sequence of inputs in a dam of finite capacity during consecutive time intervals form a Markov chain with a finite number of states. By considering the dam content $Z_{t}$ at times $t=0,1,2, \cdots$ just after release of, the lesser of $M>0$ or the total content, together with the inputs $X_{t}$ in $(t, t+1)$ as a bivariate Markov chain, they derive the stationary probabilities of the dam content. Ali Kan and Gani [1] derive the time-dependent solutions of the same model for an infinite capacity dam. We will consider here the problem of emptiness of dam of a finite capacity dam fed by inputs forming a Markov chain as given in (i) and (ii) of § 1 .

Let the initial content at time $t=0$ be $u(0<u<K)$, where $K$ is the capacity of the dam. The net input in the dam during $(0, N)$, assuming it has neither overflowed nor become empty before, is $S_{N}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}-N M$, and we have a random walk problem with barriers 0 and $K$. For simplicity, we consider the case $M=1$; this gives the m.g.f. of $S_{N}^{\prime}$ as

$$
M_{N}^{\prime}(\theta) \sim C(\theta) \lambda_{1}^{N}(\theta) e^{-N \theta} .
$$

For the case (i), the unique non-zero solution of $\lambda_{1}(\theta) e^{-\theta}=1$ is given by $\theta_{0}=$ $-p \log \delta$ where $\delta \neq 1$ is the unique solution of

$$
(\beta \delta-\alpha)(\delta-1)=p \delta \log \delta
$$

Putting $\theta=\theta_{0}$ in (1.2), $b=-u, a=K-u$, we obtain the probability $P_{u}$ of the dam becoming empty before overlow as

$$
P_{u} \sim \frac{\delta^{(K-u) p}-1}{\delta^{K p}-1} .
$$

For case (ii), the results are considerably simplified if we take $p=1$, i.e. the stationary distribution is geometric. Equation $\lambda_{1}(\theta) e^{-\theta}=1$ yields a cubic in $x=e^{\theta}$ which has only two positive roots, $x=1$, and $x_{0}=\left(-1+(1+4 / \rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) / 2$, from which we obtain

$$
\theta_{0}=\log \frac{-1+\left(1+\frac{4}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}
$$

This gives,

$$
P_{u} \sim \frac{x_{0}^{R}-x_{0}^{u}}{x_{0}^{K}-1} .
$$

To find the p.g.f. of ' $n$ ' the time the dam becomes empty for the first time before overflow, we solve the equation $\lambda_{1}(\theta) e^{-\theta}=1 / s$ where $0<s<1$. This yields a cubic in $x=e^{\theta}$ whose positive roots are given by

$$
x_{1}, x_{2}=2 s^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1+\rho}{3 \rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \pm \frac{\phi}{3}\right), \phi=\cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{3}{\frac{3}{2}}\left\{\frac{3 \rho}{s(1+\rho)^{3}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] .
$$

Finally, the p.g.f. $G_{u}(s)$ is obtained by putting $\theta=\log x_{1}, \log x_{2}$ in (1.2) and solving the two resultant equations. This gives,

$$
G_{u}(s) \sim\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)^{u} \frac{x_{2}^{K-u}-x_{1}^{K-u}}{x_{2}^{K}-x_{1}^{K}} .
$$
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