

ISOMETRIC PREDUALS OF JAMES SPACES

LEON BROWN AND TAKASHI ITO

A Banach space B is called an *isometric predual*, or simply a *predual*, of a Banach space X if the dual B^* of B is isometrically isomorphic to X . A Banach space X is said to have a *unique (isometric) predual* if X has a predual and all preduals are mutually isometrically isomorphic. In general a Banach space does not have a unique predual even if it has a predual. A simple example of this is the space l^1 , because c_0 and c are isometric preduals of l^1 but not isometrically isomorphic. A. Grothendieck [3] first noticed that L^∞ -spaces have unique preduals, and then S. Sakai generalized this to von Neumann algebras (see p. 30 of [9]). Recently one of the authors [4] has shown that every quotient space of a von Neumann algebra by a σ -weakly closed subspace, as a Banach space with quotient norm, has a unique predual. Also T. Ando [1] has shown that the space H^∞ has a unique predual and P. Wojtaszczyk has also proved this result independently. Evidently, these are the only known non-reflexive Banach spaces with unique preduals. See the Addendum.

In this paper we prove uniqueness of preduals of James quasi-reflexive spaces. In particular, we are interested in James spaces having norms presented in [5] and [6]. Note that quasi-reflexive spaces have a different character from L^∞ -spaces and the spaces mentioned previously.

We use the following standard notation. We shall always regard a Banach space X as a subspace of its second dual X^{**} in the canonical way. A subspace means a closed linear subspace. For a subset A of a Banach space X , A^\perp denotes the annihilator of A in the dual X^* . If A is a subset of a dual Banach space X^* , then A_\perp denotes the set of all elements in X annihilated by A . For a subset A of a Banach space X , $[A]$ denotes the closed linear span of A in X , and $X = A \oplus B$ means that X is the direct sum of subspaces A and B .

The proof of our results is based on the following idea: If X is a Banach space, then $X^{***} = X^\perp \oplus X^*$ where X^* is norm 1 complemented in X^{***} . That is, the projection from X^{***} onto X^* associated with this decomposition has norm 1. Thus a sufficient condition for X^* to have a unique predual is that X^\perp is the only norm 1 complement of X^* in X^{***} . In order to show this, it is sufficient to show that if $\varphi \in X^{***}$ and

$$(1) \quad \|\varphi + x^*\| \geq \|x^*\| \quad \text{for all } x^* \in X^*$$

then $\varphi \in X^\perp$.

As an illustration of this method, we present a proof (different from the usual

Received January 20, 1978. This research was partially supported by N.S.F. Grant No. MCS 76-04408.

proof) that l^∞ has a unique predual. If $X = l^1$, then we have $X^* = l^\infty$ and $X^{***} = X^\perp \oplus X^* = X^\perp \oplus l^\infty$. A straightforward argument, using the representation $X^* = l^\infty = C(\beta N)$ and

$$X^{**} = C(\beta N)^* = M(\beta N) = M(\beta N \setminus N) \oplus M(N) = M(\beta N \setminus N) \oplus X$$

where βN is the Stone Cech compactification of the set N of positive integers, yields the fact that if $x^* \in c_0 \subset l^\infty$ and $\psi \in X^\perp$ then

$$\|\psi + x^*\| = \text{Max}(\|\psi\|, \|x^*\|).$$

If $\varphi \in X^{***}$, then $\varphi = \psi - x_0^*$ where $\psi \in X^\perp$ and $x_0^* \in l^\infty = X^*$. Proving that inequality (1) implies $\varphi \in X^\perp$ is equivalent to showing that

$$(2) \quad \|\psi + x^*\| \geq \|x_0^* + x^*\| \quad \text{for all } x^* \in X^*$$

implies $x_0^* = 0$. Assume inequality (2), and let n be a positive integer. If $x^* = ce_n^*$, where e_n^* is the usual basis element of c_0 and c is a complex number, then we have

$$\|\psi + x^*\| = \text{Max}(\|\psi\|, |c|) \geq \|x_0^* + ce_n^*\| \geq |x_0^*(n) + c|.$$

Since this holds for every complex number c , $x_0^*(n)$ must be 0 for each n which completes the proof.

The James space $(J, \|\cdot\|)$ ([5]) is defined to be the space consisting of all complex sequences $x = (x(n))$ such that

$$\|x\| = \sup(\sum_{j=1}^k |\sum_{n \in I_j} x(n)|^2)^{1/2} < +\infty$$

where the supremum is taken over all choices of disjoint finite intervals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k of positive integers.

We are interested in an equivalent norm on this space, first defined by James [6]. The following is a slight modification of his norm.

$$\|x\|_1 = \sup(\sum_{j=1}^k |\sum_{n \in \hat{I}_j} x(n)|^2)^{1/2}$$

where \hat{I}_j are either intervals or complements of intervals in the space of positive integers and the supremum is taken over all choices of disjoint $\hat{I}_1, \dots, \hat{I}_k$. One easily sees that for $x \in J$,

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{2}\|x\|.$$

1. $(J, \|\cdot\|)$. In this section, J will always stand for $(J, \|\cdot\|)$. The space J has the natural normalized basis $\{e_n\}$; for every $x \in J$ we have $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x(n)e_n$, where $e_n = (e_n(j)) = (\delta_{n,j})$ for $n, j = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $\{e_n^*\}$ be the biorthogonal sequence with respect to $\{e_n\}$ and let Y be the closed linear span of $\{e_n^*\}$. Since $\{e_n\}$ is a boundedly complete monotone basis of J , J is isometrically isomorphic to the dual Y^* of Y by the canonical mapping (see p. 91 of [2]). We introduce the linear functionals φ_n on J by $\varphi_n(x) = \sum_{j=n}^\infty x(j)$ for $x \in J$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

As proved in [5], $\{\varphi_n\}$ forms a normalized basis of J^* and we have

$$J^* = [\varphi_1] \oplus Y.$$

We define $f_2 \in J^{**}$ as follows: $f_2(\varphi_1) = 1$ and f_2 annihilates Y . Then we have $J^{**} = [f_2] \oplus J$. More generally, denote $J^n (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ as the n 'th dual of J , then we have

$$J = J^0 \subset J^2 \subset \dots \subset J^{2n} \subset \dots, \text{ and} \\ J^* = J^1 \subset J^3 \subset \dots \subset J^{2n+1} \subset \dots$$

For $n \geq 3$, $f_n \in J^n$ is defined as follows: $f_n(f_{n-1}) = 1$ and f_n annihilates J^{n-1} . Thus the one dimensional space $[f_n]$ is a norm 1 complement of J^{n-2} in J^n and we have

$$J^n = [f_n] \oplus J^{n-2} \text{ for } n = 2, 3, \dots$$

For even $n \geq 2$, $\{f_n, f_{n-2}, \dots, f_4, f_2, e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ forms a basis of J^n and for odd $n \geq 3$, $\{f_n, f_{n-2}, \dots, f_5, f_3, \varphi_1, e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots\}$ forms a basis of J^n . The canonical bilinear functional defined on the product of $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty J^{2n}$ and $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty J^{2n+1}$ will be denoted by $\langle x, y \rangle$ for $x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty J^{2n}$ and $y \in \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty J^{2n+1}$.

The following lemma will be used many times.

LEMMA 1. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

- a) $f_{2n} = w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j} + (-1)^{n+1} e_k$ in J^{2n} ,
- b) $f_{2n+1} = w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j+1} + (-1)^{n+1} \varphi_k$ in J^{2n+1} .

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 2, 3, \dots$,

- c) $\|f_{2n} + x\| \leq \sup_{k < k_1 < k_2} \|(-1)^{n+1} e_{k_1} + (-1)^n e_{k_2} + x\|$ ($x \in J^{2n-2}$),
- d) $\|f_{2n+1} + y\| \leq \sup_{k < k_1 < k_2} \|(-1)^{n+1} \varphi_{k_1} + (-1)^n \varphi_{k_2} + y\|$ ($y \in J^{2n-1}$).

Proof. For a) and b) simply evaluate both sides of the equation on basis elements of J^{2n-1} and J^{2n} respectively.

c) Applying a) we have for $x \in J^{2n}$,

$$\|f_{2n} + x\| \leq \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \|\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j} + (-1)^{n+1} e_{k_1} + x\| \\ = \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{2n-2} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j} + (-1)^{n+1} e_{k_1} + x\|.$$

If $x \in J^{2n-2}$ we may apply a) again and we have

$$\|f_{2n} + x\| \leq \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k_2 \rightarrow \infty} \|(-1)^{n+1} e_{k_1} + (-1)^n e_{k_2} + x\| \\ \leq \sup_{k < k_1 < k_2} \|(-1)^{n+1} e_{k_1} + (-1)^n e_{k_2} + x\|.$$

d) is proven in a similar fashion to c) and we shall omit the proof.

LEMMA 2. a) $\|f_{2n+1}\| = 1$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

b) $\|f_2\| = 1$ and $\|f_{2n}\| = \sqrt{2}$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. a) From Lemma 1d)

$$\|f_{2n+1}\| \leq \sup_{k_1 < k_2} \|\varphi_{k_1} - \varphi_{k_2}\| = 1.$$

On the other hand from standard duality arguments,

$$\|f_{2n+1}\|^{-1} = \inf_{x \in J^{2n-2}} \|f_{2n} + x\|.$$

Applying Lemma 1a), for all $x \in J^{2n-2}$

$$\|f_{2n} + x\| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j} + (-1)^{n+1} e_k + x \right\|.$$

Setting $x = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j}$, we have

$$\|f_{2n} + x\| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(-1)^{n+1} e_k\| = 1.$$

Thus $\|f_{2n+1}\|^{-1} \leq 1$ and the proof of a) is complete.

b) We have $\|f_2\|^{-1} = \inf_{y \in Y} \|\varphi_1 + y\|$, where $Y = [e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots] \subset J^*$. For $y \in Y$,

$$\|\varphi_1 + y\| \geq |\langle e_n, \varphi_1 + y \rangle| = |1 + \langle e_n, y \rangle| \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus we have $\|f_2\|^{-1} = \inf_{y \in Y} \|\varphi_1 + y\| = 1$.

Applying Lemma 1c), we have

$$\|f_{2n}\| \leq \sup_{k_1 < k_2} \|e_{k_1} - e_{k_2}\| = \sqrt{2}.$$

From standard duality arguments, we have

$$\|f_{2n}\|^{-1} = \inf_{y \in J^{2n-3}} \|f_{2n-1} + y\|.$$

Applying Lemma 1b), for $y \in J^{2n-3}$

$$\|f_{2n-1} + y\| \leq \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j-1} + (-1)^n \varphi_{k_1} + y \right\|.$$

Setting $y = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j-1} + (-1)^n \varphi_1 \right)$ and applying Lemma 1b) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{2n-1} + y\| &\leq \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j-1} + (-1)^n \varphi_{k_1} - \frac{1}{2} (-1)^n \varphi_1 \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left\| -\frac{1}{2} (-1)^n \varphi_1 + (-1)^n \varphi_{k_1} + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n-1} \varphi_{k_2} \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{1 < k_1 < k_2} \frac{1}{2} \|(-\varphi_1 + \varphi_{k_1}) + (\varphi_{k_1} - \varphi_{k_2})\| \leq \sqrt{2}/2. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is true because for $x \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, -\varphi_1 + \varphi_{k_1} + \varphi_{k_1} - \varphi_{k_2} \rangle| &= \left| -\sum_{k_1}^{k_1-1} x(j) + \sum_{k_1}^{k_2-1} x(j) \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \left(\left| \sum_{k_1}^{k_1-1} x(j) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{k_1}^{k_2-1} x(j) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

As a consequence of Lemma 2a), we have $\|f_{2n} + x\| \geq 1$ for all $x \in J^{2n-2}$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ which implies the following:

COROLLARY 1.

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k f_{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j \right\| \geq \sup_{k,j} \{ |\beta_k|, |\alpha_j| \}.$$

THEOREM 1. 1) $(J^n, \|\cdot\|)$ has a unique isometric predual for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
 2) Y , the unique predual of J , does not have any isometric predual.

Proof. 1a). J^{2n-1} has a unique isometric predual for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

To show this it suffices to show that $[f_{2n+1}]$ is the unique norm 1 complement of J^{2n-1} in J^{2n+1} . In this case, this is equivalent to showing that if $y_0 \in J^{2n-1}$ and

$$(3) \quad \|f_{2n+1} + y\| \geq \|y_0 + y\| \quad \text{for all } y \in J^{2n-1}$$

then $y_0 = 0$. Let $y_0 = \sum_1^{n-1} \beta_k f_{2k+1} + \beta \varphi_1 + \sum_1^\infty \alpha_j e_j^*$ and assume inequality (3). Given c , a complex number and l , a positive integer, set $y = ce_l^*$. Then by Lemma 1d), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{2n+1} + ce_l^*\| &\leq \sup_{l < k_1 < k_2} \|ce_l^* + (-1)^{n+1} \varphi_{k_1} + (-1)^n \varphi_{k_2}\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{|c|^2 + 1} \end{aligned}$$

(use Schwartz's inequality as in the end of the proof of Lemma 2b)).

On the other hand

$$\|y_0 + ce_l^*\| \geq |\langle e_l, y_0 + ce_l^* \rangle| = |\beta + \alpha_l + c|.$$

Thus $|\beta + \alpha_l + c| \leq \sqrt{|c|^2 + 1}$ for all complex numbers c which implies $\beta + \alpha_l = 0$ for all l and we have $\beta = \alpha_l = 0$ for all l . Suppose $\beta_k = 0$ for $1 \leq k < l \leq n - 1$. Applying Lemma 1d) two times, we have for each complex number c

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{2n+1} + cf_{2l+1}\| &\leq \sup_{k_1 < k_2} \|(-1)^{n+1} \varphi_{k_1} + (-1)^n \varphi_{k_2} + cf_{2l+1}\| \\ &\leq \sup_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < k_4} \|(-1)^{n+1} \varphi_{k_1} + (-1)^n \varphi_{k_2} + c((-1)^{l+1} \varphi_{k_3} \\ &\hspace{15em} + (-1)^l \varphi_{k_4})\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{|c|^2 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $[f_{2j+1}]$ is a norm 1 complement of J^{2j-1} in J^{2j+1} for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_0 + cf_{2l+1}\| &= \|\sum_{k=l}^{n-1} \beta_k f_{2k+1} + cf_{2l+1}\| \geq \|(\beta_l + c)f_{2l+1}\| \\ &= |\beta_l + c| \|f_{2l+1}\| = |\beta_l + c| \quad (\text{Lemma 2a}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|\beta_l + c| \leq \sqrt{|c|^2 + 1}$ for all complex numbers c which implies that $\beta_l = 0$. This completes the proof that $y_0 = 0$.

1b) J has a unique isometric predual.

In the beginning of this section, we noticed that J has an isometric predual Y (this fact can be proven directly by showing that $\|f_2 + x\| \geq \|x\|$ for all $x \in J$ in a manner similar to the proof of Lemma 3 in Section 2). Let $x_0 = \sum_1^\infty \alpha_j e_j$ and assume

$$\|f_2 + x\| \geq \|x_0 + x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in J.$$

Given l , then $\alpha_l = e^{i\theta}|\alpha_l|$ and we set $x = ce_l - e_{l+1}$ with $c > 0$. Then applying Lemma 1a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-i\theta}f_2 + ce_l - e_{l+1}\| &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|ce_l - e_{l+1} + e^{-i\theta}e_k\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{c^2 + 2} \quad (\text{Definition of the norm in } J). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|e^{-i\theta}x_0 + ce_l - e_{l+1}\| \geq |\langle e^{-i\theta}x_0 + ce_l - e_{l+1}, e_l^* \rangle| = |\alpha_l| + c.$$

Thus $|\alpha_l| + c \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 2}$ for all $c > 0$ which implies that $\alpha_l = 0$.

1c) J^{2n} has a unique isometric predual for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $x_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k f_{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j e_j$ and assume

$$\|f_{2n+2} + x\| \geq \|x_0 + x\| \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } J^{2n}.$$

i) We claim $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$

As in 1b), we set $\alpha_l = e^{i\theta}|\alpha_l|$ and $x = ce_l - e_{l+1}$ with $c > 0$. Lemma 1c) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-i\theta}f_{2n+2} + ce_l - e_{l+1}\| &\leq \sup_{l+1 < k_1 < k_2} \|ce_l - e_{l+1} + e^{-i\theta}((-1)^{n+2}e_{k_1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1}e_{k_2})\| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 5} \quad (\text{Definition of the norm in } J). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|e^{-i\theta}x_0 + ce_l - e_{l+1}\| \geq |\alpha_l| + c.$$

Thus $|\alpha_l| + c \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 5}$ for all $c > 0$ which implies that $\alpha_l = 0$.

ii) We claim $\beta_k = 0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Suppose $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_{l-1} = 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$. Set

$$x = -\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} f_{2n-2j+2}.$$

Then by Lemma 1a)

$$\|f_{2n+2} + x\| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(-1)^{n+2}e_k\| = 1.$$

On the other hand the corollary to Lemma 2 implies that

$$\|x_0 + x\| \geq |\beta_l + (-1)^{n-l+1}|.$$

Consequently, we have

$$(4) \quad |\beta_l + (-1)^{n-l+1}| \leq 1.$$

If $l = 1$, set $x = (-1)^{n+1}ce_1$ with $c > 0$. Then by Lemma 1c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{2n+2} + (-1)^{n+1}ce_1\| &\leq \sup_{1 < k_1 < k_2} \|(-1)^{n+1}ce_1 + (-1)^{n+2}e_{k_1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1}e_{k_2}\| \\ &= \sup_{1 < k_1 < k_2} \|ce_1 - e_{k_1} + e_{k_2}\| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 2}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k f_{2k} + (-1)^{n+1} c e_1\| &\geq |\langle \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k f_{2k} + (-1)^{n+1} c e_1, \varphi_1 \rangle| \\ &= |\beta_1 + (-1)^{n+1} c|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(5) \quad |\beta_1 + (-1)^{n+1} c| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 2} \quad \text{for all } c > 0.$$

If $l > 1$, we set $x = (-1)^{n-l} c \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2l-2j}$ with $c > 0$. Then by Lemma 1c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{2n+2} + x\| &\leq \sup_{k_1 < k_2} \|(-1)^{n+2} e_{k_1} + (-1)^{n+1} e_{k_2} + x\| \\ &= \sup_{k_1 < k_2} \|(-1)^{n-l} c f_{2l-2} + (-1)^{n-l} c \sum_{j=2}^{l-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2l-2j} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+2} e_{k_1} + (-1)^{n+1} e_{k_2}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{2n+2} + x\| &\leq \sup_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3} \|(-1)^{n+2} e_{k_1} + (-1)^{n+1} e_{k_2} + (-1)^{n-l+1} c e_{k_3}\| \\ &= \sup_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3} \|e_{k_1} - e_{k_2} + c e_{k_3}\| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 + x\| &= \|\sum_{k=l}^n \beta_k f_{2k} + (-1)^{n-l} c \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{j+1} f_{2l-2j}\| \\ &\geq |\langle x_0 + x, f_{2l-1} \rangle| = |\beta_l + (-1)^{n-l} c|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we used the fact that $\|f_{2l-1}\| = 1$, which was proved in Lemma 2a). Thus we have

$$(6) \quad |\beta_l + (-1)^{n-l} c| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 2} \quad \text{for all } c > 0.$$

The inequalities (4), (5) and (6) say that for all l with $1 \leq l \leq n$, we have

$$|\beta_l + (-1)^{n-l+1}| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta_l + (-1)^{n-l} c| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 2} \quad \text{for all } c > 0.$$

Therefore if $n - l$ is even, then $|\beta_l - 1| \leq 1$ and $|\beta_l + c| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 2}$ for all $c > 0$ which implies $|\beta_l - 1| \leq 1$ and $\text{Re } \beta_l \leq 0$. Thus we have $\beta_l = 0$. A similar argument shows that when $n - l$ is odd, $\beta_l = 0$. This completes the proof of 1).

2) To prove that Y has no isometric predual, we show that Y is not norm 1 complemented in J^* . That is, if $y_0 = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j e_j^* \in Y$, then the condition

$$(7) \quad \|\varphi_1 + y_0 + y\| \geq \|y\| \quad \text{for all } y \in Y$$

leads to a contradiction. Assuming (7) and setting $y = -y_0 - 2e_n^*$, we have

$$\|\varphi_1 - 2e_n^*\| \geq \|-y_0 - 2e_n^*\| \quad \text{for each } n.$$

If $x = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \beta_j e_j \in J$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, \varphi_1 - 2e_n^* \rangle| &= |\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_j - \beta_n + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \beta_j| \\ &\leq \sqrt{3} (|\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_j|^2 + |\beta_n|^2 + |\sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \beta_j|^2)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{3} \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\varphi_1 - 2e_n^*\| \leq \sqrt{3}$ for each n . On the other hand, we have

$$\|-y_0 - 2e_n^*\| \geq |\langle e_n, -y_0 - 2e_n^* \rangle| = |-\alpha_n - 2|.$$

Thus we have $\sqrt{3} \geq |\alpha_n + 2|$ for each n which is a contradiction since α_n approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 2. *If $n \neq m \geq -1$, then J^n is not isometrically isomorphic to J^m where $J^{-1} = Y$, the unique predual of J . Furthermore, J is not isometrically isomorphic to the second dual X^{**} of any Banach space X .*

2. $(J, |||\cdot|||)$. In this section, J^n will always stand for the n 'th dual of $(J, |||\cdot|||)$ and we use the same basis as introduced in Section 1 for J^n .

LEMMA 3. $|||f_2 - e_1 + x||| \geq |||x|||$ for all $x \in J$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the given $x \in J$ has a finite expansion, $x = \sum_{j \in I} \alpha_j e_j$. Then given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set of disjoint $\hat{I}_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^m \left|\sum_{j \in \hat{I}_k} \alpha_j\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \geq |||x||| - \epsilon.$$

Since x has a finite expansion, we may assume $\hat{I}_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, l_1\} \cup \{l_2, l_2 + 1, \dots\}$ with $l_1 < l_2$ and $\hat{I}_2, \dots, \hat{I}_m$ are the usual finite intervals. Choose $\beta_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$\sum_1^m |\beta_k|^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_1^m \beta_k \left(\sum_{j \in \hat{I}_k} \alpha_j\right) = \left(\sum_1^m \left|\sum_{j \in \hat{I}_k} \alpha_j\right|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

and define

$$y = \sum_2^m \beta_k \left(\sum_{j \in \hat{I}_k} e_j^*\right) + \beta_1 (\varphi_1 - \sum_{l_1 < j < l_2} e_j^*).$$

Then for any $z = \sum_1^\infty \gamma_j e_j \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle z, y \rangle| &= \left| \sum_{k=2}^m \beta_k \left(\sum_{j \in \hat{I}_k} \gamma_j\right) + \beta_1 \left(\sum_1^{l_1} \gamma_j + \sum_{l_2}^\infty \gamma_j\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_1^m |\beta_k|^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=2}^m \left|\sum_{j \in \hat{I}_k} \gamma_j\right|^2 + \left|\sum_{j \in \hat{I}_1} \gamma_j\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 1 \cdot |||z|||. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|||y||| \leq 1$. It is clear that $\langle f - e_1, y \rangle = 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |||x||| - \epsilon &< \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \left|\sum_{j \in \hat{I}_k} \alpha_j\right|^2\right)^{1/2} = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle f_2 - e_1 + x, y \rangle \\ &\leq |||f_2 - e_1 + x||| |||y||| \leq |||f_2 - e_1 + x|||. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can conclude that $|||x||| \leq |||f_2 - e_1 + x|||$.

Since the annihilator of $f_2 - e_1$ in J^* is clearly the closed linear span $Z = [\varphi_1, e_2^*, e_3^*, \dots]$, we have

COROLLARY 3. $(J, |||\cdot|||)$ has an isometric predual, namely, $(Z, |||\cdot|||)$.

THEOREM 2. $(J, |||\cdot|||)$ and $(J^*, |||\cdot|||)$ have unique isometric preduals.

Proof. a) J has a unique isometric predual.

We have observed in Corollary 3 that Z is a predual of J . We shall show that

$[f_2 - e_1]$ is the only norm 1 complement of J in J^2 . Let $x_0 = \sum_1^\infty \alpha_j e_j \in J$, and assume

$$(8) \quad |||f_2 + x||| \geq |||x_0 + x||| \quad \text{for all } x \in J.$$

We claim $x_0 = e_1$. For $n > 1$, choose θ so that $\alpha_n = e^{i\theta}|\alpha_n|$. Set $x = -e_1 + ce_n - e_{n+1}$ with $c > 0$. Then by Lemma 1a),

$$|||e^{-i\theta}f_2 + x||| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |||-e_1 + ce_n - e_{n+1} + e^{-i\theta}e_k|||.$$

However for $k > n + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |||-e_1 + ce_n - e_{n+1} + e^{-i\theta}e_k||| &\leq \sqrt{c^2 + 9} \quad \text{and} \\ |||e^{-i\theta}x_0 + x||| &= |||e^{-i\theta} \sum_1^\infty \alpha_j e_j - e_1 + ce_n - e_{n+1}||| \geq |\alpha_n| + c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $|\alpha_n| + c \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 9}$ for all $c > 0$ which implies $\alpha_n = 0$, and we have $x_0 = \alpha_1 e_1$. Thus inequality (8) becomes

$$(9) \quad |||f_2 + x||| \geq |||\alpha_1 e_1 + x||| \quad \text{for all } x \in J.$$

If $y = \beta e_1 + \sum_1^\infty \beta_j e_j^*$ with $|||y||| \leq 1$, then

$$|\beta + \beta_n| = \langle e_n, y \rangle \leq |||e_n||| |||y||| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

This implies that $|\langle f_2, y \rangle| = |\beta| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta + \beta_n| \leq 1$. Since $|||f_2||| \geq ||f_2|| = 1$ (Lemma 2b)), we have $|||f_2||| = 1$. Thus inequality (9) implies $1 = |||f_2||| \geq |||\alpha_1 e_1||| = |\alpha_1|$. Set $x = -e_1 + e_2 - ce_3$ with $c > 0$. Then by Lemma 1a),

$$\begin{aligned} |||f_2 + x||| &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |||-e_1 + e_2 - ce_3 + e_k||| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 3}, \quad \text{and} \\ |||\alpha_1 e_1 + x||| &= |||(\alpha_1 - 1)e_1 + e_2 - ce_3||| \geq |\alpha_1 - 1 - c|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (9) implies that $|\alpha - 1 - c| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + 3}$ for all $c > 0$, and we have $\text{Re}(\alpha_1 - 1) \geq 0$. Since $|\alpha_1| \leq 1$, we can conclude that $\alpha_1 = 1$ which completes the proof of a).

b) J^* has a unique isometric predual.

We shall show that $[f_3]$ is the only norm 1 complement of J^* in J^3 . Suppose $y_0 = \beta e_1 + \sum_1^\infty \beta_j e_j^* \in J^*$ and

$$(13) \quad |||f_3 + y||| \geq |||y_0 + y||| \quad \text{for all } y \in J^*.$$

We claim $y_0 = 0$. If $y = ce_n^*$ where c is any complex number, Lemma 1b) implies

$$|||f_3 + ce_n^*||| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |||ce_n^* + e_k||| \leq \sqrt{|c|^2 + 1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$|||y_0 + ce_n^*||| \geq |\langle e_n, y_0 + ce_n^* \rangle| = |\beta + \beta_n + c|.$$

Thus, from (10) we have $|\beta + \beta_n + c| \leq \sqrt{|c|^2 + 1}$ for all complex numbers c which implies $\beta + \beta_n = 0$ for each n . Thus $\beta = \beta_n = 0$ for all n since $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Our norm $|||\cdot|||$ is a slight variant of the norm introduced in James [6]. We present the following proof of his theorem for completeness.

THEOREM 3. (James) *If $x = \sum_1^\infty \alpha_j e_j \in J$ and $Sx = \alpha_1 f_2 + \sum_1^\infty \alpha_{j+1} e_j \in J^{**}$, then S is an isometry from J onto J^{**} .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $|||Sx||| = |||x|||$ for any $x = \sum_1^n \alpha_j e_j$ with finite expansion. For such an x , set $z_k = \alpha_2 e_1 + \alpha_3 e_2 + \dots + \alpha_n e_{n-1} + \alpha_1 e_k$ for $k \geq n$. Then, we have $|||z_k||| = |||x|||$ for all $k \geq n$ and by Lemma 1a) $w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = Sx$ in J^{**} . Thus $|||Sx||| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |||z_k||| = |||x|||$.

Choose $y_0 = \beta \varphi_1 + \sum_1^\infty \beta_j e_j^* \in J^*$ with $|||y_0||| = 1$ such that $\langle z_n, y_0 \rangle = |||z_n||| = |||x|||$. If $\hat{y}_0 = \beta \varphi_1 + \sum_1^{n-1} \beta_j e_j^* + \beta_n \varphi_n$, we can see that

$$(11) \quad \langle z, \hat{y}_0 \rangle = \langle \tilde{z}, y_0 \rangle \quad \text{for all } z = \sum_1^\infty \gamma_j e_j \in J,$$

where $\tilde{z} = \sum_1^{n-1} \gamma_j e_j + (\sum_n^\infty \gamma_j) e_n$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sx, \hat{y}_0 \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_k, \hat{y}_0 \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \tilde{z}_k, y_0 \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_n, y_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle z_n, y_0 \rangle = |||z_n||| = |||x|||, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $|||x||| \leq |||Sx||| |||\hat{y}_0|||$. However, since $|||\tilde{z}||| \leq |||z|||$ for all $z \in J$, identity (11) implies $|||\hat{y}_0||| \leq |||y_0||| = 1$, and we have $|||x||| \leq |||Sx|||$. This completes the proof of theorem.

Note that Corollary 3 can be shown by using Theorem 3.

The following is a consequence of Theorems 2 and 3.

COROLLARY 4. *For each positive integer n , the n 'th isometric predual of $J = (J, |||\cdot|||)$ is uniquely defined (denoted by J^{-n}). In fact for each integer n (positive or negative) J^n is isometrically isomorphic fo J (if n is even) or J^* (if n is odd).*

Note that R. C. James [7] proved that J and J^* are not even isomorphic.

3. Remarks. 1. If a Banach space X has a unique isometric predual, then it is not necessarily true that X^* has a unique isometric predual. Such an example is l^∞ . Since $(l^\infty)^* = l^1 \oplus (c_0)^\perp$ (the l^1 -direct sum), the l^1 -direct sum of l^1 and $(l^\infty)^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(l^\infty)^*$. Therefore $l^\infty \oplus c_0$ (the l^∞ -direct sum) is an isometric predual of $(l^\infty)^*$. J. Lindenstrauss [8] shows that any infinite dimensional complemented subspace of l^∞ is isomorphic to l^∞ . Consequently $l^\infty \oplus c_0$ is not even isomorphic to l^∞ .

2. As we have noticed in the introduction, a Banach space X has an isometric predual if and only if X has a w^* -closed norm 1 complement in X^{**} . Suppose A is such a complement then the annihilator A_\perp of A in X^* is an isometric predual of X . Thus, in order to prove that X has a unique isometric predual it is necessary to show that if B is another w^* -closed norm 1 complement of X in X^{**} , then A_\perp is isometrically isomorphic to B_\perp . Therefore uniqueness of w^* -closed norm 1 complement of X in X^{**} appears to be stronger than unique-

ness of isometric preduals of X . Every known proof of uniqueness of isometric preduals, as well as ours, shows this stronger condition.

Addendum. In a recent paper, G. Godefroy (Espaces de Banach: Existence et unicité de certains préduaux, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 28, no. 3 (1978), 87–105) showed that if the dual X^* of a Banach space X does not contain isomorphic copy of l^1 then X is the unique isometric predual of X^* . Thus any quasireflexive Banach space X ($\dim X^{**}/X < +\infty$) has a unique isometric predual or no isometric predual. Our proofs for J^n in this paper are direct and use elementary properties of the norm of J . They also suggest a new notion of unique predual which will be expanded upon in a subsequent paper.

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *Uniqueness of predual of H^∞* (to appear).
2. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces* (third ed., New York, 1973).
3. A. Grothendieck, *Une caractérisation vectorielle-métrique de espaces L^1* , Can. J. Math. 7 (1955), 552–561.
4. T. Ito, *On Banach spaces with unique isometric preduals*, Mich. Math. J. 24 (1977), 321–324.
5. R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. 52 (1950), 518–527.
6. ———, *A non-reflexive Banach space isometric with its second conjugate space*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 37 (1951), 174–177.
7. ———, *Banach spaces quasi-reflexive of order one*, Studia Math. 60 (1977), 157–177.
8. J. Lindenstrauss, *On complemented subspace of m* , Israel J. Math. 5 (1967), 153–156.
9. S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971).

Wayne State University,
Detroit, Michigan