

## Assessing community readiness for overweight and obesity prevention among Ghanaian immigrants living in Greater Manchester, England

H. Osei-Kwasi<sup>1</sup>, P. Jackson<sup>1</sup>, R. Akparibo<sup>2</sup>, M. Holdsworth<sup>3</sup>, M. Nicolaou<sup>4</sup>,  
A. De Graft Aikins<sup>5</sup> and P. Griffiths<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK,

<sup>2</sup>Public Health Section, School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK,

<sup>3</sup>UMR MoISA (Montpellier Interdisciplinary centre on Sustainable Agri-food systems), Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, CIHEAM-IAMM, INRAE, Institut Agro Montpellier, IRD, Montpellier, France,

<sup>4</sup>Department of Public and Occupational Health, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Netherlands,

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Advanced Studies, University College London, London, UK and

<sup>6</sup>Centre for Global Health and Human Development, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, UK

Most immigrant groups to the UK from low and middle-income countries have a high risk of overweight/obesity and of associated non-communicable diseases. Community readiness to engage in interventions needs to be understood before appropriate interventions can be implemented. This study assesses community readiness to prevent overweight/obesity among Ghanaian immigrants in Greater Manchester, England. The community readiness model (CRM) was applied using a semi-structured interview tool with 13 key informants (religious and other key community members) addressing five readiness dimensions (community knowledge of efforts, leadership, community climate, knowledge of the issue and resources). A maximum of 9 points per dimension (from 1 = no awareness to 9 = high level of community ownership), alongside qualitative textual thematic analysis. The mean readiness score indicated that the study population was in the “vague awareness stage” ( $3.08 \pm 0.98$ ). The highest score was observed for community knowledge of the issue ( $4.42 \pm 0.99$ ) which was in the pre-planning phase, followed by community climate (vague awareness;  $3.58 \pm 0.62$ ). The lowest scores were seen for resources (denial/resistance;  $2.70 \pm 0.61$ ) and Knowledge of efforts (no awareness;  $1.53 \pm 0.44$ ). Explanations are sought in terms of structural barriers and socio-cultural norms where structural barriers include poor living conditions as a result of poorly paid menial jobs and high workload, contributing to the adoption of unhealthy eating behaviours. Socio-cultural factors such as fatalism, hereditary factors and social status were associated with acceptance of overweight. Despite recognising overweight/obesity as an important health issue in these communities especially among women, it is not seen as a priority. To help these communities to become more ready for interventions that tackle overweight/obesity, the focus should initially be to address the structural barriers identified, reduce poverty and then focus on the socio-cultural factors.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the key informants for participating in this research. This work was supported by the AXA Research Fund

### References

1. Agyemang C & van den Born BJ (2019) *J Travel Med* **26**, 107.
2. Plested BA, Jumper-Thurman P & Edwards RW (2016) Natl Cent Community Readiness, Color State Univ Fort Collins, Color 20.