

SEPARATING SINGULARITIES OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

JÜRGEN MÜLLER AND JOCHEN WENGENROTH

ABSTRACT. We present a short proof for a classical result on separating singularities of holomorphic functions. The proof is based on the open mapping theorem and the fusion lemma of Roth, which is a basic tool in complex approximation theory. The same method yields similar separation results for other classes of functions.

1. Separating singularities in open sets. There are various elementary results in function theory concerning the separation of singularities. Simple examples are the Laurent decomposition of holomorphic functions in a ring domain or the partial fractions decomposition of rational functions. A general result of this type is due to Aronszajn [1]. It can be viewed as a special case of the Cousin-I-problem (see *e.g.* [7, Theorem 1.4.5]).

THEOREM 1. *Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be open in the extended complex plane $\hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Every holomorphic function f on $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ can be written as $f = f_1|_{\Omega} - f_2|_{\Omega}$ with f_j holomorphic in Ω_j .*

The usual modern proof [7, Theorem 1.4.5] uses the surjectivity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator and a partition of unity. We give a different proof based on the

FUSION LEMMA (ROTH [10]). *For every pair K_1, K_2 of disjoint compact sets in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ there exists a constant $\alpha = \alpha(K_1, K_2)$ such that for every compact $K \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every pair of rational functions r_1, r_2 with $\|r_1 - r_2\|_K < \varepsilon$ there is a rational function R with*

$$\|R - r_j\|_{K_j \cup K} < \alpha\varepsilon \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2$$

(where throughout $\|f\|_A = \sup_{z \in A} |f(z)|$).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. For an open set $G \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ we endow the space

$$H(G) = \{f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ holomorphic, } f(\infty) = 0 \text{ if } \infty \in G\}$$

with its usual Fréchet space topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of G . Since we may assume $f \in H(\Omega)$, we have to show that the continuous linear operator

$$T: H(\Omega_1) \times H(\Omega_2) \rightarrow H(\Omega), \quad T(f_1, f_2) := f_1|_{\Omega} - f_2|_{\Omega}$$

is surjective, which is—by a version of the open mapping theorem which is stated *e.g.* in [8, p. 9]—equivalent to T being almost open, *i.e.*, the closure of $T(U_1 \times U_2)$ is a neighbourhood of 0 in $H(\Omega)$ for all 0-neighbourhoods U_j in $H(\Omega_j)$, $j = 1, 2$.

Received by the editors April 30, 1997; revised December 10, 1997.

AMS subject classification: 30E99, 30E10.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1998.

Let U_j be 0-neighbourhoods in $H(\Omega_j)$ which can be assumed to be of the form

$$U_j = \{f \in H(\Omega_j) : \|f\|_{K_j} < \varepsilon\}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and compact sets $K_j \subset \Omega_j$. Let $M \subset \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ be compact with $K_1 \cup K_2 \subset M$ and such that each component of M^c contains a point of $(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)^c$. Choosing an open set $U \supset M \setminus \Omega_1$ with $\bar{U} \subset \Omega_2$, and letting $\tilde{K}_1 = K_1 \cup (M \setminus U)$ and $\tilde{K}_2 = K_2 \cup (M \cap \bar{U})$, we have $K_j \subset \tilde{K}_j \subset \Omega_j$ and $\tilde{K}_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2 = M$. Let now $K \subset \Omega$ be compact with $\tilde{K}_1 \cap \tilde{K}_2 \subset K^\circ$, set $L_j = \tilde{K}_j \setminus K^\circ$ and choose $\alpha = \alpha(L_1, L_2)$ according to the fusion lemma. Then we have

$$V = \left\{f \in H(\Omega) : \|f\|_K < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\alpha}\right\} \subset \overline{T(U_1 \times U_2)}.$$

Indeed, by Runge's theorem it is enough to decompose rational functions $R \in V$ as $R = T(f_1, f_2)$ with $f_j \in U_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Clearly, we can write $R = R_1 - R_2$ with $R_j \in H(\Omega_j)$. Hence, by the fusion lemma, there exists a rational function S with

$$\|S - R_j\|_{K \cup \tilde{K}_j} < \alpha \frac{\varepsilon}{2\alpha} = \varepsilon/2.$$

Applying again Runge's theorem, we find a function $\tilde{S} \in H(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$ with $\|S - \tilde{S}\|_{\tilde{K}_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2} < \varepsilon/2$. Finally, the functions $f_j = R_j - \tilde{S}$ satisfy $f_j \in U_j$ with $T(f_1, f_2) = R$. ■

REMARKS. 1. The kernel of the operator T in the previous proof is isomorphic to $H(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$. In particular, if $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, then T is injective and $H(\Omega) = H(\Omega_1) \oplus H(\Omega_2)$ is the topological direct sum of the spaces $H(\Omega_j)$. In this case the unique decomposition $f = f_1|_\Omega - f_2|_\Omega$ depends in a continuous linear way on f .

2. Let $P(D)$ be a homogeneous polynomial elliptic partial differential operator with constant coefficients on \mathbb{R}^n and call a C^∞ -function on an open set P -holomorphic if it belongs to the kernel of $P(D)$ ($\bar{\partial}$ -holomorphic functions are holomorphic in the usual sense and Δ -holomorphic functions are harmonic if Δ denotes the Laplace-operator). Since there are "elliptic analogues" of the tools used in the proof above, namely an elliptic fusion lemma [2] and an elliptic Runge theorem [3], we can extend Theorem 1 to P -holomorphic functions. The harmonic case is already included in [1].

THEOREM 2. *For each pair Ω_1, Ω_2 of open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , every P -holomorphic function f on $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ can be written as $f = f_1|_\Omega - f_2|_\Omega$ where the functions f_j are P -holomorphic on Ω_j .*

2. Separating singularities in compact sets. For a compact set $K \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ we denote $A(K) = \{f \in C(K) : f|_{K^\circ} \in H(K^\circ), f(\infty) = 0\}$. One may ask for an analogue result to Aronszajn's theorem:

If $K = K_1 \cap K_2$ is the intersection of two compact sets, is it true that every $f \in A(K)$ can be decomposed as $f = f_1|_K - f_2|_K$ with $f_j \in A(K_j)$? In general, the answer is no, and in many cases, the decomposability can be characterized by a "fusion property". Let $R(K)$ be the space of all $f \in A(K)$ that can be uniformly approximated by rational functions.

THEOREM 3. Let $K = K_1 \cap K_2$ be the intersection of two compact sets $K_j \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $R(K) = A(K)$ and such that every function which is continuous on $K_1 \cup K_2$ and holomorphic in $K_1^\circ \cup K_2^\circ$ is holomorphic on $(K_1 \cup K_2)^\circ$.

(a) The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Every $f \in A(K)$ can be decomposed as $f = f_1|_K - f_2|_K$ with $f_j \in A(K_j)$.
- (2) There is a constant $a > 0$ such that for every pair $u_j \in A(K_j)$, $j = 1, 2$, there exists a function $f \in A(K_1 \cup K_2)$ with

$$\|f - u_j\|_{K_j} \leq a\|u_1 - u_2\|_K.$$

(b) If, moreover, $A(K_1 \cup K_2) = R(K_1 \cup K_2)$, then condition (2) is equivalent to:

- (3) There is a constant $b > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every pair r_1, r_2 of rational functions with $\|r_1 - r_2\|_K < \varepsilon$ there exists a rational function R with

$$\|R - r_j\|_{K_j} < b\varepsilon.$$

PROOF. Assume that condition (1) holds, which means, that the continuous linear operator

$$T: A(K_1) \times A(K_2) \rightarrow A(K), \quad T(f_1, f_2) = f_1|_K - f_2|_K$$

is surjective (where we endow $A(K_j)$ and $A(K)$ with the Banach space topologies given by the sup-norm). By the open mapping theorem, T is open. Given $u_j \in A(K_j)$ we therefore can decompose $h = u_1|_K - u_2|_K$ as $h = f_1|_K - f_2|_K$ with $f_j \in A(K_j)$ and $\|f_j\|_{K_j} \leq a\|h\|_K$ for some constant $a > 0$ depending only on K_1 and K_2 .

Since $f_1 - f_2 = u_1 - u_2$ on K , the function $f = u_1 - f_1 = u_2 - f_2$ is consistently defined on $K_1 \cup K_2$, continuous there and holomorphic in $K_1^\circ \cup K_2^\circ$ and thus, $f \in A(K_1 \cup K_2)$ with

$$\|f - u_j\|_{K_j} = \|f_j\|_{K_j} \leq a\|u_1 - u_2\|_K.$$

To prove that (2) implies (1), we have to show that the operator T above is surjective or—equivalently—almost open. Given $h \in A(K)$ with $\|h\|_K < 1$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there is a rational $R \in A(K)$ with $\|h - R\|_K < \varepsilon$. Clearly $R = R_1 - R_2$ with $R_j \in A(K_j)$. Applying (2), we find $f \in A(K_1 \cup K_2)$ such that

$$\|f - R_j\|_{K_j} \leq a\|R_1 - R_2\|_K = a\|R\|_K \leq 2a.$$

With $f_j = R_j - f \in A(K_j)$ we get $\|f_j\|_{K_j} \leq 2a$ and

$$\|h - T(f_1, f_2)\|_K = \|h - R\|_K < \varepsilon.$$

This proves that T is almost open.

The same proof yields that (3) implies (1). To show that (2) implies (3) we first exclude exactly as in [4, p. 247] the case that the r_j have poles in K_j . Hence we may assume $r_j \in A(K_j)$. Now, we just approximate the function f given by (2) by a rational function R on $K_1 \cup K_2$ to obtain

$$\|R - r_j\|_{K_j} \leq \|R - f\|_{K_1 \cup K_2} + \|f - r_j\|_{K_j} < a\varepsilon + a\|r_1 - r_2\|_K \leq 2a\varepsilon.$$

Thus we may take $b = 2a$. ■

REMARKS. 1. For compact sets $K_1, K_2 \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\infty \notin \partial K_j$, the condition that every function which is continuous on $K_1 \cup K_2$ and holomorphic in $K_1^\circ \cup K_2^\circ$ is holomorphic in $(K_1 \cup K_2)^\circ$ is satisfied if and only if $\alpha(\partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2 \cap (K_1 \cup K_2)^\circ) = 0$, where $\alpha(E)$ denotes the continuous analytic capacity of a set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ (see [6, Chap. I]). For example, this is the case if $\partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2 \cap (K_1 \cup K_2)^\circ$ is a countable union of sets of finite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure [6, Corollary 2.4] and thus, in particular, if K_1 and K_2 have smooth boundary. Sufficient conditions for $R(K) = A(K)$ are well known (see for example [5]). In particular, $A(K) = R(K)$ holds if K^c has only finitely many components.

2. If $K = K_1 \cap K_2$ is infinite, then the condition (3) is equivalent to:

(3') There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every pair r_j of rational functions there exists a rational function R with

$$\|R - r_j\|_{K_j} \leq c \|r_1 - r_2\|_K.$$

3. The question under which condition (3) holds was first investigated by Gauthier (cf. [4] or [5]), who gave an example where (3) fails (in this example, $A(K_1 \cup K_2) = R(K_1 \cup K_2)$ is violated). Much simpler examples were obtained by Gaier in [4], where he asked for conditions under which the strong version (3) of the fusion lemma is true. Several results can be found in [9], [12].

4. The kernel of T in the situation of Theorem 3 is isomorphic to $A(K_1 \cup K_2)$. In particular, if $K_1 \cup K_2 = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, then T is injective, hence a topological isomorphism, and this implies $A(K) = A(K_1) \oplus A(K_2)$ if condition (1) holds. For $K_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ and $K_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geq \varrho\} \cup \{\infty\}$, where $\varrho \leq 1$, we easily see by Laurent decomposition that $A(K) = A(K_1) \oplus A(K_2)$ holds true if $\varrho < 1$. On the other hand, for $\varrho = 1$, this would imply that the disc algebra $A(K_1)$ is complemented in the space of continuous functions on $\{|z| = 1\}$, which is not true [11, Example 5.19]. Hence we have another simple situation where the strong form of the fusion lemma fails.

REFERENCES

1. N. Aronszajn, *Sur les décompositions des fonctions analytiques uniformes et sur leur applications*. Acta Math. **65**(1935), 1–156.
2. A. Bonilla and J. C. Fariña, *Elliptic fusion lemma*. Math. Japon. **41**(1995), 441–445.
3. A. Dufresnoy, P. M. Gauthier and W. H. Ow, *Uniform approximation on closed sets by solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*. Complex Variables Theory Appl. **6**(1986), 235–247.
4. D. Gaier, *Remarks on Alice Roth's fusion lemma*. J. Approx. Theory **37**(1983), 246–250.
5. ———, *Lectures on Complex Approximation*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1987.
6. J. Garnett, *Analytic Capacity and Measure*. Springer, Berlin, 1972.
7. L. Hörmander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*. 3rd edn, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
8. N. J. Kalton, N. T. Peck and J. W. Roberts, *An F-Space Sampler*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
9. A. Nersesjan, *Alice Roth's fusion lemma*. Soviet J. Contemporary Math. Anal. **23**(1988), 34–47.
10. A. Roth, *Uniform and tangential approximation by meromorphic functions on closed sets*. Canad. J. Math. **28**(1976), 104–111.

11. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
12. G. Schmieder, *Fusion lemma and boundary structure*. *J. Approx. Theory* **71**(1992), 305–311.

*Fachbereich IV, Mathematik
Universität Trier
D-54286 Trier
Germany
email: jmueller@uni-trier.de
wengen@uni-trier.de*