# PLETHYSM OF $S$-FUNCTIONS 

## A. O. USHER

The $S$-function $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}, \mu \vdash m, \lambda \vdash l$, where $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$ is the 'new multiplication' or plethysm of D. E. Littlewood [1], corresponds, in the sense defined below in (1), to the character afforded by a representation of the symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_{l m}$ induced from a representation of the subgroup $\mathbf{S}_{m}<\mathbf{S}_{l}[\mathbf{3} \S 6 ;$ $4 \S 3.5]$. The aim of this paper is to define the latter representation and deduce its character using a somewhat different approach from that in [3].

In Section 2, the character ' $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$ ' of the general linear group, $\mathbf{G L}_{n}$, over the field of complex numbers, is introduced and expressed in a form given by H. O. Foulkes [5] which suggests that one might usefully consider a certain irreducible representation of the wreath product $\mathbf{S}_{m}$ 〉 $\mathbf{S}_{l}$. It is shown in Section 3 that the character of $\mathbf{S}_{l m}$ induced from the character afforded by this representation has corresponding $S$-function $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$. The connection between the plethysm of $S$-functions and wreath products of symmetric groups has been pointed out by several authors (e.g. [9, § 7; $\mathbf{1 0}$ p. 135]) but no proofs seem to be available. Finally, in Section 4 there is a brief summary of one of the possible methods of reducing $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$ into its irreducible components.
2. The $S$-function $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$. Let $\phi$ be any class function defined on $\mathbf{S}_{l}$, then the Schur characteristic function, or $S$-function, corresponding to $\phi$ is, by definition, the symmetric function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\rho \nvdash l} r_{\rho} \phi_{\rho} S_{\rho} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\rho}$ is the value of $\phi$ on the conjugacy class $C_{\rho}$ of $\mathbf{S}_{l}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\rho}=\left|C_{\rho}\right| \\
& S_{\rho}=S_{1}{ }_{1}^{a_{1}} S_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots \text { for } \rho=\left(1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right) \vdash l
\end{aligned}
$$

and with $S_{k}$ ( $k$ a positive integer) the $k$ th power sum, $t_{1}{ }^{k}+t_{2}{ }^{k}+\ldots$, in the variables $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$

Now if $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right) \vdash l$ then $\{\lambda\}$ may be defined as the bialternant symmetric function

$$
\{\lambda\} \equiv \frac{\sum \pm t_{1}^{\lambda_{1}+l-1} t_{2}^{\lambda_{2}+l-2} \ldots t_{l}^{\lambda_{l}}}{\sum \pm t_{1}{ }^{l-1} t_{2}{ }^{l-2} \ldots t_{l}{ }^{0}}=\frac{\left|t^{\lambda_{j}+l-j}\right|}{\left|t_{i}^{l-j}\right|}=\frac{\Delta_{\lambda}}{\Delta},
$$

say, where the $(i, j)$ entry of the $l$ th order determinant, $\Delta_{\lambda}$, is $t_{i}{ }^{\lambda_{i}}$ and where the sums are taken over all permutations of the suffixes of the $t$ 's, with + or sign according as the permutation is even or odd. It follows from the famous
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Frobenius formula for the irreducible characters $\chi^{(\lambda)}$ of $\mathbf{S}_{l}$, namely,

$$
S_{\rho} \Delta=\sum_{\lambda^{+} l} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\lambda)} \Delta_{\lambda}
$$

that $\{\lambda\}$ is the $S$-function corresponding to $\chi^{(\lambda)}[\mathbf{2}, \S \S 5.2,6.3]$. Thus,
(2) $\{\lambda\}=\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\rho+l} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\lambda)} S_{\rho}$

Let the irreducible rational homogeneous representations of weight $l$ of $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{L}_{n}$ be $\sigma^{(\lambda)}, \lambda \vdash l$ into not more than $n$ parts, then the character afforded by $\sigma^{(\lambda)}$ is $\{\lambda\}$, where the variables are now the eigenvalues $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ of $\xi \in \mathbf{G L}_{n}$. Thus, $\{1\} \equiv S_{1}=t_{1}+\ldots+t_{n}=\operatorname{tr} \xi$ and $S_{q}=t_{1}{ }^{q}+\ldots+t_{n}{ }^{q}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\xi^{q}\right)$.

If the degree of the $\sigma^{(\mu)}, \mu \vdash m$, representation of $\mathbf{G L}_{n}$ is $N$ then for $\xi \in \mathbf{G L}_{n}$, the entries of $\sigma^{(\mu)}(\xi) \in \mathbf{G L}_{N}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $m$ in the entries of $\xi$ and $\sigma^{(\mu)}\left(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{L}_{n}\right)=\mathbf{R}$, a subgroup of $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{L}_{N}$. Next, consider the $\sigma^{(\lambda)}$ representation of $\mathbf{G L} \mathbf{L}_{N}$; the entries of $\sigma^{(\lambda)}(\eta), \eta \in \mathbf{G} \mathbf{L}_{N}$, are homogeneous polynomials of degree $l$ in those of $\eta$ and

$$
\{\lambda\}=\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\rho+l} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\lambda)} Z_{\rho}
$$

where $Z_{\rho}$ is defined in terms of the eigenvalues $t_{1}{ }^{*}, \ldots, t_{N}{ }^{*}$ of $\eta \in \mathbf{G} \mathbf{L}_{N}$ in exactly the same way as $S_{\rho}$ in terms of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$. Now the restriction of $\sigma^{(\lambda)}$ to $\mathbf{R},\left.\sigma^{(\lambda)}\right|_{\mathbf{R}}$, is a representation of $\mathbf{R}$ and hence of $\mathbf{G L}_{n}$. In this representation $\xi \in \mathbf{G L}_{n}$ is mapped onto the matrix $\sigma^{(\lambda)}\left(\sigma^{(\mu)}(\xi)\right)$, that is, the matrix $\sigma^{(\lambda)}(\eta)$ with $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$ and of form $\sigma^{(\mu)}(\xi)$. The entries of $\sigma^{(\lambda)}\left(\sigma^{(\mu)}(\xi)\right)$ are, of course, homogeneous polynomials of degree $l m$ in the entries of $\xi$. The character afforded by $\left.\sigma^{(\lambda)}\right|_{\mathbf{R}}$ is written $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$. Thus,
(3) $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}=\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\rho \not{ }_{l}} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\lambda)} Z_{\rho}$,
a symmetric function of weight $l m$, constructed from the given $S$-functions $\{\mu\},\{\lambda\}$ of weights $m$ and $l$ respectively.

We require $Z_{\rho}$ in terms of the eigenvalues $t_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, of $\xi \in \mathbf{G L}_{n}$, rather than as a function of the $t_{j}{ }^{*}, j=1, \ldots, N$. Now,

$$
\{\mu\}=\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\rho \neq m} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\mu)} S_{\rho}
$$

where, $S_{\rho}=S_{1}{ }^{b_{1}} S_{2}{ }^{b_{2}} \ldots$ for $\rho=\left(1^{b_{1}} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots\right) \vdash m$ and $r_{\rho}=\left|C_{\rho}\right|$ of $\mathbf{S}_{m}$. That is,

$$
\operatorname{tr} \sigma^{(\mu)}(\xi)=\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\rho \vdash_{m}} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\mu)}(\operatorname{tr} \xi)^{b_{1}}\left(\operatorname{tr} \xi^{2}\right)^{b_{2}} \ldots \quad \text { for all } \xi \in \mathbf{G L}_{n} .
$$

Replace $\xi$ with $\xi^{q}$, hence $S_{k}$ with $S_{q k}$ then, since

$$
Z_{\imath}=t_{1}^{* q}+\ldots+t_{N}^{* q}=\operatorname{tr} \eta^{q}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma^{(\mu)}(\xi)\right)^{q}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma^{(\mu)}\left(\xi^{q}\right)\right)
$$

we have,

$$
Z_{q}=\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{p r m} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(\operatorname{tr} \xi^{q}\right)^{b_{1}}\left(\operatorname{tr} \xi^{2 q}\right)^{b_{2}} \ldots
$$

Thus, if we write $\{\mu\}^{(q)}$ for $Z_{q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mu\}^{(q)}=\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\rho \vdash m} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\mu)} S_{q \rho} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \rho=\left(q^{b_{1}}(2 q)^{b_{2}} \ldots\right) \vdash q m$.
Finally, since $Z_{\rho}=Z_{1}{ }_{1}{ }_{2} Z_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots=\{\mu\}\left(\{\mu\}^{(2)}\right)^{a_{2}} \ldots=\{\mu\}_{\rho}$, say, then (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}=\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\rho+l} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\lambda)}\{\mu\}_{\rho}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

a form, used by H. O. Foulkes [5, § 5], which invites comparison with a certain irreducible representation of the wreath product $\mathbf{S}_{m} 乙 \mathbf{S}_{l}$.
3. The character of $\mathbf{S}_{l m}$ corresponding to the $S$-function $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$. Following the definitions and notation of A. Kerber [8, pp. 24-25], we let $(y ; x) \equiv\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l} ; x\right)$ be a general element of the wreath product $\mathbf{S}_{m} \chi \mathbf{S}_{l}$, where $y$ maps the set $\Omega=\{1, \ldots, l\}$ into $\mathbf{S}_{m}$ and $x \in \mathbf{S}_{l}$. The basis group of $\mathbf{S}_{m} \backslash \mathbf{S}_{l}, \mathbf{S}_{m}{ }^{*}$, with elements of form $\left(y ; 1_{\mathbf{S}_{l}}\right), y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{m}$, is the direct product $\mathbf{S}_{m_{1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbf{S}_{m_{l}}$ of $l$ copies of $\mathbf{S}_{m}$. The complement $\mathbf{S}_{l}{ }^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{S}_{m}{ }^{*}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{S}_{l}$ and its elements are of the form $(e ; x), x \in \mathbf{S}_{l}, e$ the identity of $\mathbf{S}_{m}{ }^{*}$. Thus, the factor group $\left.\left(\mathbf{S}_{m}\right\rangle \mathbf{S}_{l}\right) / \mathbf{S}_{m}{ }^{*}=\mathbf{S}_{l}{ }^{\prime}$ and if $x$ is a given element of $\mathbf{S}_{l}$ then the set of elements $\{(y ; x)\}$ constitute a coset of $\mathbf{S}_{m}{ }^{*}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{m} \chi \mathbf{S}_{l}$.

From the definition of $\left.\mathbf{S}_{m}\right\rangle \mathbf{S}_{l}$ it is easily seen that the cycle decomposition of elements $(y ; x), x \in C_{\rho}$ of $\mathbf{S}_{l}$ and $\rho=\left(1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots s^{a_{s}} \ldots\right)$ a partition of $l$ into $r$ parts, is of the form
(6) $\quad \nu_{\rho}=\nu_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \nu_{a_{1}} \oplus 2 \nu_{a_{1}+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus 2 \nu_{a_{1}+a_{2}} \oplus \ldots$
a direct sum of $r$ partitions, where the first $a_{1}$ terms are of form $\nu_{i}$, the next $a_{2}$ of form $2 \nu_{i}, \ldots$, the next $a_{s}$ of form $s \nu_{i}, \ldots$ with $s \nu_{i}=\left(s^{b_{1}}(2 s)^{b_{2}} \ldots\right) \vdash s m$ for $\nu_{i}=\left(1^{b_{1}} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots\right) \vdash m$.

Now, Kerber shows $[\mathbf{8}, \S \S 5,6]$ that certain irreducible representations of $\left.\mathbf{S}_{m}\right\rangle \mathbf{S}_{l}$ are of the form $(\mu ; \lambda) \equiv\left(\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho^{(\lambda) \prime}\right)$ where, $\rho^{(\lambda) \prime}$ is the (irreducible) representation of $\mathbf{S}_{m}<\mathbf{S}_{l}$ derived from the irreducible representation $\rho^{(\lambda)}$ of the factor group $\mathbf{S}_{i}{ }^{\prime}, \sigma$ is the (irreducible) Kronecker product representation $\rho^{(\mu)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho^{(\mu)}$ ( $l$ factors) of $\mathbf{S}_{m}{ }^{*}$, with $\rho^{(\mu)}$ the irreducible representation (of degree $n_{\mu}$ ) of $\mathbf{S}_{m}$, and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the (irreducible) representation, derived from $\sigma$ by permuting the columns of the matrices $\sigma\left(\left(y ; 1_{\mathbf{S}_{l}}\right)\right)$. The representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ is given by $\tilde{\sigma}((y ; x))$ with $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{i} ; j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l}\right)$ entry equal to

$$
\rho_{i_{1} j_{x}-1(1)}\left({ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{1}\right) \rho_{i_{2} j_{x}-1(2)}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{2}\right) \ldots \rho_{i_{l j x^{-1}(l)}}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{l}\right),\left(1 \leqq i_{k}, j_{k} \leqq n_{\mu}\right) .\right.
$$

Therefore the $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}\right)$ entry of $\tilde{\sigma}((y ; x))$, if $x \in C_{\rho}$ with
$\rho=\left(1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right)$, is equal to

$$
\rho_{i_{1} i_{1}}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{1}\right) \ldots \rho_{i_{a_{1} i_{a_{1}}}}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{a_{1}}\right) \cdot \rho_{i_{a_{1}+1} i_{a_{1}+2}}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{a_{1}+1}\right) \rho_{i_{a_{1}+2} i_{a_{1}+1}}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{a_{1}+2}\right) \ldots
$$

which includes, corresponding to an $s$-cycle (say the first) in the $k$ th to $(k+s-1)$ th parts of $\rho$, the product of factors

$$
\rho_{i_{k} i_{k+1}}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{k}\right) \cdot \rho_{i_{k+1} i_{k+2}}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{k+1}\right) \ldots \rho_{i_{k+s-1} i_{k}}{ }^{(\mu)}\left(y_{k+s-1}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr} \tilde{\sigma}((y ; x)) & =\operatorname{tr} \rho^{(\mu)}\left(y_{1}\right) \ldots \operatorname{tr} \rho^{(\mu)}\left(y_{a_{1}}\right) \operatorname{tr} \rho^{(\mu)}\left(y_{a_{1}+1} y_{a_{1}+2}\right) \ldots \\
& \operatorname{tr} \rho^{(\mu)}\left(y_{k} y_{k+1} \ldots y_{k+s-1}\right)=\chi_{\nu_{1}}{ }^{(\mu)} \chi_{\nu_{2}}{ }^{(\mu)} \ldots \chi_{\nu_{r}}{ }^{(\mu)}(r \text { factors })
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{1} \in C_{\nu_{1}}, \ldots, y_{a_{1}} \in C_{\nu_{a_{1}}}, y_{a_{1}+1} y_{a_{1}+2} \in C_{\nu_{a_{1}+1}}, \ldots, y_{k} y_{k+1} \ldots y_{k+s-1} \in$ $C_{\nu_{a_{1}}+\ldots+a_{s-1}+1}, \ldots$ of $\mathbf{S}_{m}$ and here all the $y_{i}$ in $\rho^{(\mu)}\left(y_{i}\right)$ are considered as elements of a single $\mathbf{S}_{m}$, since the factors of $\sigma$ are all equivalent and so may be made equal. Thus, the value of the character afforded by the irreducible representation $(\mu ; \lambda) \equiv\left(\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \rho^{\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)}\right.$ of $\mathbf{S}_{m} \chi \mathbf{S}_{l}$ on $(y ; x)$ with $x \in C_{\rho}, \rho=\left(1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right) \vdash l$ into $r$ parts is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \chi_{\nu_{i}}{ }^{(\mu)} \chi^{(\lambda)}$.

Finally, we show that the $S$-function corresponding to the character $\phi$, say, afforded by the induced representation, $(\mu ; \lambda) \uparrow \mathbf{S}_{l m}$, is $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$. Now, the element $(y ; x) \in \mathbf{S}_{m} \chi \mathbf{S}_{l}$ with $x \in C_{\rho}$, from (6), corresponds to a partition of $l m$ of the form $\nu_{\rho}$ and therefore belongs to the conjugacy class $C_{\nu_{\rho}}$ of $\mathbf{S}_{l m}$. Thus [ $\mathbf{6}$, Theorem 16.7.2], the value of the character $\phi$ on $(y ; x)$ is

$$
\phi((y ; x)) \equiv \phi_{\nu_{\rho}}=\frac{(l m)!}{\left.(m!)^{l} l!r_{\nu_{\rho}}(y ; x) \in C_{\nu_{\rho}} \cap \mathbf{s}_{m} \imath \mathbf{s}_{l} \chi^{(\lambda)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \chi_{\nu_{i}}{ }^{(\mu)}\right)\right) ~}
$$

the sum being over all $(y ; x)$ of $\mathbf{S}_{m} \chi \mathbf{S}_{l}$ of the form $\nu_{\rho}$. But the number of cosets of $\mathbf{S}_{m}{ }^{*}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{m} \curlywedge \mathbf{S}_{l}$ corresponding to a particular $\rho \vdash l$ is $r_{\rho}$, the number of ways of building $\nu_{\rho}$ in each of these cosets is

$$
\sum_{\substack{\nu_{\nu_{i}=\nu_{\rho}}}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} r_{\nu_{i}}\right)
$$

and every one of these occurs ( $m!)^{l-r}$ times in each such coset. Hence,

$$
\phi_{\nu_{\rho}}=\frac{(l m)!}{l!r_{\nu_{\rho}} \rho+l \text { into } \tau \text { parts }} \sum_{(m!)^{r}} \frac{r_{\rho}}{(m)^{(\lambda)}}\left(\sum_{\oplus}^{\sum_{\nu_{i}}=\nu_{\rho}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} r_{\nu_{i}} \chi_{\nu_{i}}{ }^{(\mu)}\right),
$$

for given $\nu_{\rho}$. Now, the corresponding $S$-function,

$$
\Phi=\frac{1}{(l m)!} \sum_{\zeta \vdash l m} r_{\zeta} \phi_{\zeta} S_{\zeta}=\frac{1}{(l m)!} \sum_{\nu_{\rho}, \rho^{\circ} \vdash} r_{\nu_{\rho}} \phi_{\nu_{\rho}} S_{\nu_{\rho}}
$$

since $\phi_{\zeta}=0$ unless $\zeta=\nu_{\rho}$ for some $\rho \vdash 1$. Thus,

$$
\Phi=\frac{1}{\eta!} \sum_{\rho+i} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}^{(\lambda)}\left[\frac{1}{(m!)^{r}} \sum_{\nu_{\rho}=\oplus_{i} \nu_{i} \nvdash_{T m}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\tau} r_{\nu_{i}} \chi_{\nu_{i}}{ }^{(\mu)}\right) S_{\nu_{\rho}}\right]
$$

now summed over all $\nu_{\rho}$.

But $S_{\nu_{\rho}}=S_{\nu_{1}} \ldots S_{\nu_{a_{1}}} S_{2 \nu_{a_{1}+1}} \ldots S_{2 \nu_{a_{1}+a_{2}}} \ldots(r$ factors $)$ for $\rho=\left(1^{a_{1} 2^{a_{2}}} \ldots\right) \vdash l$ into $r$ parts. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi=\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\rho \vdash l} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\lambda)}\left[\left(\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\nu_{1}} r_{\nu_{1}} \chi_{\nu_{1}}{ }^{(\mu)} S_{\nu_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\nu_{a_{1}}} r_{\nu_{a_{1}}} \chi_{\nu_{a_{1}}}{ }^{(\mu)} S_{\nu_{a_{1}}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\times\left(\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\nu_{a_{1}+1}} r_{\nu_{a_{1}+1}} \chi_{\nu_{a_{1}+1}}{ }^{(\mu)} S_{2 v_{a_{1}+1}}\right) \ldots\right]=\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{\rho+l} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\lambda)}\{\mu\}_{\rho},
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
4. The reduction of $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$. We conclude with a brief reference to the problem of reducing the $S$-function $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$ to a sum of $S$-functions, that is, to the decomposition of the character $\phi$ of $\mathbf{S}_{l m}$ with corresponding $S$-function $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$ to a sum of irreducible characters of $\mathbf{S}_{l m}$. Many methods (e.g. [1], also [4, p. 166] for more references) have been devised for this reduction; we consider $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$ in the form (5).

The $\chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\lambda)}$ may be found from the character table of $\mathbf{S}_{l}$, or by applying the Littlewood-Richardson recurrence rule [2, § 5.3, Theorem II] and the order of $C_{\rho}$ is

$$
r_{\rho}=\frac{l!}{1^{a_{1}} a_{1}!2^{a_{2}} a_{2}!\ldots}
$$

for $\rho=\left(1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right) \vdash l$. The differential operator method of H. O. Foulkes [5] gives a simple determinantal procedure for the coefficient of $\{\nu\}, \nu \vdash l m$, in $\{\mu\}_{\rho} ;$ it is also very useful in conjunction with other methods which may determine the coefficients of $S$-functions $\{\nu\}$ in $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}=\sum_{\nu-l m} c_{\mu \lambda \nu}\{\nu\}$ corresponding to certain - but not all - forms of the partition $\nu$ of $l m$.

If, however, each $\{\mu\}^{(q)}$ in $\{\mu\}_{\rho}$ were expressed as a sum of $S$-functions, the problem would then reduce to that of the ordinary multiplication of $S$-functions [2, § 6.3, Theorem V]. We have,

$$
\{\mu\}^{(q)}=\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\rho \vdash m} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}^{(\mu)} S_{q \rho}
$$

from (4). But

$$
S_{\rho}=\sum_{\mu r_{m}} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\mu)}\{\mu\}
$$

for each $\rho \vdash m$. In particular for $q \rho \vdash q m$,

$$
S_{q \rho}=\sum_{\sigma \vdash q m} \chi_{q \rho}{ }^{(\sigma)}\{\sigma\}
$$

Thus,

$$
\{\mu\}^{(q)}=\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{\rho+m \\ \sigma \vdash q m}} r_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}{ }^{(\mu)} \chi_{q \rho}{ }^{(\sigma)}\{\sigma\}
$$

where, $\chi^{(\mu)}, \chi^{(\sigma)}$ are irreducible characters of $\mathbf{S}_{m}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{q m}$ respectively. Hence $\{\mu\} \otimes\{\lambda\}$ becomes a sum of products of $S$-functions, the coefficients in which are integral multiples of products of characters of $\mathbf{S}_{l}, \mathbf{S}_{m}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{q m}$ ( $q$ a divisor of $l m)$. Now we require the values of $\chi^{(\sigma)}, \sigma \vdash q m$, on classes of form $C_{q \rho}$, $\rho \vdash m$, only. But D. E. Littlewood [2, §8.1] has shown that $\chi_{q \rho}{ }^{(\sigma)}$ may be expressed in terms of the irreducible characters $\chi^{(\mu)}$ of $\mathbf{S}_{m}$; we therefore require the irreducible characters of only $\mathbf{S}_{l}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{m}$.
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