# **ON THE SUM OF POWERS OF THE DEGREES OF GRAPHS**

# RENYU XU, JIANLIANG WU<sup>⊠</sup>, GUANGHUI WANG and XIN ZHANG

(Received 9 September 2012; accepted 14 December 2012; first published online 8 March 2013)

#### Abstract

For positive integers p and q, let  $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}$  be a class of graphs such that  $|E(G)| \le p|V(G)| - q$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q}$ . In this paper, we consider the sum of the *k*th powers of the degrees of the vertices of a graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q}$  with  $\Delta(G) \ge 2p$ . We obtain an upper bound for this sum that is linear in  $\Delta^{k-1}$ . These graphs include the planar, 1-planar, *t*-degenerate, outerplanar, and series-parallel graphs.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 05C07. Keywords and phrases: degree sum, 1-planar graph, series-parallel graph, degenerate graph.

## **1. Introduction**

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite and undirected. For a graph *G*, by V(G), E(G),  $\Delta(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$  we denote the vertex set, the edge set, the maximum degree and the minimum degree of *G*, respectively. For convenience, we set n = |V(G)|, m = |E(G)|,  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$  and  $\delta = \delta(G)$  throughout this paper. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $N_G(v)$  be the set of neighbours of *v* in *G* and let  $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$  be the degree of *v* in *G*. For a positive integer *k*, the sum of the *k*th powers of the degrees of the vertices of *G*, denoted by  $\sum_k (G)$ , is the value of  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G^k(v)$ . For other undefined notation and terminology we refer the reader to [4].

In this paper, we consider the sum of the *k*th powers of the degrees of the vertices of certain classes of graphs. First of all, it is trivial that  $\sum_{1}(G) = 2m$  for every graph *G*. For  $k \ge 2$ , de Caen [2] proved that

$$\sum_{2} (G) \le m \left( \frac{2m}{n-1} + n - 2 \right).$$

This bound was generalised to hypergraphs by Bey [1] and improved to

$$m\left(\frac{2m}{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1}\Delta + (\Delta - \delta)\left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{n-1}\right)\right)$$

Supported by NSFC (11271006, 61070230, 11026184, 11101243), RFDP (20100131120017), and SRF for ROCS and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

<sup>© 2013</sup> Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2013 \$16.00

R. Xu et al.

by Das [8]. De Caen's inequality was also used by Li and Pan [7] to provide an upper bound on the largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian of a graph. In [9], Cioabă generalised Das' bound to

$$\sum_{k+1} (G) \le \frac{2m}{n} \left( \sum_{k} (G) + (n-1)(\Delta^k - \delta^k) \right) - \frac{\Delta^k - \delta^k}{n} \sum_{k} (G)$$

Now we restrict G to be a planar graph, that is, a graph that can be drawn in the plane so that there are no crossed edges. Harant *et al.* [5] proved that

$$\sum_{k} (G) \le \frac{(6-\delta)\Delta^{k} + (\Delta-6)\delta^{k}}{\Delta-\delta} \left(n - \frac{12}{6-\delta}\right) + \frac{12}{6-\delta}\delta^{k}$$
(1)

if  $\Delta(G) \ge 6$ .

The aim of this paper is to extend this inequality to a larger class. For our purpose, we define  $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}$  to be a class of graphs such that  $|E(G)| \le p|V(G)| - q$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q}$ , where *p* and *q* are positive integers. The following theorem is the main result.

**THEOREM** 1.1. For every simple graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q}$  with  $\Delta(G) \ge 2p$ ,

$$\sum_{k} (G) \leq \frac{(2p-\delta)\Delta^{k} + (\Delta-2p)\delta^{k}}{\Delta-\delta} \left(n - \frac{2q}{2p-\delta}\right) + \frac{2q}{2p-\delta}\delta^{k}.$$

It is easy to check that Theorem 1.1 (with p = 3 and q = 6) implies (1). Moreover, the implicit condition  $\Delta(G) \ge 2p$  in Theorem 1.1 is necessary. This is because there exists a (2p - 1)-regular graph *G* with order at least 4 such that  $e \le p(n - 2)$ , where e = |E(G)|; thus the *k*th powers of the degrees of the vertices of *G* are exactly  $\Delta^k n$ . However, the leading coefficient of *n* in Theorem 1.1 is at most  $(2p - \delta)\Delta^{k-1} + o(\Delta^{k-1})$ .

A graph is 1-planar if it can be drawn in the plane so that each edge is crossed by at most one other edge. Pach and Tóth [6] proved that a simple 1-planar graph on n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges. This immediately yields a corollary of Theorem 1.1.

**COROLLARY** 1.2. For every simple 1-planar graph G with  $\Delta \ge 8$ ,

$$\sum_{k} (G) \leq \frac{(8-\delta)\Delta^{k} + (\Delta-8)\delta^{k}}{\Delta-\delta} \left(n - \frac{16}{8-\delta}\right) + \frac{16}{8-\delta}\delta^{k}.$$

Since every 7-regular 1-planar graph (for the existence of such a graph, see [3]) has  $\sum_k (G) = \Delta^k n$ , but the coefficient of *n* in Corollary 1.2 is at most  $(8 - \delta)\Delta^{k-1} + o(\Delta^{k-1})$ , the lower bound 8 for  $\Delta$  in Corollary 1.2 is necessary.

A graph *G* is *t*-degenerate if  $\delta(H) \le t$  for every  $H \subseteq G$ . If *G* is a *t*-degenerate graph, then  $G_1 := G$  can be reduced to the null graph by the following steps.

Step  $i (1 \le i \le n - t)$  Remove a vertex of degree at most t from  $G_i$ , and denote the resulting graph by  $G_{i+1}$ . Step n - t + 1 Remove all the vertices of  $G_{n-t+1}$ . In each of the first n - t steps, at most t edges are removed, and in the last step (note that  $G_{n-t+1}$ , which has t vertices, may be a complete graph), at most t(t - 1)/2 edges are removed. Therefore,

$$|E(G)| \le t(|V(G)| - t) + \frac{t(t-1)}{2} = t|V(G)| - \frac{t(t+1)}{2}.$$

Setting p = t and q = t(t + 1)/2 in Theorem 1.1, we immediately have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY** 1.3. For every simple t-degenerate graph G with  $\Delta \ge 2t$ ,

$$\sum_{k} (G) \leq \frac{(2t-\delta)\Delta^{k} + (\Delta-2t)\delta^{k}}{\Delta-\delta} \left(n - \frac{t^{2}+t}{2t-\delta}\right) + \frac{t^{2}+t}{2t-\delta}\delta^{k}$$

A graph is series-parallel if it may be turned into  $K_2$  by a sequence of the following operations: (a) replacement of a pair of parallel edges with a single edge that connects their common endpoints, (b) replacement of a pair of edges incident to a vertex of degree two with a single edge. By this definition, one can see that every series-parallel graph is 2-degenerate and contains at least two vertices of degree at most 2. Let *G* be a series-parallel graph. If  $\Delta = 3$ , then it is easy to verify that  $\sum_k (G) \le 2^{k+1} + (n-2)3^k$ . If  $\Delta \ge 4$ , then we can obtain an upper bound for the *k*th powers of the degrees of the vertices of *G* as in Corollary 1.3 by setting t = 2 there. Combining these two cases, we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY** 1.4. For every simple series-parallel graph G with  $\Delta \ge 3$ ,

$$\sum_{k} (G) \leq \frac{(4-\delta)\Delta^{k} + (\Delta-4)\delta^{k}}{\Delta-\delta} \left(n - \frac{6}{4-\delta}\right) + \frac{6}{4-\delta}\delta^{k}.$$

Since outerplanar graphs are 2-degenerate, the bound in Corollary 1.4 also applies to outerplanar graphs with  $\Delta \ge 4$ .

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since  $\Delta(G) \ge 2p$ , and  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q}$  yields that  $\delta \le 2p - 1 < 2p$ , we have  $1 \le \delta < \Delta$ . It is easy to see that Theorem 1.1 holds for k = 1. Thus in the following we let  $k \ge 2$ .

By  $n_i$  we denote the number of vertices of degree *i* of a graph *G*. It holds trivially that  $\sum_{\delta \le i \le \Delta} n_i = n$ . Since *G* has at most pn - q edges,  $\sum_{\delta \le i \le \Delta} in_i \le 2pn - 2q$ . Consider the following program  $\mathcal{P}$ .

max : 
$$f(x_{\delta}, \dots, x_{\Delta}) = \sum_{\delta \le i \le \Delta} i^{k} x_{i}$$
  
such that  $\sum_{\delta \le i \le \Delta} x_{i} = n$ ,  
 $\sum_{\delta \le i \le \Delta} i x_{i} \le 2pn - 2q$ ,  
 $x_{i} \ge 0$  ( $x_{i}$  real,  $i = \delta, \dots, \Delta$ ).

Let  $(x_{\delta}, \ldots, x_{\Delta})$  be an optimal solution of  $\mathcal{P}$ . It follows that  $\sum_{k} (G) \leq f(x_{\delta}, \ldots, x_{\Delta})$ .

R. Xu et al.

CLAIM 2.1. If  $\Delta \ge 2p + 2$ , then  $x_i = 0$  for  $2p + 1 \le i \le \Delta - 1$ .

To prove the claim, assume that  $x_i > 0$  for some  $i \in 2p + 1, ..., \Delta - 1$ . Let  $y_j = x_j$  for  $j \in \{\delta, ..., \Delta - 1\} \setminus \{i, 2p\}$ ,  $y_i = 0$ ,  $y_{2p} = x_{2p} + (1 - (2p - i)/(2p - \Delta))x_i$  and  $y_{\Delta} = x_{\Delta} + ((2p - i)/(2p - \Delta))x_i$ . Then  $\sum_{\delta \le j \le \Delta} y_j = n$ ,  $\sum_{\delta \le j \le \Delta} jy_j \le 2pn - 2q$ ,  $y_j \ge 0$  for  $j = \delta, ..., \Delta$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_{\delta}, \dots, y_{\Delta}) - f(x_{\delta}, \dots, x_{\Delta}) &= \left( -i^{k} + \frac{2p - i}{2p - \Delta} \Delta^{k} + \left( 1 - \frac{2p - i}{2p - \Delta} \right) (2p)^{k} \right) x_{i} \\ &= \left( (2p)^{k} - i^{k} + \frac{i - 2p}{\Delta - 2p} (\Delta^{k} - (2p)^{k}) \right) x_{i} \\ &= (i - 2p) (((2p)^{k - 1} + (2p)^{k - 2} \Delta + \dots + 2p\Delta^{k - 2} + \Delta^{k - 1}) \\ &- ((2p)^{k - 1} + (2p)^{k - 2} i + \dots + 2pi^{k - 2} + i^{k - 1})) x_{i} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \ge 2$ , a contradiction.

CLAIM 2.2. If  $\delta \le 2p - 2$ , then  $x_i = 0$  for  $\delta + 1 \le i \le 2p - 1$ .

Assume that  $x_i > 0$  for an  $i \in \{\delta + 1, ..., 2p - 1\}$ . Let  $y_j = x_j$  for  $j \in \{\delta + 1, ..., \Delta\} \setminus \{i, 2p\}, y_i = 0, y_{\delta} = x_{\delta} + ((2p - i)/(2p - \delta))x_i$  and

$$y_{2p} = x_{2p} + \left(1 - \frac{2p - i}{2p - \delta}\right)x_i.$$

Then  $\sum_{\delta \le j \le \Delta} y_j = n$ ,  $\sum_{\delta \le j \le \Delta} jy_j \le 2pn - 2q$ ,  $y_j \ge 0$  for  $j = \delta$ , ...,  $\Delta$  and  $f(y_{\delta}, ..., y_{\Delta}) - f(x_{\delta}, ..., x_{\Delta}) = \left(-i^k + \frac{2p - i}{2p - \delta}\delta^k + \left(1 - \frac{2p - i}{2p - \delta}\right)(2p)^k\right)x_i$   $= \left((2p)^k - i^k + \frac{2p - i}{2p - \delta}(\delta^k - (2p)^k)\right)x_i$   $= (2p - i)(((2p)^{k-1} + (2p)^{k-2}i + \dots + 2pi^{k-2} + i^{k-1}))$  $- ((2p)^{k-1} + (2p)^{k-2}\delta + \dots + 2p\delta^{k-2} + \delta^{k-1}))x_i$ 

> 0

for  $k \ge 2$ , a contradiction.

**CLAIM 2.3.** If  $\Delta \ge 2p + 1$ , then, among  $x_{\delta}, \ldots, x_{\Delta}$ , only  $x_{\delta}, x_{2p}$  and  $x_{\Delta}$  may be nonzero; if  $\Delta = 2p$ , then, among  $x_{\delta}, \ldots, x_{\Delta}$ , only  $x_{\delta}$  and  $x_{\Delta}$  may be nonzero.

We only prove the first part of this claim, since the proof of the second part is similar. Recall that  $\delta \le 2p - 1$ . If  $\Delta \ge 2p + 2$  and  $\delta \le 2p - 2$ , then by Claims 2.1 and 2.2, we have  $x_i = 0$  for  $i \in \{\delta + 1, ..., \Delta - 1\} \setminus \{2p\}$ , and this claim holds. If  $2p \le \Delta \le 2p + 1$  and  $\delta \le 2p - 2$ , then by Claim 2.2,  $x_i = 0$  for  $\delta + 1 \le i \le 2p - 1$ , so only  $x_{\delta}, x_{2p}$  and  $x_{\Delta}$  may be nonzero. If  $\Delta \ge 2p + 2$  and  $\delta = 2p - 1$ , then by Claim 2.1,  $x_i = 0$  for  $2p + 1 \le i \le \Delta - 1$ , so only  $x_{\delta}, x_{2p}$  and  $x_{\Delta}$  may be nonzero. If  $2p \le \Delta \le 2p + 1$  and  $\delta = 2p - 1$ , then this claim follows trivially. We come back to the proof of Theorem 1.1. If  $\Delta \ge 2p + 1$ , then by Claim 2.3 and the restrictions of  $\mathcal{P}$ , we obtain that  $x_{\delta} + x_{2p} + x_{\Delta} = n$  and  $\delta x_{\delta} + 2px_{2p} + \Delta x_{\Delta} \le 2pn - 2q$ , which imply that  $(2p - \delta)x_{\delta} \ge 2q + (\Delta - 2p)x_{\Delta}$  and

$$x_{2p} = n - x_{\delta} - x_{\Delta} \le n - \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} - \frac{\Delta - \delta}{2p - \delta} x_{\Delta}.$$

Furthermore, since

$$(2p-\delta)x_{\Delta} = (2p-\delta)n - (2p-\delta)x_{\delta} - (2p-\delta)x_{2\mu}$$

and

$$(\Delta - 2p)x_{\Delta} \le (2p - \delta)x_{\delta} - 2q = (2p - \delta)n - (2p - \delta)x_{\Delta} - (2p - \delta)x_{2p} - 2q,$$

we have

$$(\Delta - \delta)x_{\Delta} \le (2p - \delta)n - (2p - \delta)x_{2p} - 2q$$
  
It follows that  $x_{\Delta} \le ((2p - \delta)n - (2p - \delta)x_{2p} - 2q)/(\Delta - \delta)$  and

$$\begin{split} f(x_{\delta}, \dots, x_{\Delta}) &= \delta^{k} x_{\delta} + (2p)^{k} x_{2p} + \Delta^{k} x_{\Delta} \\ &= \delta^{k} (n - x_{2p} - x_{\Delta}) + (2p)^{k} x_{2p} + \Delta^{k} x_{\Delta} \\ &= \delta^{k} n + ((2p)^{k} - \delta^{k}) x_{2p} + (\Delta^{k} - \delta^{k}) x_{\Delta} \\ &\leq \delta^{k} n + ((2p)^{k} - \delta^{k}) \left( n - \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} - \frac{\Delta - \delta}{2p - \delta} x_{\Delta} \right) + (\Delta^{k} - \delta^{k}) x_{\Delta} \\ &= (2p)^{k} \left( n - \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} \right) + \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} \delta^{k} + \left( \Delta^{k} - \delta^{k} - \frac{(2p)^{k} - \delta^{k}}{2p - \delta} (\Delta - \delta) \right) x_{\Delta} \\ &\leq (2p)^{k} \left( n - \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} \right) + \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} \delta^{k} \\ &+ \left( \Delta^{k} - \delta^{k} - \frac{(2p)^{k} - \delta^{k}}{2p - \delta} (\Delta - \delta) \right) \frac{(2p - \delta)n - 2q}{\Delta - \delta} \\ &= \frac{(2p - \delta)\Delta^{k} + (\Delta - 2p)\delta^{k}}{\Delta - \delta} \left( n - \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} \right) + \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} \delta^{k}. \end{split}$$

If  $\Delta = 2p$ , then by Claim 2.3 and the restrictions of  $\mathcal{P}$ , we obtain that  $x_{\delta} + x_{2p} = n$ and  $\delta x_{\delta} + 2px_{2p} \le 2pn - 2q$ . It follows that  $(2p - \delta)x_{\delta} \ge 2q$  and  $x_{2p} = n - x_{\delta} \le n - 2q/(2p - \delta)$ , which implies that

$$f(x_{\delta}, \dots, x_{\Delta}) = \delta^k x_{\delta} + (2p)^k x_{2p}$$
  
=  $\delta^k (n - x_{2p}) + (2p)^k x_{2p}$   
=  $\delta^k n + ((2p)^k - \delta^k) x_{2p}$   
 $\leq \delta^k n + ((2p)^k - \delta^k) \left(n - \frac{2q}{2p - \delta}\right)$   
=  $(2p)^k \left(n - \frac{2q}{2p - \delta}\right) + \frac{2q}{2p - \delta} \delta^k.$ 

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### R. Xu et al.

## References

- [1] C. Bey, 'An upper bound on the sum of the squares of the degrees in a hypergraph', *Discrete Math.* **269** (2003), 259–263.
- [2] D. de Caen, 'An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a graph', *Discrete Math.* 185 (1998), 245–248.
- [3] I. Fabrici and T. Madaras, 'The structure of 1-planar graphs', Discrete Math. 307 (2007), 854–865.
- [4] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications* (North-Holland, New York, 1976).
- [5] J. Harant, S. Jendrol and T. Madaras, 'Upper bounds on the sum of powers of the degrees of a simple planar graph', *J. Graph Theory* **67**(2) (2011), 112–123.
- [6] J. Pach and G. Tóth, 'Graphs drawn with few crossings per edge', *Combinatorica* **17**(3) (1997), 427–439.
- [7] J. S. Li and Y. L. Pan, 'de Caen's inequality and bounds on the largest Laplacian eigenvalue of a graph', *Linear Algebra Appl.* 328 (2001), 153–160.
- [8] K. Ch. Das, 'Maximizing the sum of squares of the degrees of a graph', *Discrete Math.* **285** (2004), 57–66.
- [9] S. M. Cioabă, 'Sums of powers of the degrees of a graph', Discrete Math. 306 (2006), 1959–1964.

RENYU XU, School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, PR China e-mail: xu-renyu@163.com

JIANLIANG WU, School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, PR China e-mail: jlwu@sdu.edu.cn

GUANGHUI WANG, School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, PR China

XIN ZHANG, Department of Mathematics, Xidian University, Xi'an, 710071, PR China

358