

TORSION ELEMENTS AND THE CLASSIFICATION OF VECTOR BUNDLES

ROBERT D. LITTLE

1. Introduction. There are many situations in algebraic topology when a geometric construction is possible if, and only if, a certain integral cohomology class, an obstruction is zero. When attempts are made to compute the obstruction, it often happens that it is relatively easy to show that m times the obstruction is zero, where m is an integer, and consequently the geometric construction is possible if the cohomology group in question has no elements of order m . The purpose of this paper is to give an example of this situation and to develop techniques for computing the obstruction when elements of order m are present.

We consider the problem of classifying vector bundles over an n -dimensional CW complex X . If ξ is a real vector bundle over X , the Stiefel-Whitney class of ξ in $H^i(X; \mathbf{Z}_2)$ is denoted by $w_i(\xi)$ and the Pontrjagin class in $H^{4i}(X; \mathbf{Z})$ by $P_i(\xi)$. If ξ is an n -plane bundle, the Euler class of ξ in $H^n(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is denoted by $\chi(\xi)$. If ω is a complex bundle, the Chern class in $H^{2i}(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is denoted by $c_i(\omega)$. Universal characteristic classes are denoted by w_i , $P(i)$, and $c(i)$. If ξ and η are two vector bundles over X and θ is a primary cohomology operation, $\theta_{\xi, \eta}$ denotes the functional cohomology operation associated with the action of θ on the cohomology sequence of the pair $(X \times I \cup B_F, B_F)$, where B_F is the mapping cylinder of the map $F : X \times I \rightarrow B$ given by the classifying maps of ξ and η . Throughout this paper, we will take δSq^2 and δP^1 for θ , where δ is the Bockstein, Sq^2 the Steenrod square, and P^1 the Steenrod power mod 3. In the two theorems below, we assume that $n \leq 8$ and that $H^n(X; \mathbf{Z})$ has no elements of order 2 if n is even in Theorem 1 and if $n = 8$ in Theorem 2. In Theorem 1, we assume that $n \neq 5$. Theorem 1 is true in the case $n = 5$, if the word *isomorphic* is replaced by the words *stably isomorphic*. In Theorem 2, we assume that n is even.

THEOREM 1. *If ξ and η are two orientable n -plane bundles over X , then ξ is isomorphic to η if, and only if, $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\eta)$; $P_i(\xi) = P_i(\eta)$, $i = 1, 2$; $0 \in \delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$; $0 \in \delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$; and $\chi(\xi) = \chi(\eta)$.*

THEOREM 2. *If ω and ζ are two complex $n/2$ -bundles over X , then ω is isomorphic to ζ if, and only if, $c_i(\omega) = c_i(\zeta)$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$; $0 \in \delta Sq_{\omega, \zeta}^2(c(2))$; and $0 \in \delta P_{\omega, \zeta}^1(c(2))$.*

Theorem 1 contains the Dold-Whitney classification theorem for 7-complexes [13] which assumes that $H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$ has no elements of order 2 and a

Received March 9, 1976.

classification theorem of Thomas for 8-complexes [12] which assumes that $H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$ has no elements of order 2 and that $H^8(X; \mathbf{Z})$ has no elements of order 6. Theorems 1 and 2 give a classification of vector bundles over closed, orientable manifolds of appropriate dimensions because the top dimensional cohomology of such manifolds is torsion free.

2. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. We prove Theorem 1 and the comment on Theorem 2. The conditions in the theorem are clearly necessary. In view of Theorem 1.7 in [3] and Lemma 2 in [13], it is enough to show that the conditions in the theorem imply that ξ and η are stably isomorphic. It will then follow that they are isomorphic when n is odd, $n \neq 5$ [3], and the condition $\chi(\xi) = \chi(\eta)$ will be enough to imply isomorphism in the case n even [13].

We begin with a proposition which relates obstructions to a stable isomorphism and characteristic classes. In the proposition below, $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2$ and $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1$ denote the functional operations described in the introduction. If $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\eta)$, the operation $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2$ is defined on w_2 and the resulting subset of $H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is denoted by $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$ and is a coset modulo $(P_1(\xi) - P_1(\eta))$, the subgroup generated by the difference $P_1(\xi) - P_1(\eta)$. If $P_1(\xi) = P_1(\eta)$, the operation $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$ is defined and is a subset of $H^8(X; \mathbf{Z})$ which is a coset of $(P_2(\xi) - P_2(\eta)) + \text{image } \delta P^1$. (See [4] or [7].) Let a_i be 1 for i even and 2 for i odd and if x is an integral class, \bar{x} denotes its reduction mod 2.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If ξ and η are two orientable stable bundles such that the integral obstruction to a stable isomorphism $O^{4i}(\xi, \eta)$ is nonvoid, $i = 1$ or 2, then:*

- (2.2) $(2i - 1)! a_i O^{4i}(\xi, \eta) = P_i(\xi) - P_i(\eta)$,
- (2.3) $O^4(\xi, \eta) + (P_1(\xi) - P_1(\eta)) = \delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$,
- (2.4) $O^4(\xi, \eta) = w_4(\xi) - w_4(\eta)$,
- (2.5) $2O^8(\xi, \eta) + (P_2(\xi) - P_2(\eta)) + \text{image } \delta P^1 = \delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$.

Proof. Formula (2.2) is just formula (b) in Theorem 6.15 of [8]. To prove (2.3), let $K(\mathbf{Z}_2, 2; \mathbf{Z}, 4, \delta Sq^2)$ be the total space of the fibration induced by δSq^2 . If $f' : BSO \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}_2, 2; \mathbf{Z}, 4, \delta Sq^2)$ is a lifting of w_2 , and $[g]$ in $\pi_4(BSO)$ is a generator, it follows from the Peterson-Stein definition of functional cohomology operation ([6, p. 159]) that the set $\{f_{\#}'[g] : f' * \iota = w_2\}$ can be identified with the functional cohomology operation $\delta Sq_{\sigma}^2(w_2)$. (See [4].) Direct computation shows that $\delta Sq_{\sigma}^2(w_2)$ is the non-zero coset mod 2, and so the induced homomorphism $f_{\#}' : H^4(X; \pi_4(BSO)) \rightarrow H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$ may be taken to be the identity. If f and g are the classifying maps of ξ and η , respectively, naturality of obstructions implies that $O^4(\xi, \eta)$ is contained in $O^4(f'f, f'g)$ which is contained in the operation $\delta Sq_{f'f, f'g}^2(\iota)$, where ι is the fundamental class, by 10.8 in [7]. Formula (2.3) now follows from naturality of functional operations ([7, 14.6]) and the fact that the indeterminacy of $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$ is $(P_1(\xi) - P_1(\eta))$. Formula (2.4) follows from (2.3), the defining diagram of $\delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2$ and the fact

that Sq^3 is zero on 2-dimensional classes. Formula (2.5) follows from Theorem 3 in [4] and may be regarded as arising from naturality in the same way as (2.3).

We turn now to the proof of Theorem 1. If $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\eta)$, $P_1(\xi) = P_1(\eta)$, and $0 \in \delta Sq_{\xi, \eta}^2(w_2)$, it follows immediately from (2.2), (2.3), and the fact that $\pi_i(BSO) = 0$, $5 \leq i \leq 7$, that the restrictions of ξ and η to the 7-skeleton of X are stably isomorphic. If $P_2(\xi) = P_2(\eta)$, formula (2.5) reduces to the containments $2O^8(\xi, \eta) \equiv O^8(f'f, f'g) = \delta P_{f', f', f'g}^1(\iota) = \delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$, where f and g classify ξ and η and $f' : BSO \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 4; \mathbf{Z}, 8, \delta P^1)$ is a lifting of $P(1)$. Let $K = K(\mathbf{Z}, 4; \mathbf{Z}, 8, \delta P^1)$. The last of the three containments are equalities because it is easy to see that f' can be chosen in such a way that image $\{f'^* : H^8(K; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^8(BSO; \mathbf{Z})\}$ is contained in the kernel of the difference homomorphism $f^* - g^*$ and so the obstruction $O^8(f'f, f'g)$ is precisely $\delta P_{f', f', f'g}^1(\iota)$ by 10.8 in [7] and this functional operation has the same indeterminacy as $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$. The indeterminacy of $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$ is image δP^1 and the proof of Theorem 1 will be complete when we show that $2O^8(\xi, \eta)$ is not a proper subset of $\delta P_{\xi, \eta}^1(P(1))$. That is, we must show that $f_* O^8(\xi, \eta) = O^8(f'f, f'g)$.

We view the problem of constructing a homotopy between f and g as the problem of extending the map on $X \times I$ defined by f and g over $X \times I$. Let $h : (X \times I)^8 \rightarrow K$ be an extension of a homotopy of $f'f$ and $f'g$ over the 8-skeleton of $X \times I$. Regard the obstruction cohomology class $\{c^8(h)\}$ as an element in $H^8(X; \pi_8(K))$ and suppose that $\{c^8(\bar{h})\}$ is in $O^8(f, g)$. We assert that by altering h and \bar{h} in such a way that $\{c^8(h)\}$ is unchanged, we may assume that $\{c^8(h)\}$ is in image $f_{\#}'$. We begin proving this assertion by showing that we may assume that $O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$ in $H^3(X; \pi_4(K))$ is zero. The map $f_{\#}' : \pi_4(BSO) \rightarrow \pi_4(K)$ is multiplication by 2 [8], and $H^3(X; \mathbf{Z})/\text{kernel } \delta P^1$ is a 3-torsion group, so the composite $H^3(X; \pi_4(BSO)) \rightarrow H^3(X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(X; \mathbf{Z})/\text{kernel } \delta P^1$ is an epimorphism. Therefore, there is a class $\{\mu\}$ in $H^3(X; \pi_4(BSO))$ such that $f_{\#}'\{\mu\} - O^3(f'\bar{h}, h) = \{\nu\}$, where $\{\nu\}$ is in kernel δP^1 . Alter h by the cocycle ν to get a new homotopy of $f'f$ and $f'g$, h_ν , defined on the 8-skeleton of $X \times I$ such that $O^3(h, h_\nu) = \{\nu\}$ and hence $\{c^8(h)\} = \{c^8(h_\nu)\}$ since $\{c^8(h)\} - \{c^8(h_\nu)\} = \delta P^1 O^3(h, h_\nu)$ [9]. But $-O^3(f'\bar{h}, h) = O^3(h, h_\nu) + O^3(h_\nu, f'\bar{h})$ and so $f_{\#}'\{\mu\} = O^3(f'\bar{h}, h_\nu)$. Altering \bar{h} by μ , we obtain a homotopy of f and g , \bar{h}_μ , defined over the 8-skeleton of $X \times I$ because $\pi_i(BSO) = 0$, $5 \leq i \leq 7$, such that $O^3(\bar{h}, \bar{h}_\mu) = \{\mu\}$. Since $O^3(f'\bar{h}_\mu, h_\nu) = O^3(f'\bar{h}_\mu, f'\bar{h}) + O^3(f'\bar{h}, h_\nu) = 0$, $f'\bar{h}_\mu \cong h_\nu$ over the 7-skeleton of $X \times I$ and the standard cocycle formula implies that $f_{\#}'\{c^8(\bar{h}_\mu)\} = \{c^8(h_\nu)\} = \{c^8(h)\}$. The proof Theorem 1 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 2 is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 1 and uses Theorem 2 in [4]. We need the fact that stable isomorphism and isomorphism are the same in the context of Theorem 2, that is, the map $[X; BU(n/2)] \rightarrow [X; BU]$ is a bijection when dimension $X \leq n$. In this case,

the map $f_{\#}' : \pi_4(BU) \rightarrow \pi_4(K)$ is the identity [8], and so there is a cocycle μ such that $f_{\#}'\{\mu\} = O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$. Since $\pi_6(BU) = \mathbf{Z}$, it is not clear that altering \bar{h} by μ will produce a homotopy of f and g extendable over the 8-skeleton of $X \times I$. One alters h by ν where $\{\nu\} = -3O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$. We then have $\{c^8(h)\} = \{c^8(h_{\nu})\}$ and $O^3(f'\bar{h}, h_{\nu}) = -2O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$ which is in kernel δSq^2 and so altering \bar{h} by $\{\mu\} = -2O^3(f'\bar{h}, h)$ produces a homotopy of f and g defined over the 8-skeleton of $X \times I$.

The functional operations are non-trivial invariants of the classification problem. It is possible to give an example of a 7-manifold M and a 7-bundle over M , ξ , such that $w_2(\xi) = 0$, $P_1(\xi) = 0$ but ξ is not stably trivial. If 2-tor $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})$ denotes the subgroup of $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})$ of elements of order 2, it follows from Theorem 3.1 in [10] and Theorem 4.2 in [11] and Theorem 1, that $w_2(\xi) = 0$ and $P_1(\xi) = 0$ imply $\xi = 0$ for every stable orientable bundle if, and only if, the quotient group 2-tor $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})/\text{image } \delta Sq^2$ is zero. Take $M = L^7(m)$, a lens space of dimension 7 with fundamental group \mathbf{Z}_m , where m is even. Since Sq^2 is zero on 1-dimensional classes, the above quotient group is just 2-tor $H^4(L^7(m); \mathbf{Z})$ which is not zero since m is even, and so there is an orientable 7-bundle over $L^7(m)$ such that $w_2(\xi) = 0$ and $P_1(\xi) = 0$ but ξ is not stably trivial. If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there are elements of order 4 in $H^4(L^7(m); \mathbf{Z})$. In this case, (2.4) can be used to show that there is an orientable 7-bundle over $L^7(m)$ such that $w_i(\xi) = 0$, $i = 2$ and 4 , $P_1(\xi) = 0$, but ξ is not stably trivial.

3. Applications. Let M be a connected, smooth n -manifold. A theorem of Whitney [1] says that if $n \geq 1$, M immerses in \mathbf{R}^{2n-1} . Recall that M is called a *spin manifold* if M is closed, orientable and $w_2(M) = 0$. We will use Hirsch's theorem on immersions [1] together with Theorem 1 above to prove the two theorems below which represent improvements of Whitney's theorem in special cases.

THEOREM 3.1. *Every closed, orientable 5-manifold immerses in \mathbf{R}^8 .*

THEOREM 3.2. *If $n = 6$ or 7 and M is a spin manifold, then M immerses in \mathbf{R}^{n+3} .*

Hirsch originally proved Theorem 3.1 by showing that the normal bundle of the Whitney immersion of M in \mathbf{R}^9 has a normal vector field and then applying his immersion theory. We prove this theorem in a different way, using a lemma about stable bundles and the Hirsch theory. Thomas has shown that if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then any spin n -manifold immerses in \mathbf{R}^{2n-3} , [14]. Theorem 3.2 sharpens Thomas' result by one dimension in the case $n = 7$.

If ξ is a bundle, let (ξ) denote its stable equivalence class. The stable bundle (ξ) is said to have *geometric dimension* $\leq k$ (for some positive integer k) if (ξ) contains a k -plane bundle. For a smooth manifold M , let τM denote the tangent bundle and νM the stable normal bundle; i.e. $\nu M = -(\tau M)$. Hirsch's

theorem says that M immerses in Euclidean space with codimension k if, and only if, geometric dimension $\nu M \leq k$ [1]. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 will follow from Hirsch's theorem and the lemma below. In the proof of the lemma, we will use the following fact: if ξ is an orientable bundle over X such that $w_4(\xi) = 0$ and γ is an orientable 3-bundle over X such that $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\gamma)$, then there is a class e in $H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$ such that $P_1(\xi) - P_1(\gamma) = 4e$ and $2e \in O^4(\xi, \gamma)$. This fact follows immediately from (2.2) and (2.4).

LEMMA 3.3. *Let ξ be a stable, orientable bundle over a closed, orientable n -manifold, $5 \leq n \leq 7$. If $n \neq 5$, assume that $w_2(\xi) = w_2(M) = 0$. Then geometric dimension $\xi \leq 3$ if, and only if, $w_4(\xi) = 0$.*

Proof. The condition is clearly necessary. We prove sufficiency first in the case $n = 5$. The argument begins by observing that if M is a closed, orientable 5-manifold and x is a class in $H^2(M; \mathbf{Z}_2)$, there exists an orientable 3-bundle γ over M such that $w_2(\gamma) = x$. This is proved by viewing the construction of γ as the extension of a map into $BSO(3)$ over M . It is clearly possible to construct a map g from the 3-skeleton of M into $BSO(3)$ such that $g^*w_2 = x$. Arguments similar to those used in the proof of (2.3) and the homotopy properties of $BSO(3)$ [2], show that g extends over M if $\delta Sq^2(g^*w_2) = 0$, but this is true since $H^5(M; \mathbf{Z})$ has no torsion. If $w_4(\xi) = 0$, let γ be an orientable 3-bundle such that $w_2(\xi) = w_2(\gamma)$, and let e be a class in $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})$ such that $P_1(\xi) - P_1(\gamma) = 4e$ and $2e \in O^4(\xi, \gamma)$. It follows from the homotopy sequence of the fibration $V_2(\mathbf{R}^5) = SO(5)/SO(3)$ and the fact that $\pi_3(V_2(\mathbf{R}^5)) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ [2], that the homomorphism $\pi_4(BSO(3)) \rightarrow \pi_4(BSO)$ is multiplication by 2. This means that it is possible to alter γ by a cocycle representing $-e$ and obtain a 3-bundle over M , γ' , such that $O^4(\gamma, \gamma') = -2e$. Since $O^4(\xi, \gamma') = O^4(\xi, \gamma) + O^4(\gamma, \gamma')$, we have $0 \in O^4(\xi, \gamma')$ and hence geometric dimension $\xi \leq 3$ since $\pi_5(BSO) = 0$.

If $n = 6$ or 7 and $w_4(\xi) = 0$, let e be a class in $H^4(M; \mathbf{Z})$ such that $P_1(\xi) = 4e$ and $2e \in O^4(\xi, *)$, where $*$ is the trivial stable bundle. There is a 3-bundle over S^4 , $\hat{\gamma}$, such that $P_1(\hat{\gamma}) = 4u$ and so by (2.2), $O^4(\hat{\gamma}, *) = 2u$ since $H^4(S^4; \mathbf{Z})$ is torsion free. Since $Sq^2e = 0$ and $0 \in \Phi(e)$, where Φ is the secondary operation associated with the relation $Sq^2Sq^2 = O(\mathbf{Z})$, classical obstruction theory tells us that there is a map $g: M \rightarrow S^4$ such that $g^*u = e$, and so $\gamma = g^*\hat{\gamma}$ is a spin 3-bundle satisfying the conditions $P_1(\gamma) = 4e$ and $2e \in O^4(\gamma, *)$. (See [13].) Therefore ξ is stably isomorphic to γ since $O^4(\xi, \gamma) = O^4(\xi, *) - O^4(\gamma, *)$ and $\pi_i(BSO) = 0$, $5 \leq i \leq 7$. We have established that geometric dimension $\xi \leq 3$.

Massey has shown that $w_{n-1}(\nu M) = 0$ for any closed, orientable n -manifold [5] and so Theorem 3.1 follows from this fact, Lemma 3.3, and Hirsch's theorem on immersions. If M is a spin n -manifold, $n = 6$ or 7 , it follows from Wu's formula that $w_4(M) = 0$ and hence $w_4(\nu M) = 0$. Therefore, Theorem 3.2 follows from Lemma 3.3. There is reason to believe that Theorem 3.2 is true without the spin hypothesis: $w_4(\nu M) = 0$ for any closed, orientable n -manifold, $n = 6$ or 7 [5].

REFERENCES

1. M. Hirsch, *Immersions of manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *93* (1959), 242–276.
2. D. Husemoller, *Fibre bundles* (McGraw Hill, 1966).
3. I. M. Jones and E. Thomas, *An approach to the enumeration problem . . .*, Journ. Math. and Mech. *14* (1965), 485–506.
4. R. D. Little, *A relation between obstructions and functional cohomology operations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *49* (1975), 475–480.
5. W. S. Massey, *On the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold*, Amer. J. Math. *82* (1960), 92–102.
6. R. E. Moshier and M. C. Tangora, *Cohomology operations and applications in homotopy theory* (Harper and Row, 1968).
7. P. Olum, *Invariants for effective homotopy classification and extension of mappings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. *37* (1961).
8. ——— *Factorizations and induced homomorphisms*, Adv. in Math. *3* (1969), 72–100.
9. ——— *Seminar in obstruction theory*, Cornell Univ., 1968.
10. M. Sternstein, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for homotopy classification by cohomology and homotopy homomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *34* (1972), 250–256.
11. E. Thomas, *Homotopy classification by cohomology homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *96* (1960), 67–89.
12. ——— *Submersions and immersions with codimension one or two*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *19* (1968), 859–863.
13. ——— *Vector fields on low dimensional manifolds*, Math. Zeitschr. *108* (1968), 85–93.
14. ——— *Real and complex vector fields on manifolds*, Journ. Math. and Mech. *16* (1967), 1183–1206.

*University of Hawaii at Manoa,
Honolulu, Hawaii*