

ABSTRACT DEFINITIONS FOR REFLECTION GROUPS

G. C. SHEPHARD

Introduction. This paper is an appendix to “Finite unitary reflection groups” by J. A. Todd and the author (3) in which the irreducible finite groups generated by reflections in unitary space (2, p. 364) are enumerated and abstract definitions are given for all those n -dimensional groups which may be generated by n reflections. (All real reflection groups are of this kind.) The purpose of this paper is to supply abstract definitions for all the remaining groups, namely those that require more than n reflections to generate them.

Some of the original definitions in our paper have been elegantly modified by Coxeter (1). The definitions given here are constructed in such a way as to form natural extensions of his scheme.

1. The groups $G(m, r, 3)$. Let θ be a primitive m th root of unity, r a divisor of m , and $s = m/r$. Then by permuting the rows (or columns) of the diagonal $n \times n$ matrices

$$\text{diag}\{\theta^{\rho_1}, \theta^{\rho_2}, \dots, \theta^{\rho_n}\}, \quad \sum \rho_i \equiv 0 \pmod{r},$$

in every possible way, we obtain a group of $sm^{n-1}n!$ matrices which is denoted by $G(m, r, n)$ (3, p. 277). When $r = 1$ or $r = m$, this group is generated by n reflections: $G(m, 1, n)$ is the symmetry group of the polytope γ_n^m (2, p. 374) and so, following the notation of (3), we denote it by $[\gamma_n^m]$. Also, when $n > 2$, $G(m, m, n) = [\frac{1}{m}\gamma_n^m]$ is $[1\ 1\ (n-2)^m]^3$ in Coxeter's notation (1, pp. 248–249).

Consider first the groups $G(m, r, 3)$ with $r \neq 1, m$. The matrices

$$1.1 \quad \mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta & 0 \\ \theta^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta^r \end{pmatrix}$$

clearly generate $G(m, r, 3)$, and if we denote by P, Q, R, T respectively the corresponding group elements, then the following relations are satisfied:

$$1.2 \quad P^2 = Q^2 = R^2 = (QR)^3 = (RP)^3 = (PQ)^3 = E,$$

$$1.3 \quad \begin{cases} (PTP)(RT^{-1}R) = (RT^{-1}R)(PTP) = (QPRP)^r, \\ (TQ = QT, \quad T(PR P) = (PR P)T, \quad T^s = E. \end{cases}$$

We shall show that these relations form an abstract definition for the group.

Since $(PTP)^s = PT^sP = E$, $(RT^{-1}R)^s = RT^{-s}R = E$, and $PTP \hookrightarrow RT^{-1}R$, we have

$$(QPRP)^m = ((QPRP)^r)^s = (PTP)^s(RT^{-1}R)^s = E,$$

Received April 3, 1956.

$m^{n-1}n!$. This is the same definition as that of (2, pp. 374–5) with the notation changed thus:

$$P = P_1, \quad Q = R_1Q_1R_1, \quad R = R_1, \quad S_i = R_{i+1} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 3).$$

The use of these new generators is due to Coxeter (1, p. 248) who has shown that they simplify the definitions of some of the reflection groups discussed in (3).

Adding now the generator T satisfying 1.3 and $TS_i = S_iT$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 3$) we get an abstract definition for $G(m, r, n)$. The proof is very similar to that of §1, remarking that every word can be reduced to the standard form $G'T^u$, where G' is an arbitrary element of $G(m, m, n)$.

This completes the discussion of $G(m, r, n)$ except for the groups where $n = 2$. Here we take as generators P, Q and T corresponding to the matrices

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta \\ \theta^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^r \end{pmatrix}$$

and obtain the relations

$$P^2 = Q^2 = T^s = E, \quad T(PQ) = (PQ)T, \quad PT^{-1}PT = TPT^{-1}P = (PQ)^r,$$

which can be shown to be an abstract definition in the same way as for the the above groups.

The only remaining groups generated by more than n reflections are seven two-dimensional groups for which abstract definitions have already been given (3, pp. 281–282; 1, Table XIII) and the group $[(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3^4)^{+1}]$ (no. 31 in the table of (3, p. 301)).

This group is generated by the matrices (cf. 1.1):

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding group elements satisfy the relations 1.2, 1.5, 1.6 and

2.2 $S^2 = (SP)^2 = (SR)^2 = (SQ)^3 = E,$

2.3 $(ST)^3 = E.$

By §1, the relations 1.2, 1.5, 1.6 form an abstract definition for $G(4, 2, 3)$, while 1.2, 1.5, 2.2 form an abstract definition for $[1 \ 1 \ 2]^4 = [(\frac{1}{4}\gamma_3^4)^{+1}]$ (2, p. 374). We assert that 1.2, 1.5, 1.6, 2.2, 2.3 together form an abstract definition for the group $[(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3^4)^{+1}]$. This can readily be verified by the Todd-Coxeter method by enumerating the six cosets of the subgroup $[1 \ 1 \ 2]^4$.

REFERENCES

1. H. S. M. Coxeter, *Groups generated by unitary reflections of period two*, Can. J. Math. *9* (1957), 243–272.
- 1a. H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, *Generators and relations*, Ergebnisse der Math., Neue Folge, *14* (1957).
2. G. C. Shephard, *Unitary groups generated by reflections*, Can. J. Math. *5* (1953), 364–383.
3. G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, *Finite unitary reflection groups*, Can. J. Math. *6* (1954), 274–304.

University of Birmingham