Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness

www.cambridge.org/dmp

Original Research

Cite this article: Domiati S, Hodeib F, El Majzoub R, Sacre H. COVID-19 vaccination acceptability: A cross-sectional study among Lebanese residents. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* **17**(e421), 1–7. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1017/dmp.2023.89.

Keywords:

attitude; COVID-19; Lebanon; vaccination; willingness

Corresponding author:

Souraya Domiati; Email: t.domyati@bau.edu.lb.

First coauthors SD and FH contributed equally to this work.

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Society for Disaster Medicine and Public Health, Inc.



COVID-19 Vaccination Acceptability: A Cross-Sectional Study Among Lebanese Residents

Souraya Domiati PharmD, PhD¹[®], Fadi Hodeib PharmD, MSc², Rania El Majzoub PhD² and Hala Sacre PharmD³

¹Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Beirut Arab University, Beirut, Lebanon; ²Department of Biomedical Science, School of Pharmacy, Lebanese International University, Beirut, Lebanon and ³INSPECT-LB (Institut National de Santé Publique d'Épidémiologie Clinique et de Toxicologie-Liban), Beirut, Lebanon

Abstract

Objective: Concerns about the side effects of available coronavirus disease (COVID-19) vaccines have posed a significant barrier to vaccination in several countries. Accordingly, the current study aimed to assess the acceptability of COVID-19 vaccination and its predictors among the Lebanese population.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in February 2021 among Lebanese adults from the 5 main Lebanese districts. The questionnaire included demographic data, questions about COVID-19 experience, COVID-19 anxiety syndrome scale, and attitudes regarding the COVID-19 vaccine. Data were analyzed on SPSS, version 23. Statistical significance was considered at a *P* value ≤ 0.05 with a 95% CI.

Results: Of 811 participants, 45.4% (95% CI: 41.9-48.9) accepted taking the COVID-19 vaccine. Choices were negatively affected by concerns about the side effects of the vaccine and positively affected by anxiety and seeking COVID-19 news very closely. Moreover, if the COVID-19 vaccination was a requirement for traveling, participants would be more willing to get the vaccine.

Conclusions: Since 54.7% of the studied Lebanese adults were either unwilling or undecided to get the vaccine and COVID-19 news was retrieved mainly from the Ministry of Public Health online site and the local news, the existing targeted campaign should be enforced toward encouraging vaccination to reach herd immunity against COVID-19 and revealing the safety of the vaccines.

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic resulted in a critical health care collapse worldwide, which detrimentally affected the global economy.¹ In 2020, in the absence of treatment against this virus approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or any other international institution, health professionals adopted supportive therapies.² To limit the spread of the disease, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended rigorous and frequent handwashing with soap and water, the use of hand sanitizers containing alcohol, social distancing, and wearing masks in public. Governments across the world have imposed lockdowns.³ Despite all these measures, the number of cases kept increasing. The sole hope of the scientists and medical communities remained to repurpose existing drugs as potential therapies and develop vaccines. Accordingly, the efforts exerted succeeded in the discovery of several vaccines. An ideal vaccine should generate a long-lasting immune response to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by prompting reactions to the antigen-presenting cells that lead to T-helper cell activation and B-lymphocytes producing antibodies. Besides, the vaccine should cause limited or no severe side effects. It should be easy to administer, manufactured at a scale-up, and stored easily.⁴

Several vaccines were granted FDA approval. In December 2020, the FDA granted its first emergency use utilization to Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine for the prevention of COVID-19 in individuals age 16 years and above and gave the final approval in August 2021.⁵ The vaccine also received conditional marketing authorization from the European Commission and an emergency utilization among children in 2021 from the FDA.⁵ This lipid nanoparticle-formulated, nucleoside-modified RNA vaccine encodes a prefusion-stabilized, membrane-anchored SARS-CoV-2 full-length spike (S) protein.⁶ On December 18, 2020, the FDA certified the use of the Moderna COVID-19 vaccine in the United States among people ages 18 and above. This vaccine is a nucleoside modified messenger RNA encoding the pre-fusion stabilized (S) glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2, with a demonstrated efficacy of 94.1% (95% CI: 89.3% to 96.8%).⁷ The Russian Sputnik-V vaccine, which uses the heterologous recombinant adenovirus approach for protection, was approved in around 26 countries in people age 18 years and above. In this vaccine, adenovirus-26 and adenovirus-5 serve as vectors for the expression of the

SARS-CoV-2 (S) protein, and the 2 varying serotypes are given 21 days apart. Based on the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases from 21 days after the first dose of vaccine, vaccine efficacy was reported to be 91.6% (95% CI: 85.6–95.2).⁸ CoronaVac, the COVID-19 vaccine produced by Sinovac Biotech, China, is an inactivated vaccine. Its effectiveness ranged from 50.65 to 91.25%.⁹

Although all approved vaccines have shown a good safety profile, concerns about future side effects have posed a significant barrier for vaccination in several countries, including the United Kingdom, where a negative attitude toward vaccines has been detected.^{10,11} In 2020, the acceptance of COVID-19 vaccination ranged from 43.6% in Egypt to 92.9 in Tonga.¹² In 2021, in Kuwait, 53.1% of the participants were willing to take the vaccine.¹³ In 2022, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy remained a concern. Among 23 countries, hesitancy ranged from 52.1% in South Africa to 1.7% in India. Moreover, hesitancy to take the booster doses accounted to 12.1%.¹⁴ Not only vaccine unacceptability varied across countries, but also trust in authorities varied which influenced the responses.^{10,15} The availability of several COVID-19 vaccines has additionally perplexed people.¹⁶ Moreover, misinformation received and the fear of the vaccine side effects accounted for the most common cause of hesitancy.¹⁴ According to the differences in acceptability and reasons behind willingness to take COVID-19 vaccine worldwide, the current study was designed to assess the acceptability of COVID-19 vaccination and its predictors in a sample of the Lebanese population.

Methods

Study Design

An anonymous community-based cross-sectional survey was conducted in February 2021, targeting Lebanese adults over 18 years old from the 5 main Lebanese governates (Beirut, Mount Lebanon, North, South, and Beqaa). The questionnaire was developed using Google Forms and distributed through WhatsApp to minimize the risk of infection during the COVID-19 pandemic. The dissemination of the questionnaire was done by convenience from 1 person to another.

Questionnaire Development

The survey questionnaire was designed in English, after a thorough literature review and translated into Arabic, the native language in Lebanon. The translated Arabic version was translated back into English by a second person to check the adequacy of the translation. The questionnaire's validity was assessed by 4 experts who reflected on the study's purpose and examined its readability and comprehension. Then, a pilot study was conducted on 20 participants who are representative of the population studied. This pilot study served to check for clarity and comprehension of the questionnaire, and the collected data were not included in the main study. According to the feedback retrieved, the questionnaire was minorly modified.

The questionnaire was divided into 6 parts. The first section included demographic data that may impact the results, the second section comprised participant experience with COVID-19, and the third section assessed the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the daily life of participants. The fourth section consisted of the COVID-19 anxiety syndrome scale, which included 9 questions rated from 0 to 4. A higher score indicates a higher level of COVID-19 anxiety.¹⁷ Questions in the fifth part were about participant acceptance to get vaccinated which is considered the major variable

of interest or dependent variable, and response options were "yes," "no," "undecided." The preferred vaccine was also recorded in this section. The last part gathered information about participant attitudes toward the COVID-19 vaccine. Responses were gathered as a 5-point Likert-type scale to indicate their level of agreement ("strongly agree," "agree," "neutral," "disagree," or "strongly disagree"). For statistical purposes, the collected answers were reclassified in 2 groups reflecting the agreement or disagreement on the attitude statements, by which neutral responses were allocated as "disagree." Independent variables were all gathered variables such as attitude and the COVID-19 anxiety syndrome scale that impacted the willingness to get vaccinated.

Sample Size Calculation

The sample size was calculated using the Raosoft^{*} online calculator. Estimating that the entire Lebanese population accounts for approximately 6.83 million inhabitants, a number above 385 was considered representative with a confidence interval of 95%. The final sample consisted of 811 Lebanese adults.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS[®]) software, version 23 (IBM, New York, USA), after being coded and cleaned. Categorical data were expressed as frequencies (percentages) and continuous data as means \pm standard deviation (SD). Multiple logistic regression was used to assess the factors behind the acceptance or refusal to be vaccinated after ensuring the significance of the chi-square test and the omnibus test. The model was also accepted after confirming its adequacy by the Hosmer–Lemeshow testing. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a post hoc Tukey test was done to compare COVID-19 anxiety syndrome mean scores among the willingness groups after ensuring normality and variance homogeneity of the data in question. All results were considered "statistically significant" when the *P* value was < 0.05 with a CI of 95%.

Ethical Consideration

This study was observational and respected the confidentiality and autonomy of the participants. Accordingly, the Beirut Arab University Institutional Review Board exempted the study. Participants had the choice to decline to participate after reading the aim of the study. All participants provided e-consent if they agreed to participate. A consent was taken from Professor Marcantonio Spada to use the COVID-19 Anxiety Syndrome Scale.

Results

COVID-19 Vaccination Acceptability

Of 811 participants in the study, 45.4% (95% CI: 41.9-49.9) accepted to be vaccinated, 21.0% (95% CI: 18.2-23.9) refused, and 33.7% (95% CI: 30.4-37.0) were undecided. Among participants who have children between ages 3 and 14 years, 125 (44.48%) agreed to allow their children to be vaccinated, whereas 145 (55.52%) refused. Pfizer was the most preferred vaccine, followed by Sputnik-V, Sinopharm, AstraZeneca, and Moderna, with percentages of 39.50%, 30.90%, 21.80%, 6.00%, and 4.10%, respectively.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the studied sample versus the acceptability of the vaccine

Characteristic	Frequency (%) for all	Unwilling to vaccinate versus willing aOR (95% CI)	Undecided to vaccinate versus willing	
Characteristic	participants	aur (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)	
Age (years)				
18-25	423 (52.2)	0.40 (0.14-1.16)	0.81 (0.30-2.15)	
26-35	179 (22.1)	0.53 (0.19-1.45)	0.42 (0.16-1.01)	
36-45	104 (12.8)	0.64 (0.24-1.74)	0.37 (0.14-0.97)*	
45-55	65 (8.0)	0.40 (0.14-1.16)	0.56 (0.21-1.46)	
> 55	40 (4.9)	Reference	Reference	
Gender				
Female	521 (64.2)	1.04 (0.69-1.58)	0.84 (0.59-1.19)	
Male	290 (35.8)	Reference	Reference	
Marital status				
Single	549 (67.7)	0.89 (0.28-2.79)	1.61 (0.43-6.00)	
Married	240 (29.6)	0.86 (0.30-2.50)	1.78 (0.51-6.22)	
Widowed or divorced	22 (2.7)	Reference	Reference	
Nationality				
Lebanese	794 (97.9)	0.33 (0.09-1.25)	0.30 (0.08-1.11)	
Non-Lebanese	17 (2.1)	Reference	Reference	
Residency area				
Beirut	290 (35.8)	0.85 (0.33-2.14)	0.55 (0.27-1.13)	
South	141 (17.4)	0.87 (0.33-2.26)	0.37 (0.17-0.79)*	
North	82 (10.1)	0.50 (0.17-0.16)	0.57 (0.25-1.29)	
Mount Lebanon	250 (30.8)	0.68 (0.27-1.72)	0.47 (0.23-0.97)*	
Beqaa	48 (5.9)	Reference	Reference	
Education				
Illiterate or elementary	15 (1.9)	1.03 (0.23-4.56)	1.20 (0.30-4.84)	
Secondary	39 (4.8)	1.16 (0.39-3.45)	1.44 (0.56-3.74)	
Diploma	72 (8.9)	1.02 (0.51-2.04)	1.04 (0.57-1.89)	
University	685 (84.5)	Reference	Reference	
Employment				
Medical field	102 (12.6)	0.84 (0.44-1.61)	1.34 (0.78-2.29)	
Non-medical field	298 (36.7)	0.93 (0.57-1.53)	1.01 (0.65-1.55)	
Unemployed/student	411 (50.7)	Reference	Reference	
Income per month in Lebanese Lira				
No income	39 (4.8)	0.94 (0.35-2.52)	0.49 (0.19-1.22)	
< 750 000	167 (20.6)	0.89 (0.45-1.78)	0.39 (0.21-0.73)*	
750 001–1 500 000	175 (21.6)	0.86 (0.45-1.63)	0.67 (0.39-1.17)	
1 500 001–3 000 000	218 (26.9)	0.72 (0.38-1.37)	0.81 (0.48-1.39)	
3 000 000-4 500 000	75 (9.2)	1.05 (0.41-2.70)	0.64 (0.27-1.56)	
> 4 500 000	137 (16.9)	Reference	Reference	
Comorbidities				
Yes (hypertension, diabetes, lung diseases, heart diseases, autoimmune disorders, cancer, and other)	195 (24)	1.65 (1.05-2.60)*	1.58 (1.05-2.39)*	
None	616 (76.0)	Reference	Reference	

*P < 0.05.

Reasons for Vaccine Hesitancy

There was no significant association between demographic data and participant willingness to be vaccinated except for comorbidities. Participants with hypertension, diabetes, lung diseases, heart diseases, autoimmune disorders, cancer, or others were 1.65 times more unwilling to get vaccinated than healthy participants (CI: 1.05-2.60; P < 0.05) (Table 1). The effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on employment, income, or regular activities did not influence the choice of participants to be vaccinated. On the other hand, self-protective measures, that is, frequent handwashing and disinfecting surfaces, in addition to following COVID-19 news, positively influenced the willingness to be vaccinated (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively) (Table 2).

Self or friend or relative experience with COVID-19 did not affect the willingness of participants to receive the vaccine (Table 3) while the COVID-19 anxiety syndrome score revealed a positive link. The more the participants were anxious about COVID-19, the more they were willing to get the vaccine (score of the ones who

Table 2. Effect of COVID-19 pandemic on participant life

Statement	Frequency (%) for all responses	Unwilling to vaccinate versus willing aOR (95% CI)	Undecided to vaccinate versus willing aOR (95% CI)
Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on employment	t/income		
No effect	127 (15.7)	0.81 (0.44-1.48)	1.10 (0.68-1.80)
Minor effect	96 (11.8)	0.81 (0.43-1.54)	0.84 (0.48-1.45)
Neutral	57 (7.0)	0.96 (0.42-2.17)	1.18 (0.61-2.88)
Moderate effect	243 (30.0)	0.96 (0.60-1.54)	1.06 (0.71-1.59)
Major effect	288 (35.5)	Reference	Reference
Self-measures taken to protect from COVID-19			
Wearing a mask while in public	789 (97.3)	0.42 (0.11-1.64)	0.36 (0.10-1.33)
Social distancing	755 (93.1)	0.92 (0.44-1.92)	1.68 (0.78-3.61)
Frequent handwashing and disinfecting surfaces	693 (85.5)	0.552 (0.33-0.93)*	1.16 (0.69-1.96)
None	5 (0.6)	-	-
Effect of COVID-19 pandemic on normal activities	5		
Dramatic restrictions	338 (41.7)	0.27 (0.07-1.04)	0.62 (0.15-2.51)
Moderate restrictions	402 (49.6)	0.32 (0.08-1.24)	0.59 (0.15-2.40)
No restrictions	55 (6.8)	1.20 (0.26-5.61)	1.61 (0.34-7.69)
Moderately to much easier	16 (2)	Reference	
Extend of following COVID-19 news			
Not at all	22 (2.7)	17.12 (3.53-83.15)**	8.51 (1.62-44.7)**
Not very closely	92 (11.3)	1.96 (1.00-3.83)*	2.54 (1.39-4.65)**
An average amount	306 (37.7)	2.08 (1.26-3.43)**	3.17 (2.04-4.94)**
Somewhat closely	195 (24.0)	0.86 (0.47-1.55)	2.11 (1.32-3.38)
Very closely	196 (24.2)	Reference	Reference

P* < 0.05; *P* < 0.01.

Table 3. Participants' experience with COVID-19

Statement	Frequency (%) for all responses	Unwilling to vaccinate versus willing aOR (95% Cl)	Undecided to vaccinate versus willing aOR (95% CI)
Experience with COVID-19			
I have tested positive for COVID-19	169 (20.9)	0.65 (0.32-1.34)	0.51 (0.26-1.01)
An immediate member has tested positive for COVID-19	314 (38.8)	0.70 (0.35-1.42)	0.66 (0.34-1.30)
An extended family member has tested positive for COVID-19	476 (58.7)	1.70 (0.78-3.70)	1.42 (0.67-3.04)
A friend/neighbor has tested positive for COVID-19	613 (75.8)	0.42 (0.18-0.98)	0.67 (0.29-1.52)
A coworker has tested positive for COVID-19	269 (33.3)	1.08 (0.50-2.36)	0.58 (0.27-1.25)
I do not know anyone who has tested positive for COVID-19	25 (3.1)	4.35 (0.45-41.92)	0.71 (0.04-12.66)
If tested positive, severity of COVID-19 symptoms			
No symptoms/mild symptoms	125 (44.6)	0.42 (0.09-1.85)	1.23 (0.22-7.04)
Moderate symptoms but health care providers were not contacted	85 (30.4)	0.22 (0.05-0.95)	1.21 (0.22-6.52)
Moderate symptoms and health care providers were contacted	57 (20.4)	0.24 (0.05-1.11)	1.14 (0.20-6.58)
Severe symptoms/hospitalization	13 (4.6)		
If relative/friend/coworker has tested positive, severity of Co	OVID-19 symptoms		
No symptoms/mild symptoms	239 (31.1)	0.79 (0.32-1.94)	1.74 (0.83-3.63)
Moderate symptoms but health care providers were not contacted	366 (47.6)	0.31 (0.13-0.77)	1.35 (0.64-2.84)
Moderate symptoms and health care providers were contacted	279 (36.3)	0.65 (0.28-1.51)	2.13 (1.05-4.33)
Severe symptoms/hospitalization	188 (24.4)	0.47 (0.18-1.24)	1.58 (0.68-3.67)
Death	136 (16.8)	0.63 (0.21-1.92)	0.61 (0.23-1.63)

Note: The reference value is no.

Table 4. COVID-19 anxiety syndrome scale

	Not at all (0)	Rarely, less than 1 or 2 days (1)	Several days (2)	More than 7 days (3)	Nearly every day (4)
I have avoided using public transport because of the fear of contracting coronavirus disease (COVID-19).	141 (17.4)	44 (5.4)	111 (13.7)	95 (11.7)	420 (51.8)
I have checked myself for symptoms of COVID-19.	255 (31.4)	150 (18.5)	200 (24.7)	69 (8.5)	137 (16.9)
I have avoided going out to public places (shops, parks) because of the fear of contracting COVID-19.	120 (14.8)	69 (8.5)	187 (23.1)	129 (15.9)	306 (37.7)
I have been concerned about not having adhered strictly to social distancing guidelines for COVID-19.	202 (24.9)	117 (14.4)	162 (20.0)	101 (12.5)	229 (28.2)
I have avoided touching things in public spaces because of the fear of contracting coronavirus COVID-19.	94 (11.6)	73 (9.0)	156 (19.2)	119 (14.7)	369 (45.5)
I have read about news relating to COVID-19 at the cost of engaging in work (such as writing emails, working on Word documents or spreadsheets).	190 (23.4)	150 (18.5)	183 (22.6)	111 (13.7)	177 (21.8)
I have checked my family members and loved ones for the signs of COVID-19.	211 (26.0)	158 (19.5)	194 (23.9)	97 (12.0)	151 (18.6)
I have been paying close attention to others displaying possible symptoms of COVID-19.	78 (9.6)	79 (9.7)	182 (22.4)	138 (17.0)	334 (41.2)
I have imagined what could happen to my family members if they contracted COVID-19.	65 (8.0)	72 (8.9)	177 (21.8)	130 (16.0)	367 (45.3)
Total score (mean ± SD)			20.89 ± 8.65/36	i i	
Score of the one who accepts to be vaccinated	21.87 ± 8.73				
Score of the one who does not accept to be vaccinated	18.87 ± 9.11; <i>P</i> = 0.001				
Score of the undecided to be vaccinated		20.8	$33 \pm 8.03; P = 0.03$.281	

Note: ANOVA followed by a post hoc Tukey test was done.

Table 5. Attitude of the participants regarding the COVID-19 vaccine

Statement	Frequency (%) of all responses	Unwilling to vaccinate versus willing aOR (95% CI)	Undecided to vaccinate versus willing aOR (95% CI)
I am worried that the vaccine itself will give me COVID-19.	165 (20.3)	3.64 (2.03-6.53)**	1.86 (1.09-3.19)*
I would rather build immunity by exposure to an infected individual than receive the vaccine.	174 (21.5)	6.75 (3.63-12.56)**	2.53 (1.40-4.54)**
I would be more likely to get the vaccine if it was required to travel internationally.	384 (47.3)	0.38 (0.24-0.61)**	0.91 (0.63-1.31)
Not everyone who is eligible for the vaccine needs to receive it because herd immunity is sufficient to protect everyone.	175 (21.6)	1.61 (0.91-2.87)	1.18 (0.71-1.97)
I am worried about the cost of a COVID-19 vaccine.	210 (25.9)	0.45 (2.88-8.93)**	0.47 (0.31-0.73)**
I am worried about side effects of the vaccine.	484 (59.7)	5.07 (2.88-8.93)**	3.37 (2.25-5.06)**
The side effects of the vaccine are likely to be worse than COVID-19 itself.	160 (19.7)	2.55 (1.38-4.72)**	2.38 (1.37-4.12)**
I worry that the rushed pace of testing for a new COVID-19 vaccine failed to detect potential side effects or dangers.	85 (10.5)	0.26 (0.09-0.73)**	0.10 (0.04-0.30)**
I am worried about the commercial profiteering of the vaccine.	347 (42.8)	1.52 (0.96-2.39)	1.55 (1.08-2.24)*

Note: The reference is "disagreed"; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05.

accepted to be vaccinated: 21.87 ± 8.73 versus the score of the ones who did not accept to be vaccinated: 18.87 ± 9.11 ; *P* < 0.01) (Table 4).

Taking the flu vaccine yearly also positively affected respondents' willingness to receive COVID-19 vaccines (P < 0.01). Although some participants acknowledged the importance of vaccines, in general, in preventing severe diseases and agreed that the side effects of any vaccine outweigh the benefit of vaccination, they refused to receive the COVID-19 vaccine (aOR: 10.31; CI: 5.96-17.85; P < 0.01 and aOR: 2.43; CI:1.60-3.70; P < 0.01, respectively). The main reason may be attributed to the worries about the side effects of the newly emerged COVID-19 vaccines, which influenced unwillingness to get vaccinated (aOR: 5.07; CI: 2.88-8.93; P < 0.01). Participants who believed that building immunity by exposure to an infected patient is better than getting vaccinated refused to receive the vaccine (aOR: 6.75; CI: 3.63-12.56; P < 0.01). Nonetheless, if the vaccine were a travel requirement, a significantly higher percentage of participants might have accepted to get vaccinated (Table 5).

COVID-19 Acquired Knowledge Source

Participants acquired COVID-19 knowledge from different sources. The principal source of information about COVID-19

and vaccines was the Internet (CDC, WHO, and the ministry of health), followed by local news, health care providers, and friends or social media with percentages of 37%, 28%, 20%, and 13%, respectively.

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic is a global health crisis that has severely affected humanity and posed a considerable challenge to the public health system.⁴ In Lebanon, by the end of January 2021, an average of 3679 cases was registered per day. Moreover, till January 27, 2021, COVID-19 deaths accounted for 2477. At the level of hospital care, both private and governmental hospitals have been facing challenges in treating infected persons. Consequently, the availability of a safe and effective vaccine has become a top priority in Lebanon and globally to prevent the spread of the disease and end the pandemic.⁴ Although vaccines have been a successful prophylactic measure against illnesses for decades, hesitancy and refusal remain significant concerns.¹⁸ Among the Lebanese population studied, 21% refused to get vaccinated and 33.7% were hesitant, whereas in Jordan, the percentages were 36.8% and 26.4%, respectively.¹⁹ In the United States, 68% of the participants accepted to be vaccinated; however, side effects and efficacy remained a concern.²⁰ Higher acceptability rates were reported in the United Kingdom, where only 14% of 32 361 participants were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccine, and 23% were hesitant.¹¹ In the current study, 55.52% of the participants were also hesitant to allow their children ages between 3 and 14 years to be vaccinated. This hesitancy did not differ globally. In 2022, as reported by Lazarus et al.,¹⁴ hesitancy to vaccinate children under 18 years old ranged from 0.1% in China to 71.1% in Russia with a global average of 30.5%.

The reasons behind the unwillingness to be vaccinated were diverse. Participants with comorbidities were 1.65 times more unwilling to be vaccinated and 1.58 times more hesitant than healthy individuals (P < 0.01). A possible interpretation could be the fear of participants that the vaccine would detrimentally affect their health. Indeed, those who considered that vaccine side effects, in general, outweigh their benefits were 2.43 times more unwilling to be vaccinated. More precisely, they were worried that the COVID-19 vaccine would trigger the disease (aOR: 3.64; CI: 2.03-6.53; P < 0.01), and they would rather build immunity by exposure to an infected individual than receive the vaccine (aOR: 6.75; CI: 3.63-12.56; P < 0.01). They also considered that vaccine side effects are worse than COVID-19 itself (aOR: 2.55; CI: 1.38-4.72; P < 0.01). These results are also reflected by other studies. In the United Kingdom, it was found that intermediate to high levels of mistrust of vaccine benefit and concerns about future unforeseen side effects were the most important determinants of both uncertainty and unwillingness to vaccinate against COVID-19.11 Similarly, in Sudan the main reason for hesitancy against the COVID-19 vaccination is concern about its safety and effectiveness.²¹

Although previous experience with the disease did not affect the vaccination choice, our study reflected that anxious people are more willing to be vaccinated. Those who followed very closely the news on COVID-19 were more eager to receive the vaccine than those who did not (P < 0.01). The COVID-19 anxiety scale showed significantly higher scores in the group of participants who accepted getting vaccinated in comparison with those who did not (P < 0.01). These results were in accordance with findings from the

United Kingdom. The relative risk reduction was 1.05 (0.85-1.30) for those who have had COVID-19 and were reluctant to take the vaccine and 1.35 (1.07-1.71) for those who did not have anxiety symptoms and were unwilling to be vaccinated as compared to the very likely to be vaccinated.¹¹

Parallel, in the current study, participants were more willing to be vaccinated if the vaccine were a requirement for traveling (P < 0.01). Thus, the announcement about the COVID-19 vaccination as an obligation made participants more willing to be vaccinated. Participants sought information mainly from the Internet and local news, which reflected their choice of vaccine brand. The preferred vaccine was Pfizer, followed by Sputnik-V and Sinopharm. Pfizer was the first vaccine introduced in Lebanon, whereas the 2 others were discussed intensively on the news.²² In fact, misinformation received accounted partially to the COVID-19 hesitancy as reported by Lazarus et al.¹⁴ Thus, local news should be controlled to disseminate proper information about the vaccine, its benefits, side effects, and contraindications.

Although the current study covered a large number of participants, the online recruitment of the sample through WhatsApp constitutes the main limitation. The team was eager to disseminate the survey to all socioeconomic classes; nonetheless, illiterate persons or those who did not have mobile phones might have been unrepresented. Moreover, being a cross-sectional study limited the causality results. Nevertheless, the results of the current study might be useful to take proper actions to achieve herd immunity in Lebanon and other countries with similar rates of acceptability.

Conclusion

Since 54.7% of the studied Lebanese adults were either unwilling or undecided to get the vaccine and COVID-19 news was retrieved mainly from the Ministry of Public Health online site and the local news, the existing targeted campaign should be enforced toward encouraging vaccination to reach herd immunity against COVID-19, especially that up till April 2022, only 53.6% of the Lebanese population received the second COVID-19 vaccine shot whereas other countries have started to offer their residents the fourth booster. These campaigns should focus on disseminating proper information about the vaccine benefits, side effects, and contraindications through local news, ministry of public health website, as well as TV and radio programs.

Data availability statement. Data will be made available upon request.

Author contribution. All authors contributed to the concept and design of the study. The questionnaire was translated to Arabic by Fadi Hodeib and revised by all authors. Data collection was done by all authors, and the data analysis was done by Souraya Domiati. The first draft of the manuscript was done by Souraya Domiati, and all authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding statement. No fund or grant was received to accomplish the study.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standard. The study was an observational one, respecting the confidentiality of the participants. Consequently, ethical approval was waived.

References

 Impact of COVID-19 on People's Livelihoods, Their Health and Our Food Systems. WHO. Published November 2020. Accessed 2021. https:// www.who.int/news/item/13-10-2020-impact-of-covid-19-on-people's-livelihoods-their-health-and-our-food-systems

- COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines. NIH. Published November 18, 2020. Accessed November 20, 2020. https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines. nih.gov/whats-new
- Timeline: WHO's COVID-19 Response. WHO. Published 2020. Accessed October 2020. https://www.who.int/news/item/27-04-2020-who-timeline covid-19
- Funk CD, Laferrière C, Ardakani A. A snapshot of the global race for vaccines targeting SARS-CoV-2 and the COVID-19 pandemic. Front Pharmacol. 2020;11:937. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00937
- FDA Approves First COVID-19 Vaccine. FDA. Published 2021. Accessed May 21, 2021. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/ fda-approves-first-covid-19-vaccine
- Thomas SJ, Moreira ED Jr, Kitchin N, et al. C4591001 Clinical Trial Group. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine through 6 months. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(19):1761-1773. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa2110345
- Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. N Engl J Med. 2020;384(5):403-416. doi: 10. 1056/NEJMoa2035389
- Logunov DY, Dolzhikova IV, Shcheblyakov DV, et al. Gam-COVID-Vac Vaccine Trial Group. Safety and efficacy of an rAd26 and rAd5 vectorbased heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccine: an interim analysis of a randomised controlled phase 3 trial in Russia. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2021;397(10275):671-681. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00234-8
- Zhang Y, Zeng G, Pan H, et al. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18-59 years: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 clinical trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2021;21(2):181-192. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30843-4
- Lazarus JV, Ratzan SC, Palayew A, et al. A global survey of potential acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine. Nat Med. 2021;27(2):225-228. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1124-9
- 11. Paul E, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Attitudes towards vaccines and intention to vaccinate against COVID-19: implications for public health

communications. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2021;1:100012. doi: 10.1016/j. lanepe.2020.100012

- Mannan KA, Farhana KM Knowledge, attitude and acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine: a global cross-sectional study.*Int Res J Bus Soc Sci.* 2020;6(4):1-23
- Alqudeimat Y, Alenezi D, AlHajri B, et al. Acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine and its related determinants among the general adult population in Kuwait. Med Princ Pract. 2021;30(3): 262-271. doi: 10.1159/000514636
- 14. Lazarus JV, Wyka K, White TM. A survey of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance across 23 countries in 2022. *Nat Med.* 2023;29:366-375.
- Lindholt MF, Jørgensen F, Bor A, Petersen MB. Public acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines: cross-national evidence on levels and individual-level predictors using observational data. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11(6):e048172. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048172
- Marzo RR, Ahmad A, Abid K, et al. Factors influencing the acceptability of COVID-19 vaccination: a cross-sectional study from Malaysia. Vacunas. 2022;23:S33-S40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacun.2021.07.007
- Nikčević AV, Spada MM. The COVID-19 anxiety syndrome scale: development and psychometric properties. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020;292: 113322. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113322
- Geoghegan S, O'Callaghan KP, Offit PA. Vaccine safety: myths and misinformation. *Front Microbiol.* 2020;11:372. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020. 00372
- Al-Qerem WA, Jarab AS. COVID-19 vaccination acceptance and its associated factors among a Middle Eastern population. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.632914
- Pogue K, Jensen JL, Stancil CK, et al. Influences on attitudes regarding potential COVID-19 vaccination in the United States. Vaccines. 2020;8(4):582. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8040582
- Raja SM, Osman ME, Musa AO, et al. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance, hesitancy, and associated factors among medical students in Sudan. *PLoS One.* 2022;17(4):e0266670. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0266670
- Lebanon Starts COVID-19 Vaccination Campaign. France 24. Published 2021. Accessed March 4, 2021. https://www.france24.com/en/middle-east/ 20210214-lebanon-starts-covid-19-vaccination-campaign