

SOME MOMENT PROBLEMS IN A FINITE INTERVAL

D. LEVIATAN

1. Introduction. Let the sequence $\{\lambda_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) satisfy the following conditions.

1. $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < \dots$,
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$,
3. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/\lambda_i) = \infty$.

We shall deal with the following moment problems: what are the conditions, necessary and sufficient, on a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) in order that it should possess the representation

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} f(t) dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $f(t)$ belongs to a given class of functions integrable over $[0, 1]$.

For a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) we write, for each $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(1.2) \quad [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n] = \sum_{i=m}^n \frac{\mu_i}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_m) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}) \cdot (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda_i - \lambda_n)}$$

2. Main results. Let $M(u)$ be an even, convex, continuous function satisfying (1) $M(u)/u \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and (2) $M(u)/u \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by $L_M[0, 1]$ the class of all functions integrable over $[0, 1]$ satisfying

$$\int_0^1 M[f(t)] dt < \infty.$$

$L_M[0, 1]$ is known as the Orlicz class related to $M(u)$ (see 2).

THEOREM 1. *The sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) possesses the representation (1.1), where $f \in L_M[0, 1]$, if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt \\ \times M \left(\frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt} \right) \equiv H < \infty$$

Received December 2, 1966.

$([t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}]$ is defined by (1.2) for $\mu_n = t^{\lambda_n}$); if $m = n$, then

$$\lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_n = 1.$$

If $\lambda_0 = 0$ instead of $\lambda_0 > 0$, then the proof of Theorem 1 is as shown in (3), Theorem 2.3 (i).

Denote by $M[0, 1]$ the space of all functions bounded in $[0, 1]$.

THEOREM 2. *The sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) possesses the representation (1.1), where $f \in M[0, 1]$, if and only if*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq n, \\ n \geq 0}} \frac{|[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]|}{\left| \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt \right|} \equiv H < \infty.$$

If $\lambda_0 = 0$ instead of $\lambda_0 > 0$, then the proof of Theorem 2 is as shown in (3, Theorem 2.3 (ii)).

3. Proofs. We need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *For each $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_n [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \leq 1 \quad (\text{if } m = n, \text{ then } \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_n = 1).$$

Proof. Define the sequence $\{\tilde{\lambda}_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) by

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_0 = 0, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_i = \lambda_{i-1}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

By (4, p. 46 (11)) we have, for $n \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \tilde{\lambda}_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_n [t^{\tilde{\lambda}_m}, \dots, t^{\tilde{\lambda}_n}] = 1.$$

By an easy calculation we get, for $n \geq 1$,

$$[t^{\tilde{\lambda}_m}, \dots, t^{\tilde{\lambda}_n}] = [t^{\lambda_{m-1}}, \dots, t^{\lambda_{n-1}}]$$

and by (4, p. 46 (10)),

$$(-1)^n [t^{\tilde{\lambda}_0}, \dots, t^{\tilde{\lambda}_n}] \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_{n-1} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_{n-1}}] \leq 1.$$

LEMMA 2. *For a sequence $\{\tilde{\lambda}_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) satisfying (3.1) we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n \tilde{\lambda}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_n \int_0^1 [t^{\tilde{\lambda}_0}, \dots, t^{\tilde{\lambda}_n}] dt = 0.$$

Proof. If

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\alpha(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is of bounded variation in $[0, 1]$, then, by (1, p. 287 (25)), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n \tilde{\lambda}_1 \dots \tilde{\lambda}_n [\mu_0, \dots, \mu_n] = \alpha(0+) - \alpha(0).$$

In this case we have $\alpha(t) = t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, hence $\alpha(0+) - \alpha(0) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. First we prove necessity. Suppose that $\{\mu_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) satisfies (1.1), where $f \in L_M[0, 1]$, then we have

$$[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n] = \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] f(t) dt,$$

and by the Jensen inequality (see 8, pp. 23–24)

$$M\left(\frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}\right) \leq \frac{\int_0^1 (-1)^{n-m} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] M[f(t)] dt}{\int_0^1 (-1)^{n-m} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt},$$

since $(-1)^{n-m} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \geq 0$ for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (see 4, p. 46). Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt M\left(\frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}\right) &\leq \\ \int_0^1 \left[\sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \right] M[f(t)] dt &\leq \int_0^1 M[f(t)] dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove sufficiency let us assume that condition (2.1) is fulfilled. Denote by $N(v)$ the complement function of $M(u)$ (see 2, p. 11), then by the Young inequality (see 2, p. 12)

$$\left| \frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt} \right| \leq N(1) + M\left(\frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}\right).$$

Hence, by Lemma 1 and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^n \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n |[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]| &\leq N(1) \int_0^1 \left[\sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \right. \\ &\times [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \Big] dt + \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt \\ &\times M\left(\frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}\right) \leq K < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\tilde{t}_{nm} = [(1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1/\tilde{\lambda}_{m+1}) \cdots (1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1/\tilde{\lambda}_n)]^{1/\tilde{\lambda}_1},$$

where $\{\tilde{\lambda}_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) is defined by (3.1). Define the functions $\alpha_n(x)$ by:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_n(0) &= 0, \\ \alpha_n(x) &= \sum_{\tilde{t}_{n+1,m+1} \leq x} (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_n [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]. \end{aligned}$$

The functions $\alpha_n(x)$ are of variations uniformly bounded in $[0, 1]$. Define the sequence $\{\tilde{\mu}_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) by

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\mu}_0 \text{ arbitrary,} \quad \tilde{\mu}_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n-1}, \quad n > 0.$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_k} d\alpha_n(t) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \tilde{t}_{n+1,m+1}^{\lambda_k} (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_n [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} \tilde{t}_{n+1,m}^{\lambda_{k+1}} (-1)^{n+1-m} \tilde{\lambda}_{m+1} \cdots \tilde{\lambda}_{n+1} [\tilde{\mu}_m, \dots, \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}] \end{aligned}$$

since $[\tilde{\mu}_m, \dots, \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}] = [\mu_{m-1}, \dots, \mu_n]$ for $1 \leq m \leq n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\tilde{t}_{n+1,0} = 0$. By (6) we have, for every $k \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} \tilde{t}_{n+1,m}^{\lambda_{k+1}} (-1)^{n+1-m} \tilde{\lambda}_{m+1} \cdots \tilde{\lambda}_{n+1} [\tilde{\mu}_m, \dots, \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}] = \tilde{\mu}_{k+1} = \mu_k.$$

Hence

$$\mu_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_k} d\alpha_n(t), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By the Helly Theorem (see 7, p. 29) there exists a subsequence $\{n_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_i}(t) = \alpha(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and by the Helly-Bray Theorem (see 7, p. 31),

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_k = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_k} d\alpha(t), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is of bounded variation in $[0, 1]$.

Let $x_0 = 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = 1$ be a fixed division of $[0, 1]$ and let $0 \leq p < k$. There exist r, s (depending on n) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_{n+1,r+1} &\leq x_p < \tilde{t}_{n+1,r+2}, \\ \tilde{t}_{n+1,s+1} &\leq x_{p+1} < \tilde{t}_{n+1,s+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\alpha_n(x_{p+1}) - \alpha_n(x_p) = \sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_n [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n].$$

Hence by the Jensen inequality (see 8, pp. 23-24)

$$M\left(\frac{\alpha_n(x_{p+1}) - \alpha_n(x_p)}{\sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}\right) \leq \frac{\sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt M\left(\frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}\right)}{\sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}$$

Hence we have, by (2.1),

$$\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[\sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt \right] \times M\left(\frac{\alpha_n(x_{p+1}) - \alpha_n(x_p)}{\sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}\right) \leq \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt M\left(\frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt}\right) \leq H.$$

We have that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [\alpha_{n_i}(x_{p+1}) - \alpha_{n_i}(x_p)] = \alpha(x_{p+1}) - \alpha(x_p)$ and since the sequence $\{t^{\lambda_n}\} (n \geq 0)$ spans the space of all functions $f(x)$ continuous in $[0, 1]$ for which $f(0) = 0$, we get, in the supremum norm,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt = x_{p+1} - x_p.$$

Hence

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} (x_{p+1} - x_p) \cdot M\left(\frac{\alpha(x_{p+1}) - \alpha(x_p)}{x_{p+1} - x_p}\right) \leq H.$$

Since (3.5) holds for every fixed division of $[0, 1]$ we get, by a theorem of Medvedev (5), that

$$\alpha(x) = c + \int_0^x f(t) dt, \quad \text{where } f \in L_M[0, 1].$$

Hence by (3.4)

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} f(t) dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof of Theorem 2. First we prove necessity. By (1.1),

$$|[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]| \leq \int_0^1 (-1)^{n-m} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] |f(t)| dt \leq H \int_0^1 (-1)^{n-m} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt,$$

where

$$H \equiv \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)|.$$

Thus we prove necessity. We now prove the sufficiency, By Lemma 1 and (2.2)

$$\sum_{m=0}^n \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_n |\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n| \leq H \int_0^1 \left[\sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_n [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \right] dt \leq H < \infty.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we get that $\{\mu_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) possesses the representation (3.4). Moreover, if we define the functions $\alpha_n(x)$ by (2.3), we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_i}(x) = \alpha(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Let x and y , $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$, be two points in $[0, 1]$. There exist r, s (depending on n) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_{n+1, r+1} &\leq x < \tilde{t}_{n+1, r+2}, \\ \tilde{t}_{n+1, s+1} &\leq y < \tilde{t}_{n+1, s+2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2),

$$\frac{|\alpha_n(y) - \alpha_n(x)|}{\sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt} = \frac{\left| \sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_n [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n] \right|}{\sum_{m=r+1}^s (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_n \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt} \leq H.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we get that for every x and y , $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$,

$$\left| \frac{\alpha(y) - \alpha(x)}{y - x} \right| \leq H.$$

Hence

$$\alpha(x) = c + \int_0^x f(t) dt,$$

where $f \in M[0, 1]$ and by (3.4)

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} f(t) dt, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $f \in M[0, 1]$.

REFERENCES

1. F. Hausdorff, *Summationsmethoden und momentenfolgen*. II, *Mat. Z.* 9 (1921), 280–299.
2. M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex function and Orlicz spaces* (P. Noordhoff, Gröningen, 1961).

3. D. Leviatan, *A generalized moment problem*, Israel J. Math. 5 (1967), 97–103.
4. G. G. Lorentz, *Bernstein polynomials* (Univ. Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953).
5. Ju. I. Medvedev, *Generalization of a theorem of F. Riesz*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 8 (1953), 115–118.
6. I. J. Schoenberg, *On finite rowed system of linear inequalities in infinitely many variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1932), 594–619.
7. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform* (Princeton, 1946).
8. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*. I, 2nd ed. (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959).

*Tel-Aviv University,
Tel-Aviv, Israel;
University of Illinois,
Urbana, Illinois*