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#### Abstract

We study the analysis of a probability density $K$ on a Lie group $G$, where $G$ is a semidirect product of a compact group $M$ with a nilpotent group $N$. To approximate analysis on $G$ with analysis on $N$, it is natural to consider certain maps ("realizations") of $G$ onto $N$. In this paper, we prove the existence of a realization of $G$ in $N$ which is $K$-harmonic (modulo the commutator subgroup of $N$ ). By utilizing this result and extending some ideas of Alexopoulos, we can prove the boundedness in $L^{p}$ spaces of some new Riesz transforms associated with $K$, and obtain new regularity estimates for the convolution powers of $K$.
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1. Introduction. Consider a Lie group $G$ which is a semidirect product of a connected compact Lie group $M$ acting on a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group $N$. We will identify $M$ and $N$ with closed subgroups of $G$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=N M, \quad N \cap M=\{e\}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.
Since $M$ is compact, it is natural to expect that analysis "at infinity" on $G$ is approximated by analysis on the nilpotent group $N$. This idea of approximating a given group by a nilpotent group with simpler structure has been extensively developed, even in a more general setting where $G$ is replaced by any Lie group of polynomial growth: see, for example, $[\mathbf{1 - 3}, \mathbf{6}]$ and references therein.

To compare analysis on $G$ and $N$, one usually chooses a map $\Psi: G \rightarrow N$ which "realizes" $G$ in $N$. In view of (1), it is natural to define $\Psi$ by $\Psi(x m)=x$, for $m \in M$, $x \in N$. Note that $\Psi$ is not a homomorphism, except when $G$ is a direct product of $M$ and $N$.

More generally, let us say that a connected compact subgroup $M^{\prime}$ of $G$ is a compact factor of $G$ if $G=N M^{\prime}$ and $N \cap M^{\prime}=\{e\}$. For each such $M^{\prime}$ we define a "realization"

$$
\Psi_{M^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow N, \quad \Psi_{M^{\prime}}\left(x m^{\prime}\right)=x
$$

for $m^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}, x \in N$, and in general we could have $\Psi_{M^{\prime}} \neq \Psi_{M}$. Our use of the term "realization" is inspired by Kotani and Sunada [10], who studied a different setting of realizations of lattice graphs in Euclidean spaces.

The motivation of this paper is that one can get better analytic results if one chooses $M^{\prime}$ so that $\Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is a harmonic or "almost-harmonic" map. We will develop
this idea for analysis of a probability density $K: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on $G$. Define $\widetilde{K}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\widetilde{K}(g)=K\left(g^{-1}\right)$. A function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be harmonic with respect to $K$ if $f=f * \widetilde{K}$, or equivalently if $H f=0$, where $H=H_{(K)}$ is the discrete Laplacian defined by

$$
(H f)(h)=f(h)-(f * \widetilde{K})(h)=\int_{G} d g K(g)[f(h)-f(h g)], \quad h \in G .
$$

Here $d g$ denotes a fixed Haar measure on $G$ and the convolution of functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ is defined by $\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(h)=\int_{G} d g f_{1}(g) f_{2}\left(g^{-1} h\right), h \in G$.

More generally, a map $F: G \rightarrow V$ into a vector space $V \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is said to be harmonic if its components $F_{i}=x^{i} \circ F: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are harmonic, where $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ is some basis for $V^{*}$. This notion is clearly independent of basis.

In what follows, we will assume that the probability density $K: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $K \geq 0$ and $\int_{G} K=1$, is continuous, compactly supported, symmetric (that is, $\widetilde{K}=K$ ), and that $\inf \left\{K(g): g \in U_{0}\right\}>0$ for some neighborhood $U_{0}$ of the identity of $G$.

Our basic theorem is the following. Note that the simply connected abelian Lie group $N /[N, N] \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$ can be identified with a vector space.

Theorem 1.1. Fix a density K on $G$, as above. Let $\pi: N \rightarrow N /[N, N]$ be the canonical homomorphism. There exists a compact factor $M^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that the map $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow$ $N /[N, N]$ is harmonic with respect to $K$.

Theorem 1.1 will be obtained from its special case Theorem 1.2, where $N$ is abelian.
Theorem 1.2. Suppose $N \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is abelian. Fix a density $K$ on $G$, as above. Then there exists a unique compact factor $M^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\Psi_{M^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow N$ is harmonic with respect to $K$.

Note that some results broadly analogous to Theorems 1.2 and 1.1 were obtained in [10] and [9], for realizations of lattice graphs in Euclidean spaces or in nilpotent groups.

Before stating an application of Theorem 1.1 to analysis, we fix some notation. Let $K^{(n)}=K * K * \cdots * K$ be the $n$-th convolution power of $K$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. Denote by $\partial_{z}$ the difference operator $I-R(z), z \in G$, where $R=R_{G}$ is the right regular representation of $G$ :

$$
(R(h) f)(g)=f(g h), \quad g, h \in G
$$

for a function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Fix a compact neighborhood $U=U^{-1}$ of the identity $e$ of $G$ and define $\rho=\rho_{U}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
\rho(g)=\inf \left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: g \in U^{n}\right\}
$$

where $U^{n}$ is the set of all products $u_{1} \cdots u_{n}$ with $u_{i} \in U$. Note that $G$ has polynomial volume growth of some order $D \in \mathbb{N}$ : that is, $c^{-1} n^{D} \leq d g\left(U^{n}\right) \leq c n^{D}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the group $N$ has polynomial growth of the same order $D$ ). In general, $c, b, c^{\prime}$ and so on, denote positive constants whose value may change from line to line when convenient.

Under our assumptions on $K$, one has (see [8]) Gaussian estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{(n)}(g) \leq c n^{-D / 2} e^{-b \rho(g)^{2} / n}, \quad\left|\left(\partial_{z} K^{(n)}\right)(g)\right| \leq c n^{-(D+1) / 2} e^{-b \rho(g)^{2} / n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G$, and $z \in U$. Moreover (see [3]) the first order Riesz transform $\partial_{z} H^{-1 / 2}$ is bounded in $L^{p}:=L^{p}(G ; d g)$ for all $z \in G$ and $1<p<\infty$. In general these results do not extend to second or higher order difference operators: the estimate $\left\|\partial_{z_{1}} \partial_{z_{2}} K^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty}=O\left(n^{-(D+2) / 2}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, may fail, and the transform $\partial_{z_{1}} \partial_{z_{2}} H^{-1}$ may fail to be bounded (cf. [3, p.122]; also see [1, 7] for related results).

This failure can occur when $z_{1}, z_{2}$ are elements of a compact factor $M^{\prime \prime}$. But if the compact factor is chosen as in Theorem 1.1 we have the following positive result.

Theorem 1.3. Let $G, K$, and $M^{\prime}$ be as in Theorem 1.1, so that $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic. Then one has an estimate

$$
\left|\partial_{m} K^{(n)}(g)\right|+\left|\partial_{z} \partial_{m} K^{(n)}(g)\right| \leq c n^{-(D+2) / 2} e^{-b \rho(g)^{2} / n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G, m \in M^{\prime}$, and $z \in U$. Moreover, for any $m \in M^{\prime}$ and $z \in G$, the Riesz transforms $\partial_{m} H^{-1}$ and $\partial_{z} \partial_{m} H^{-1}$ are bounded in $L^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be an extension of the analysis of Alexopoulos [3]. He obtains precise Berry-Esseen estimates which show that the convolution powers $K^{(n)}$ are asymptotically close, for large $n$, to the heat kernel $p_{n}$ of a sublaplacian operator on $N$. We will improve these estimates when $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic.

To state our final theorem, given a compact factor $M^{\prime}$, we define a Lie group $G_{N}=G_{N}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ with underlying manifold $G$ and group product $*_{N}$ such that

$$
m_{1} *_{N} m_{2}=m_{1} m_{2}, \quad x_{1} *_{N} x_{2}=x_{1} x_{2}, \quad x_{1} *_{N} m_{1}=m_{1} *_{N} x_{1}=x_{1} m_{1}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in N$ and $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M^{\prime}$. Observe that $G_{N}$ is isomorphic to $N \times M^{\prime} \cong$ $N \times(G / N)$. To emphasize that the precise definition of $G_{N}$ depends on the choice of compact factor $M^{\prime}$, we write $G_{N}=G_{N}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.

Define the difference operators $\widetilde{\partial}_{z}=I-R_{G_{N}}(z), z \in G$, where $R_{G_{N}}$ denotes the right regular representation of the group $G_{N}$.

Theorem 1.4. Adopt the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, and consider $G_{N}=G_{N}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ where $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic. Then one has an estimate

$$
\left|\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}}^{g} \widetilde{\partial}_{z_{2}}^{g} K^{(n)}\left(g^{-1} h\right)\right| \leq c n^{-(D+2) / 2} e^{-b \rho\left(g^{-1} h\right)^{2} / n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, g, h \in G$ and $z_{1}, z_{2} \in U$ (the superscript $g$ indicates that $\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{i}}$ act with respect to the variable $g$ ). Moreover, the transform $\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}} \widetilde{\partial}_{z_{2}} H^{-1}$ is bounded in $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$, for all $z_{1}, z_{2} \in G$.

We finish this section with a number of remarks.
(a) Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are not valid without the hypothesis that $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic.

More precisely, one can show, for example, that if $M^{\prime \prime}$ is a compact factor such that $\left\|\partial_{m} K^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty}=O\left(n^{-(D+2) / 2}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, for each $m \in M^{\prime \prime}$, then $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime \prime}}$ must be harmonic. We will omit the proof (one can prove it by a straightforward extension of the analysis of Section 4 below).
(b) See Theorem 4.4 in Section 4 below for a Berry-Esseen estimate involving the differences $\partial_{m}$ and $\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}} \widetilde{\partial}_{z_{2}}$.
(c) The theorems in this paper could be generalized to any Lie group of polynomial volume growth. In this more general setting, roughly speaking one has $G=S M$ with $M$ a compact subgroup and $S$ a solvable normal subgroup, and to approximate $G$ with
a nilpotent group one defines the nilshadow $S_{N}$ of the solvable group $S$ (for details see $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{6}])$. However, for simplicity, in this paper we restrict ourselves to groups $G=N M$.
(d) For a sublaplacian on a Lie group of polynomial growth, the author [5] has obtained results comparable with Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. For the results of [5] one needs to choose a harmonic realization (in a sense analogous to Theorem 1.1), though the relationship with harmonic maps is not explicitly stated in [5].

Let us state the analogue for a sublaplacian of Theorem 1.1. The proof is omitted, but is actually essentially contained in the arguments of [6, pp. 139-140].

Theorem 1.5. Let $\widetilde{H}=-\sum_{i=1}^{d^{\prime}} A_{i}^{2}$ be a sublaplacian on $G=N M$, where $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d^{\prime}}$ is a list of left invariant vector fields on $G$ which satisfy the Hörmander condition (for background, see [12] for instance). Then, there exists a compact factor $M^{\prime}$ such that $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic with respect to $\widetilde{H}$; that is, given linear coordinates $\left\{x^{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d}$ on $N /[N, N] \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$, one has $\widetilde{H}\left(x^{i} \circ \pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}\right)=0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$.
(e) Observe that the results of Theorem 1.3 for $\partial_{z} \partial_{m}$ follow trivially from the results for $\partial_{m}$.
(f) The compact factor $M^{\prime}$ in Theorem 1.2 is unique. But $M^{\prime}$ in Theorem 1.1 is not necessarily unique; indeed, it is easy to see that

$$
\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}=\pi \circ \Psi_{y M^{\prime} y^{-1}}, \quad \text { for any } y \in[N, N] .
$$

Conversely, one can prove (we will omit the details) that if $M^{\prime}, M^{\prime \prime}$ are any compact factors such that $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ and $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime \prime}}$ are harmonic, then there exists $y \in[N, N]$ with $M^{\prime \prime}=y M^{\prime} y^{-1}$.
(g) The following Gaussian estimate for higher order differences is proved in [4], and can also be obtained by applying difference operators to the Taylor expansions of [3]. Given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has an estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x_{1}} \ldots \partial_{x_{k}} \partial_{z} K^{(n)}(g)\right| \leq c n^{-(k+1) / 2} n^{-D / 2} e^{-b \rho(g)^{2} / n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in U \cap N$ and $z \in U$.
Then we can explain the situation for second-order differences as follows. Choose $M^{\prime}$ with $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ harmonic, and suppose $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M^{\prime}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in N$. Then by Theorem 1.3 and (3), the functions $\left\|\partial_{m_{1}} \partial_{m_{2}} K^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty},\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{x_{2}} K^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{m_{1}} K^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty}$ are of order $O\left(n^{-(D+2) / 2}\right)$. (This assertion can also be derived from Theorem 1.4.)

On the other hand, in general $\left\|\partial_{m_{1}} \partial_{x_{1}} K^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty}$ is only $O\left(n^{-(D+1) / 2}\right)$. To see this, write

$$
\partial_{m_{1}} \partial_{x_{1}}=\partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{m_{1}}+R\left(m_{1} x_{1}\right) \partial_{y}, \quad \text { with } y=x_{1}^{-1} m_{1}^{-1} x_{1} m_{1}
$$

and note that if $M^{\prime}$ acts non-trivially on $N$ then $\left\|\partial_{y} K^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty}$ is only $O\left(n^{-(D+1) / 2}\right)$ in general.

This problem does not arise for $G_{N}$-invariant difference operators, since $\widetilde{\partial}_{m_{1}}=\partial_{m_{1}}$ commutes with $\widetilde{\partial}_{x_{1}}$ for all $m_{1} \in M^{\prime}$ and $x_{1} \in N$.

Theorems 1.2 and 1.1 will be proved in Sections 2 and 3 respectively; Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are proved in Section 4.
2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove Theorem 1.2, in this section we consider $G=N M$ where $M$ is a fixed compact factor and $N \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

To motivate the proof, suppose temporarily that $N=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and write $\Psi_{M}=$ $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ where $x^{i}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In general the "coordinates" $x^{i}$ are not harmonic. But it turns out that one can solve the $d$ equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \chi_{i}=H x^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some functions $\chi_{i}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are constant in the direction of $N$, that is, they are lifts of functions on $G / N \cong M$. Then $z^{i}:=x^{i}-\chi_{i}$ is harmonic. To show that $\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{d}\right)=\Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ for some compact factor $M^{\prime}$, we will essentially rewrite (4) as an abstract linear equation in the vector space $N$ (see (8) below).

Let us fix some notation. The action $T: M \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(N)=G L(N), T(m) x:=m x m^{-1}$, $m \in M, x \in N$, is a representation of $M$ in the vector space $N$. The group product of $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1} g_{2}=\left(x_{1} m_{1}\right)\left(x_{2} m_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+T\left(m_{1}\right) x_{2}\right)\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g_{i}=x_{i} m_{i} \in G, m_{i} \in M, x_{i} \in N, i=1,2$. (We often use + to denote the product within $N$.) It is convenient to extend $T$ to a representation $T: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ of $G$, by setting $T(x m)=T(m)$ for $m \in M, x \in N$.

Since $M$ is compact, we may choose a positive-definite inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $N$ which is $T$-invariant, that is, $\langle T(g) x, T(g) y\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle$ for all $g \in G, x, y \in N$. Defining $V_{1}$ as the orthogonal complement in $N$ of $V_{0}:=\{x \in N: T(g) x=x$ for all $g \in G\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=V_{0} \oplus V_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector subspaces $V_{i}$ are invariant under $T$. Note that $V_{0}, V_{1}$ are normal subgroups of $G$ and $V_{0}$ is contained in the centre of $G$; moreover, $G \cong V_{0} \times\left(V_{1} M\right)$.

For each $y \in N$, we define a compact factor $M^{y}:=y M y^{-1}$ and set $\Psi^{(y)}:=$ $\Psi_{M^{v}}: G \rightarrow N$. In view of (6) we can write

$$
\Psi^{(y)}(g)=\Psi_{0}^{(y)}(g)+\Psi_{1}^{(y)}(g),
$$

where $\Psi_{i}^{(y)}: G \rightarrow V_{i}, i=0,1$. In case $y=e$ we have $M^{e}=M$, and to simplify the notation we will write $\Psi^{(e)}=\Psi$ and $\Psi_{i}^{(e)}=\Psi_{i}$.

Theorem 2.1. Fix the density $K$ on $G$. There exists a unique element $y \in V_{1}$ such that the map $\Psi^{(y)}$ is harmonic with respect to $K$.

Theorem 2.1 yields the existence statement of Theorem 1.2. To get the uniqueness statement of Theorem 1.2, we also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Any two compact factors of $G$ are conjugate via $N$; that is, for any compact factor $M^{\prime}$, there is $z \in N$ with $M^{\prime}=z M z^{-1}$.

One can prove Lemma 2.2 using standard Lie algebra results about the conjugacy of Levi subalgebras and Cartan subalgebras. We omit the details (but see, for example, [ 6, p. 81] for a similar proof).

To prove uniqueness in Theorem 1.2, let $M^{\prime}$ be any compact factor such that $\Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic. By Lemma 2.2, $M^{\prime}=M^{z}$ for some $z \in N$. Writing $z=z_{0}+z_{1}, z_{i} \in V_{i}$, then clearly $M^{z}=M^{z_{1}}$. Theorem 2.1 implies that $z_{1}=y$, so that $M^{\prime}=M^{y}$ and uniqueness is proved.

It remains to prove Theorem 2.1. In what follows, $y$ will denote an arbitrary element of $N$.

Abusing notation slightly, we regard the right regular representation $R=R_{G}$ as acting also on functions $F: G \rightarrow N$, and denote also by $H$ the operator defined by $H F=\int_{G} d g K(g)(I-R(g)) F$. Then $F: G \rightarrow N$ is harmonic if and only if $H F=0$.

We first derive the "change-of-coordinates" formulae relating $\Psi^{(y)}$ to $\Psi=\Psi^{(e)}$. For $g=x m, m \in M, x \in N$, observe that

$$
g=x\left(m y m^{-1}\right) y^{-1}\left(y m y^{-1}\right)=(x-y+T(m) y)\left(y m y^{-1}\right),
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{(y)}(g)=x-y+T(m) y=\Psi(g)-y+T(g) y \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in G$. Taking components in $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$, and observing that $y-T(g) y \in V_{1}$, we find that

$$
\Psi_{0}^{(y)}=\Psi_{0}, \quad \Psi_{1}^{(y)}(g)=\Psi_{1}(g)-y+T(g) y
$$

for all $y \in N, g \in G$.
We claim that $\Psi_{0}^{(y)}=\Psi_{0}$ is harmonic. Indeed, note from (5) that $\Psi_{0}: G \rightarrow N$ is a group homomorphism, and apply the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. A smooth homomorphism $\chi$ of $G$ into a vector space $W \cong \mathbb{R}^{s}$ is harmonic. In particular, $\Psi_{0}^{(y)}=\Psi_{0}$ is harmonic.

Proof. By a change of variable $g \rightarrow g^{-1}$ and the symmetry $K\left(g^{-1}\right)=K(g)$, we have

$$
\int d g K(g) \chi(g)=\int d g K\left(g^{-1}\right) \chi\left(g^{-1}\right)=-\int d g K(g) \chi(g),
$$

so that $\int d g K(g) \chi(g)=0$. Then $\int d g K(g)(\chi(h)-\chi(h g))=-\int d g K(g) \chi(g)=0$ for all $h \in G$, and $\chi$ is harmonic.

The next lemma establishes that $\Psi^{(y)}$ is harmonic if and only if it is harmonic at the identity $e$, that is, if and only if $\left(H \Psi^{(y)}\right)(e)=0$.

Lemma 2.4. For all $h \in G$ and $y \in N$,

$$
\left(H \Psi^{(y)}\right)(h)=-T(h)\left[\int d g K(g) \Psi^{(y)}(g)\right] \in V_{1}
$$

In particular, $\Psi^{(y)}$ is harmonic if and only if $\int d g K(g) \Psi^{(y)}(g)=0$.
Proof. Suppose $g=x_{1} m_{1}, h=x_{2} m_{2}$, where $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M^{y}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in N$. Since $h g=\left(x_{2}+\left(m_{2} x_{1} m_{2}^{-1}\right)\right)\left(m_{2} m_{1}\right)$, we calculate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{(y)}(h)-\Psi^{(y)}(h g) & =x_{2}-\left(x_{2}+\left(m_{2} x_{1} m_{2}^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& =-\left(m_{2} x_{1} m_{2}^{-1}\right) \\
& =-T(h)\left(\Psi^{(y)}(g)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(H \Psi^{(y)}\right)(h)=\int d g K(g)\left[\Psi^{(y)}(h)-\Psi^{(y)}(h g)\right]=-\int d g K(g) T(h) \Psi^{(y)}(g)
$$

which proves the first equality of the lemma. Since $\Psi_{0}^{(y)}$ is harmonic, $H \Psi^{(y)}=H \Psi_{1}^{(y)}$
takes values in $V_{1}$, and the lemma follows.
From Lemma 2.4, together with (7), we obtain the following criterion.
Lemma 2.5. Let $y \in N$. The map $\Psi^{(y)}$ is harmonic if and only if $y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d g K(g)(I-T(g)) y=\int d g K(g) \Psi(g) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, the right side of equation (8) is in $V_{1}$. To complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 a final lemma is needed.

Lemma 2.6. The linear transformation $H_{T}:=\int d g K(g)(I-T(g))$ of $N$ restricts to a bijection $H_{T}: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{1}$. Hence there is a unique $y \in V_{1}$ satisfying equation (8).

Proof. We show that the restriction of $H_{T}$ to $V_{1}$ is injective. Let $x \in V_{1}$ with $H_{T} x=0$. Observe (using $K\left(g^{-1}\right)=K(g)$ ) that

$$
H_{T}=2^{-1} \int d g K(g)\left(I-T\left(g^{-1}\right)\right)(I-T(g))
$$

so that

$$
0=\left\langle H_{T} x, x\right\rangle=2^{-1} \int d g K(g)\langle(I-T(g)) x,(I-T(g)) x\rangle
$$

Since $K$ is strictly positive in a neighborhood of the identity of $G$, it follows that $x=T(g) x$ for all $g$ in some neighborhood of the identity. Because $T$ is a representation of $G$, then $T(g) x=x$ for all $g \in G$, in other words, $x \in V_{0} \cap V_{1}=\{0\}$. This proves the lemma and completes the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 1.2.
3. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ from Theorem 1.2. In this section we derive Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.2.

Let $G$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Define $\bar{G}=G /[N, N], \bar{N}=N /[N, N] \subseteq \bar{G}$, and let $\pi: G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ be the canonical map. Observe that $\bar{N} \cong \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is abelian.

Let $d \bar{g}$ be a Haar measure on $\bar{G}$, and consider the probability density $\bar{K}:=\pi(K): \bar{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\int_{G} d g K(g) f(\pi(g))=\int_{\bar{G}} d \bar{g} \bar{K}(\bar{g}) f(\bar{g})$ for all continuous functions $f: \bar{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The discrete Laplacians $H, \bar{H}$, corresponding respectively to $K$ and $\bar{K}$, are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\bar{H} f) \circ \pi=H(f \circ \pi) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a compact factor of $G$, and observe that $\pi(M)$ is a compact factor of $\bar{G}$, that is, $\bar{G}=\bar{N}(\pi(M))$.

Applying Theorem 1.2 to $\bar{G}$ yields a compact factor $M^{\prime \prime}$ of $\bar{G}$ such that $\Psi_{M^{\prime \prime}}: \bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{N}$ is harmonic with respect to $\bar{K}$. By Lemma 2.2 applied to $\bar{G}$, there is a $z \in \bar{N}$ such that $M^{\prime \prime}=z(\pi(M)) z^{-1}$.

Choose $y \in N$ with $\pi(y)=z$, and consider the compact factor $M^{\prime}=y M y^{-1}$. Clearly $\pi\left(M^{\prime}\right)=M^{\prime \prime}$. Now let $x^{i}: \bar{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, be some linear coordinates on $\bar{N}$. By applying (9) with $f=x^{i} \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime \prime}}$, we find that

$$
x^{i} \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime \prime}} \circ \pi=x^{i} \circ \pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

is harmonic with respect to $K$. Thus $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic, and Theorem 1.1 follows.
4. Proofs of Theorems $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ and 1.4. For the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 , in this section we fix a compact factor $M^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow N /[N, N]$ is harmonic with respect to $K$.

Our analysis is an extension of the analysis of Alexopoulos [3], and we will need to refer to [3] at some points.

Let us fix Haar measures $d m$ and $d x$ on the groups $M^{\prime}$ and $N$ respectively, such that $d m\left(M^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\int_{G} d g f(g)=\int_{M^{\prime}} d m \int_{N} d x f(x m)$ for all $f \in C_{c}(G)$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$ and let $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ be the subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the subgroups $N$ and $M^{\prime}$. The lower central series $\mathfrak{n}_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$, of $\mathfrak{n}$ is given by by $\mathfrak{n}_{1}=\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{n}_{i+1}=\left[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}_{i}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_{i}$, and since $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent there is an $r \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{n}_{r+1}=\{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{r} \neq\{0\}$.

Because $\mathfrak{n}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\left[\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{n}_{i}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_{i}$ for all $i$. We can then choose subspaces $\mathfrak{a}_{i} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ such that $\left[\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{a}_{i}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{i}=\mathfrak{a}_{i} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{i+1}$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{a}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{r}$. Moreover, for each $i$ we can decompose $\mathfrak{a}_{i}=\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{i}^{1}$, where $\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{0}=$ $\left\{x \in \mathfrak{a}_{i}:\left[\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, x\right]=\{0\}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{1}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left[m^{\prime}, x\right]: m^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, x \in \mathfrak{a}_{i}\right\}$.

Set $d=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{n})$ and $d_{i}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{i}\right)=d-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{i+1}\right)$ for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, r\}$. Now fix a basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ is the linear span of $\left\{x_{j}: d_{i-1}<j \leq d_{i}\right\}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, and such that $\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{0}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{1}$ are linearly spanned by the $x_{j}$ 's which they contain. If $j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ with $d_{i-1}<j \leq d_{i}$, then we set $\sigma(j)=i$. Denote by $X_{j}$ the left invariant vector field on $N$ corresponding to $x_{j}$.

As in [3], one defines the homogenized sublaplacian associated with $K$ : it is a left invariant sublaplacian on the nilpotent group $N$, of the form

$$
L=-\sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d_{1}} q_{j k} X_{j} X_{k}
$$

with $\left(q_{j k}\right)$ a real, positive-definite matrix of constants. Let $p_{t}=p_{t}(x, y), t>0, x, y \in N$, be the heat kernel of $L$, that is, the kernel of the semigroup $e^{-t L}$.

Given a kernel $S$ on $N$, that is, $S: N \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and an operator $P$ acting on functions on $N$, then $P S$ will denote the kernel $(P S)(x, y):=P^{x} S(x, y)$ where $P$ acts with respect to the first variable $x$. We use a similar convention for kernels and operators on $G$.

Also, given $S: N \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define $S^{\sharp}: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $S^{\sharp}(g, h)=S\left(\Psi_{M^{\prime}} g, \Psi_{M^{\prime}} h\right)$, $g, h \in G$.

Define the Gaussian $G_{b, t}: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $G_{b, t}(g, h)=t^{-D / 2} e^{-b \rho\left(g^{-1} h\right)^{2} / t}$, for $b, t>0$. The Gaussian estimates for heat kernels on nilpotent Lie groups (see [12, Chapter IV] or [2]) yield, for any $n \geq 0$ and $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n} \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, an estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(X_{j_{1}} X_{j_{2}} \ldots X_{j_{n}} p_{t}\right)^{\sharp}(g, h)\right| \leq c t^{-\left(\sigma\left(j_{1}\right)+\cdots+\sigma\left(j_{n}\right)\right) / 2} G_{b, t}(g, h) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 1, g, h \in G$.
Define an operator $\Phi:=\int d g K(g) R(g)$ acting on functions on $G$, so that $H=$ $I-\Phi$. Observe that $\Phi^{n}$ acts by

$$
\left(\Phi^{n} f\right)(g)=\int_{G} d h K_{n}(g, h) f(h)
$$

for $g \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where we have set $K_{n}(g, h):=K^{(n)}\left(g^{-1} h\right), g, h \in G$.

The Berry-Esseen estimate [3, Theorem 1.9.1] states that

$$
\left\|K_{n}-p_{n}^{\sharp}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c n^{-(D+1) / 2}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the norm in $L^{\infty}(G \times G)$ ). Consider the kernel $U_{t}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}(g, h)=p_{t}^{\sharp}(g, h)+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d_{2}} \chi_{j}(g)\left(X_{j} p_{t}\right)^{\sharp}(g, h)+\sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d_{1}} \chi_{j k}(g)\left(X_{j} X_{k} p_{t}\right)^{\sharp}(g, h) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g, h \in G$, where the smooth, bounded functions $\chi_{j}, \chi_{j k}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the correctors as defined in [3, Section 10.2]. Note that, because of estimates (10), the Berry-Esseen estimate is equivalent to an estimate $\left\|K_{n}-U_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c n^{-(D+1) / 2}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this section, by a difference operator of order $k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we mean an operator of the form $P=\partial_{z_{1}} \ldots \partial_{z_{k}}$ or of the form $P=\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}} \ldots \widetilde{\partial}_{z_{k}}$ for some $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k} \in G$ (the $G_{N}$-invariant operators $\widetilde{\partial}_{z}$ are defined as in Section 1). If $A \subseteq G$ and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k} \in A$, we will say that $P$ has support in $A$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a set of difference operators, all having support in a common compact set $A \subseteq G$, and all of order less than $l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, then we call $\mathcal{D}$ a bounded family (of difference operators).

The following result is essentially a generalization of Theorem 1.9.5 and Corollary 1.9.6 of [3].

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a bounded family and suppose $\delta \in[1 / 2,1)$ is such that, for some $b, c>0$,

$$
\left|P K_{n}\right| \leq c n^{-\delta} G_{b, n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, P \in \mathcal{D}$. Then there exists $c^{\prime}>0$ with

$$
\left\|P K_{n}-P U_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c^{\prime} n^{-1 / 2} n^{-\delta} n^{-D / 2}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, given any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $c^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left|P K_{n}-P U_{n}\right| \leq c^{\prime \prime} n^{-(1 / 2)+\varepsilon} n^{-\delta} G_{b^{\prime \prime}, n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, P \in \mathcal{D}$.
Proof. This result follows from [3, p. 146] in the case where $\delta=1 / 2$ and $\mathcal{D}=$ $\left\{\partial_{z}: z \in A\right\}$ where $A \subseteq G$ is compact. The general case is proved similarly, with obvious changes. In particular, note that the estimate

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i<[n / 2]} i^{-1 / 2}(n-i-1)^{-(D+3) / 2} \leq c n^{-1} n^{-D / 2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

generalizes to

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i<[n / 2]} i^{-\delta}(n-i-1)^{-(D+3) / 2} \leq c_{\delta} n^{-1 / 2} n^{-\delta} n^{-D / 2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

So far in this section, we have not utilized the assumption that $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic. However, this assumption is crucial for the next part of the analysis. As in [3], define
"polynomials" $\mathcal{P}_{i}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on $G$ by setting

$$
\mathcal{P}_{i}\left(\exp \left(t_{d} x_{d}\right) \ldots \exp \left(t_{2} x_{2}\right) \exp \left(t_{1} x_{1}\right) m\right)=t_{i}
$$

for all $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in M^{\prime}$, where $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\}$.
Lemma 4.2. The function $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ is harmonic with respect to $K$, for each $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\}$. Therefore, the correctors $\chi_{i}$ satisfy $\chi_{i}=0$ for all $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\}$.

Proof. Consider the group $\bar{N}:=N /[N, N] \cong \mathbb{R}^{d_{1}}$ and note that the elements $y_{j}:=$ $\pi_{*}\left(x_{j}\right), j \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\}$, form a basis for the Lie algebra of $\bar{N}$. Because $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow \bar{N}$ is harmonic, the first statement of the lemma follows from the equality

$$
\mathcal{P}_{i}=y^{i} \circ \pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}, \quad \text { for } i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\},
$$

where $y^{i}: \bar{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the linear coordinates on $\bar{N}$ defined by $y^{i}\left(\exp \left(t_{d_{1}} y_{d_{1}}\right) \ldots\right.$ $\left.\exp \left(t_{1} y_{1}\right)\right)=t_{i}$, for $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{R}$.

The first statement of the lemma implies that $\int_{G} d g \mathcal{P}_{i}(g) K(g)=0$, and then the definition of the correctors in [3, p. 140] implies that $\chi_{i}=0$ for $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\}$.

Remark. Conversely, one may show from the definitions in [3], that if the correctors $\chi_{i}$ vanish for all $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\}$, then $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ is harmonic for such $i$ and $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic. We omit the details.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is a bounded family such that $\left|P\left(p_{t}^{\sharp}\right)\right| \leq c t^{-1} G_{b, t}$ for all $t \geq 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$
\left|P K_{n}\right| \leq c^{\prime} n^{-1} G_{b^{\prime}, n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, P \in \mathcal{D}$, and for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $c^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}>0$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P K_{n}-P U_{n}\right| \leq c^{\prime \prime} n^{-(3 / 2)+\varepsilon} G_{b^{\prime \prime}, n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, P \in \mathcal{D}$.
Proof. Estimates in this proof are understood to hold uniformly for all $P \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\chi_{i}=0$ for $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\}$, it follows from the hypothesis, the definition (11) of $U_{t}$, and the estimates (10), that

$$
\left|P U_{t}\right| \leq c t^{-1} G_{b, t}
$$

for all $t \geq 1$. Also, because $\mathcal{D}$ is a bounded family, the bounds (2) imply that $\left|P K_{n}\right| \leq$ $c n^{-1 / 2} G_{b, n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now suppose we have proved, for some $\delta \in[1 / 2,1)$, an estimate of form $\left|P K_{n}\right| \leq$ $c n^{-\delta} G_{b, n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then setting $\delta^{\prime}=\min \{\delta+(1 / 4), 1\}$ and using Proposition 4.1, we get

$$
\left|P K_{n}\right| \leq\left|P U_{n}\right|+\left|P K_{n}-P U_{n}\right| \leq c^{\prime} n^{-\delta^{\prime}} G_{b^{\prime}, n}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying this argument with $\delta=1 / 2$, then again with $\delta=3 / 4$, we find that $\left|P K_{n}\right| \leq c n^{-1} G_{b, n}$. Applying Proposition 4.1 again, with $\delta=1-(\varepsilon / 2)$, yields the desired estimate of $P K_{n}-P U_{n}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It follows from the definition of $\#$ that $\partial_{m} p_{t}^{\sharp}=0$ for all $m \in$ $M^{\prime}$. Then applying Theorem 4.3 to the family $\mathcal{D}=\left\{\partial_{m}, \partial_{z} \partial_{m}: m \in M^{\prime}, z \in U\right\}$ yields the Gaussian estimates of Theorem 1.3.

Next, let $P=\partial_{m}$ where $m \in M^{\prime}$. Formally, we have $H^{-1}-I=(I-\Phi)^{-1}-I=$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi^{n}$. Therefore, the operator $P H^{-1}-P=P\left(H^{-1}-I\right)$ has integral kernel $K^{\prime}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
K^{\prime}(g, h)= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P K_{n}(g, h) \\
= & S(g, h)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(P p_{n}^{\sharp}\right)(g, h)+\sum_{d_{1}<j \leq d_{2}} P\left\{\chi_{j}(g) Q_{j}(g, h)\right\} \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d_{1}} P\left\{\chi_{j k}(g) Q_{j k}(g, h)\right\}, \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined kernels

$$
S:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(P K_{n}-P U_{n}\right), \quad Q_{j}:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{j} p_{n}\right)^{\sharp}, \quad Q_{j k}:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{j} X_{k} p_{n}\right)^{\sharp},
$$

and used the fact that $\chi_{j}=0$ for $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{1}\right\}$. Now $P p_{n}^{\sharp}=0$. Also, one deduces from (12) that there exists $\sigma>0$ such that

$$
|S(g, h)| \leq c \rho\left(g^{-1} h\right)^{-(D+\sigma)}, \quad g, h \in G
$$

and hence the operator acting with integral kernel $S$ is bounded in $L^{p}$ for all $p \in[1, \infty]$.
Next, we claim that the operators acting with integral kernel $Q_{j}, d_{1}<j \leq d_{2}$, or $Q_{j k}$, $1 \leq j, k \leq d_{1}$, are bounded in $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$. Indeed, it follows straightforwardly from (10) that these kernels satisfy standard Calderon-Zygmund estimates on $G$. One can use an almost-orthogonality argument to establish the boundedness of the operators in $L^{2}$, and then Calderon-Zygmund theory yields the boundedness in $L^{p}$ (see, for example, [3, Section 17] and [11, pp. 623-625] for arguments of this type).

The operator $P$, and the operators of multiplication by $\chi_{j}, \chi_{j k}$, are trivially bounded in $L^{p}$. From (13) we now see that $P H^{-1}-P$, hence also $P H^{-1}$, is bounded in $L^{p}$, $1<p<\infty$.

The boundedness of $\partial_{z} \partial_{m} H^{-1}$ follows from that of $\partial_{m} H^{-1}$, and the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

Remark. In general, the kernel $K^{\prime}$ of the operator $\partial_{m} H^{-1}-\partial_{m}\left(m \in M^{\prime}\right)$ does not satisfy Calderon-Zygmund estimates on $G$, so one cannot apply the CalderonZygmund theory directly to this kernel. A similar problem occurs for the first order Riesz transforms $\partial_{z} H^{-1 / 2}-\partial_{z}$ considered in [3].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Define $G_{N}=G_{N}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and consider the bounded family $\mathcal{D}=$ $\left\{\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}} \widetilde{\partial}_{z_{2}}: z_{1}, z_{2} \in U\right\}$ of $G_{N}$-invariant difference operators. If $z_{i}=w_{i} m_{i}(i=1,2)$ with $w_{i} \in N$ and $m_{i} \in M^{\prime}$, then

$$
\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}} \widetilde{\partial}_{z_{2}}\left(p_{t}^{\sharp}\right)=\widetilde{\partial}_{w_{1}} \widetilde{\partial}_{w_{2}}\left(p_{t}^{\sharp}\right)=\left\{\left(I-R_{N}\left(w_{1}\right)\right)\left(I-R_{N}\left(w_{2}\right)\right) p_{t}\right\}^{\sharp},
$$

where $R_{N}$ denotes the right regular representation of $N$. It easily follows, by using (10), that $\left|P p_{t}^{\sharp}\right| \leq c t^{-1} G_{b, t}$ for all $t \geq 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore, Theorem 4.3 applies and yields $\left|P K_{n}\right| \leq c^{\prime} n^{-1} G_{b^{\prime}, n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, P \in \mathcal{D}$, which is the desired Gaussian estimate.

Next, fix $z_{1}, z_{2} \in G$ and let $P=\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}} \widetilde{\partial}_{z_{2}}$. Since one has an estimate $\left|P p_{t}^{\sharp}\right| \leq c t^{-1} G_{b, t}$, $t \geq 1$, we can apply Theorem 4.3 to $P$.

Then a repetition of the proof of Theorem 1.3 shows that $\mathrm{PH}^{-1}$ is bounded in $L^{p}$, $1<p<\infty$. The only new step is to show that the operator $T$ with integral kernel

$$
K^{\prime \prime}(g, h):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(P p_{n}^{\sharp}\right)(g, h)
$$

is bounded in $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$. But since $K^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies standard Calderon-Zygmund estimates (use again (10)), the boundedness of $T$ can be established by the same reasoning used to prove the boundedness for the kernels $Q_{j}, Q_{j k}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Then the proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete.

Finally, the following Berry-Esseen estimate is of some interest. It follows from Theorem 4.3 and the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

Theorem 4.4. Assume that $\pi \circ \Psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is harmonic. Then for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $c, b>0$ such that

$$
\left|\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}} \widetilde{\partial}_{z_{2}} K_{n}-\widetilde{\partial}_{z_{1}} \tilde{\partial}_{z_{2}} U_{n}\right|+\left|\partial_{m} K_{n}-\partial_{m} U_{n}\right| \leq c n^{-(3 / 2)+\varepsilon} G_{b, n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, z_{1}, z_{2} \in U$ and $m \in M^{\prime}$.
By refining our arguments one could probably obtain this estimate also for $\varepsilon=0$, but we do not need this improvement.
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