The findings in relation to areas of residence demonstrates the additional clinical load above the immediate district commitment which a general hospital psychiatric department carries. A final comment should be made about the complexity of many referrals, the unusual setting for psychiatric interview, the multiple factors requiring attention in deciding clinical management (11), and, as we have seen, the expectations that trainees will cope in the emergency situation, preferably without recourse to admitting the patient to an expensive hospital bed. Patients had disturbed social circumstances, sometimes serious psychiatric and physical illnesses, unpredictable and life-threatening behaviour, and complicating alcohol abuse with occasional violence. These patients make challenging demands on trainees in psychiatry but provide them with very valuable experience. This audit has answered the questions posed and has raised issues concerned with supervision and training in clinical management of emergency patients. The lessons to be taken from this audit are firstly that closer support and supervision for trainees in A & E consultation work is warranted, and secondly that as far as modern service conditions allow, undue pressure should not be applied to trainees to maintain potentially suicidal patients outside hospital.

Acknowledgements

Our thanks are due to the psychiatric and A & E staff involved in the assessment and care of these patients.

References

- Salkovskis PM, Storer D, Atha C, Warwick MC. Psychiatric morbidity in an accident and emergency department. Br J Psychiatry 1990; 156: 483-7
- Watson JP. Psychiatric problems in accident departments. Lancet 1969;
 1: 877-9.
- Anstee BH. Psychiatry in the casualty department. Br J Psychiatry 1972; 120: 625-9.
- 4. Mayou R, Anderson M, Feinmann C, Hodgson G, Jenkins PL. The present state of consultation and liaison psychiatry. Psychiatric Bulletin 1990; 14: 321-5.
- 5. Schofield A, Doonan H, Daly RJ. Liaison psychiatry in an Irish hospital: a survey of a year's experience. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 1986; 8: 119-22.
- General Medical Services (Payments) Board 1991 Report. Dublin: Raven House, 1991.
- Sellar C, Hawton K, Goldacre MJ. Self-poisoning in adolescents. Br J Psychiatry 1990; 156: 866-70.
- Holt S, Stewart IC, Dixon JMJ, Elton RA, Taylor TL, Little K. Alcohol and the emergency service patient. BMJ 1980; 281: 638-40.
- Allen M, Cronin J, Plunkett PK. Parasuicide attendances at St. James's hospital Accident and Emergency Department, Dublin, from 1st January 1990 to 31st December, 1990. Irish Social Worker 1992; 11(1): 13-6
- Dunn J, Fernando R. Psychiatric presentations to an accident and emergency department. Psychiatric Bulletin 1989; 13: 672-4.
- Gerson S, Bassuk E. Psychiatric emergencies: an overview. Am J Psychiatry 1980; 137: 1-11.

Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine 1993 June; 10 (2): 127

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Side effects of moclobemide in depressed patients refractory to other treatments

Sir, -

The key wording in the title of Professor Fahy's paper (1) about side-effects of moclobemide is that these were recorded in depressed patients "refractory to other treatments". In other words, these were very atypical of depressed patients generally, both in their "extensive diagnostic co-morbidity" and in their previous refractoriness to a variety of treatment methods.

Patient 1, for instance, was not only resistant to all other treatments, both pharmacological and psychological, but had "an intense psychotic reaction" when given alprazolam a far from everyday occurrence. Patient 4 had a history of epilepsy, which surely cannot be irrelevant to outbursts of rage. Patient 5 presents the puzzling history of tolerating classical MAOIs (combined with tricyclics) without difficulty, yet having "racing thoughts" whilst on moclobemide; the chronic panic disorder from which she suffers is, of course, not uncommonly associated with symptoms of depersonalisation. Patient 6 was irritable whenever depressed or premenstrual. Patient 7 does not seem to have had any problems with moclobemide apart from the fact that it alone did not cure her schizo-affective disorder - which is not very surprising. Patient 8 had previously attributed feelings of unreality to dothiepin, but these symptoms were probably as unrelated to that drug as they were to moclobemide. Patient 9 seems to have had no different problems whilst on moclobemide from those he had before; his global personality disorder would have been very unlikely to resolve with an antidepressant alone. Patient 10 failed to tolerate dothiepin also; her eventual recovery was very likely a function of time, since no previous depressive history is recorded. Patient 11 did not respond to tricyclics, and her eventual outcome is not recorded. Patient 12 suffered primarily from a paranoid disorder and made no complaints possibly relevant to moclobemide. Patient 13 suffered from

chronic panic disorder, so that the same comment applies to her as to Patient 2; that she complained of 'tunes in the head' when taking two entirely different antidepressants must make their connection with any drugs very questionable. Patient 14 responded predictably to a course of ECT, and made no complaints relevant to moclobemide; the same is true of patient 15.

In the case of Patients 2 and 3, there is no obvious alternative explanation for the symptoms said to be related to moclobemide, although feelings of unreality can occur during courses of ECT, even when no drugs are involved.

So far as the literature is concerned, the most recent authoritative review (2) concludes that, "moclobemide appears to be devoid of the central excitatory effects... associated with the irreversible MAO inhibitors". In placebocontrolled studies, the only unwanted effect occurring significantly more often with moclobemide was nausea – and that is only 10% of subjects. Although this drug is clearly not sedating, the evidence was that it "appears to produce little central excitation".

Professor Fahy is a distinguished clinician, and no one would wish to question the accuracy of his report. However, the only take-home message from this paper is that depressed cases at the extreme end of the spectrum of clinical difficulty will continue to need complex, prolonged, and often multimodal management. It would seem highly premature on the basis of these case reports to propose any aetiological relationship between the clinical events described and the use of moclobemide.

Prof Hugh Freeman,

21 Montagu Square, London W1H 1RE.

References

- Fahy TJ. Side effects of moclobemide in depressed patients refractory to other treatments. Ir J Psychol Med 1993 Feb; 10 (1): 24-7.
- Fitton A, Faulds D, Goa KL. Moclobemide a review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic use in depressive illness. Drugs 1992: 43: 561-96



New Horizons in the Treatment of Depression

The First RIMA*

MANERIX

*The first R.I.M.A. antidepressant Reversible Inhibition of Mao-A

Brief Prescribing Information

Indication: Moderate or severe depression. Dosage: Adults Usual starting dose 300mg daily, range 150 - 600mg daily. Elderly as adults. Children Not recommended. Contra-indications: Known hypersensitivity to Manerix: acute confusional states. Do not co-administer with pethidine. Precautions: Monitor suicidal patients closely initially. Precipitation of manic episodes possible in bipolar disorders. Restlessness or agitation possible in depression. No experience in schizophrenic disorders. Theoretical risk of hypertensive reaction in thyrotoxicosis or phaeochromocytoma. Use with caution in epilepsy. Although performance of skilled tasks not generally affected monitor initially. Safety in pregnancy not established. Depressive patients with excitation or agitation as the predominant clinical feature should either not be treated with Manerix or only in combination with a sedative (e.g. a benzodiazepine, for not more than two to three weeks). Dietary precautions: Despite reduced risk of food interactions due to reversible nature of inhibition and selectivity for MAO-A, hypertensives should avoid eating more that 100g mature cheese. Drug Interactions: Manerix potentiates bupprofen and opiates, and may alter dose requirements of morphine and fentanyl. Reduce Manerix dose by half if given with cimetidine. Possible potentiation and prolongation of effects of systemic sympathomimetrics. Insufficient data on use with 5-HT uptake inhibitors/analogs. Side-effects and adverse reactions: Transient sleep disturbance, dizziness, nausea and headache. Reversible confusional states. Possible anticholinergic-like effects. Immediate reporting to NDAB required. Overdosage increased agitation, aggressiveness and behavioural changes. Maintain vital functions. Further Information: Use under specialist medical supervision. Pharmaceutical Precautions: Store in dry place Legal Category: Restricted to sale or supply on prescription. Presentation: 150mg tabs x 30

1. Versiani, M. et Al. A double-blind comparative trial of moclobernide vs imipramine and placebo in major depressive episodes. Br J Psychiatry Vol 55, Suppl 6, p72-77 (1989). 2. Lecrubier, Y. Efficacy of reversible inhibitors of MAO-A in various forms of depression. Acta Psychiatrica Scand. Suppl. 360 Vol 82 p18-23 (1990). 3. McClelland, G. Data on file. Roche Products GCR 144785. 4. Versiani, M. et al. Tolerability of moclobernide, a new reversible inhibitor of monoamine oxidase-A, compared with other antidepressants and placebo. Acta Psychiatrica Scand. Suppl. 360, Vol 82, p24-28 (1990). 5. Cassidy and Henry: Fatal toxicity of Antidepressant Drugs in Overdose BMJ Vol 295 6805 p1021-1024 (1987). 6. Chapter 2 Myler's Side Effects of Drugs 11th Edition Ed. MNG Dukes. 7. Hetzel, W.: Safety of moclobernide in Overdose for Suicidal Attempts. Submitted for publication in Psychiptarmacology. 8. Monti, JM. Effect of a Reversible Monoamine Oxidase-A Inhibitor (Moclobernide) on Sleep of Depressed Patients. Br J Psychiatry Vol 155, Suppl 6, p16-65 (1989). 9. Hindmarsh, I. & Kerr J.: The Behavioural Toxicity of Antidepressants with particular reference to Moclobernide. 10. Baumhackl, U. et al. Efficacy and tolerability of moclobernide compared with imipramine in depressive order (DSM-111). An Austrian Double-Blind, Multicentre Study. Br J Psychiatry Vol 155 Suppl 6 p78-83 (1989).



a leader in CNS research