## SELF-ADJOINT SQUARE ROOTS OF POSITIVE SELF-ADJOINT BOUNDED LINEAR OPERATORS

*by* C. A. STUART (Received 21st July 1971)

A corollary of the main theorem presented in this note is a generalisation of the well-known result that a self-adjoint square root of a positive self-adjoint compact linear map in a Hilbert space is itself a compact linear map. The method used here exploits the techniques developed recently in the study of k-set contractions ((1), (2)).

Before stating our results, it is convenient to recall the relevant definitions. In all that follows H will denote a Hilbert space.

**Definition.** The ball measure of non-compactness of a bounded set  $\Omega \subset H$ , denoted by  $\beta(\Omega)$ , is defined by

 $\beta(\Omega) = \inf \{\delta \colon \Omega \text{ can be covered by a finite number of balls in H with radius } \delta\}.$ 

**Definition.** A continuous map T:  $H \rightarrow H$  is a k-ball contraction provided that

 $\beta(T(\Omega)) \leq k\beta(\Omega)$  for all bounded sets  $\Omega \subset H$ .

Note that a bounded set  $\Omega \subset H$  is relatively compact if and only if  $\beta(\Omega) = 0$ . Hence a map T:  $H \rightarrow H$  is completely continuous if and only if it is a 0-ball contraction. Many results originally obtained for completely continuous maps have now been extended to k-ball contractions, provided k < 1.

Turning now to linear maps, we see that, if  $T: H \rightarrow H$  is bounded and linear, then T is a ||T||-ball contraction. However, as is easily seen by considering compact linear maps, ||T|| need not equal

 $\gamma(T) = \inf \{k: T \text{ is a } k \text{-ball contraction} \}.$ 

In fact,  $\gamma(T) = 0$  if and only if T is compact. It is easily checked that  $\gamma$  defines a seminorm on the linear space of all bounded linear maps from H into itself. Just as  $||TS|| \leq ||T|| ||S||$  for bounded linear maps on H, the above seminorm has the property that  $\gamma(TS) \leq \gamma(T)\gamma(S)$ . Concerning the involution \*, denoting the adjoint, we recall that  $||T|| = ||T^*|| = ||T^*T||^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Our main result shows that the seminorm  $\gamma$  has a similar property.

**Theorem.** Let H be a Hilbert space and A:  $H \rightarrow H$  a bounded linear map. Then

$$\gamma(A) = \gamma(A^*) = \{\gamma(A^*A)\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $A^*$ :  $H \rightarrow H$  denotes the adjoint of A.

## C. A. STUART

**Proof.** As is shown in (2),  $\gamma(A) = \gamma(A^*)$ . Furthermore, from what has been said above,

$$\gamma(A^*A) \leq \gamma(A^*)\gamma(A) = \{\gamma(A)\}^2.$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove that

$$\{\gamma(A^*A)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \gamma(A).$$

With this in mind, let  $\gamma(A^*A) = k$ . We shall now complete the proof by showing that A is a  $k^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -ball contraction.

We give the proof for a real Hilbert space; but, *mutatis mutandis*, it will establish the result for complex Hilbert spaces.

It is enough to show that, if D = S(z, d) (the closed ball in H with centre z and radius d), then given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A(D) can be covered by finitely many balls of radius less than or equal to  $k^{\frac{1}{2}}d + \sqrt{2\varepsilon d}$ .

Now  $A^*A(S(0, 1))$  can be covered by finitely many balls of radius  $k + \varepsilon/d$ . Suppose that

$$A^*A(S(0, 1)) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N S(x_j, k+\varepsilon/d).$$

Since D is bounded,  $\{(x_j, y): y \in D\}$  is a relatively compact subset of the real line, for each  $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . Hence  $\{(x_j, y): y \in D\}$  can be covered by a finite number,  $M_j$  (say), of closed intervals  $S_i^j$  each of length less than or equal to  $\varepsilon$ , for  $i \in \{1, ..., M_j\}$  and  $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . Let  $p = (p_1, ..., p_N)$  where  $p_j \in \{1, ..., M_j\}$  and set

$$E_{p} = \{ y \in D : (x_{j}, y) \in S_{p_{j}}^{j} \text{ for each } j \in \{1, ..., N\} \}.$$

Clearly  $A(D) = \bigcup A(E_p)$ . Since this is a finite union the proof will be complete if we show that  $A(E_p)$  is contained in a ball of radius  $k^{\frac{1}{2}}d + \sqrt{2\epsilon d}$ .

With this in mind, we note that each  $E_p$  is closed and convex. Suppose now that  $E_p$  is non-empty. Then let  $z_p$  denote the unique nearest point of  $E_p$  to z. It follows that

$$||z_p - y|| \le ||z - y|| \le d \quad \text{for all } y \in E_p.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

We shall now show that  $A(E_p) \subset S(Az_p, k^{\frac{1}{2}}d + \sqrt{2\epsilon d})$ . Let  $y \in E_p$ . Then

$$\|Ay - Az_{p}\|^{2} = (A(y - z_{p}), A(y - z_{p}))$$
  
=  $(A^{*}A(y - z_{p}), y - z_{p})$   
 $\leq \|A^{*}A(y - z_{p})\| \|y - z_{p}\|$   
 $\leq \|A^{*}A(y - z_{p})\| d$  by (1).

Now,

$$\| A^*A(y-z_p) \| = \sup_{x \in S(0, 1)} |(x, A^*A(y-z_p))|$$
  
= 
$$\sup_{x \in S(0, 1)} |(A^*Ax, y-z_p)|.$$

78

But, for  $x \in S(0, 1)$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$  such that  $||A^*Ax - x_j|| \leq k + \varepsilon/d$ , and so

$$\|(A^*Ax, y-z_p)\| \leq |(A^*Ax-x_j, y-z_p)| + |(x_j, y-z_p)|$$
$$\leq (k+\varepsilon/d)d + |(x_j, y-z_p)| \quad \text{by (1)}$$
$$\leq kd+\varepsilon+\varepsilon.$$

(Observe that, since y and  $z_p \in E_p$ , we have  $(x_j, y)$  and  $(x_j, z_p)$  both belong to the interval  $S_{p_j}^j$  which has length less than or equal to  $\varepsilon$ .)

Therefore 
$$|| A^*A(y-z_p)|| \le kd+2\varepsilon$$
, and so  
 $|| Ay-Az_p || \le (kd+2\varepsilon)d = kd^2+2\varepsilon d$   
 $\le (k^{\frac{1}{2}}d+\sqrt{2\varepsilon d})^2.$ 

Hence  $||Ay - Az_p|| \le k^{\frac{1}{2}}d + \sqrt{2\varepsilon d}$ , and the proof is complete.

**Corollary.** Let H be a Hilbert space and A:  $H \rightarrow H$  be a positive self-adjoint bounded linear map. Then, for any self-adjoint square root,  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , of A, we have

$$\gamma(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \{\gamma(A)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Remark.** Clearly this corollary has the classical result for compact linear maps as a special case.

Finally, I should like to thank the referee for some valuable comments.

## REFERENCES

(1) R. NUSSBAUM, The radius of the essential spectrum, Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 473-478.

(2) J. R. L. WEBB, Remarks on k-set contractions, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 4 (1971), 614-629.

UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX BRIGHTON