

Boundary Behavior of Solutions of the Helmholtz Equation

Kentaro Hirata

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the boundary behavior of solutions of the Helmholtz equation in \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, we give a Littlewood-type theorem to show that the approach region introduced by Korányi and Taylor (1983) is best possible.

1 Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$ and let us denote a typical point in \mathbb{R}^n by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The usual inner product and norm are written respectively as $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$ and $|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. The symbol $O(n)$ stands for the set of all orthogonal transformations on \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\lambda > 0$. We consider the Helmholtz equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta u = \lambda^2 u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial x_1^2 + \dots + \partial^2/\partial x_n^2$. It is known that the Martin boundary for positive solutions of (1.1) can be identified with the unit sphere S of \mathbb{R}^n , and that every positive solution u of (1.1) can be represented as $u = K\mu$ for some Radon measure μ on S , where

$$K\mu(x) = \int_S e^{\lambda \langle x, y \rangle} d\mu(y) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

See [4, Corollary to Theorem 4] and [9]. Let σ denote the surface measure on S . Since $K\sigma(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. Lemma 2.1), we investigate the behavior at infinity of the normalization $K\mu/K\sigma$. Let $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and let Ω be an unbounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n converging to e at ∞ in the sense that $|x/|x| - e| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ within Ω . We write $\Omega(y)$ for the image of Ω under an element of $O(n)$ mapping e to y . Then $\{\Omega(y) : y \in S\}$ makes a collection of approach regions. By the notation $\Omega(y) \ni x \rightarrow \infty$, we mean that $x \rightarrow \infty$ within $\Omega(y)$. Korányi and Taylor [9] considered the following approach region. For $\alpha > 0$ and $y \in S$, define

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(y) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - |x|y| \leq \alpha\sqrt{|x|} \right\}.$$

Theorem A *Let $\alpha > 0$ and let μ be a Radon measure on S . Then*

$$\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha(y) \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) = \frac{d\mu}{d\sigma}(y) \quad \text{for } \sigma\text{-a.e. } y \in S.$$

Received by the editors October 9, 2006.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 31B25; secondary: 35J05.

Keywords: boundary behavior, Helmholtz equation.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2009.

This result corresponds to Fatou’s theorem [5] for the boundary behavior of harmonic functions in the unit ball or the upper half space of \mathbb{R}^n , (see also [8, 12] for invariant harmonic functions in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n). The result corresponding to Nagel–Stein’s theorem [11] was established by Berman and Singman [3] and Gowrisankaran and Singman [6]. These results show that there exists an unbounded subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^n converging to e at ∞ such that

$$\limsup_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x - |x|e|}{\sqrt{|x|}} = +\infty$$

and that

$$\lim_{\Omega(y) \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) = \frac{d\mu}{d\sigma}(y) \quad \text{for } \sigma\text{-a.e. } y \in S,$$

whenever μ is a Radon measure on S . Berman and Singman also showed its converse (see [3, Theorem B and Remark 1. 13(a)]).

Theorem B *Let Ω be an unbounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n converging to e at ∞ and satisfying*

$$(1.2) \quad \limsup_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x - |x|e|}{\sqrt{|x|}} = +\infty.$$

Suppose in addition that Ω is invariant under all elements of $O(n)$ that preserve the point e . Then there exists a Radon measure μ on S such that

$$\limsup_{\Omega(y) \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) = +\infty \quad \text{for every } y \in S.$$

Note that the second assumption on Ω cannot be omitted from their construction even if “lim sup” in (1.2) is replaced by “lim”.

The purpose of this paper is to show the following Littlewood-type theorem. See [1, 2, 7, 10] for harmonic or invariant harmonic functions.

Theorem 1.1 *Let γ be a curve in \mathbb{R}^n converging to e at ∞ and satisfying*

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x - |x|e|}{\sqrt{|x|}} = +\infty.$$

Then there exists a solution u of (1.1) such that $u/K\sigma$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^n and that $u/K\sigma$ admits no limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along $T\gamma$ for every $T \in O(n)$.

Remark 1.2. We indeed construct u satisfying $-1 \leq u/K\sigma \leq 1$ and

$$\liminf_{T\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u}{K\sigma}(x) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{T\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u}{K\sigma}(x) = 1$$

for every $T \in O(n)$. Note that “lim” in (1.3) cannot be replaced by “lim sup” as mentioned above (cf. [3, 6]).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on our previous work [7] for invariant harmonic functions in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , which was a refinement of Aikawa’s method [1, 2] for harmonic functions in the unit disc or the upper half space of \mathbb{R}^n . In Section 4, we remark that our construction and estimates are applicable to show the analogue of Theorem B.

2 Lemmas

The symbol A denotes an absolute positive constant depending only on λ and the dimension n , and may change from line to line. The following estimate is found in [3, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 2.1 *There exists a constant $A > 1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{A}e^{\lambda|x|}|x|^{(1-n)/2} \leq K\sigma(x) \leq Ae^{\lambda|x|}|x|^{(1-n)/2}$$

whenever $|x| \geq 1$.

The surface ball of center $y \in S$ and radius $r > 0$ is denoted by

$$Q(y, r) = \{x \in S : |x - y| < r\}.$$

Then we observe that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(Q(y, r))}{r^{n-1}} = \nu_{n-1},$$

where ν_{n-1} is the volume of the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Moreover, there exists a constant $A > 1$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{A}r^{n-1} \leq \sigma(Q(y, r)) \leq Ar^{n-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < r \leq 2.$$

Let π be the radial projection onto S , i.e., $\pi(x) = x/|x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. For a Radon measure μ on S , we define the maximal function $M_{(c)}\mu$ with parameter $c \geq 1$ by

$$M_{(c)}\mu(x) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(Q(\pi(x), r))}{r^{n-1}} : r \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{|x|}} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2 *Let $c \geq 1$ and let μ be a Radon measure on S . Then*

$$\frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) \leq A \left(|x|^{(n-1)/2} \mu(Q(\pi(x), c/\sqrt{|x|})) + \frac{1}{c} M_{(c)}\mu(x) \right)$$

whenever $|x| \geq 1$.

Proof Let $|x| \geq 1$. Since $|x| - \langle x, y \rangle = |x||\pi(x) - y|^2/2$ for $y \in S$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) \leq A|x|^{(n-1)/2} \int_S e^{-(\lambda/2)|x||\pi(x)-y|^2} d\mu(y).$$

Let $Q_1 = Q(\pi(x), c/\sqrt{|x|})$ and $Q_j = Q(\pi(x), jc/\sqrt{|x|}) \setminus Q(\pi(x), (j-1)c/\sqrt{|x|})$ for $j = 2, \dots, N$, where N is the smallest integer such that $Nc/\sqrt{|x|} > 2$. Then for $j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\int_{Q_j} e^{-(\lambda/2)|x||\pi(x)-y|^2} d\mu(y) \leq e^{-(\lambda/2)((j-1)c)^2} \mu(Q(\pi(x), jc/\sqrt{|x|})).$$

Therefore the right-hand side of (2.3) is bounded by

$$A \left(|x|^{(n-1)/2} \mu(Q(\pi(x), c/\sqrt{|x|})) + \sum_{j \geq 2} e^{-(\lambda/2)((j-1)c)^2} (jc)^{n-1} M_{(c)} \mu(x) \right).$$

Since $\sum_{j \geq 2} e^{-(\lambda/2)((j-1)c)^2} (jc)^{n-1} \leq A/c$, we obtain the required estimate. ■

For an integrable function f on S , we write $Kf = K(f d\sigma)$ and $M_{(c)} f = M_{(c)}(|f| d\sigma)$.

Lemma 2.3 *The following statements hold.*

- (i) *Let μ be a Radon measure on S . Then $\frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) \leq AM_{(1)}\mu(x)$ whenever $|x| \geq 1$.*
- (ii) *Let $y \in S, 0 < r < 1$ and $c \geq 1$. Suppose that f is a Borel measurable function on S such that $f = 1$ on $Q(y, cr)$ and $|f| \leq 1$ on S . Then $\frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(ty) \geq 1 - \frac{A}{c}$ whenever $\sqrt{t} \geq 1/r$.*

Proof Lemma 2.2 with $c = 1$ gives (i). To show (ii), let $g = (1 - f)/2$. Then $g = 0$ on $Q(y, cr)$ and $|g| \leq 1$ on S . Observe from Lemma 2.2 and (2.2) that if $\sqrt{t} \geq 1/r$, then

$$\frac{Kg}{K\sigma}(ty) \leq \frac{A}{c} M_{(c)} g(ty) \leq \frac{A}{c} \sup \left\{ \frac{\sigma(Q(y, \rho))}{\rho^{n-1}} : \rho \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}} \right\} \leq \frac{A}{c}.$$

Since $Kf = K\sigma - 2Kg$, we obtain (ii) ■

For a set E , let $\text{diam } E = \sup\{|x - y| : x, y \in E\}$.

Lemma 2.4 *Let γ be a curve in \mathbb{R}^n converging to e at ∞ and satisfying (1.3). Then there exist sequences of numbers $\{a_j\}_{j \geq 1}$, $\{b_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ and subarcs $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ of γ with the following properties:*

- (i) $1 < a_1 < b_1 < \dots < a_j < b_j < a_{j+1} < b_{j+1} < \dots \rightarrow +\infty$,
- (ii) $a_j \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_j$ for $x \in \gamma_j$,
- (iii) $b_{j-1} \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j) \leq 1$ if $j \geq 2$,
- (iv) $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} a_j \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j) = +\infty$.

Proof Let $\{\alpha_j\}$ be a sequence such that $\alpha_j \rightarrow +\infty$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, and let us choose $\{a_j\}$, $\{b_j\}$, and $\{\gamma_j\}$ inductively. By (1.3), we find $a_1 > \max\{1, \inf_{x \in \gamma} \sqrt{|x|}\}$ with

$$\sqrt{|x|} |\pi(x) - e| \geq \alpha_1 \quad \text{for } x \in \gamma \cap \{\sqrt{|x|} \geq a_1\}.$$

Let γ' be the connected component of $\gamma \cap \{\sqrt{|x|} \geq a_1\}$ that converges to ∞ , and let $x_1 \in \gamma' \cap \{\sqrt{|x|} = a_1\}$. Then $\text{diam } \pi(\gamma') \geq |\pi(x_1) - e| \geq \frac{\alpha_1}{a_1}$. Let γ'' be a subarc of γ' starting from x_1 toward ∞ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \gamma''} \sqrt{|x|} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam } \pi(\gamma'') \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } \pi(\gamma').$$

We take $b_1 > \sup_{x \in \gamma''} \sqrt{|x|}$. Let γ_1 be the connected component of $\gamma \cap \{a_1 \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_1\}$ containing γ'' . Then $\text{diam } \pi(\gamma_1) \geq \frac{\alpha_1}{2a_1}$. We next choose a_2, b_2 and γ_2 as

follows. By (1.3) and the fact that $|\pi(x) - e| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along γ , we find $a_2 > b_1$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{2b_1} \geq |\pi(x) - e| \geq \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{|x|}} \quad \text{for } x \in \gamma \cap \{\sqrt{|x|} \geq a_2\}.$$

Repeat the above process to get $b_2 > a_2$ and γ_2 such that $a_2 \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_2$ for $x \in \gamma_2$ and $\text{diam } \pi(\gamma_2) \geq \alpha_2/2a_2$. Then (2.4) also yields that

$$\text{diam } \pi(\gamma_2) \leq 2 \sup_{x \in \gamma_2} |\pi(x) - e| \leq \frac{1}{b_1}.$$

Continue this process to obtain the required sequences. ■

3 Construction

Throughout this section, we suppose that $\{a_j\}_{j \geq 1}$, $\{b_j\}_{j \geq 1}$, and $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ are as in Lemma 2.4. Let

$$(3.1) \quad \ell_j = \frac{\text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j)}{3}, \quad c_j = \sqrt{a_j \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_j = \frac{c_j}{a_j}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4,

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \ell_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\rho_j}{\ell_j} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_j = +\infty.$$

Therefore, in the construction below we may assume that $\rho_j < \ell_j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose finitely many points $\{y_j^\nu\}_\nu$ in S such that

- (i) $S = \bigcup_\nu Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j)$,
- (ii) $Q(y_j^\mu, \ell_j/2) \cap Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j/2) = \emptyset$ if $\mu \neq \nu$.

For example, a maximal family of pairwise disjoint surface balls $\{Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j/2)\}_\nu$ satisfies (i) and (ii). We define

$$(3.3) \quad M_j = \bigcup_\nu \{y \in S : |y - y_j^\nu| = \ell_j\},$$

$$(3.4) \quad G_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_j \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_j \text{ and } \pi(x) \in M_j\}.$$

Then we have the following.

Lemma 3.1 $T\gamma_j \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$ for any $T \in O(n)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof By (i), we find ν with $\pi(T\gamma_j) \cap Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\text{diam } \pi(T\gamma_j) = \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j) = 3\ell_j$, we see that $\pi(T\gamma_j) \cap M_j \neq \emptyset$. Therefore it follows from $T\gamma_j \subset \{a_j \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_j\}$ that $T\gamma_j \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$. ■

Let $R_j^\nu = \{y \in S : \ell_j - \rho_j < |y - y_j^\nu| < \ell_j + \rho_j\}$ and define

$$(3.5) \quad E_j = \bigcup_{\nu} R_j^\nu.$$

Note that $Q(y, \rho_j) \subset E_j$ if $y \in M_j$. By \mathcal{X}_E we denote the characteristic function of E .

Lemma 3.2 *The following properties for the above $\{E_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ hold.*

- (i) $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup \left\{ \frac{K\mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K\sigma}(x) : \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_{j-1} \right\} \right) = 0.$
- (ii) $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(E_j) = 0.$

Proof Since the value $\sigma(R_j^\nu)$ is independent of ν , we write $\sigma_j = \sigma(R_j^\nu)$. For a moment, we fix j and let $\sqrt{|x|} \leq b_{j-1}$. By Lemma 2.3(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K\mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K\sigma}(x) &\leq AM_{(1)}\mathcal{X}_{E_j}(x) \leq A \sup \left\{ \sum_{\nu} \frac{\sigma(R_j^\nu \cap Q(\pi(x), r))}{r^{n-1}} : r \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} \right\} \\ &\leq A \sup \left\{ \frac{\sigma_j}{r^{n-1}} N_j : r \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where N_j is the number of ν such that $R_j^\nu \cap Q(\pi(x), r) \neq \emptyset$. If $r \geq 1/\sqrt{|x|}$, then $r \geq 1/b_{j-1} \geq \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j) = 3\ell_j$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore $R_j^\nu \cap Q(\pi(x), r) \neq \emptyset$ implies $Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j/2) \subset Q(\pi(x), 2r)$. It follows from (ii) that $N_j \leq A(r/\ell_j)^{n-1}$. Hence we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \sup \left\{ \frac{K\mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K\sigma}(x) : \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_{j-1} \right\} \leq A \frac{\sigma_j}{\ell_j^{n-1}}.$$

Observe from (2.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_j}{\ell_j^{n-1}} &= \left(\frac{\ell_j + \rho_j}{\ell_j} \right)^{n-1} \frac{\sigma(Q(y, \ell_j + \rho_j))}{(\ell_j + \rho_j)^{n-1}} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\ell_j - \rho_j}{\ell_j} \right)^{n-1} \frac{\sigma(Q(y, \ell_j - \rho_j))}{(\ell_j - \rho_j)^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.6) concludes (i).

Taking $x = 0$ in (i), we obtain

$$\sigma(E_j) = \sigma(S) \frac{K\mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K\sigma}(0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus (ii) follows. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1 In view of Lemma 3.2, taking a subsequence of j if necessary, we may assume that

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{K\mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K\sigma}(x) \leq 2^{-j} \quad \text{for } \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_{j-1},$$

and $\sigma(E_j) \leq 2^{-j}$. Then $\sigma(\bigcap_k \bigcup_{i \geq k} E_i) = 0$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$f_j(y) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{I_j(y)} & \text{if } y \in \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq j} E_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq j} E_i, \end{cases}$$

where $I_j(y) = \max\{i : y \in E_i, 1 \leq i \leq j\}$. Then we see that f_j converges σ -a.e. on S to

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{I(y)} & \text{if } y \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i \setminus \bigcap_k \bigcup_{i \geq k} E_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i \text{ or } y \in \bigcap_k \bigcup_{i \geq k} E_i, \end{cases}$$

where $I(y) = \max\{i : y \in E_i\}$ for $y \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i \setminus \bigcap_k \bigcup_{i \geq k} E_i$. Also, we have the following:

$$|f_j| \leq 1, \quad |f_{j+1} - f_j| \leq 2\mathcal{X}_{E_{j+1}} \text{ on } S; \quad f_j = (-1)^j \text{ on } E_j; \quad Kf_j \rightarrow Kf \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $T \in O(n)$. By Lemma 3.1, we find $x_j \in T\gamma \cap G_j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_j \leq \sqrt{|x_j|} \leq b_j$ and $Q(\pi(x_j), c_j/a_j) \subset E_j$. If j is even, then Lemma 2.3(ii) and (3.7) give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x_j) &= \frac{Kf_j}{K\sigma}(x_j) + \sum_{k \geq j} \frac{K(f_{k+1} - f_k)}{K\sigma}(x_j) \\ &\geq \frac{Kf_j}{K\sigma}(x_j) - 2 \sum_{k \geq j} \frac{K\mathcal{X}_{E_{k+1}}}{K\sigma}(x_j) \geq 1 - \frac{A}{c_j} - 2^{1-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if j is odd, then

$$\frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x_j) \leq -1 + \frac{A}{c_j} + 2^{1-j}.$$

Hence we conclude from (3.2) that

$$\liminf_{T\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x) = -1 < 1 = \limsup_{T\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x).$$

Obviously, $u = Kf$ is a solution of (1.1) such that $-1 \leq u/K\sigma \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. ■

4 Remark

Our construction and estimates in Sections 2 and 3 are applicable to show the analogue of Theorem B.

Theorem 4.1 *Let Ω be an unbounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n converging to e at ∞ and satisfying (1.2). Suppose in addition that Ω is invariant under all elements of $O(n)$ that preserve the point e . Then there exists a solution u of (1.1) such that $u/K\sigma$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^n and that $u/K\sigma$ admits no limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along $\Omega(y)$ for every $y \in S$.*

Proof We give a sketch of the proof, and its detail is left to the reader. By the assumption on Ω , we find a sequence $\{x_j\}$ in Ω converging to e at ∞ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|x_j - |x_j|e|}{\sqrt{|x_j|}} = +\infty.$$

Taking a subsequence of j if necessary, we may assume that $\sqrt{|x_{j-1}|}|\pi(x_j) - e| \leq 1$. Let $\omega_j = \{T_e(x_j) : T_e \in O(n) \text{ preserves } e\}$ and let $\omega = \bigcup_j \omega_j$. Note that ω is a subset of Ω converging to e at ∞ . Let $a_j = b_j = \sqrt{|x_j|}$ and define

$$\ell_j = \frac{|\pi(x_j) - e|}{3}, \quad c_j = \sqrt{a_j|\pi(x_j) - e|}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_j = \frac{c_j}{a_j},$$

in place of (3.1). Then these satisfy (3.2) and $3\ell_j \leq 1/b_{j-1}$. Let M_j , G_j , and E_j be as in (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5) respectively. Then the conclusions in Lemma 3.2 hold in this setting as well. Note that ω_j and G_j lie on the sphere of center at the origin and radius $|x_j|$. Let $T \in O(n)$. Since $\{y \in S : |y - Te| = 3\ell_j\} \subset \pi(T\omega_j)$, we see that $\pi(T\omega_j) \cap M_j \neq \emptyset$, and so $T\omega_j \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$. Hence we observe the existence of f such that

$$\liminf_{T\omega \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x) \neq \limsup_{T\omega \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x) \quad \text{for every } T \in O(n).$$

Thus $Kf/K\sigma$ admits no limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along $\Omega(y)$ for every $y \in S$. ■

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] H. Aikawa, *Harmonic functions having no tangential limits*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108**(1990), no. 2, 457–464.
- [2] ———, *Harmonic functions and Green potentials having no tangential limits*. J. London Math. Soc. (2) **43**(1991), no. 1, 125–136.
- [3] R. Berman and D. Singman, *Boundary behavior of positive solutions of the Helmholtz equation and associated potentials*. Michigan Math. J. **38**(1991), no. 3, 381–393.
- [4] F. T. Brawn, *The Martin boundary of $\mathbb{R}^n \times]0, 1[$* . J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5**(1972), 59–66.
- [5] P. Fatou, *Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor*. Acta Math. **30**(1906), no. 1, 335–400.
- [6] K. Gowrisankaran and D. Singman, *Thin sets and boundary behavior of solutions of the Helmholtz equation*. Potential Anal. **9**(1998), no. 4, 383–398.
- [7] K. Hirata, *Sharpness of the Korányi approach region*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133**(2005), no. 8, 2309–2317.

- [8] A. Korányi, *Harmonic functions on Hermitian hyperbolic space*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135**(1969), 507–516.
- [9] A. Korányi and J. C. Taylor, *Fine convergence and parabolic convergence for the Helmholtz equation and the heat equation*. Illinois J. Math. **27**(1983), no. 1, 77–93.
- [10] J. E. Littlewood, *On a theorem of Fatou*. J. London Math. Soc. **2**(1927), 172–176.
- [11] A. Nagel and E. M. Stein, *On certain maximal functions and approach regions*. Adv. in Math. **54**(1984), no. 1, 83–106.
- [12] J. Sueiro, *On maximal functions and Poisson–Szegő integrals*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **298**(1986), no. 2, 653–669.

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

Current address

Faculty of Education and Human Studies, Akita University, Akita 010-8502, Japan

e-mail: hirata@math.akita-u.ac.jp