# **ON ONE-FACTORIZATIONS OF COMPLETE GRAPHS**

Dedicated to the memory of Hanna Neumann

# W. D. WALLIS

(Received 21 March 1972)

Communicated by G. Szekeres

#### 1. Introduction

We use standard graph notation and definitions, as in [1]: in particular  $K_n$  is the complete graph on *n* vertices and  $K_{n,n}$  is the regular complete bigraph of order 2*n*.

Given a graph G, a factor of G is a spanning subgraph of G and a factorization is a sequence of edge-disjoint factors whose union is G. A one-factor is a factor which is a regular graph of degree 1; a one-factorization is a factorization whose factors are all one-factors. It is well-known that  $K_{2n}$  and  $K_{n,n}$  always have onefactorizations. If  $K_{2n}$  has vertex-set  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  then [1, p. 85]  $\mathscr{G}_{2n} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{2n-1}\}$  is a one-factorization where

(1) 
$$G_i = \{(2n, i)\} \cup \{(i - j, i + j): j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\},\$$

i - j and i + j being taken as integers modulo 2n - 1 in the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1\}$ . If the vertices of  $K_{n,n}$  are written as  $1_1, 2_1, \dots, n_1, 1_2, 2_2, \dots, n_2$  where the induced subgraph of  $1_a, 2_a, \dots, n_a$  is null then  $\mathscr{X}_n = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  is a one-factorization if

(2) 
$$X_i = \{(j_1, (j-i+1)_2): j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\},\$$

j - i + 1 being taken as integers modulo n in the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Two factorizations  $\mathscr{F}$  and  $\mathscr{F}'$  of G are isomorphic if there is a permutation of the vertices of G which sends each member of  $\mathscr{F}$  into a member of  $\mathscr{F}'$ . It is easy to see that, up to isomorphism,  $K_2$ ,  $K_4$  and  $K_6$  have unique one-factorizations. There are six non-isomorphic one-factorizations of  $K_8$ . We shall prove

THEOREM 1. When  $n \ge 4$ , there are two non-isomorphic one-factorizations of  $K_{2n}$ .

Given any positive integers i, k and n, we shall write  $d_{ik}$  for the greatest common divisor (i - k, 2n - 1) of i - k and 2n - 1, and

167

 $\equiv$  will denote congruence modulo 2n - 1.

#### 2. Divisions

Suppose  $F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, \dots, F_{i_t}$  are members of a factorization  $\mathscr{F}$  of a graph G. We say that they form a *t*-division if  $F_{i_1} \cup F_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup F_{i_t}$  is a disconnected graph, and refer to the vertex-sets of the components of the union as the components of the division. If  $F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, \dots, F_{i_t}$  are a *t*-division then  $F_i$ ,  $F_{i_\beta}$  will necessarily be a 2-division if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and each component of the *t*-division will be a union of the components of the 2-division.

If  $\mathscr{F}$  is a one-factorization of G then  $F_{i_1} \cup F_{i_2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{i_t}$  is regular of degree t. Therefore each component of a t-division contains more than t vertices. In particular if G is of order 2n then an (n-1)-division has two components of order n; no n-division can occur. (In fact no (n-1)-division can occur when n is odd, as the components have one-factors and consequently must be of even order.)

LEMMA 1. If  $G_i$  and  $G_k$  are any two factors in  $\mathscr{G}_{2n}$  then  $G_i \cup G_k$  consists of a cycle of length  $v_{ik} + 1$  and  $\frac{1}{2}(d_{ik} - 1)$  cycles of length  $2v_{ik}$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $G_i \cup G_k$  is a regular graph of degree 2, it is a union of disjoint cycles. If one such cycle is

$$\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_t,$$

where  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_r$ , it is necessarily true that  $\{\gamma_0, \gamma_1\}, \{\gamma_2, \gamma_3\}, \dots, \{\gamma_{2x}, \gamma_{2x+1}\}, \dots$  are all in the same one-factor. The edge  $\{\gamma_{t-1}, \gamma_0\}$  cannot be in this one-factor, because  $\gamma_0$  cannot have degree 2 in a one-factor. So all the cycles are of even length, and the edges are alternately in  $G_i$  and  $G_k$ .

Suppose the cycle containing vertex 2n is of length 2m; write it as

$$(3) \qquad \qquad \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{2m-1}, \alpha_{2m}$$

where  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{2m} = 2n$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $\alpha_1 = i$  and  $\alpha_{2m-1} = k$ . Since (3) is a cycle,  $\alpha_{2x-1} \neq k$  when 0 < x < m. The edge  $\{\alpha_{2x}, \alpha_{2x+1}\}$  belongs to  $G_i$ , and from (1) the typical edge of  $G_i$  (other than  $\{2n, i\}$ ) has form  $\{j, 2i - j\}$ , so

$$\alpha_{2x+1} \equiv 2i - \alpha_{2x},$$

and similarly

$$\alpha_{2x} \equiv 2k - \alpha_{2x-1},$$

provided  $\alpha_{2x}$  is not 2n and  $\alpha_{2x-1}$  is not *i* or *k*. So

$$\alpha_{2x+1} \equiv 2(i-k) + \alpha_{2x-1}$$

$$\equiv 2x(i-k)+i$$

provided  $1 \leq x \leq m - 1$ . In particular

(6) 
$$\alpha_{2x+1} = k \text{ if and only if } (2x+1)(i-k) \equiv 0,$$

provided that  $\alpha_t \neq i, k$  or 2n for 1 < t < 2x + 1. Since x = m - 1 is to be the smallest positive solution of  $\alpha_{2x+1} = k$ , and  $2x + 1 = v_{ik}$  is the smallest positive solution of  $(2x + 1)(i - k) \equiv 0$ , we have  $2m = v_{ik} + 1$ , and the cycle (3) is of length  $v_{ik} + 1$ .

Now consider any z not in the cycle (3). Suppose that the cycle containing z in  $G_i \cup G_k$  if of length 2l; call it

$$(7) \qquad \qquad \beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{2l},$$

where  $z = \beta_0 = \beta_{2l}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $\{\beta_0, \beta_1\} \in G_i$  and  $\{\beta_{2l-1}, \beta_{2l}\} \in G_k$ . Analogously to (4) and (5) we obtain

$$\beta_{2x+1} \equiv 2i - \beta_{2x},$$
  
$$\beta_{2x} \equiv 2k - \beta_{2x-1}$$

and consequently

$$\beta_{2x+1} \equiv 2(x-y)(i-k) + \beta_{2y+1}$$

Since none of *i*, *k* or 2n can occur in this cycle, we need place no restriction on this equation, provided the subscripts 2x + 1 and 2y + 1 are reduced modulo 2k, so

(8) 
$$\beta_{2x+1} = \beta_{2y+1}$$
 if and only if  $2(x-y)(i-k) \equiv 0$ .

By definition  $\beta_{2x+1} = \beta_{2y+1}$  if and only if 2*l* divides (2x + 1) - (2y + 1), that is, if and only if *l* divides x - y. But  $2(x - y)(i - k) \equiv 0$  if and only if  $v_{ik}$  divides 2(x - y), that is, if and only if  $v_{ik}$  divides x - y (since  $v_{ik}$  is odd). So  $l = v_{ik}$ , and the cycle (8) has length  $2v_{ik}$ .

We have shown that  $G_i \cup G_k$  has one cycle of length  $v_{ik} + 1$  and all other cycles of length  $2v_{ik}$ . Since G has 2n vertices, the number of cycles of length  $2v_{ik}$  must be

$$\frac{2n-v_{ik}-1}{2v_{ik}}$$

,

that is  $\frac{1}{2}(d_{ik} - 1)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** When n > 2,  $\mathscr{G}_{2n}$  cannot contain an (n - 1)-division.

**PROOF.** An (n-1)-division would have two components of order *n*. Suppose n > 2, so that  $n - 1 \ge 2$ , and let  $G_i$  and  $G_k$  be two different factors in an (n - 1)-division. The 2-division  $\{G_i, G_k\}$  has one component of size  $v_{ik} + 1$  and  $(d_{ij} - 1)$  components of size  $2v_{ik}$ . So one of the components of the (n - 1)-division must be a union of disjoint sets of size  $2v_{ik}$ . So  $v_{ik}$  divides *n*; since  $v_{ik}$  also divides 2n - 1

169

we have  $v_{ik} = 1$  and  $d_{ik} = 2n - 1$ , which is impossible since  $1 \le i$ ,  $k \le 2n - 1$  and  $i \ne k$ .

THEOREM 3. If  $n \neq 5$ , no 2-division of  $\mathscr{G}_{2n}$  has a component of order 2n-4.

PROOF. Consider the 2-division  $\{G_i, G_k\}$  whose components have sizes  $2v_{ik}$  and  $v_{ik} + 1$ . Since  $v_{ik}$  divides the odd number 2n - 1 and as observed in the above proof  $v_{ik} > 1$ ,  $v_{ik} \ge 3$ . If  $v_{ik} + 1 = 2n - 4$  we have  $v_{ik} = 2n - 5 | 2n - 1$ ,  $v_{ik} | 4$ , which is a contradiction. If  $2v_{ik} = 2n - 4$  then  $v_{ik} | (2n - 4, 2n - 1)$ , so  $v_{ik} | 3$ ; so  $v_{ik} = 3$  and n = 5.

# 3. Proof of theorem 1

We shall exhibit:

(A) a one-factorization  $\mathscr{H}_{2n}$  of  $K_{2n}$  which contains an (n-1)-division, for every even n;

(B) a one-factorization  $\mathcal{L}_{2n}$  of  $K_{2n}$  which contains a 2-division with a component of order 2n - 4, for every odd n greater than 5;

(C) two non-isomorphic one-factorizations of  $K_{10}$ .

Theorem 2 together with (A) proves Theorem 1 for even n, Theorem 3 together with (B) proves Theorem 1 for odd n greater than 5, and (C) completes the proof.

PART (A). In this case *n* is even, so  $K_n$  is one-factorable. Label the vertices of  $K_{2n}$  as  $1_1, 2_1, \dots, n_1, 1_2, 2_2, \dots, n_2$ , and let  $F_{\alpha,1}, F_{\alpha,2}, \dots, F_{\alpha,n-1}$  be the factors in some one-factorization of the  $K_n$  with vertices  $1_{\alpha}, 2_{\alpha}, \dots, n_{\alpha}$ .

Then write

$$H_i = F_{1,i} \cup F_{2,i} \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$
  
$$H_i = X_{i-n+1} \qquad i = n, n+1, \dots, 2n-1$$

where  $X_i$  are as defined in (2). Write  $\mathscr{H}_{2n} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2n-1}\}$ . Then clearly  $\mathscr{H}_{2n}$  is a one-factorization of  $K_{2n}$  and contains an (n-1)-division

 $\{H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_{n-1}\}.$ 

PART (B). When n is odd, write n = 2m + 1, and write the vertices of  $K_{4m+2}$  as  $1_1, 2_1, \dots, (2m+1)_1, 1_2, 2_2, \dots, (2m+1)_2$ . Write  $G_{\alpha,1}, G_{\alpha,2}, \dots, G_{\alpha,2m}$  for the factors in the one-factorization  $\mathscr{G}_{2m+2}$  of the  $K_{m+2}$  with vertices  $1_{\alpha}, 2_{\alpha}, \dots, (2m+2)_{\alpha}$ , as defined in (1), for  $\alpha = 1, 2$ ; write  $G_{\alpha,i}^*$  for  $G_{\alpha,i}$  with  $(i_{\alpha}, (2m+2)_{\alpha})$  deleted; and write

$$L_i^* = G_{1,i}^* \cup G_{2,i}^* \cup \{(i_1, i_2)\}.$$

Now carry out the vertex-permutation defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} (2m+2-i)_{\alpha} & \mapsto & (2i)_{\alpha} \\ (i+1)_{\alpha} & \mapsto & (2i+1)_{\alpha} \\ & 1_{\alpha} & \mapsto & 1_{\alpha} \end{array}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and a = 1, 2, writing  $L_i$  for the result of applying the permutation to  $L_i^*$ . Then  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{2m+1}$  are edge-disjoint one-factors of  $K_{4m+2}$ , and their union contains all the edges of the form  $(j_1, k_1)$  and  $(j_2, k_2)$  where  $j \neq k$  and all the edges  $(j_1, j_2)$ , but no edge of the form  $(j_1, k_2)$  with  $j \neq k$ . Now define

$$L_i = X_{i-2m}, i = 2m + 2, 2m + 3, \dots, 4m + 1$$

where  $X_i$  are as defined in (2) with *n* replaced by 2m + 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{4m+2} &= \{L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_{4m+1}\} \text{ is a one-factorization of } K_{4m+2}. \text{ Now} \\ L_1 &= \{(1_1, 1_2), (2_1, 3_1), \cdots, ((2x)_1, (2x+1)_1), \cdots, ((2m)_1, (2m+1)_1), \\ &\qquad (2_2, 3_2), \cdots, ((2x)_2, (2x+1)_2), \cdots, ((2m)_2, (2m+1)_2)\}, \\ L_{2m+4} &= \{(1_1, (2m-1)_2), (2_1, (2m)_2), (3_1, (2m+1)_2), (4_1, 1_2), \cdots, ((2m+1)_1, \\ &\qquad (2m-2)_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $L_1 \cup L_{2m+4}$  contains the cycle

$$1_1, 1_2, 4_1, 5_1, 2_2, 3_2, 6_1, 7_1, \cdots, (2m-1)_2, 1_1$$

of length 4m - 2, that is 2n - 4.

PART (C). Suitable 1-factorizations of  $K_{10}$  are  $G_{10}$ , which contains the 3-division  $\{F_1, F_4, F_7\}$ , and

{(1, 10),	(2, 3),	(4, 5),	(6,7),	(8,9)},
{(2, 10),	(1,4),	(3,9),	(5,6),	(7,8)},
{(3, 10),	(1,8),	(2,4),	(5,7),	(6,9)},
{(4, 10),	(1, 3),	(2,6),	(5,8),	(7,9)},
{(5,10),	(1,9),	(2,7),	(3,8),	(4,6)},
{(6, 10),	(1, 5),	(2,9),	(3,7),	(4, 8)},
{(7, 10),	(1,2),	(3,4),	(5,9),	(6,8)},
{(8, 10),	(1,7),	(2, 5),	(3,6),	(4,9)},
{(9,10),	(1,6),	(2,8),	(3, 5),	(4,7)},

which contains no 3-division.

### Reference

[1] F. Harary, Graph Theory, (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969).

University of Newcastle New South Wales Australia