# GROUP RINGS WITH UNITS OF BOUNDED EXPONENT OVER THE CENTER 

SÔNIA P. COELHO

Let $K G$ be the group ring of a group $G$ over a field $K$, and $U$ its group of units. Given a group $H$, we shall denote by $\xi(H)$ the center of $H$ and by $T(H)$ the set of all its torsion elements.

The following question appears in [5, p. 231]: When is $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$, for some $n$ ? It was considered by G. Cliff and S. K. Sehgal in [1], where $G$ is assumed to be a solvable group. A complete answer at characteristic zero is given there. Also they obtain partial results at characteristic $p \neq 0$, with certain restrictions on the exponent $n$.

In this note, we shall answer the question at characteristic $p$ assuming that $G$ is either a solvable or an $F C$-group. In fact, we shall need specially the following property which is common to both these families of groups: if $H$ is a finitely generated subgroup of $G$ such that $H / \xi(H)$ is torsion, then both $T(H)$ and $H^{\prime}$, the derived group of $H$, are finite groups [4, Lemma 1.5, p. 116 and 1, Lemma 2.1, p. 147].

In Section 1, we answer the question for torsion groups assuming only that $G$ is locally finite (Theorem A), and in Section 3 we give the answer for non torsion groups that are either solvable or $F C$ (Theorem C).

First, we introduce some notation. We will denote $T(G)$ simply by $T$, and the integer $p \neq 0$ will always denote the characteristic of $K$. For an element $t$ in a group, we shall say that $t$ is a $p$-element if $o(t)$, the order of $t$, is a power of $p$, and that $t$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element if $o(t)$ is not divisible by $p$. Similarly, a group $H$ will be called a $p^{\prime}$-group if every element of $H$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element.

## 1. The torsion subgroup of $G$.

Lemma 1.1. If $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$ and $G$ has a non central $p^{\prime}$-element, then $K$ is finite and the orders of the $p^{\prime}$-elements of $G$ are bounded.

Proof. We shall show first that the orders of the $p^{\prime}$-elements of $G$ are bounded.

It is enough to show that if $u$ is a central $p^{\prime}$-element of $G$, then $o(u) \leqq n$. If not, take such a $u$, with $o(u)>n$. Then $K\langle u\rangle=\bigoplus_{i} K_{i}$, a direct sum of fields. For every $i$, denote by $\pi_{i}: K\langle u\rangle \rightarrow K_{i}$ the natural projection (that is, if $e_{i}$ is the unity element of $K_{i}$, then $\left.\pi_{i}(u)=u e_{i}\right)$. Clearly, at

[^0]least one of the $\pi_{i}(u)$, say $\pi_{1}(u)$, has multiplicative order equal to $o(u)$. As a consequence, $K_{1}$ has more than $n$ elements.

Now, if $t$ is a non central $p^{\prime}$-element of $G$, we consider $K\langle u, t\rangle=$ $\oplus_{i} K_{i}[t]$, where $K_{i}[t]$ denotes the smallest subalgebra of $K G$ that contains $K_{i}$ and $t$.

We claim that $K_{1}[t]$ is not contained in the center of $K G$. In fact, suppose that $K_{1}[t]$ is central and let $e=e_{1}$ be the unity element of $K_{1}$. Then $e t$ is central. Now take $x \in G$ such that $x t x^{-1} \neq t$. Then, $x e t x^{-1}=$ extx ${ }^{-1}=e t$.

By considering supports in the last equality we get:

$$
u^{i} x t x^{-1}=u^{j} t, 0 \leqq i, j<o(u), i \neq j
$$

Hence $x t x^{-1}=u^{j-i} t$.
It follows that: $e u^{j-i} t=e t$, or $e u^{j-i}=e$. Then, $(e u)^{j-i}=e$. But $e u=\pi_{1}(u)$, and hence the multiplicative order of $\pi_{1}(u)$ divides $|j-i|<$ $o(u)$, a contradiction.

Now, since $t$ is a $p^{\prime}$ element, we have: $K_{1}[t]=\bigoplus_{i} L i$, a direct sum of fields which are Galois extensions of $K_{1}$. But $K_{1}[t]$ is not central, hence one of the $L_{i}$, say $L_{1}$, is not contained in the center of $K G$. Let $\bar{L}_{1}$ be the subfield of $L_{1}$ consisting of its central elements, and let $\phi \neq 1$ be an $\bar{L}_{1}$-automorphism of $L_{1}$.

Since $L_{1}=K(\zeta)$, with $\zeta^{o(t)}=1$, we have that $\phi(\zeta)=\zeta^{i}$, for some $i$.
Now, take an arbitrary element $k \in \bar{L}_{1}$. Since $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$, we get that $(\zeta+k)^{n} \in \bar{L}_{1}$. Then,

$$
(\phi(\zeta+k))^{n}=\phi\left((\zeta+k)^{n}\right)=(\zeta+k)^{n},
$$

and $\phi(\zeta+k)$ is a root of $X^{n}-(\zeta+k)^{n}$; from this we see that

$$
\phi(\zeta+k)=\alpha(\zeta+k), \alpha^{n}=1, \alpha \neq 1 .
$$

On the other hand, $\phi(\zeta+k)=\zeta^{i}+k$, and thus

$$
\zeta^{i}+k=\alpha(\zeta+k) .
$$

Solving this equation for $k$, we have that

$$
k=\frac{\zeta^{i}-\alpha \zeta}{\alpha-1} .
$$

Here, only $\alpha$ depends on $k$. Since $\alpha$ can take at most $n-1$ values, we see that $\left|L_{1}\right|$, the number of elements of $L_{1}$, is at most $n-1$. But $\bar{L}_{1} \supset K_{1}$, and $\left|K_{1}\right|>n$, a contradiction.

It still remains to prove that $K$ is finite. If not, replace $K_{1}$ by $K$ in the proof above. Again, we have that $K_{1}[t]=K[t]$ is not central, and we can repeat the argument to obtain a contradiction.

Lemma 1.2. Assume that $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$. Then there exists a positive integer $m$, which is a power of $p$, such that $x^{m}$ is central in $K G$, for every nilpotent element $x$ in $K G$.

Proof. Let $x \in K G$ be a nilpotent element and let $r$ be such that $x^{p r}=0$. Then, $1+x$ is a $p$-element of $U$ and by hypothesis $(1+x)^{n} \in$ $\xi(U)$. Writing $n=p^{a} n^{\prime}$, with $\left(n^{\prime}, p\right)=1$, it is easy to see that $x^{p^{a}}$ is central in $K G$.

Lemma 1.3. Assume that $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$, and let $n=p^{a} \cdot n^{\prime}$, with $\left(n^{\prime}, p\right)=1$. If $G$ has a p-element of order greater than $2 p^{3 a}$, then $G^{p^{a}} \subset \xi(G)$.

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 1.2, we see that $x^{p a}$ is central for every nilpotent element $x \in K G$. So, set $m=p^{a}$, and take a $p$-element $h \in G$ such that $o(h)>2 m^{3}$. Since $h-1$ is nilpotent, we have that $(h-1)^{m}=h^{m}-1$ is central, hence $h^{m}$ is central.

Set $h^{\prime}=h^{m}$, take $x, y \in G$ and consider the nilpotent element $y\left(h^{\prime}-1\right)$. Again, by Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$
\left(y\left(h^{\prime}-1\right)\right)^{m}=y^{m}\left(h^{\prime m}-1\right)
$$

is central. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x y^{m}\left(h^{\prime m}-1\right)=y^{m}\left(h^{\prime m}-1\right) x, \\
& x y^{m} h^{\prime m}-x y^{m}=y^{m} h^{\prime m} x-y^{m} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $o\left(h^{\prime}\right)>2 m^{2}$, we know that $h^{\prime m}-1 \neq 0$ and hence we have two elements of $G$ in the support of the above element. If $p \neq 2$, we see immediately that $x y^{m}=y^{m} x$, thus $y^{m} \in \xi(G)$. If $p=2$, we may have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x y^{m}=y^{m} h^{\prime m} x \\
& x y^{m} h^{\prime m}=y^{m} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $h^{\prime m}$ is central and replacing $y^{m} x$ in the first equation by its value in the second one, we get that

$$
x y^{m}=x y^{m} h^{\prime m} h^{\prime m}
$$

or $\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2 m}=1$, which contradicts the fact that $o\left(h^{\prime}\right)>2 m^{2}$.
Lemma 1.4. Let $m$ be a power of $p$. If $G^{m} \subset \xi(G)$ and $G$ contains a normal $p$-abelian subgroup $\phi$ such that $G / \phi$ is a finite $p$-group, then $G$ is nilpotent.

Proof. This follows as in [5, 6.6, pp. 157-158].
Lemma 1.5. Let $S$ be a commutative ring with identity, I a nil ideal of $S$, of bounded exponent, and $Q$ a finite group. Let $S(Q, \rho, \sigma)$ be a crossed product of $Q$ over $S$, with an arbitrary factor system $\rho$ and $\sigma$ such that $\sigma_{t}(I) \subset I$, for every $t \in Q$. Then, $I Q$ is a nil ideal of $S(Q, \rho, \sigma)$, of bounded exponent.

Proof. It is immediate to verify that $I Q$ is an ideal of $S(Q, \rho, \sigma)$.

Let $Q=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$ and choose $m$ such that $s^{m}=0$, for every $s \in I$.

Take $r>m(n+1)^{2}$ and $x=s_{1} \bar{t}_{1}+\ldots+s_{n} \bar{t}_{n}$ an arbitrary element of $I Q, s_{i} \in I, 1 \leqq i \leqq n$.

We want to prove that $x^{r}=0$. It is enough to show that any product of $r$ elements from the set $\left\{s_{1} \bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \bar{t}_{n}\right\}$ is zero. Then let

$$
y=s_{i_{1}} \bar{t}_{i_{1}} \ldots s_{i_{r}} \bar{t}_{i_{r}}
$$

be such a product.
It is easy to see that there exists an index $j$ such that $s_{i j} \bar{t}_{i_{j}}$ occurs $k$ times in $y$ with $k>m(n+1)$, and we may suppose without loss of generality that $s_{i_{j}} \bar{t}_{i_{j}}=s_{1} \bar{t}_{1}$.

As the products $s_{i} \bar{t}_{i} s_{j} \bar{t}_{j}$ still have the form $s \bar{t}, s \in I, t \in Q$, and $\bar{t} s_{1}=$ $\sigma_{t}\left(s_{1}\right) \bar{t}$, for every $t \in Q$, we can write $y$ in the form

$$
y=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} z_{i}\right) \gamma
$$

with

$$
z_{i} \in\left\{\sigma_{t}\left(s_{1}\right) \mid t \in Q\right\} \cup\left\{s_{1}\right\}, \gamma \in I Q
$$

Since the above set has at most $n+1$ elements and $k>m(n+1)$, there must exist an index $j$ such that $z_{j}$ occurs in $y$ more than $m$ times. Now, $z_{j} \in I$, therefore $z_{j}{ }^{m}=0$, and hence $y=0$.

Lemma 1.6. Let $G=T$, a locally finite group. If $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$, then $K T$ satisfies a polynomial identity.

Proof. Let $m$ be as in Lemma 1.2. We shall show that $K T$ satisfies a polynomial identity in $2 m+1$ variables. Consider $2 m$ arbitrary elements of $K T$, say $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 m}$. By considering the subgroup generated by the supports of these elements, we may suppose that $T$ is finite.

Denote by $J(K T)$ the Jacobson radical of $K T$. Then

$$
K T / J(K T)=\bigoplus_{i} M_{n i}\left(D_{i}\right)
$$

a direct sum of full matrix rings over division rings $D_{i}$.
Set $x^{\prime}$ for the image of an element $x \in K T$ under the natural epimorphism $K T \rightarrow K T / J(K T)$. For a given index $i$, take $x_{i}$ an arbitrary nilpotent element in $M_{n_{i}}\left(D_{i}\right)$, and choose any element $y_{i} \in K T$ such that $\left(y_{i}\right)^{\prime}=x_{i}$. Then $y_{i}$ is nilpotent, since $J(K T)$ is nilpotent because $T$ is finite. By Lemma 1.2, $y_{i}{ }^{m}$ is central in $K T$. Hence $x_{i}{ }^{m}=\left(y_{i}{ }^{m}\right)^{\prime}$ is a central nilpotent element of $K T / J(K)$, so it must be zero.

Now it is easy to see that the size of the matrices is bounded by $m$, that is, $n_{i} \leqq m$, for every $i$.

On the other hand, given $i$ and $d_{i} \neq 0$ in $D_{i}$, we can choose $u \in U$ such that $u^{\prime}=d_{i}$ (see [5, Lemma 3.3, p. 179]). As $u^{n} \in \xi(U), d_{i}{ }^{n}$ is central in $D_{i}$, and hence $D_{i}$ is a field, by [3, Theorem 3.22, p. 79].

Therefore, $K T / J(K T)$ satisfies $S_{2 m}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{2 m}\right)$, the standard polynomial of degree $2 m$ in the non commuting variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$, $X_{2_{m}}$. Again, since $J(K T)$ is nilpotent, we can use Lemma 1.2 to obtain, for every $z \in K T$ :

$$
\left(S_{2 m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 m}\right)\right)^{m} z=z\left(S_{2 m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 m}\right)\right)^{m}
$$

We may now obtain a characterization for $U$ to be of bounded exponent over the center when $G$ is a locally finite group.

Theorem A. Let $G=T$, a locally finite group. Then, $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
(i) $T^{l} \subset \xi(T)$ for some $l$.
(ii) $T$ contains a normal p-abelian subgroup of finite index.
(iii) Either every $p^{\prime}$-element of $T$ is central or $T$ is of bounded exponent and $K$ is finite.

Proof. Suppose $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$. Then (i) is trivial and (ii) follows from Lemma 1.6 and a Theorem of Passman [4, Corollary 3.10, p. 197].

To prove (iii), assume that not every $p^{\prime}$-element of $T$ is central. By Lemma 1.1, $K$ is finite, and for every $p^{\prime}$-element $t \in T, t^{r}=1$, for a suitable $r$. Now, if $n=p^{a} \cdot n^{\prime}$, with $\left(n^{\prime}, p\right)=1$, and $T$ has a $p$-element of order greater than $2 p^{3 a}$, then $T^{p a} \subset \xi(T)$ by Lemma 1.3, and hence every $p^{\prime}$-element is central, a contradiction. So, for every $p$-element $t \in T, t^{s}=1$, for a suitable $s$.

Now take $x \in T$ and let $T_{0}$ be a normal $p$-abelian subgroup of index $u$, as in (ii). Then, $x^{u} \in T_{0}$, and we may write: $x^{u}=y z$, where $y, z \in T_{0}, y$ is a $p$-element and $z$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element. Since $T_{0} / T_{0}^{\prime}$ is abelian, taking $(r s)^{\text {th }}$-powers, we get:

$$
x^{u r s} \equiv y^{r z_{z} z^{r s}}\left(\bmod T_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right) \equiv 1\left(\bmod T_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right) .
$$

But $T_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is finite, so we have that

$$
x^{u \tau s\left|T_{0^{\prime}}\right|}=1,
$$

and (iii) is proved.
Assume now that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold, and let $T_{0}$ be a normal $p$-abelian subgroup of finite index in $T$, as in (ii), and $A$ the set: $\left\{t \in T \mid t\right.$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element $\}$.
Suppose first that $A$ is a central subgroup of $T$. Then, as $T$ is locally finite, it is easy to see that $T=P \times A$, where $P=\{t \in T \mid t$ is a $p$-element $\}$ is a subgroup of $T$.

Considering the subgroup $\phi=T_{0} \cdot A$, it is easy to see that $T$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1.4 and hence it is nilpotent. Furthermore, $T$ contains a normal $p$-abelian subgroup $\phi$ such that $T / \phi$ is a finite $p$-group and we conclude from [5, Theorem 6.1, p. 155] that $K T$ is Lie $m$-Engel
for a suitable $m$. Hence, $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$ by [5, Lemma 4.3, p. 151].
Suppose now that $A$ is a non central subset. By (iii), $T^{s}=1$, for some $s$, and $K$ is finite. Because $T_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is a finite $p$-group, it is easy to see that

$$
P_{0}=\left\{t \in T_{0} \mid t \text { is a } p \text {-element }\right\}
$$

is a normal subgroup of $T$.
We claim that $\Delta\left(T, P_{0}\right)$, the kernel of the natural epimorphism $K T \rightarrow K T / P_{0}$, is nil of bounded exponent. Indeed, $\Delta\left(T_{0} / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}, P_{0} / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent because $T_{0} / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is abelian and $P_{0} / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is of bounded exponent. Setting

$$
S=K T_{0} / T_{0}^{\prime}, Q=\left(T / T_{0}^{\prime}\right) /\left(T_{0} / T_{0}^{\prime}\right) \simeq T / T_{0}
$$

we see that $K T / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is the crossed product $S(Q, \rho, \sigma)$, with $\rho$ and $\sigma$ as usual. If $I=\Delta\left(T_{0} / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}, P_{0} / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, by Lemma 1.5 we conclude that $I Q=\Delta\left(T / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}, P_{0} / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent. Since $T_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is a finite $p$-group, we see that $\Delta\left(T_{0}, T_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ is nilpotent and hence it is easy to see that $\Delta\left(T, P_{0}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent by considering the natural epimorphism $K T \rightarrow K T / T_{0}{ }^{\prime}$.

Now, $T_{0} / P_{0}$ is a normal subgroup of $T / P_{0}$, of finite index, say, $r$. By [4, Lemma 1.10, p. 176], we get that

$$
K T / P_{0} \subset M_{r}\left(K T_{0} / P_{0}\right)
$$

Pick now $u \in U$. Considering the subgroup generated by the support of $u$, we may suppose that $T$ is finite. Hence $T_{0} / P_{0}$ is a finite abelian $p^{\prime}$-group, such that $\left(T_{0} / P_{0}\right)^{s}=1$.

Therefore, $K T_{0} / P_{0}=\bigoplus_{i} K_{i}$, a direct sum of fields, all of them contained in $K(\zeta)$, with $\zeta^{s}=1$. Hence,

$$
M_{r}\left(K T_{0} / P_{0}\right)=M_{r}\left(\bigoplus_{i} K_{i}\right)=\bigoplus_{i} M_{r}\left(K_{i}\right)
$$

and we have that $K T / P_{0} \subset \bigoplus_{i} M_{r}\left(F_{i}\right)$, with $F_{i}=K(\zeta)$, for every $i$. Set $S$ for $\bigoplus_{i} M_{r}\left(F_{i}\right)$ and $u^{\prime}$ for the image of $u$ by the composition map of the natural epimorphism $K T \rightarrow K T / P_{0}$ followed by the inclusion $K T / P_{0} \rightarrow S$. As $K$ is finite, the group of nonsingular matrices of $M^{r}(K(\zeta))$ is finite, say of order $q$, depending on $r$ and $s$ only. So, $u^{\prime q}=1$ and we get:

$$
u^{\ell}=1+\delta, \delta \in \Delta\left(T, P_{0}\right)
$$

As $\Delta\left(T, P_{0}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent, we can take $m$ a power of $p$ such that $x^{m}=0$ for all $x \in \Delta\left(T, P_{0}\right)$. Now we can conclude that

$$
u^{q m}=1+\delta^{m}=1 \in \xi(U)
$$

Corollary. Let $G=T$, a locally finite group, and assume that the set of all p-elements of $T$ is not of bounded exponent. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$.
(ii) $K T$ is Lie $m$-Engel for some $m$.

Proof. First suppose that $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$. By the preceeding theorem, we get that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold.

Furthermore, every $p^{\prime}$-element of $T$ is central by Lemma 1.3. Follow now the "only if" part of the proof of the theorem to conclude that $K T$ is Lie $m$-Engel for some $m$.

By [5, Lemma 4.3, p. 151], the converse is obvious.
2. A certain nil ideal of $K G$. In this section, $G$ will be either a solvable or an $F C$-group. As we mentioned in the introduction, if $G / \xi(G)$ is torsion, then we can conclude that $T$ is a locally finite subgroup of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ is contained in $T$.

We shall denote by $A$ the set of all $p^{\prime}$-elements of $G$ and by $P$ the set of all $p$-elements of $G$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$ and $G$ has an element of infinite order. Then, every idempotent of $K G$ is central.

Proof. See [1, Lemma 2.4, p. 148].
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$ and $G$ has an element of infinite order. Then:
(i) $A$ is an abelian subgroup of $G$.
(ii) If $A$ is non central, then $K$ is finite and for every $x \in G$ and every $t \in A$ there exists an integer $r$ such that $x t x^{-1}=t^{p r}$, and $\left(K: F_{p}\right) \mid r$.
(iii) $P$ is a subgroup of $G$.
(iv) $T=P \times A$.

Proof. For the proof of (i) see [1, Corollary 2.5, p. 148].
To prove (ii) we notice that if $A$ is non central, then $K$ is finite by Lemma 1.1.

Now, take $x \in G$ and $t \in A$ such that $x t x^{-1} \neq t$. We have that $K\langle t\rangle=\oplus_{\imath} k_{i}$, a direct sum of fields such that at least one of them, say $K_{1}$, is of the form $K_{i}=K(\zeta)$, where $\zeta$ is a root of unity whose order is equal to the order of $t$, and the natural projection $K\langle t\rangle \rightarrow K_{1}$ maps $t$ on $\zeta$.

Since, by Lemma 2.1, every idempotent is central, we must have $x t x^{-1}=t^{i}$ for some $i$ (this can be seen by considering the idempotent $\left.e=(o(t))^{-1}\left(1+t+\ldots+t^{o(t)-1}\right)\right)$. Hence, conjugation by $x$ defines an automorphism $\phi$ of $K_{1}$. By the above, $\phi(\zeta)=\zeta^{i}$.

On the other hand, since $K_{1}$ is finite, $\phi$ is a power of the Frobenius automorphism of $K_{1}, F$, given by:

$$
F(k)=k^{p}, \text { for all } k \in K_{1} .
$$

If $\phi=F^{r}$, we have that

$$
\phi(\zeta)=\zeta^{p r}=\zeta^{i},
$$

from which we conclude that $o(t)=o(\zeta)$ divides $p^{r}-i$. Then,

$$
p^{r} \equiv i(\bmod o(t)) \text { and } x t x^{-1}=t^{i}=t^{p r} .
$$

Furthermore, as every element of $K$ is fixed by $\phi$, we have that $k^{p^{r}}=k$ for every $k \in K$, and hence $K$ is contained in a field with $p^{r}$ elements, that is: $\left(K: \mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \mid r$.

For (iii) and (iv), we observe first that every $p$-element commutes with every $p^{\prime}$-element. If not, then by (ii) $K$ is finite. Now take $\pi \in P$ and $t \in A$ such that $\pi t \pi^{-1} \neq t$ and proceed as in [1,3.2, p. 152] to conclude that this implies the existence of a non central idempotent, which contradicts Lemma 2.1.

As $T$ is locally finite, the proof of (iii) and (iv) is now trivial.
Lemma 2.3. Let $A_{1}$ be an abelian $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $G$, and $K$ a finite field. If, for every $t \in A_{1}$ and every $x \in G$, there exists an integer $r$ such that $x t x^{-1}=t^{p r}$, and $\left(K: \mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \mid r$, then every idempotent of $K A_{1}$ is central in $K G$.

Proof. Let $e \in K A_{1}$ be an idempotent, and let $x \in G$. By considering the subgroup generated by the support of $e$, we may suppose that $A_{1}$ is finite.

Let $A_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}\right\rangle \times \ldots \times\left\langle t_{s}\right\rangle$, a direct product of cyclic groups. It is easy to see that we may choose an integer $r$ such that $x t_{i} x^{-1}=t_{i}{ }^{p r}$, for every $i$.

We have that $e=f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right)$, where $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right)$ is a polynomial in the commuting variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}$, with coefficients in $K$. Conjugating by $x$, we have:

$$
x e x^{-1}=f\left(t_{1}{ }^{p r}, \ldots, t_{s}^{p^{r}}\right)
$$

But by hypothesis every element $k \in K$ satisfies $k^{p r}=k$, therefore this is true for the coefficients of $f$. Hence

$$
f\left(t_{1} p^{r r}, \ldots, t_{s}^{p r}\right)=\left(f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{1}\right)\right)^{p r}
$$

and

$$
x e x^{-1}=e^{p r}=e,
$$

as we wished to prove.
We can now give a partial characterization for $U$ to be of bounded exponent over the center, when $G$ is non torsion.

Theorem B. Suppose that $G$ has an element of infinite order. Then $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
(i) $G^{l} \subset \xi(G)$ for some $l$.
(ii) $A$ is an abelian subgroup of $G$ and, if $A$ is non central, then $K$ is finite, $A$ is of bounded exponent and for every $t \in A$ and every $x \in G$ there exists an integer $r$ such that $x t x^{-1}=t^{p r}$, where $\left(K: \mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \mid r$.
(iii) $P$ is a subgroup of $G$ contained in the centralizer of $A$.
(iv) There exists an integer $m$, which is a power of $p$, such that $x^{m}$ is central in $K G$, for every $x \in \Delta(G, P)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$. (i) is trivial, (ii) follows from Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 2.2, and (iii) follows from Lemma 2.2.

To prove (iv), let $x \in \Delta(G, P)$. We may suppose that $G$ is finitely generated and hence $P$ is a finite normal subgroup of $G$. Therefore, $x$ is nilpotent and we can apply Lemma 1.2 to obtain the result.

Suppose now conditions (i) to (iv) hold, and pick $u \in U$. Again we may suppose that $G$ is finitely generated and hence $T$ is finite.

We observe that if $K A=\bigoplus_{i} K_{i}$, a direct sum of fields, then $K(A \times P)=\bigoplus_{i} K_{i} P$.

Consider now the natural epimorphism $K G \rightarrow K G / P$, with kernel $\Delta(G, P)$.

Setting $S^{\prime}$ for the image of a subset $S$ of $K G$ under this epimorphism, we have:

$$
(K(A \times P))^{\prime}=\oplus K_{i}^{\prime}
$$

where each $K_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ is a field. Furthermore,

$$
T(G / P)=(A \times P) / P
$$

and hence

$$
K T(G / P)=\bigoplus_{i} K_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Since, by condition (ii) and Lemma 2.3, every idempotent of $K A$ is central in $K G$, and $G^{\prime} \subset T$, we may apply [ 5 , Lemma 3.22, p. 194], and $u$ can be written in the form:
$\left(^{*}\right) \quad u=\sum_{i} f_{i} g_{i}+\delta, f_{i} \in K_{i}, g_{i} \in G, \delta \in \Delta(G, P)$.
Suppose first that $A$ is central. Taking the $(l)^{\text {th }}$-power in $\left({ }^{*}\right)$, we have:

$$
u^{l}=\sum_{i} f_{i} g_{i}^{l}+\delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime} \in \Delta(G, P)
$$

Now, $\sum_{i} f_{i}{ }^{l} g_{i}{ }^{l}$ is central, and it is sufficient to apply condition (iv).
Suppose now that $K$ is finite and $A$ is of bounded exponent $s$. Then, $K_{i} \subset K(\zeta)$, with $\zeta^{s}=1$, for all $i$.

Computing ( $l)^{\text {th }}$-powers in $\left(^{*}\right.$ ), we obtain:

$$
u^{l}=\sum_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{l}+\delta^{\prime}, f_{i}^{\prime} \in K_{i}, g_{i}^{l} \in \xi(G), \delta^{\prime} \in \Delta(G, P) .
$$

Since $K_{i} \subset K(\zeta)$, for all $i$, we have that $f_{i}{ }^{\prime r}=1$ for every $f_{i}^{\prime} \neq 0$ and a suitable $r$ which depends on $K$ and $s$ only.

Taking $(r)^{\text {th }}$-powers above, we have:

$$
u^{l r}=\sum_{i} g_{i}^{l r}+\delta^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime} \in \Delta(G, P) .
$$

Now, $\sum_{i} g_{i}{ }^{l r}$ is central and it suffices to use condition (iv).
Corollary. Suppose that the set of all p-elements of $G$ is not of bounded exponent. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$.
(ii) $K G$ is Lie l-Engel for some $l$.

Proof. Suppose first $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$. By the corollary to Theorem A, $K T$ is Lie $m$-Engel for some $m$ and hence $T$ is nilpotent.

As $G^{\prime} \subset T$, we can conclude that $G$ is solvable (even if it were an $F C$-group).

By Lemma 1.3, $G^{p a} \subset \xi(G)$ for some $a$ and by [1, Lemma 2.2, p. 148] $G^{\prime}$ is a $p$-group. Hence, as we noted before, $\Delta\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ is a nil ideal.

Given $x, y \in K G, x y-y x \in \Delta\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$. By (iv) of Theorem B, for every $z \in K G$ we have that

$$
(x y-y x)^{m} z=z(x y-y x)^{m} .
$$

Hence, $K G$ satisfies a polynomial identity. By Passman's theorem [2, Theorem 1.1, p. 142], setting $\phi$ for the $F C$-subgroup of $G$, we have: $|G / \phi|<\infty,\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|<\infty$.

Furthermore, it is easy to see that both are $p$-groups. By Lemma 1.4, $G$ is nilpotent. We conclude from [ $\mathbf{5}$, Theorem 6.1, p. 155] that $K G$ is Lie $l$-Engel for some $l$.

As we noted before, the converse is immediate by [5, Lemma 4.3, p. 151].
3. Nil augmentation ideals of bounded exponent. We shall now discuss condition (iv) of Theorem B.

In all this section, except in Theorem C, $G$ will be either a solvable or an $F C$-group, such that $T$ is a locally finite subgroup of $G$, and $G^{\prime} \subset T$.

Furthermore, we shall assume that $T$ has the form: $T=P \times A$, where

$$
A=\left\{t \in G \mid t \text { is a } p^{\prime} \text {-element }\right\}
$$

is an abelian subgroup of $G$ and

$$
P=\{t \in G \mid t \text { is a } p \text {-element }\}
$$

is a subgroup of $G$, of bounded exponent.

We introduce some notation. Given a group $H, \phi(H)$ will denote the $F C$-subgroup of $H$. The group $\phi(G)$ will be denoted simply by $\phi$.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that there exists an integer $m$, which is a power of $p$, such that $x^{m}$ is central in $K G$ for all $x \in \Delta(G, P)$. Then $G$ contains normal subgroup $H$, of finite index, containing $\phi$, such that $H^{\prime} \cap P$ is finite.

Proof. By [2, Theorem 1.1, p. 142], if $K G$ satisfies a polynomial identity, then $|G / \phi|<\infty,\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|<\infty$. Since $G^{\prime} \subset P \times A$, and $(\phi \cdot A) / A$ $\subset \phi(G / A)$, it is enough to prove that $K(G / A)$ satisfies a polynomial identity.

In the group ring $K(G / A)$, the ideal $\Delta(G / A,(P \times A) / A)$ is the image of $\Delta(G, P)$ by the natural epimorphism $K G \rightarrow K(G / A)$ and hence it also satisfies the hypothesis.

As $(G / A)^{\prime} \subset(P \times A) / A$, we have that

$$
\Delta\left(G / A,(G / A)^{\prime}\right) \subset \Delta(G / A,(D \times A) / A)
$$

and it follows easily, as in the proof of the corollary to Theorem B, that $K(G / A)$ satisfies the polynomial $(X Y-Y X)^{m} Z-Z(X Y-Y X)^{m}$.

In the following lemmas, $H$ will be a normal subgroup of $G$, of finite index, containing $\phi$, as in the previous lemma, $P_{0}$ will be $H^{\prime} \cap P$ (hence a finite group) and $P_{1}$ will be $H \cap P$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that there exists a positive integer $m$, which is a power of $p$, such that $x^{m}$ is central in $K G$, for all $x$ in $\Delta(G, P)$. Then, $\Delta(G, P)$ is nil of bounded exponent.

Proof. We observe first that it is enough to prove that $\Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent. In fact, suppose that this were proved. Given $x \in \Delta(G, P)$, then $x^{m}$ is a central element. But every central element of $\Delta(G, P)$ is contained in $\Delta(G, P) \cap K \phi$, which is contained in $\Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$. Then $\Delta(G, P)$ is nil of bounded exponent.

Let us prove now that $\Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent. As $P_{0}$ is finite, $\Delta\left(H, P_{0}\right)$ is nilpotent and hence it suffices to prove that $\Delta\left(H / P_{0}\right.$, $\left.P_{1} / P_{0}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent.

We have that $\left(H / P_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group, $P_{1} / P_{0}$ is a central subgroup of $H / P_{0}$, and for all $x \in \Delta\left(H / P_{0}, P_{1} / P_{0}\right), x^{m}$ is central in $K H / P_{0}$. Furthermore, all hypothesis on $G$ carry on to $H / P_{6}$. Therefore, in order to prove that $\Delta\left(H / P_{0}, P_{1} / P_{0}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent, we may replace $H / P_{0}$ by $H$ and $P_{1} / P_{0}$ by $P_{1}$ and assume in addition that $H^{\prime}$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group and $P_{1}$ is central.

Now, we shall see which is the form of a central element of $K H$ that belongs to $\Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$.

Let $S^{\prime}$ be a transversal of $T(H)$ in $H$. Observing that $T(H)=P_{1} \times A_{1}$, where

$$
A_{1}=\left\{t \in H \mid t \text { is a } p^{\prime} \text {-element }\right\},
$$

it is easy to see that

$$
S=\left\{a b \mid a \in A_{1}, b \in S^{\prime}\right\}
$$

is a transversal of $P_{1}$ in $H$.
Now, let $x \in \phi(H), y \in H, x=h a b, h \in P_{1}, a b \in S$. Since

$$
y(a b) y^{-1}(a b)^{-1} \in H^{\prime} \subset A_{1}
$$

and $P_{1}$ is central, $y x y^{-1}$ has the form:

$$
y x y^{-1}=h a^{\prime} b, a^{\prime} \in A_{1} .
$$

So denoting by $\gamma(x)$ the sum of the elements of the conjugacy class of $x$, we have that

$$
\gamma(h a b)=h\left(a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}\right) b, a_{j} \in A_{1}, 1 \leqq j \leqq r .
$$

Therefore, we may write every central element $z \in K H$ in the form:

$$
\text { (1) } z=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} b_{i} \text {, }
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in K T(H), \alpha_{i} b_{i}$ is central in $K H$ for all $i$, and the $b_{i}$ are distinct elements of $S^{\prime}$.
We claim that $\alpha, b_{i}=b_{i} \alpha_{i}$, for all $i$. In fact, as $\alpha_{i} b_{i}$ is central, we have that

$$
\alpha_{i} b_{i}=b_{i}\left(\alpha_{i} b_{i}\right) b_{i}^{-1}=b_{i} \alpha_{i} .
$$

If in addition $z \in \Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\alpha_{i} \in \Delta\left(T(H), P_{1}\right), \text { for all } i .
$$

In fact, denote by $x^{\prime}$ the image of an element $x \in K H$ by the natural epimorphism $K H \rightarrow K H / P_{1}$. Since $z \in \Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$,

$$
z^{\prime}=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}{ }^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime}=0 .
$$

But the $b_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ are distinct elements of a basis of the $K\left(T(H) / P_{1}\right)$-module $K H / P_{1}$. Hence $\alpha_{i}{ }^{\prime}=0$, for all $i$, which means that

$$
\alpha_{i} \in \Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right) \cap K T(H)=\Delta\left(T(H), P_{1}\right) .
$$

Therefore every central element $z$ of $\Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$ has the form (1), and furthermore $\alpha_{i} \in \Delta\left(T(H), P_{1}\right)$, for all $i$.

Call $s$ the exponent of $P_{1}$. Since $T(H)$ is abelian, computing the $(s)^{\text {th }}$-power of $z$ in (1) we have that:

$$
z^{s}=\sum_{k}\left(\alpha_{i} b_{i}\right)^{s}=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{s} b_{i}{ }^{s}=0 .
$$

We have proved that $z^{s}=0$, for a fixed $s$ and every central element $z$ of $\Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$. As every $x \in \Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$ is such that $x^{m}$ is central, we can conclude that $\Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent.

Lemma 3.3. If $\Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent, then either $P_{1}$ is finite or $H$ contains a characteristic $p$-abelian subgroup of finite index.

Proof. Suppose that $P_{1}$ is infinite. By Passman [4, Corollary 3.10, p. 197], it is enough to prove that $K H$ satisfies a polynomial identity. Since $\Delta\left(H, P_{0}\right)$ is nilpotent it suffices to prove that $K H / P_{0}$ satisfies a polynomial identity.

We observe that all the hypotheses of the lemma carry on to $K H / P_{0}$. In fact, $\Delta\left(H / P_{0}, P_{1} / P_{0}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent and $P_{1} / P_{0}$ is infinite (because $P_{0}$ is finite). Furthermore, $\left(H / P_{0}\right)^{\prime}$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group and $P_{1} / P_{0}$ is central in $H / P_{0}$.

Therefore, in order to prove that $K H / P_{0}$ satisfies a polynomial identity we may replace $H / P_{0}$ by $H$ and assume in addition that $H^{\prime}$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group and $P_{1}$ is a central subgroup of $H$.

Now, pick $n>0$ such that $x^{n}=0$ for all $x \in \Delta\left(H, P_{1}\right)$. We want to show that there exist elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $\Delta\left(P_{1}\right)=\Delta\left(P_{1}, P_{1}\right)$ such that $x_{i}{ }^{2}=0$, for all $i$, but $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} \neq 0$. In fact, as $P_{1}$ is abelian of bounded exponent, it is a direct product of cyclic groups [2, Theorem 11.2 , p. 44]. $P_{1}$ is infinite and hence the number of cyclic groups is infinite. Choose $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ generators in different cyclic subgroups and set $m_{i}=o\left(h_{i}\right)-1$. The elements $x_{i}=\left(h_{i}-1\right)^{m_{i}}$ are easily verified to satisfy the required conditions.
Take now $S=\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ a transversal of $P_{1}$ in $H$, and elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in S$. Then, the element

$$
\alpha=g_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+g_{n} x_{n}
$$

belongs to $\Delta(H, P)$, hence $\alpha^{n}=0$.
Since the $x_{i}$ are central and $x_{i}{ }^{2}=0$, computing $\alpha^{n}$ we get:

$$
\alpha^{n}=F\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n},
$$

where $F\left(X_{1}, \ldots X_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial in the non-commuting variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$, namely:

$$
F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\sum X_{\sigma(1)} \ldots X_{\sigma(n)},
$$

the sum running over all $\sigma \in S_{n}$, the symmetric group on $n$ elements.
We want to show that $F\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=0$. First we make some observations. If

$$
g_{\sigma(1)} g_{\sigma(2)} \ldots g_{\sigma(n)} \equiv g_{\tau(1)} g_{\tau(2)} \ldots g_{\tau(n)} \bmod \left(P_{1}\right)
$$

for $\sigma, \tau \in S_{n}$, then

$$
g_{\sigma(1)} g_{\sigma(2)} \ldots g_{\sigma(n)}=a g_{\tau(1)} g_{\tau(2)} \ldots g_{\tau(n)}
$$

for some $a \in P_{1}$.
On the other hand, since

$$
g_{i} g_{j}=\alpha(i, j) g_{j} g_{i},
$$

where $\alpha(i, j) \in H^{\prime}$, we can write the product $g_{\sigma(1)} g_{\sigma(2)} \ldots g_{\sigma(n)}$ in the form

$$
b g_{\tau(1)} g_{\tau(2)} \ldots g_{\tau(n)} \text { for some } b \in H^{\prime}
$$

Therefore, we obtain: $a=b$, with $a \in P_{1}$ and $b \in H^{\prime}$, which is a $p^{\prime}$-group. Thus $a=b=1$.

We have shown that

$$
g_{\sigma(1)} g_{\sigma(2)} \ldots g_{\sigma(n)} \equiv g_{\tau(1)} g_{\tau(2)} \ldots g_{\tau(n)}\left(\bmod P_{1}\right)
$$

if and only if

$$
g_{\sigma(1)} g_{\sigma(2)} \ldots g_{\sigma(n)}=g_{\tau(1)} g_{\tau(2)} \ldots g_{\tau(n)} .
$$

We now define an equivalence relation $\sim$ in $S_{n}$ setting: for $\sigma, \tau \in S_{n}$, $\sigma \sim \tau$ if and only if

$$
g_{\sigma(1)} \ldots g_{\sigma(n)}=g_{\tau(1)} \ldots g_{\tau(n)} .
$$

We denote by $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{t}$ the equivalence classes of this relation. Choosing, for each $i$, an element $\sigma_{i} \in S_{i}$, it follows from the above that we may write:

$$
F\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=g_{\sigma_{1}(1)} \ldots g_{\sigma_{1}(n)}\left|S_{1}\right|+\ldots+g_{\sigma_{t}(1)} \ldots g_{\sigma_{l}(n)}\left|S_{t}\right| .
$$

Now, since

$$
g_{\sigma_{i}(1)} \ldots g_{\sigma_{i}(n)} \not \equiv g_{\sigma_{j}(1)} \ldots g_{\sigma_{j}(n)}\left(\bmod P_{1}\right)
$$

if $i \neq j$, from $F\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}=0$, we get:

$$
g_{\sigma_{i}(1)} \ldots g_{\sigma_{i}(n)}\left|S_{i}\right| x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}=0, \text { for all } i .
$$

But $g_{\sigma_{i}(1)} \ldots g_{\sigma_{i}(n)}$ is invertible and $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} \neq 0$; hence this can happen only if $\left|S_{i}\right|=0$, for all $i$ (that is, $p \| S_{i} \mid$, for all $i$ ).

Therefore, $F\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=0$, for arbitrary elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in S$.
Now, $K H$ is a left module over the central subalgebra $K P$ having $S$ as a basis, and $F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is a multilinear polynomial. By [4, Lemma $1.2, \mathrm{p} .171$ ], $F$ is a polynomial identity for $K H$.

Corollary. If $\Delta(G, P)$ is nil of bounded exponent, then either $P$ is finite or $G$ contains a normal p-abelian subgroup of finite index.

Proof. Suppose that $P$ is infinite, and take $H$ as in Lemma 3.1. Since $|G / H|<\infty$, we have that $|P H / H|<\infty$ and hence $\left|P / P_{1}\right|<\infty$. Therefore, $P_{1}$ must be infinite. By Lemma 3.3, $H$ contains a characteristic $p$-abelian subgroup of finite index, and thus the result follows.

Lemma 3.4. If $G$ contains a normal p-abelian subgroup of finite index, then $\Delta(G, P)$ is nil of bounded exponent.

Proof. Let $L$ be such a subgroup of $G$. We have that $L / L^{\prime}$ is abelian; hence $\Delta\left(L / L^{\prime}, P \cap L / L^{\prime}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent.

Setting

$$
S=K L / L^{\prime}, Q=\left(G / L^{\prime}\right) /\left(L / L^{\prime}\right) \cong G / L
$$

we see that $K\left(G / L^{\prime}\right)$ is the crossed product $S(Q, \rho, \sigma)$, with $\rho$ and $\sigma$ as usual.

If $I=\Delta\left(L / L^{\prime}, P \cap L / L^{\prime}\right)$, applying Lemma 1.5 we conclude that $I Q=\Delta\left(G / L^{\prime},(P \cap L) / L^{\prime}\right)$ is nil of bounded exponent. Since $L^{\prime}$ is a finite $p$-group, $\Delta\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$ is nilpotent and hence $\Delta(G, P \cap L)$ is nil of bounded exponent.

Now, let us consider the natural epimorphism

$$
\Phi: K G \rightarrow K(G /(P \cap L)
$$

We have that

$$
\Phi(\Delta(G, P))=\Delta(G /(P \cap L), P /(P \cap L))
$$

But $P /(P \cap L) \cong P L / L$, and $P L / L$ is a finite $p$-group since it is contained in $G / L$. Therefore, there exists an integer $n$ such that

$$
\Delta(G, P)^{n} \subset \Delta(G, P \cap L)
$$

Since we have shown that this ideal is nil of bounded exponent, the lemma is proved.

We can now give a complete answer to the initial question.
Theorem C. Suppose that $G$ is non-torsion and either solvable or $F C$. Then, $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$ if and only if either $K G$ is Lie $m$-Engel for some $m$ or the following conditions hold:
(i) $G^{l} \subset \xi(G)$ for some $l$.
(ii) $A$ is an abelian subgroup of $G$ and, if $A$ is non central, then $K$ is finite, $A$ is of bounded exponent and for every $x \in G$ and every $t \in A$ there exists an integer $r$ such that $x x^{-1}=t^{p r}$, where $\left(K: \mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \mid r$.
(iii) $P$ is a subgroup of $G$ of bounded exponent, contained in the centralizer of $A$ and, if $P$ is not finite, then $G$ contains a normal $p$-abelian subgroup of finite index.

Proof. Suppose that $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for some $n$ and that $K G$ is not Lie $m$-Engel.

By Theorem B, the conditions (i) and (ii) hold, and by (iii) of Theorem $B, P$ is a subgroup of $G$ contained in the centralizer of $A$. If $P$ is not of bounded exponent, by the corollary to Theorem $\mathrm{B}, K G$ is Lie $m$-Engel, for some $m$, a contradiction. Hence, $P$ is of bounded exponent.

Also, since condition (iv) of Theorem B holds, we have that $\Delta(G, P)$ is nil of bounded exponent by Lemma 3.2. By the corollary to Lemma 3.3, the remainder of condition (iii) holds.

Suppose now $K G$ is Lie $m$-Engel for some $m$. Then, as we have noted before, $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$ for a suitable $n$ [see 5, Lemma 4.3. p. 151].

Finally, suppose that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. If $P$ is finite, then $\Delta(G, P)$ is nilpotent and condition (iv) of Theorem B holds. If $G$ contains a normal $p$-abelian subgroup of finite index, then using Lemma 3.4, again condition (iv) of Theorem B holds. Since conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of this theorem are verified, then there exists an $n$ such that $U^{n} \subset \xi(U)$.
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