## A theorem on cardinal numbers

By W. F. Newns.

A classical theorem of Cantor states that the class of all sub. classes of a given class has a cardinal greater than that of the given class. This theorem is here established in a sharpened form, which was suggested to me by a question set by Professor J. M. Whittaker, F.R.S., in the 1950 examination for the Honours B.Sc. Degree at Liverpool. ${ }^{1}$

Theorem. Given any class $A$, with cardinal number $a$, let $T$ be the class consisting of those subclasses of $A$ which have at least $a-1$ members. Then $N(T)>a$.

Here $N(X)$ denotes the cardinal number of the class $X . a-1$ is the cardinal number of the class $A-(x)$ where $x \in A$ and $N(A)=a$. The Axiom of Choice is not employed in the proof. In the case of non-reflexive cardinals, since $A$ and subsets of the form $A-(x)$ are the only members of $T$, the inequality reduces to $a+1>a$.

Proof (i). To each member $x \in A$ corresponds a subclass $A-(x)$ of $A$. Such a subclass has $a-1$ members and thus is a member of $T$. This establishes a $(1,1)$ correspondence between $A$ and part of $T$. Hence

$$
a \leqq N(T)
$$

(ii). Suppose $N(T)=a$. Then there is a correlation $\sigma$ between $A$ and $T$. The class $A$ itself, as a member of $T$, must have a correlate, say $z \in A$.

We write

$$
\sigma(z)=A .
$$

To each $x \in A$ corresponds $x^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)=A-(x) .
$$

Let $A_{0}$ be the class of all such $x^{\prime}$. Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \notin A_{0} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Moreover, consideration of the obvious correlation between $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(A_{0}\right)=a \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A_{0} \subset A-(z) \subset A$, it follows from (2) that

$$
a-1=a .
$$

Hence all classes of the form $A-(x)-(y)$ have cardinal $a$, and similarly their correlates form a subclass $A_{1}$ of cardinal $a$. Since $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are disjoint, the sets $A_{0} \cup X$, where $X \subset A_{1}$, are distinct and have cardinal $a$. These sets are in (1,1) correspondence with the subclasses of $A_{1}$ and therefore of $A$.

Thus $N(T)=a$ implies $N(T) \geqq 2^{a}>a$, so that

$$
N(T) \neq a .
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The question was as follows :-_" Let $A$ be any class and let $T$ be the class of all subclasses of $A$ which contain more than one member. If $A$ has more than two members, prove that $T$ has a greater cardinal than $A$."

