Probable longer incubation period for human infection with avian influenza A(H7N9) virus in Jiangsu Province, China, 2013

Y. HUANG^{1,4}[†], K. XU²[†], D. F. REN^{3,4}[†], J. AI²[†], H. JI², A. H. GE², C. J. BAO², G. Q. SHI⁴, T. SHEN⁴, F. Y. TANG^{2*}, Y. F. ZHU^{2*}, M. H. ZHOU² and H. WANG²

¹Tongling Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Tongling, Anhui, China

² Jiangsu Provincial Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Nanjing, China

³ Tongren Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Tongren, Guizhou, China

⁴ Chinese Field Epidemiology Training Programme, Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

Received 8 July 2013; Final revision 9 December 2013; Accepted 24 January 2014; first published online 24 February 2014

SUMMARY

Human infection with the emerging avian influenza A(H7N9) virus in China in 2013 has raised global concerns. We conducted a retrospective descriptive study of 27 confirmed human influenza A(H7N9) cases in Jiangsu Province, to elaborate poultry-related exposures and to provide a more precise estimate of the incubation periods of the illness. The median incubation period was 6 days (range 2–10 days) in cases with single known exposure and was 7.5 days (range 6.5-12.5 days) in cases with exposures on multiple days, difference between the two groups was not significant (Z = -1.895, P = 0.058). The overall median incubation period for all patients was estimated to be 7.5 days (range 2–12.5 days). Our findings further highlight the necessity for public health authorities to extend the period of medical surveillance from 7 days to 10 days.

Key words: Avian flu, emerging infections, infectious disease epidemiology.

INTRODUCTION

A novel avian influenza A(H7N9) virus that infects humans was identified in China on 30 March 2013 [1]. According to China National Health and Family Planning Commission reports, 136 confirmed cases of human infection with influenza A(H7N9) virus, including 45 deaths (33%), occurred in mainland China as of 31 October 2013. Human infections with avian influenza A subtypes H7N2, H7N3, and

* Author for correspondence: Dr Y. F. Zhu or Dr F. Y. Tang, Jiangsu Provincial Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Nanjing, China.

(Email: jszyf@jscdc.cn) [Y. F. Zhu]

(Email: tfyepi@jscdc.cn) [F. Y. Tang]

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

H7N7 have previously been reported worldwide [2]. However, these 2013 cases of influenza A(H7N9) infection represent the first time that a low pathogenic avian influenza virus has been associated with human fatalities [3]. Estimation of the incubation period of influenza A(H7N9) infection is important for understanding the mechanisms of transmission and for control measure recommendations [4, 5]. CrossMark

At the beginning of the influenza A(H7N9) outbreak, the incubation period was generally quoted as being between 1 and 7 days. This estimate was based on influenza A(H5N1) infection. Results of a preliminary study then indicated that the incubation period for influenza A(H7N9) virus was 1–10 days [6]. However, there is limited availability of detailed data on the incubation period of confirmed human influenza A(H7N9) cases. We conducted an epidemiological

study in Jiangsu Province, one of the first locations where the novel influenza A(H7N9) virus emerged in China. The objective of the study was to collect detailed exposure history data and to provide a more accurate estimate of the incubation period for human influenza A(H7N9) cases.

METHODS

Case definitions

All confirmed human influenza A(H7N9) cases that occurred in Jiangsu Province were included in this study. Case definition was in accord with guidelines issued by the National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China [7]. As of 30 September 2013, 28 confirmed cases of human infection with influenza A(H7N9) virus were reported in Jiangsu. Nine (32%) of these cases were fatal.

Nasopharyngeal/oropharyngeal swabs or tracheal aspirates were collected from each patient. Specimens were sent to the laboratory in viral transport medium as soon as possible. Real-time reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (rRT–PCR) and inoculation into Madin–Darby canine kidney cell (MDCK) culture for virus isolation were used to assay the samples. Results of the rRT–PCR assay revealed that all of the 28 patients were positive for infection with influenza A virus subtype H7N9. Virus isolation assays revealed that 17 (61%) patients were infected with the virus.

Data collection

We performed a retrospective epidemiological investigation from 25 April to 17 May 2013 that included information on demographic characteristics and poultry-related exposure histories for the 2-week period before the onset of symptoms. The data included the date, frequency, and mode of exposures to poultry or birds. Poultry-related exposure was classified into two types: direct contact with poultry or birds, and poultry-related environmental exposure. Direct contact with poultry or birds included direct contact in the wet market (i.e. culling and slaughtering), direct contact in the home (i.e. cleaning, processing, cutting), and occupational exposure. Poultry-related environmental exposure was defined as visiting a live poultry market, visiting a bird market, buying freshly killed poultry or birds at a live poultry market, or exposure

to chickens or pigeons reared in the neighbourhood. The presence of underlying medical conditions (i.e. chronic diseases, including hypertension, diabetes, cancer, chronic bronchitis, rheumatic arthritis, and coronary artery disease) was recorded.

A family member (usually the spouse) was used as a proxy for cases that died from the infection or were too ill to respond to the investigation. Fourteen (50%) patients provided their own exposure history. Exposure history was obtained from the proxies of the remaining 14 (50%) cases (i.e. the nine fatal cases and the five severe cases). The investigation was conducted by trained students from the Chinese Field Epidemiology Training Programme and by staff from Jiangsu Provincial Centre for Disease Control and Prevention.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Jiangsu Provincial Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. Informed consent was obtained from all of participants before the investigation began.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using Stata/SE v. 9.0 for Windows (StataCorp LP, USA). We used descriptive statistics to summarize the demographic characteristics and exposure history. The incubation period was defined as the time from exposure to illness onset. To estimate the incubation period we used previously described methods [8]. For the cases with exposures on multiple days, we calculated each case's median incubation period and then calculated the overall median and range of the distribution of the median incubation periods. The minimum and maximum incubation periods were estimated using the last and first known exposure days, respectively. The overall incubation period for all cases was estimated by determining the median of the distribution of the cases' median incubation periods. Comparisons of overall median incubation period in different subgroups were performed using Wilcoxon's rank-sum test. All statistical tests were two-sided, with a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS

Epidemiological characteristics

All of the 28 confirmed human influenza A(H7N9) cases observed in Jiangsu Province were included in the study. Patients' age varied from 15 to 85 years (median 55 years). Twelve (43%) patients were elderly

 $(\geq 60 \text{ years})$, and 20 patients (71%) were male. Twenty-five (89%) patients lived in an urban area. Three patients were poultry workers, two worked in a live poultry market (slaughtering and selling poultry), and one worked in poultry transportation. Thirteen (46%) of the patients had chronic medical diseases.

Twenty-two (79%) of the patients had a history of exposure that occurred within 2 weeks before illness onset. Ten (45%) of these patients had direct contact with poultry or birds, 11 (50%) were exposed to a poultry-related environment, and one (5%), a 32-year-old female patient, was exposed to an influenza A(H7N9) patient (her father) in her role as caregiver (Table 1). This last patient represented a case of possible human-to-human transmission [9]. Sixteen (73%) of the 22 patients had a history of exposure to poultry or other types of birds, including chickens, pigeons, ducks, quails, and pet birds.

Estimation of incubation periods

The interval in days between exposure and onset was used to estimate the incubation periods for the 22 cases. The six cases with no poultry-related exposure history were excluded from the analysis. The median incubation period was 6 days (range 2-10 days) for the 10 (45%) cases with a single exposure and 7.5 days (range 6.5-12.5 days) for the 12 (55%) cases with multiple exposures. The difference between the two groups was not statistically significant (Z=-1.895, P=0.058). When data for single and multiple exposure days were combined, the overall median incubation period for patients who had direct contact with poultry or birds was 6.5 days (range 5-12.5 days). This result was not significantly different from the median incubation period for patients who were exposed to a poultry-related environment (7.5 days, range 2-7.5 days) (Z = -0.145, P = 0.885). None of the other differences in overall median incubation period in survivors and deaths, males and females, different age groups, urban and rural cases, and in cases with and without underlying medical conditions, were statistically significant (Table 2). The incubation period for the patient who was exposed to her infected father was 6–13 days. The upper limit of the minimum incubation period was 11 days, because one patient developed symptoms 11 days after his last exposure to poultry.

We compared our estimated incubation period to the results from previous studies that estimated incubation periods for influenza A(H7N9) and A(H5N1) infection. The data were cited from studies that used the same estimation methods that we used in this study (Table 3). In our study, the overall median incubation period for H7N9 virus was 7.5 days (range 2–12.5 days). It was 5.5 days (range 1–10 days) in a preliminary study in China [6]. The incubation period for H5N1 cases in China was 5 days (range 2–9.5 days) [8].

DISCUSSION

There is a substantial amount of uncertainty regarding the length of the incubation period for novel avian influenza A(H7N9) virus infection. Earlier attempts to estimate it were primarily based on available exposure history data, which were collected from national reporting system databases consisting of data from local Centres for Disease Control and Prevention [6, 10]. By contrast, we conducted an in-depth, epidemiological investigation for all of the reported cases in the Jiangsu outbreak and obtained a more detailed and accurate estimate of the incubation period.

Genetic evidence indicates that poultry serve as the reservoir for influenza A(H7N9) virus infection [11, 12]. Results of epidemiological investigations also support the hypothesis that influenza A(H7N9) virus-infected poultry are a source of infection [13, 14]. Seventy-nine percent of the influenza A(H7N9) patients had direct contact with poultry or a history of exposure to a live poultry market before illness onset. This result is consistent with the result of an earlier study [6]. Visiting a live poultry market in China has been identified as a risk factor for influenza A(H5N1) [15, 16] and for novel influenza A(H7N9) [17] virus infection. The apparent reduction in human cases after the closure of live poultry markets also suggests that exposure to live poultry was the main source of human infection. Therefore, we regarded exposure to live poultry or to poultry-related environments as the relevant exposure that should be used to estimate incubation periods.

Our estimate of 7.5 days for the overall median incubation period for human infection with avian influenza A(H7N9) is much longer than the 3.1 days estimated by Cowling *et al.* [10] who used a completely different method (Weibull model) for their estimate. However, the method used in our study was the same as was used to estimate the incubation period for influenza A(H5N1) [9]. Compared to the results of another study conducted in China [6], which used

Characteristics	No. (%)
Median age (range), years	55 (15-85)
<60	16 (57)
≥ 60	12 (43)
Sex	2 0 (51)
Male	20 (71)
Female	8 (29)
Type of residence	25 (00)
Urban area	25 (89)
Rural area	3 (11)
Occupation	12 (42)
Retirement	12 (43)
Unemployed Doubtry worker*	3(11)
Poultry worker* Factory worker	3 (11) 2 (7)
Peasant	$\frac{2}{1}(7)$
Chef	1 (4)
Others†	6 (21)
Underlying medical conditions [‡]	()
Yes	15 (54)
No	13 (46)
Exposure history	
Yes	22 (79)
No	6 (21)
Type of exposure $(n=22)$	
Single exposure	10 (45)
Multi-exposures	12 (55)
Exposure frequency of multi-exposures $(n = 12)$	
Every entire day before illness onset	3 (25)
Every day in the morning before illness onset	7 (58)
Every other day in the morning before illness onset	2 (17)
Exposure mode $(n=22)$	
Direct contact with poultry or birds	10 (45)
Poultry-related environmental exposure	11 (50)
Exposed to H7N9 patient	1 (5)
History of exposure to poultry or birds $(n=16)^{\dagger}$	
Chickens	12 (75)
Pigeons Ducks	4 (25)
Quails	2 (13) 1 (6)
Pet birds	1 (6)
Admission to an intensive care unit	1 (0)
Yes	24 (86)
No	4 (14)
Clinical outcome	× ,
Death	9 (32)
Survival	19 (68)

Table 1. Epidemiological characteristics of 28 patients infected with avianinfluenza A(H7N9) virus in Jiangsu Province, China, 2013

* Two of the three poultry workers worked in a live poultry market (slaughtering and selling poultry) and one worked in poultry transportation.

[†]One each of the following occupations: student, civil servant, laboratory technician, university professor, self-employed businessman, and security.

‡ Chronic diseases, including hypertension, diabetes, cancer, chronic bronchitis, rheumatic arthritis, and coronary artery disease.

Characteristics	No. (%)	Median minimum incubation period, days (range)	Median maximum incubation period, days (range)	Overall median incubation period, days (range)	Z^*	Р
Sex						
Male	16 (73)	3.5 (0-11)	11.5 (2–14)	7.5 (2-12.5)	-0.454	0.650
Female	6 (27)	2.5 (0-8)	13.5 (4–14)	7.5 (4–9.5)		
Age (years)						
<60	11 (50)	4 (0–11)	13 (2–14)	7.5 (2-12.5)	-0.602	0.544
≥60	11 (50)	1 (0–10)	13 (5–14)	7.5 (5–10)		
Type of residence						
Urban area	19 (86)	2 (0-11)	13 (2–14)	7.5 (2-12.5)	-1.522	0.128
Rural area	3 (14)	4 (1–5)	5 (4–14)	5 (4-7.5)		
Underlying medical conditi	ons					
Yes	13 (59)	1 (0-10)	13 (5–14)	7.5 (5-10)	-1.208	0.304
No	9 (41)	4 (0–11)	13 (2–14)	7.5 (2–12.5)		
Type of exposure						
Single exposure	10 (45)	6 (2–10)	6 (2–10)	6 (2–10)	-1.895	0.058
Multi-exposures	12 (55)	1 (0–11)	14 (13–14)	7.5 (6.5–12.5)		
Exposure mode						
Direct (poultry)	10 (45)	6 (0–11)	7 (5–14)	6.5 (5-12.5)	-0.145	0.885
Indirect (poultry)	11 (55)	1 (0-4)	14 (2–14)	7.5 (2–7.5)		
Clinical outcome						
Death	9 (41)	5 (1-10)	13 (5–14)	7.5 (5-10)	-0.377	0.706
Survival	13 (59)	2 (0–11)	13 (2–14)	7.5 (2–12.5)		
Exposed to H7N9 patient	1 (5)	6	13	9.5	_	—

Table 2. Estimated incubation periods for 22 patients infected with avian influenza A(H7N9) virus in Jiangsu Province, China, 2013

* Comparisons of overall median incubation period in different subgroups were performed using Wilcoxon's rank-sum test.

the same method to estimate the influenza A(H7N9) incubation period that we used, the median incubation period in patients with a single known exposure was much more consistent. However, the overall median incubation period estimated in our study was 2 days longer (7.5 days *vs.* 5.5 days). The estimated upper limit for the maximum incubation period of 12.5 days was also longer than the 10-day incubation period estimated in the earlier study. Our result of the influenza A(H7N9) incubation period was also obviously longer than the estimate for seasonal influenza. The results of a systematic review suggested that the median incubation period for influenza A is 1.4 days [18].

Results of previous studies of influenza A(H5N1) have indicated that the median incubation period is 3 days in Vietnam [19] and Thailand [20], and the mean time between exposure and the onset of symptoms is 5 days in eastern Turkey [21]. Compared to the results from a study on incubation period for influenza A(H5N1) in China [8], upon which our estimation method is based, our estimated overall median

incubation period for influenza A(H7N9) was 2.5 days longer [7.5 days (range 2–12.5 days) vs. 5 days (range 2–9.5 days)]. For influenza A(H5N1) clusters in which limited human-to-human virus transmission probably occurred, the incubation period appeared to be 3–9 days [22–24], but in our study it was 6–13 days.

The incubation period is an important aspect of disease natural history. Knowledge regarding the incubation period is important for the surveillance and control of infectious disease. Our findings suggest that the incubation period for novel influenza A(H7N9) infection may be longer than estimated by previous studies for influenza A(H7N9) and A(H5N1). The maximum incubation period could be longer than the 7 days that has been used as the maximum incubation period thus far [7], and longer than the 10 days estimated in a previous study [6]. We also compared the differences in median incubation period in different groups stratified by demographic characteristics and exposure history, but they were not statistically significant. H7N9 virus is

Table 3. Comparison of estimated incubation periods

Exposure	Jiangsu	Estimates	Estimates
	Province	for H7N9	for H5N1
	patients	(China)*	(China)†
Patients with a single known exposure	10	12	6
Overall median incubation period, days (range)	6 (2–10)	6 (1–10)	5 (2–9)
Patients with exposures on multiple days	12	11	18
Overall median incubation period, days (range)	7·5 (6·5–12·5)	5·5 (4–6·5)	5 (2–9·5)
Median of minimum incubation period, days (range)	1 (0–11)	1 (0–3)	0·5 (0–5)
Median of maximum incubation period, days (range)	14 (13–14)	10 (8–10)	8·5 (4–14)
All patients	22	23	24
Overall median incubation period, days (range)	7·5 (2–12·5)	5·5 (1–10)	5 (2–9·5)
Overall median of minimum incubation period, days (range)	3 (0–11)	1 (0–10)	1·5 (0–9)
Overall median of maximum incubation period, days (range)	13 (2–14)	7·5 (1–10)	7·5 (2–14)

* These data are from a preliminary study [6].

[†] These data are from a previously published study [8].

a novel reassortant avian-origin influenza A virus. The reason that it may have a longer incubation period than influenza A(H5N1) remains unresolved. More studies on the pathogenetic mechanisms of influenza A(H7N9) are needed.

For reasons of biological plausibility, the maximum time from first exposure to illness onset was limited to 14 days. Exposure history for the 2 weeks before illness onset was collected for each patient. The median incubation period may be overestimated for the patients who reported multiple exposures. Estimates of the incubation period for cases in which a single exposure occurred might be more accurate. Based on our results, however, we highlight the need for public health authorities to extend the period of medical surveillance from 7 days to 10 days. Case definitions should be updated, and the guarantine period for close contacts should be at least 10 days. The CDC's interim guidance on case definitions has 'within <10 days of illness onset' as the medical surveillance period [25]. Further studies that include more samples and accurate estimates of the incubation period distribution are needed, because the estimated incubation period for some of the cases in our study was more than 10 days.

Several potential limitations of this study should be noted. First, only a small number of cases were available, which reduced the statistical power of the study. Therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution. Second, for some cases, proxies responded to the survey, which may have introduced some uncertainty about exposure dates. This issue may have been especially relevant when infection occurred in patients with multiple days of exposures. Third, the difference in the incubation period between our study and the influenza A(H5N1) study presented in Table 3 could not be tested for statistical significance because the raw data used for the estimate of influenza A(H5N1) incubation times were not available.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that the incubation period for the novel influenza A(H7N9) may be longer than previously estimated. This possibility highlights the necessity for public health authorities to extend the period of medical surveillance from 7 days to 10 days. Our results contribute to a better understanding of novel influenza A(H7N9) infection and provide important information that will aid in the surveillance and control of this disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention of Nanjing, Suzhou, Wuxi, Zhenjiang, Changzhou, Yancheng, Yangzhou, and Suqian cities in Jiangsu Province for coordinating our field investigations and collecting the data. This work was supported by the Jiangsu Province Health Development Project with Science and Education (M.H.Z., H.W., ZX201109; X.Q., RC2011084; Y.F.Z., RC2011085), a grant from the National Ministry of Science and Technology Emergency Research Project on human infection with avian influenza H7N9 virus (F.Y.T., no. KJYJ-2013–01–02) and the Chinese Field Epidemiology Training Programme (Y.H., D.F.R, G.Q.S., T.S.).

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

- Gao R, et al. Human infection with a novel avian-origin influenza A (H7N9) virus. New England Journal of Medicine 2013; 368: 1888–1897.
- Belser JA, et al. Past, present, and possible future human infection with influenza virus A subtype H7. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2009; 15: 859–865.
- Uyeki TM, Cox NJ. Global concerns regarding novel influenza A (H7N9) virus infections. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2013; 368: 1862–1864.
- Lessler J, et al. Incubation periods of acute respiratory viral infections: a systematic review. Lancet Infectious Diseases 2009; 9: 291–300.
- Reich NG, et al. Estimating incubation period distributions with coarse data. *Statistics in Medicine* 2009; 28: 2769–2784.
- Li Q, et al. Preliminary Report: Epidemiology of the Avian Influenza A (H7N9) Outbreak in China. New England Journal of Medicine. Published online: 24 April 2013. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1304617.
- China ational Health and Family Planning Commission. Diagnostic and treatment protocol for human infections with avian influenza A (H7N9) (2nd edition, 2013). Beijing: China National Health and Family Planning Commission. 11 April 2013 (http:// www.moh.gov.cn/yjb/bmdt/201304/9e989eba0d0d4500ba5dbb89c3bd7829.shtml).
- Huai Y, et al. Incubation period for human cases of avian influenza A (H5N1) infection, China. Emerging Infectious Diseases 2008; 14: 1819–1821.
- Qi X, et al. Probable person to person transmission of novel avian influenza A (H7N9) virus in Eastern China, 2013: epidemiological investigation. British Medical Journal 2013; 347: f4752.
- Cowling BJ, et al. Comparative epidemiology of human infections with avian influenza A H7N9 and H5N1 viruses in China: a population-based study of laboratory-confirmed cases. *Lancet.* Published online: 21 June 2013. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61171-X.
- Chen Y, et al. Human infections with the emerging avian influenza A H7N9 virus from wet market poultry: clinical analysis and characterisation of viral genome. Lancet 2013; 381: 1916–1925.

- Han J, et al. Clinical presentation and sequence analyses of HA and NA antigens of the novel H7N9 viruses. *Emerging Microbes Infections*. Published online: 1 May 2013. doi: 10.1038/emi.2013.28.
- Bao CJ, et al. Live-animal markets and influenza A (H7N9) virus infection. New England Journal of Medicine 2013; 368: 2337–2339.
- Han J, et al. Epidemiological link between exposure to poultry and all influenza A(H7N9) confirmed cases in Huzhou city, China, March to May 2013. Eurosurveillance 2013; 18(20): pii=20481.
- Mounts AW, et al. Case-control study of risk factors for avian influenza A (H5N1) disease, Hong Kong, 1997. Journal of Infectious Diseases 1999; 180: 505–508.
- Zhou L, et al. Risk factors for human illness with avian influenza A (H5N1) virus infection in China. Journal of Infectious Diseases 2009; 199: 1726–1734.
- Ai J, et al. Case-control study of risk factors for human infection with influenza A(H7N9) virus in Jiangsu Province, China, 2013. Eurosurveillance 2013; 18(26): pii=20510.
- Lessler J, et al. Incubation periods of acute respiratory viral infections: a systematic review. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2009; 9: 291–300.
- Tran TH, et al. Avian influenza A (H5N1) in 10 patients in Vietnam. New England Journal of Medicine 2004; 350: 1179–1188.
- Areechokchai D, et al. Investigation of avian influenza (H5N1) outbreak in humans – Thailand, 2004. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2006; 55 (Suppl. 1): 3–6.
- Oner AF, et al. Avian influenza A (H5N1) infection in eastern Turkey in 2006. New England Journal of Medicine 2006; 355: 2179–2185.
- Wang H, et al. Probable limited person-to-person transmission of highly pathogenic avian influenza A (H5N1) virus in China. Lancet 2008; 371: 1427–1434.
- Ungchusak K, et al. Probable person-to-person transmission of avian influenza A (H5N1). New England Journal of Medicine 2005; 352: 333–340.
- Kandun IN, et al. Three Indonesian clusters of H5N1 virus infection in 2005. New England Journal of Medicine 2006; 355: 2186–2194.
- 25. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim Guidance on Case Definitions to be Used for Novel Influenza A (H7N9) Case Investigations in the United States. Atlanta: US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 7 June 2013 (http://www.cdc.gov/flu/ avianflu/h7n9/case-definitions.htm).