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#### Abstract

Relativistic outflows from AGN can be parameterized by $\theta$, the angle subtended by the direction of the outflow and the line of sight to the observer, and $\gamma$, the bulk Lorentz factor of the outflow. The Doppler factor, $\delta$, and the apparent speed in the plane of the sky, $\beta_{a p p}$, are combinations of $\theta$ and $\gamma$. The Doppler factor can be estimated using either the equipartition Doppler factor, $\delta_{e q}$ (Readhead 1994), or the inverse Compton Doppler factor, $\delta_{I C}$. These Doppler factor estimates are combined with observed $\beta_{a p p}$ to solve for $\theta$ and $\gamma$ for different categories of AGN.

Ghisellini et al. (1993) compute $\delta_{I C}$ for 105 compact radio sources, and Güijosa \& Daly (1996) compute $\delta_{e q}$ for the same sample. Daly, Guerra, \& Güijosa (1996) estimate $\theta$ and $\gamma$ for the 43 sources that have $\beta_{a p p}$ listed by Vermeulen \& Cohen (1994) and $\delta_{e q}$ computed by Guiijosa \& Daly (1996).

Solutions and errors for $\theta$ and $\gamma$ are presented in Figures 1 and 2 using $\delta_{e q}$ and $\delta_{I C}$ respectively. Guerra \& Daly (1996) discuss these estimates and errors in greater detail. These AGN fall into the following categories: BL Lacertae objects (BL Lacs), core-dominated high-polarization quasars (CDHPQ), core-dominated low-polarization quasars (CDLPQ), core-dominated quasars with no polarization information (CDQ(NPI)), lobe-dominated quasars (LDQ), and radio galaxies (RG).
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Figure 1. Estimates of $\theta$ vs. $\gamma$ using $\delta_{e q}$ and $\beta_{a p p}$.


Figure 2. Estimates of $\theta$ vs. $\gamma$ using $\delta_{I C}$ and $\beta_{a p p}$.

