N.W. of England it is a strikingly original formation, as proved by the freshness of the numerous and generally parallel striæ on the included stones. It is, however, confined to low levels.

D. MACKINTOSH.

FURTHER REMARKS ON VULCANICITY.

STR,—The statement of your footnote at p. 127 of my Reply to Mr. Scrope (Geol. Mac. for March, 1874), in which you say that "Mr. Mallet in this and other passages certainly misapprehends Mr. Scrope," does not seem to me justified by the facts, and I beg

of you to permit me to explain why.

The objections made by me to the supposition of a thin crust and a great liquid nucleus are alike applied by me to the hypothesis of Hopkins, of a thin crust covering his subterranean liquid lava lakes. I have throughout my reply linked these together. Whether, therefore, Mr. Scrope now continues to adhere to the former notion, as I am warranted in taking from the tenor of almost all his writings,or whether, as in the papers in the Geol. Mag. Vols. V. and VI., to which you direct attention, he more recently is disposed to abandon the moribund thin crust and liquid nucleus theory, and to fall back upon the thin crust and fiery lakes of Hopkins (for the views in the papers above referred to seem to me neither more nor less than that),—I have not, as I believe,—certainly not consciously, misapprehended Mr. Scrope. The difficulties of Hopkins' notion, as adopted by Mr. Scrope, are just as great as those of the older one of thin crust and liquid nucleus, with this additional disadvantage,that whereas the latter is an assumption that may stand alone, the former (Hopkins' or Mr. Scrope's) is an hypothesis as arbitrary, and which cannot be admitted at all without admitting another hypothesis previously, upon which it must depend.

10th March, 1874. Robert Mallet.

ORIGIN OF THE FLEET.

Sir,—I can assure Col. Greenwood that I have read his valuable book more than once, and each time with considerable profit to myself; still I cannot agree with all his conclusions. My paper on the origin of the Lagoon called the Fleet is not as explicit as I would wish, as I intended to point out that the sea-banks are due to the principal currents, which are usually the incoming tidal currents. In some places, as off the S.E. coast of Ireland, the prevailing winds and incoming tidal currents act conjointly; but west of Carnsore point [the S.E. headland of Ireland] there are cross-tides, apparently due to the curl round the Salter Islands: and here we find, at the lagoon called Ballyteige mudlands, that the beach travels from Crossfarnoge Point towards the W.N.W., although the prevailing winds are from the S.W. and S.S.W.; while on the coast of Mayo, between Killiney Bay and Clew Bay [a coast apparently most favourable to the formation of bars and lagoons], the beach has no regular set, but goes sometimes north, at other times south, or inland or seaward, although there are on this coast nearly constant winds from between the south and west, generally a few points off S.W. From what I