

# ON PROJECTIVE CHARACTERS OF THE SAME DEGREE

by R. J. HIGGS

(Received 15 January, 1997)

**0. Introduction.** All groups  $G$  considered in this paper are finite and all representations of  $G$  are defined over the field of complex numbers. The reader unfamiliar with projective representations is referred to [9] for basic definitions and elementary results.

Let  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  denote the set of irreducible projective characters of a group  $G$  with cocycle  $\alpha$ . In previous papers (for example [2], [4], and [6]) numerous authors have considered the situation when  $|\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)| = 1$  or  $2$ ; such groups are said to be of  $\alpha$ -central type or of  $2\alpha$ -central type, respectively. In particular in [4, Theorem A] the author showed that if  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha) = \{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ , then  $\xi_1(1) = \xi_2(1)$ . This result has recently been independently confirmed in [8, Corollary C].

The aim of this short paper is to provide some positive evidence about the following conjecture, of which the result mentioned above is just a special case.

**CONJECTURE.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $\alpha$  be a cocycle of  $G$ . Then either  $G$  is of  $\alpha$ -central type or  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  contains at least two elements of the same degree.*

The reader will discover that groups of  $\alpha$ -central type play an important part in our investigation of the conjecture, which we are able to verify in a number of cases; most notably when  $G$  is supersoluble or has odd order.

**1. Characters of the smallest degree.** We start by considering the situation when  $\alpha$  is trivial.

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial group. Then  $\text{Irr}(G)$  do not all have different degrees.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Suppose  $N$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $\text{Irr}(G/N)$  contains two elements of the same degree, which lift irreducibly to  $G$ . So  $G$  must be a non-abelian simple group, and moreover all of its irreducible characters must be rational valued. Thus  $G \cong Sp_6(2)$  or  $O_8^+(2)'$  from [3, Corollary B.1], but from [1] both these groups do possess irreducible characters of the same degree.  $\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 1.1, we can assume henceforward where necessary that  $o([\alpha]) > 1$  in  $M(G)$ , the Schur multiplier of  $G$ . We now proceed to verify the conjecture in a number of easy cases, these cases have in common the fact that we need only to consider irreducible projective characters of the smallest degree. To avoid repetition  $\alpha$  will always denote a cocycle of the group  $G$  under consideration in the following results.

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. Then either  $G$  is of  $\alpha$ -central type or  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  contains  $n$  elements of the smallest degree where  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

*Glasgow Math. J.* **40** (1998) 431–434.

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha) = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_t\}$ , with  $\xi_1$  being an element of the smallest degree. Then,

$$|G|/(\xi_1(1))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^t (\xi_i(1)/\xi_1(1))^2.$$

Now  $G$  is of  $\alpha$ -central type if and only if  $t = 1$ . If  $t > 1$  the left hand side of the above equation is congruent to 0 modulo  $p$ , so there must be  $n$  elements  $\xi_i \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  with  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  such that  $\xi_i(1) = \xi_1(1)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent group and  $\{p_i : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$  be the distinct prime divisors of  $|G|$ . Then either  $G$  is of  $\alpha$ -central type or  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  contains  $n$  elements of the smallest degree where  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$  for some  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S_i$  be the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then it follows from either Corollary 5.1.3 or Theorem 7.1.13 of [9] that there exist cocycles  $\alpha_i$  of  $S_i$  such that  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha) = \{\lambda(\xi_1 \times \dots \times \xi_r) : \xi_i \in \text{Proj}(S_i, \alpha_i)\}$ , where  $\lambda$  is a function from  $G$  into the non-zero complex numbers with  $\lambda(1) = 1$ . The result is now immediate from Lemma 1.2.  $\square$

Our next result covers the case of a metacyclic group.

**LEMMA 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and suppose  $G$  contains a normal abelian subgroup  $N$  such that  $[\alpha_N] = [1]$  and  $G/N$  is cyclic. Then either  $G$  is of  $\alpha$ -central type or  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  contains at least two elements of the smallest degree.*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ , then  $\xi(1)$  divides  $[G : N]$  by [11, Theorem 2]. Now assume  $\xi$  is of the smallest degree, let  $\lambda$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\xi_N$ , and  $I$  denote the inertia subgroup  $I_G(\lambda)$ . Then  $\lambda(1) = 1$ , since  $N$  is abelian and  $[\alpha_N]$  is trivial. Also since  $G/N$  is cyclic, the elements of  $\text{Proj}(I/N, \beta)$  all have degree one for any cocycle  $\beta$  of  $I/N$ . It follows from the bijections of Clifford's theorem (described in the proof of Theorem 2.1 below), that the  $[I : N]$  distinct elements of  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  which are the constituents of  $\lambda^G$  all have degree  $\xi(1)$ . We have thus constructed at least two elements of the smallest degree unless  $I = N$ , and  $\lambda^G = \xi$ . In this case  $\xi(1) = [G : N]$ , and consequently every element of  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  has this degree. Once again we have at least two elements of the only degree unless  $\xi$  is unique and  $[G : N] = |N|$ .  $\square$

Our final result in this section has an almost identical proof to Lemma 1.4, and so the proof is omitted.

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and suppose  $G$  contains a normal subgroup  $N$  with  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  such that  $I_G(\zeta)/N$  is a non-trivial cyclic group. Then there are at least two elements of  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  of the same degree which are constituents of  $\zeta^G$ .*

## 2. Supersoluble groups and groups of odd order.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a supersoluble group. Then either  $G$  is of  $\alpha$ -central type or  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  contains at least two elements of the same degree.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Let  $N$  be a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ . Let  $I = I_G(\zeta)$ , and  $\text{Proj}(I\zeta, \alpha_I)$  denote the set of irreducible constituents of  $\zeta^I$ . Then by [9, Theorem 7.8.10] there exists a cocycle  $\beta$  of  $I/N$  and bijections  $\text{Proj}(I/N, \beta) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(I\zeta, \alpha_I) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(G\zeta, \alpha)$  defined by  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma\kappa \mapsto (\gamma\kappa)^G$ , where  $\kappa_N = \zeta$  and  $\kappa \in \text{Proj}(I, \beta^{-1}\alpha_I)$ . The cocycle  $\beta^{-1}$  is called an obstruction cocycle, since it obstructs the extension of  $\zeta$  to an element of  $\text{Proj}(I, \alpha_I)$ . Now since  $|I/N| < |G|$ , either  $\text{Proj}(I/N, \beta)$  contains at least two elements of the same degree or  $I/N$  is of  $\beta$ -central type. In the former case the bijections above yield at least two elements of  $\text{Proj}(G\zeta, \alpha)$  of the same degree, contrary to the assumption that  $G$  is a counterexample. So we must assume  $I/N$  is of  $\beta$ -central type. Consequently  $\xi(x) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ , and all  $x \notin N$ . Since  $G$  is not of  $\alpha$ -central type, it must contain a unique minimal normal subgroup  $K = \langle x : x \text{ is } \alpha\text{-regular} \rangle$ .

Since  $|K| = p$  for some prime  $p$ ,  $K$  consists of the  $\alpha$ -regular elements of  $G$ . Let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $K \leq Z(S)$ , so that  $S \leq I_G(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \text{Proj}(K, \alpha_K)$ . Let  $H$  be a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $H \leq I_G(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in \text{Proj}(K, \alpha_K)$  by [5, Proposition 1.5 and Corollary 2.4]. It follows from the bijections above that exactly one element  $\delta$  of  $\text{Proj}(K, \alpha_K)$  is  $G$ -invariant, and there is a unique  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G\delta, \alpha)$  with  $\xi_K = e\delta$  and  $e^2 = [G : K]$ . Now  $\text{Proj}(K, \alpha_K) = \{\delta v : v \in \text{Irr}(K)\}$ . Let  $v$  be a non-trivial element of  $\text{Irr}(K)$ , so that  $v$  is faithful. Then  $I_G(\delta v) = I_G(v) = C_G(K) \triangleleft G$ . Thus the  $G$ -orbits on  $\{\delta v : v \neq 1\}$  all have the same length, and for each such orbit we obtain from the bijections above  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  with  $\xi(1)^2 = [G : K][G : C_G(K)]$ . Thus there must be a unique such orbit. This implies that  $G$  is of  $2\alpha$ -central type, contrary to [4, Theorem A].  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group of odd order. Then either  $G$  is of  $\alpha$ -central type or  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  contains at least two elements of the same degree.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Then the results of the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 2.1 still hold, and in particular  $G$  must contain a unique minimal normal subgroup  $K = \langle x : x \text{ is } \alpha\text{-regular} \rangle$ . Moreover  $K$  is abelian since  $G$  has odd order. Now if  $K \leq Z(G)$ , then  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  consists of  $|K|$  elements of degree  $[G : K]^{1/2}$ , a contradiction. It follows from [7, Theorem 2.7(b)] that either  $K$  is of  $\alpha_K$ -central type or  $[\alpha_K] = [1]$ . In the former case we obtain that  $G$  is of  $\alpha$ -central type, a contradiction. So  $[\alpha_K] = [1]$ .

Our argument now follows that of the proof of Theorem A of [4]. Let  $C = C_G(K)$ , and  $V = \text{Irr}(K)$ . Let  $\bar{R} = R/C$  be a chief factor of  $G$ . Then  $\bar{R}$  acts faithfully on  $V$  and  $C_V(\bar{R})$  is trivial, so that  $\bar{R}$  has order coprime to  $p$ . Thus we may use the arguments in the proofs of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 of [10] to show that some  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  is  $G$ -invariant. Let  $v$  be a non-trivial element of  $V$ , then  $I_G(\delta v) = I_G(v) = I_G(v^{-1}) = I_G(\delta v^{-1})$ . However since  $G$  has odd order  $v$  and  $v^{-1}$  are not conjugate, and so  $\delta v$  and  $\delta v^{-1}$  lie in two different orbits of the same length. It follows from the bijections in the proof of Theorem 2.1 that if  $\xi_1$  is an irreducible constituent of  $(\delta v)^G$  and  $\xi_2$  is an irreducible constituent of  $(\delta v^{-1})^G$ , then  $\xi_1(1) = \xi_2(1)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

If the conjecture is true in general then it has the following immediate application to ordinary character theory.

**PROPOSITION 2.3 (Modulo Conjecture).** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $N$  be a normal sub group of  $G$ , and  $\vartheta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ . Then either  $\vartheta^G$  has at least two irreducible constituents of the same degree, or each irreducible constituent of  $\vartheta^G$  vanishes on  $G - N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = I_G(\vartheta)$  and  $\beta^{-1}$  denote the cocycle of  $I/N$  which obstructs the extension of  $\vartheta$  to an element of  $\text{Irr}(I)$ . Then assuming the conjecture holds either  $\text{Proj}(I/N, \beta)$  contains at least two elements of the same degree or  $I/N$  is of  $\beta$ -central type. In the former case the bijections in the proof of Theorem 2.1 yield at least two elements of  $\text{Irr}(G|\vartheta)$  of the same degree. In the latter case using the notation of Theorem 2.1,  $\text{Irr}(G|\vartheta) = \{(\gamma\kappa)^G\}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the unique element of  $\text{Proj}(I/N, \beta)$ . Consequently  $(\gamma\kappa)^G(x) = 0$  for all  $x \notin N$ .  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. My thanks to the referee for making a number of suggestions which have made this paper more readable.

## REFERENCES

1. J. H. Conway et al., *An atlas of finite groups* (Oxford University Press, 1985).
2. F. R. DeMeyer and G. J. Janusz, Finite groups with an irreducible representation of large degree, *Math. Z.* **108** (1969), 145–153.
3. W. Feit and G. M. Seitz, On finite rational groups and related topics, *Illinois J. Math.* **33** (1988), 103–131.
4. R. J. Higgs, Groups with two projective characters, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **103** (1988), 5–14.
5. R. J. Higgs, Projective characters of degree one and the inflation–restriction sequence, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **46** (1989), 272–280.
6. R. B. Howlett and I. M. Isaacs, On groups of central type, *Math. Z.* **179** (1982), 555–569.
7. I. M. Isaacs, Character correspondences in solvable groups, *Advances in Math.* **43** (1982), 284–306.
8. I. M. Isaacs, Blocks with just two irreducible Brauer characters in solvable groups, *J. Algebra* **170** (1994), 487–503.
9. G. Karpilovsky, *Projective representations of finite groups*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **94**. (Marcel Dekker, 1985).
10. R. A. Liebler and J. E. Yellen, In search of nonsolvable groups of central type, *Pacific J. Math.* **82** (1979), 485–492.
11. N. G. Ng, Degrees of irreducible projective representations of finite groups, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **10** (1975), 379–384.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE  
DUBLIN  
IRELAND