

Corrigendum

Availability, quality and price of produce in low-income neighbourhood food stores in California raise equity issues - CORRIGENDUM

Wendi Gosliner¹, Daniel M Brown², Betty C Sun³, Gail Woodward-Lopez¹ and Patricia B Crawford¹

¹Nutrition Policy Institute, University of California Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2115 Milvia Street, Berkeley, CA 94704, USA: ²Contra Costa Health Services, Martinez, CA, USA: ³Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy – Institute at the Golden Gate, San Francisco, CA, USA

First published online 15 March 2018

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980018000058>

Original text and correction:

ORIGINAL TEXT (page 1644, Results)

Table 3 Average lowest price per pound and relative price difference of seven produce items by store type for stores in the CX³ sample. Relative price differences are the difference between the observed lowest store price and the average lowest price for chain supermarkets in the same county that month, expressed as a percentage of the average county chain supermarket lowest price

Item	Large groceries				Small markets				Convenience stores				
	<i>n</i>	Average lowest price (range)	SD	Relative price difference	<i>n</i>	Average lowest price (range)	SD	Relative price difference	<i>n</i>	Average lowest price (range)	SD	Relative price difference	Relative price test significance*
Apples	218	\$1.17 (\$0.66, \$2.99)	\$0.39	44%	312	\$1.18 (\$0.63, \$2.59)	\$0.37	53%	130	\$1.58 (\$0.69, \$2.80)	\$0.50	110%	B, C
Bananas	218	\$0.70 (\$0.49, \$1.49)	\$0.16	15%	336	\$0.85 (\$0.49, \$2.19)	\$0.31	39%	150	\$1.30 (\$0.49, \$2.19)	\$0.46	115%	A, B, C
Oranges	200	\$0.95 (\$0.48, \$1.49)	\$0.40	35%	249	\$0.88 (\$0.47, \$2.20)	\$0.41	27%	88	\$1.36 (\$0.50, \$2.20)	\$0.59	107%	B, C
Carrots	179	\$0.84 (\$0.50, \$2.14)	\$0.33	33%	242	\$0.91 (\$0.50, \$2.20)	\$0.37	43%	24	\$1.22 (\$0.50, \$2.00)	\$0.58	102%	B, C
Tomatoes	197	\$1.21 (\$0.59, \$2.66)	\$0.45	13%	311	\$1.12 (\$0.59, \$2.79)	\$0.41	6%	57	\$1.21 (\$0.69, \$2.19)	\$0.38	23%	C
Broccoli	199	\$1.30 (\$0.68, \$3.19)	\$0.49	1%	142	\$1.33 (\$0.68, \$3.18)	\$0.56	6%	–	–	–	–	–
Cabbage	172	\$0.74 (\$0.38, \$1.59)	\$0.27	0%	261	\$0.66 (\$0.34, \$1.50)	\$0.26	–1%	–	–	–	–	–

*The test significance column indicates the significance (at $p < .05$) of two-way tests of the hypothesis that the relative price differences differ between store type categories. The letter A indicates that 'Large Groceries' and 'Small Markets' stores have different relative price differences, B that 'Large Groceries' and 'Convenience' stores differ, and C that 'Small Markets' and 'Convenience' stores differ.

CORRECTION

The last line of Table 3, representing a composite analysis of five produce items, was omitted in the published version and is included in this corrigendum.

Table 3 Average lowest price per pound and relative price difference of seven produce items by store type for stores in the CX³ sample. Relative price differences are the difference between the observed lowest store price and the average lowest price for chain supermarkets in the same county that month, expressed as a percentage of the average county chain supermarket lowest price

Item	Large groceries				Small markets				Convenience stores				
	<i>n</i>	Average lowest price (range)	SD	Relative price difference	<i>n</i>	Average lowest price (range)	SD	Relative price difference	<i>n</i>	Average lowest price (range)	SD	Relative price difference	Relative price test significance*
Apples	218	\$1.17 (\$0.66, \$2.99)	\$0.39	44%	312	\$1.18 (\$0.63, \$2.59)	\$0.37	53%	130	\$1.58 (\$0.69, \$2.80)	\$0.50	110%	B, C
Bananas	218	\$0.70 (\$0.49, \$1.49)	\$0.16	15%	336	\$0.85 (\$0.49, \$2.19)	\$0.31	39%	150	\$1.30 (\$0.49, \$2.19)	\$0.46	115%	A, B, C
Oranges	200	\$0.95 (\$0.48, \$1.49)	\$0.40	35%	249	\$0.88 (\$0.47, \$2.20)	\$0.41	27%	88	\$1.36 (\$0.50, \$2.20)	\$0.59	107%	B, C
Carrots	179	\$0.84 (\$0.50, \$2.14)	\$0.33	33%	242	\$0.91 (\$0.50, \$2.20)	\$0.37	43%	24	\$1.22 (\$0.50, \$2.00)	\$0.58	102%	B, C
Tomatoes	197	\$1.21 (\$0.59, \$2.66)	\$0.45	13%	311	\$1.12 (\$0.59, \$2.79)	\$0.41	6%	57	\$1.21 (\$0.69, \$2.19)	\$0.38	23%	C
Broccoli	199	\$1.30 (\$0.68, \$3.19)	\$0.49	1%	142	\$1.33 (\$0.68, \$3.18)	\$0.56	6%	–	–	–	–	
Cabbage	172	\$0.74 (\$0.38, \$1.59)	\$0.27	0%	261	\$0.66 (\$0.34, \$1.50)	\$0.26	–1%	–	–	–	–	
Five-item average	230	\$0.96 (\$0.50, \$1.74)	\$0.25	27%	417	\$1.02 (\$0.50, \$2.24)	\$0.33	37%	194	\$1.40 (\$0.49, \$2.24)	\$0.47	102%	B, C

*The test significance column indicates the significance (at $p < .05$) of two-way tests of the hypothesis that the relative price differences differ between store type categories. The letter A indicates that 'Large Groceries' and 'Small Markets' stores have different relative price differences, B that 'Large Groceries' and 'Convenience' stores differ, and C that 'Small Markets' and 'Convenience' stores differ.

Reference

Gosliner W., Brown D., Sun B., Woodward-Lopez G., & Crawford P. (2018). Availability, quality and price of produce in low-income neighbourhood food stores in California raise equity issues. *Public Health Nutrition*, 21(9), 1639–1648. doi:10.1017/S1368980018000058