

Star formation in a high-pressure environment: An SMA view of the dust ridge

Daniel L. Walker^{1,2}

CMZoom Survey Group (PIs: Eric Keto & Cara Battersby)²

¹Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University,
IC2, 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool, L3 5RF United Kingdom
email: D.L.Walker@2009.ljmu.ac.uk

²Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics,
60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
email: daniel.walker@cfa.harvard.edu

CMZoom: <https://www.cfa.harvard.edu/sma/LargeScale/CMZ>

Abstract. The star formation rate in the Central Molecular Zone is an order of magnitude lower than in the disk of the Galaxy, given the amount of dense gas there. Understanding why star formation is different in this region is crucial if we are to understand the environmental dependence of star formation. Here, we present the detection of high-mass cores in the CMZ's 'dust ridge' that have been discovered with the Submillimeter Array. These cores range in mass from $\sim 50 - 1800 M_{\odot}$ within radii of $0.1 - 0.25$ pc. All are young (pre-UCHII), meaning that they are prime candidates for representing the initial conditions of high-mass stars and sub-clusters. We compare these with high-mass cores and clouds in the Galactic disk and find that they are very similar in terms of their masses and sizes, despite being subjected to external pressures that are several magnitudes greater ($\sim 10^8$ K cm⁻³). The fact that $> 80\%$ of these cores do not show any signs of star-forming activity in such a high-pressure environment leads us to conclude that this is further evidence of the critical density for star formation being heightened in the CMZ due to turbulence.

Keywords. stars: formation, ISM: general, Galaxy: center, submillimeter

1. Introduction

Empirical star formation relations have largely been calibrated using detailed studies of star forming regions in the disk of our own and nearby galaxies. Using observations of nearby star-forming regions, Lada *et al.* (2012) proposed a gas surface density threshold for star formation of $\sim 10^4$ cm⁻³, above which stars could form efficiently. However, the regions from which relations like this are drawn are typically very similar and do not probe significantly different environmental conditions.

The conditions in the Galactic centre are known to be extreme compared to the Solar neighbourhood, with densities, gas temperatures and pressures being several factors to orders of magnitude greater (Kruijssen *et al.* 2014). It therefore seems plausible that the process of star formation may proceed differently there. Indeed, a significant fraction of the gas in the Central Molecular Zone (CMZ; inner ~ 500 pc of the Galaxy) lies above a volume density of $\sim 10^4$ cm⁻³, yet the star formation rate (SFR) in the CMZ is 1 – 2 orders of magnitude lower than predicted (Longmore *et al.* 2013a). Current understanding proposes that this is due to the heightened turbulence in the CMZ, which drives the critical density threshold for star formation to higher values (Kruijssen *et al.* 2014).




Figure 1. *Top:* dust ridge clouds ‘b’ (left) and ‘c’ (right). *Bottom:* dust ridge clouds ‘d’ (left) and ‘e/f’ (right). Background image is a Herschel column density map (Battersby *et al.* in prep.), shown with corresponding white contours. Black contours show the 1.3 mm continuum emission as seen with the SMA at the 5- σ level. Red contours highlight the cores as determined via dendrogram analysis.

2. The Dust Ridge – quiescent precursors to high-mass clusters

To understand the process of star formation in the CMZ, it is crucial that we identify and study its unperturbed initial conditions. To achieve this, we target the so-called dust ridge (Lis *et al.* 1999) – a group of 6 molecular clouds that span ~ 0.3 degrees in projection in front of the Galactic Centre, which is at a distance of 8.4 kpc (Reid *et al.* 2009, 2014). These are G0.253+0.016 (aka ‘the Brick’), G0.340+0.055, G0.380+0.050, G0.412+0.052, G0.478–0.005 and G0.496+0.020. For the sake of brevity, we adopt the nomenclature of Lis *et al.* (1999) and will hereafter refer to these clouds as ‘a – f’, respectively. They are all 10^4 – $10^5 M_{\odot}$ with radii ~ 2 –3 pc, yet they show no signs of widespread star formation. They are therefore ideal candidates for the initial conditions of star and cluster formation in the CMZ (Longmore *et al.* 2013b, Longmore *et al.* 2014, Walker *et al.* 2015).




Figure 2. Solid red squares – masses assuming $T_{dust} = 20$ K and arrows indicate the range of mass given strong lower-mass limits estimated assuming (where possible) that $T_{dust} = T_{gas}$. Black points & blue triangles – high-mass proto-stellar cores in the disk (Peretto *et al.* 2013, Louvet *et al.* 2014). Open red square – star-forming core in cloud ‘a’ (ALMA, Rathborne *et al.* 2014). Red (dash/dot) – constant surface density, black (dashed) – constant volume density. Solid black vertical line at 0.08 pc – SMA synthesised beam.

To uncover the small-scale, dense structure in these clouds, we observed them as part of our SMA legacy survey of the CMZ – *CMZoom*† (PIs: E. Keto, C. Battersby). We observed dust ridge clouds ‘b–f’ in both compact and subcompact configurations at 1.3 mm, achieving $\sim 4''$ angular resolution. Figure 1 shows the SMA dust continuum maps. Overall, we detect 15 dense cores in the dust ridge. They have masses ranging from ~ 50 – $1800 M_{\odot}$ with $R \sim 0.1$ – 0.25 pc. All are young (pre-UCHII, Immer *et al.* 2012), meaning that they are prime candidates for representing the initial conditions of high-mass stars and sub-clusters.

3. Do the properties of high-mass cores vary with environment?

Having identified this sample of high-mass, compact dust cores in the dust ridge, we compare them to sources in the disk of the Galaxy. To do this we take the samples of high-mass cores reported in Peretto *et al.* (2013) and Louvet *et al.* (2014), which constitute a large collection of high-mass cores in the Galactic disk from the literature.

In Figure 2 we plot the masses and sizes of our sample of CMZ cores and the cores from disk clouds. Overall we see that the high-mass cores in the CMZ fit within the mass-radius relation of those in the disk reasonably well – they are not distinctly separated. We note that the cores in our sample are best described by lower volume densities of a few $\times 10^5 - 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Whereas many of the disk sources are at higher volume densities. This may be an effect of the spatial resolution of our SMA data. Higher resolution data are required to confirm this.

We also compare the environmental conditions, namely the gas pressure, that these CMZ sources are subjected to and compare this with pressures in the disk of the Galaxy. To do this, we follow the analysis of Field *et al.* (2011), in which they take the sample of clouds from the Galactic Ring Survey (GRS, Jackson *et al.* 2006) and study them in the context of the virial theorem for a self-gravitating isothermal spherical cloud that is subjected to a uniform external pressure. They note that based upon analysis by Heyer *et al.* (2009), the clouds are not consistent with simple virial equilibrium. They conclude

† *CMZoom* website: <https://www.cfa.harvard.edu/sma/LargeScale/CMZ>




Figure 3. Black crosses – GRS clouds (Heyer *et al.* 2009). Solid markers – dust ridge clouds, while the open markers represent the core(s) associated with the solid markers. Arrows represent the range in surface densities given our upper and lower mass limits. Curved black lines are those of constant external pressure, while the dashed line is for $P_e = 0$.

that this is corrected when accounting for external pressures ranging from $P_e/k \sim 10^4 - 10^6 \text{ K cm}^{-3}$.

In Figure 3 we replicate the plot given in Figure 3 of Field *et al.* (2011) and overplot both the dust ridge clouds and their embedded cores that we have detected with the SMA. Note that there are fewer SMA cores displayed in this figure as it requires a measure of the line-width, which was not possible towards all of the dust cores. Figure 3 shows that the external pressures in the CMZ are $\sim 10^8 \text{ K cm}^{-3}$ – up to several orders of magnitude greater than those experienced by the GRS clouds in the Galactic disk.

Comparing Figs. 2 and 3, we see that despite such high external pressures, the embedded cores in the CMZ are comparatively low-density. Out of 15 detected sources, only 2 show tell-tale signs of ongoing star formation, despite being two orders of magnitude more dense than the volume density threshold proposed by Lada *et al.* (2012) and under pressures that are orders of magnitude greater than in the disk. We conclude that this is further evidence of star formation being inhibited in the CMZ by the heightened turbulent energy, which drives up the critical volume density threshold for star formation in this environment.

References

- Field, G. B., Blackman, E. G., & Keto, E. R., 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 710
 Heyer, M., Krawczyk, C., Duval, J. & Jackson, J. M., 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 1092
 Immer, K., Menten, K. M., Schuller, F. & Lis, D. C., 2012, *A&A*, 548, A120
 Jackson, J. M., Rathborne, J. M., Shah, R. Y., *et al.* 2006, *ApJS*, 163, 145
 Kruijssen, J. M. D., Longmore, S. N., Elmegreen, B. G., *et al.* 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 3370
 Lada, C. J., Forbrich, J., Lombardi, M. & Alves, J. F., 2012, *ApJ*, 745, 190
 Lis, D. C., Li, Y., Dowell, C. D. & Menten, K. M., 1999, *ESA Special Publication*, Vol. 427, 627
 Longmore, S. N., Kruijssen, J. M. D., Bally, J., *et al.* 2013b, *MNRAS*, 433, L15
 Longmore, S. N., Kruijssen, J. M. D., Bastian, N., *et al.* 2014, *Protostars and Planets VI*, 291–314
 Louvet, F., Motte, F., Hennebelle, P., *et al.* 2014, *A&A*, 570, A15
 Peretto, N., Fuller, G. A., Duarte-Cabral, A., *et al.* 2013, *A&A*, 555, A112
 Rathborne, J. M., Longmore, S. N., Jackson, J. M., *et al.* 2014b, *ApJ*, 795, L25
 Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Zheng, X. W., *et al.* 2009, *ApJ*, 700, 137
 Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Brunthaler, A., *et al.* 2014, *ApJ*, 783, 130
 Walker, D. L., Longmore, S. N., Bastian, N., *et al.* 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 715