### SPECIAL ARTICLE

# Poverty and mental health: policy, practice and research implications

Lee Knifton, 1 Greig Inglis 2

BJPsych Bulletin (2020) 44, 193-196, doi:10.1192/bjb.2020.78

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Health Policy, University of Strathclyde, Scotland, and Mental Health Foundation, Scotland and Northern Ireland; <sup>2</sup>University of West of Scotland, Paisley

Correspondence to Lee Knifton (lee. knifton@strath.ac.uk)

First received 12 Jan 2020, final revision 30 Mar 2020, accepted 20 Apr 2020

© The Authors 2020. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4. 0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Summary** This article examines the relationship between poverty and mental health problems. We draw on the experience of Glasgow, our home city, which contains some of Western Europe's areas of greatest concentrated poverty and poorest health outcomes. We highlight how mental health problems are related directly to poverty, which in turn underlies wider health inequalities. We then outline implications for psychiatry.

**Keywords** Poverty; stigma; intersectional stigma; inequality; public health.

Doctors have often played leading roles in social movements to improve the public's health. These range from the early days of John Snow isolating the role of contaminated water supplies in spreading cholera, through to advocating harm reduction, challenging HIV stigma and, more recently, highlighting the public health catastrophe of mass incarceration in the USA. Almost all examples are rooted in poverty. There is now increasing recognition that mental health problems form the greatest public health challenge of our time, and that the poor bear the greatest burden of mental illness. Almost all examples in social movements to improve the greatest burden of mental illness.

Our article draws on data from Scotland, and especially Glasgow, which contains some of the areas of greatest need and widest health inequalities in Western Europe. However, the relationship between poverty, social stress and mental health problems is not a new phenomenon and was reported by social psychiatrists half a century ago in Langner & Michael's 1963 New York study<sup>3</sup> and consistently since then. Poverty is both a cause of mental health problems and a consequence. Poverty in childhood and among adults can cause poor mental health through social stresses, stigma and trauma. Equally, mental health problems can lead to impoverishment through loss of employment or underemployment, or fragmentation of social relationships. This vicious cycle is in reality even more complex, as many people with mental health problems move in and out of poverty, living precarious lives.

#### Poverty and mental health

The mental health of individuals is shaped by the social, environmental and economic conditions in which they are born, grow, work and age.<sup>4–7</sup> Poverty and deprivation are key determinants of children's social and behavioural development<sup>8,9</sup> and adult mental health.<sup>10</sup> In Scotland, individuals living in the most deprived areas report higher levels of mental ill health and lower levels of well-being than those living in the most affluent areas. In 2018 for example, 23% of men and 26% of women living in the most deprived areas of Scotland reported levels of mental distress indicative of a possible psychiatric disorder, compared with 12 and 16% of men and women living in the least deprived areas.<sup>11</sup> There is also a clear relationship between area deprivation and suicide in Scotland, with suicides three times more likely in the least than in the most deprived areas.<sup>12</sup>

Inequalities in mental health emerge early in life and become more pronounced throughout childhood. In one cohort study, 7.3% of 4-year-olds in the most deprived areas of Glasgow were rated by their teacher as displaying 'abnormal' social, behavioural and emotional difficulties, compared with only 4.1% in the least deprived areas. By age 7, the gap between these groups had widened substantially: 14.7% of children in the most deprived areas were rated as having 'abnormal' difficulties, compared with 3.6% of children in the least deprived. National data from parental ratings of children's behaviour show a similar pattern: at around 4 years of age, 20% of children living in the most deprived areas of Scotland are rated as having 'borderline' or 'abnormal' levels of difficulties, compared with only 7% living in the least deprived areas. 14

These findings reflect a broader pattern of socioeconomic inequalities in health that is observed internationally.<sup>15</sup> The





primary causes of these inequalities are structural differences in socioeconomic groups' access to economic, social and political resources, which in turn affect health through a range of more immediate environmental, psychological and behavioural processes. A wide range of risk factors are more prevalent among low income groups for example, including low levels of perceived control and unhealthy behaviours such as smoking and low levels of physical activity, although these are best understood as mechanisms that link the structural causes of inequality to health outcomes.

#### **Excess mortality and mental health in Glasgow**

Glasgow has some of the highest Scottish rates of income deprivation, working-age adults claiming out of work benefits, and children living in low-income families. Moreover, the city also reports poor mental health, relative to the Scottish average, on a host of indicators, including lower mental well-being and life satisfaction, and higher rates of common mental health problems, prescriptions for anxiety, depression or psychosis, and greater numbers of patients with hospital admissions for psychiatric conditions. <sup>19</sup>

These statistics are consistent with Glasgow's overall health profile and high rates of mortality. Life expectancy in Glasgow is the lowest in Scotland. For example, men and women born in Glasgow in 2016–2018 can expect to live 3.6 and 2.7 fewer years respectively than the Scottish average. Within Glasgow, men and women living in the most deprived areas of the city can expect to live 13.5 and 10.7 fewer years respectively than those living in the least deprived areas. <sup>21</sup>

The high level of mortality in Glasgow can largely be attributed to the effects of deprivation and poverty in the city, although high levels of *excess* mortality have also been recorded in Glasgow, meaning a significant level of mortality in excess of that which can be explained by deprivation. For example, premature mortality (deaths under 65 years of age) is 30% higher in Glasgow compared with Liverpool and Manchester, despite the similar levels of deprivation between these cities. <sup>22</sup> Crucially, this excess premature mortality is in large part driven by higher rates of 'deaths of despair'<sup>23</sup> in Glasgow, namely deaths from suicide and alcohol- and drug-related causes. <sup>22</sup>

It has been proposed that excess mortality in Glasgow can be explained by a number of historical processes that have rendered the city especially vulnerable to the hazardous effects of deprivation and poverty. These include the lagged effects of historically high levels of deprivation and overcrowding; regional policies that saw industry and sections of the population moved out of Glasgow; the nature of urban change in Glasgow during the post-war period and its effects on living conditions and social connections; and local government responses to UK policies during the 1980s.<sup>24</sup> On the last point, Walsh and colleagues<sup>24</sup> describe how the UK government introduced a host of neoliberal policies during this period - including rapid deindustrialisation that had particularly adverse effects in cities such as Glasgow, Manchester and Liverpool. While Manchester and Liverpool were able to mitigate the negative effects of these national

policies to some extent by pursuing urban regeneration and mobilising the political participation of citizens, there were fewer such efforts made in Glasgow, which contributed to the diverging health profiles of the cities.

These researchers have also suggested that this excess mortality may partly reflect an inadequate measurement of deprivation.<sup>24</sup> However, that does not capture the reality of living in poverty. One aspect of this lived experience that may be important is the experience of poverty-based stigma and discrimination.<sup>25</sup> Stigma is a fundamental cause of health inequalities, <sup>26</sup> and international evidence has demonstrated that poverty stigma is associated with mental health among low-income groups.<sup>27</sup> Individuals living in socioeconomically deprived areas may also experience 'spatial' stigma, which similarly has a range of adverse health effects for residents<sup>28</sup> and, crucially, may be unintentionally exacerbated by media and public health professionals' reports of regional health inequalities.<sup>29</sup> Given the continued focus on Glasgow's relatively poor health it is possible that the city is more vulnerable to such stigmatising processes. However, we stress that additional research will be required to test whether stigma is an important aspect of the lived reality of poverty, particularly as several psychosocial explanations have already been offered for the excess mortality, with varying levels of supporting evidence.<sup>24</sup> The notion of intersectional stigma is also gaining traction and requires further research.

Understanding the life-course impact of poverty on mental health is also important. Childhood adversity is one mechanism through which poverty and deprivation have an impact on mental health. Adverse childhood experiences, such as exposure to abuse or household dysfunction, are relatively common in the population. Marryat & Frank examined the prevalence of seven adverse childhood experiences among children born in 2004-2005 in Scotland, and found that approximately two-thirds had experienced at least one adverse experience by age 8.30 Moreover, the prevalence was greatest in low-income households: only 1% of children in the highest-income households had four or more adverse childhood experiences, compared with 10.8% in the lowest-income households. Adverse childhood experiences are also strong predictors of mental health in adulthood: individuals who have experienced at least four are at a considerably greater risk of mental ill health, problematic alcohol use and drug misuse.31 It has also been suggested that experiences of childhood adversity and complex trauma may contribute to Glasgow's - and Scotland's - excess mortality, particularly that which is attributable to violence, suicide and alcohol and drug-related deaths. 32 The implications are significant for psychiatry. Not only does it offer a broader explanation of causation; it also highlights the importance of supporting early interventions for young people's mental health and supporting the families - including children of those experiencing mental health problems.

#### **Implications**

When faced with the scale of the challenge the response can be daunting. This is especially so at a time when we see increasing poverty and socioeconomic inequalities within our society and challenging political conditions. The complexity and enduring nature of the problems necessitate a multilevel response from psychiatry across practice, policy, advocacy and research, which we explore in this section. We argue that this response should address three broad areas.

## Reinvigorate social psychiatry and influence public policy

The demise of social psychiatry in the UK and USA in recent decades has deflected focus away from the social causes and consequences of mental health problems at the very time that social inequalities have been increasing. Now is the time to renew social psychiatry at professional and academic levels. There is considerable scope to form alliances with other areas - especially public mental health agencies and charities. Psychiatry as a profession should support those advocating for progressive public policies to reduce poverty and its impact. If we do not, then, as Phelan and colleagues outline, we will focus only on the intermediate causes of health inequalities, rather than the fundamental causes, and this will ensure that these inequalities persist and are reproduced over time.<sup>33</sup> Activism with those who have consistently highlighted the links between poverty and mental health problems, such as The Equality Trust, may effect change among policy makers.

#### Tackle intersectional stigma and disadvantage

We must understand, research and tackle stigma in a much more sophisticated way by recognising that mental health stigma does not sit in isolation. We need to understand and address what Turan and colleagues define as intersectional stigma.<sup>34</sup> Intersectional stigma explains the convergence of multiple stigmatised identities that can include ethnicity, gender, sexuality, poverty and health status. This can then magnify the impact on the person's life. In this context, the reality is that you have a much greater chance of getting a mental health problem if you experience poverty. And if you do, then you will likely experience more stigma and discrimination. Its impact on your life will be greater, for example on precarious employment, housing, education and finances. It is harder to recover and the impact on family members may be magnified. Intersectional stigma remains poorly researched and understood,<sup>35</sup> although the health impact of poverty stigma is now emerging as an important issue in studies in Glasgow and elsewhere.<sup>2</sup>

#### Embed poverty-aware practice and commissioning

We conclude with our third idea, to ensure that poverty-aware practice is embedded in services through commissioning, training and teaching. This means that recognising and responding to poverty is part of assessments and care. Income maximisation schemes should be available as an important dimension of healthcare: how to access benefits, manage debt, access local childcare and access support for employment at the earliest stages. This needs to be matched by a major investment in mental health services focused on low-income areas, to address the inverse care

law.<sup>36</sup> These principles are already being put into action. For example across Scotland, including Glasgow, several general practices working in the most deprived areas (referred to as Deep End practices) have recently trialled the integration of money advice workers within primary care, which has generated considerable financial gains for patients.<sup>37</sup>

#### About the authors

**Lee Knifton** is Reader and Co-Director of the Centre for Health Policy at the University of Strathclyde, Scotland, and Director of the Mental Health Foundation, Scotland and Northern Ireland. **Greig Inglis** is a lecturer in psychology at the University of West of Scotland, Paisley, Scotland.

#### **Author contributions**

Both authors were fully and equally involved in the design of the article, drafting the article and making revisions to the final version and are accountable for the integrity of the work.

#### **Declaration of interest**

None

ICMJE forms are in the supplementary material, available online at https://doi.org/10.1192/bjb.2020.78.

#### References

- Drucker E. A Plague of Prisons: The Epidemiology of Mass Incarceration in America. The New Press, 2013.
- 2 GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2017; 392: 1789-858.
- 3 Langner TS, Michael ST. Life Stress and Mental Health: II. The Midtown Manhattan Study. Free Press Glencoe, 1963.
- 4 Centre for Mental Health. Determinants of Mental Health. Centre for Mental Health, 2020.
- 5 Macintyre A, Ferris D, Gonçalves B, Quinn N. What has economics got to do with it? The impact of socioeconomic factors on mental health and the case for collective action. *Palgrave Commun* 2018; 4: 10.
- 6 Mental Health Foundation. Tackling Social Inequalities to Reduce Mental Health Problems: How Everyone Can Flourish Equally. Mental Health Foundation, 2020.
- 7 Shim R, Koplan C, Langheim FJP, Manseau MW, Powers RA, Compton MT. The social determinants of mental health: an overview and call to action. *Psychiatr Ann* 2014: 44: 22-6.
- 8 Cooper K, Stewart K. Does Money Affect Children's Outcomes? A Systematic Review. Joseph Rowntree Foundation. 2013.
- 9 Cooper K, Stewart K. Does Money Affect Children's Outcomes? An Update. Centre for Analysis of Social Exclusion, London School of Economics. 2017.
- 10 Cooper K, Stewart K. Does Money in Adulthood Affect Adult Outcomes? Joseph Rowntree Foundation, 2015.
- 11 Cheong C, Dean L, Dougall I, Hinchliffe S, Mirani K, Vosnaki K, et al. *The Scottish Health Survey: 2018 edition. Volume 1. Main Report.* Scottish Government, 2019.
- 12 Information Services Division. Suicide statistics for Scotland: Update of Trends of the Year 2018. ISD, 2019.
- 13 Marryat L, Thompson L, Minnis H, Wilson P. Primary schools and the amplification of social differences in child mental health: a populationbased cohort study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2018; 72: 27-33.



- **14** Bromley C, Cunningham-Burley S. *Growing up in Scotland: Inequalities in the Early Years*. Scottish Government, 2010.
- 15 Mackenbach JP, Stirbu I, Roskam AJR, Schaap MM, Menvielle G, Leinsalu M, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in health in 22 European countries. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 2468-81.
- 16 Adler NE, Stewart J. Health disparities across the lifespan: meaning, methods, and mechanisms. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2010; 1186: 5-23.
- 17 McCartney G, Collins C, Mackenzie M. What (or who) causes health inequalities: theories, evidence and implications? *Health Policy* 2013; 113: 221-7.
- 18 Orton LC, Pennington A, Nayak S, Sowden A, Petticrew M, White M, et al. What is the evidence that differences in 'control over destiny' lead to socioeconomic inequalities in health? A Theory-led systematic review of high-quality longitudinal studies on pathways in the living environment. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2019; 73: 929-34.
- 19 Scottish Public Health Observatory. Online Profiles Tool. ScotPHO, 2019 (https://www.scotpho.org.uk/comparative-health/profiles/online-profiles-tool) [accessed 6 March 2020].
- 20 National Records of Scotland. Life Expectancy in Scotlish areas 2016–2018. National Records of Scotland, 2019 (https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/files//statistics/life-expectancy-areas-in-scotland/16-18/life-expectancy-16-18-publication.pdf).
- 21 Whyte B. Glasgow: Health in a Changing City. Glasgow Centre for Population Health, 2016.
- 22 Walsh D, Bendel N, Jones R, Hanlon P. It's not "just deprivation": Why do equally deprived UK cities experience different health outcomes? Public Health 2010; 124: 487-95.
- 23 Case A, Deaton A. Mortality and morbidity in the 21st century. Brookings Pap Econ Ac 2017; 2017: 397-476.
- 24 Walsh D, McCartney G, Collins C, Taulbut M, Batty GD. History, politics and vulnerability: explaining excess mortality in Scotland and Glasgow. Public Health 2016; 151(Oct): 1-12
- 25 Inglis G, McHardy F, Sosu E, McAteer J, Biggs H. Health inequality implications from a qualitative study of experiences of poverty stigma in Scotland. Soc Sci Med 2019; 232: 43–9.
- 26 Hatzenbuehler ML, Phelan JC, Link BG. Stigma as a fundamental cause of population health inequalities. Am J Public Health 2013; 103: 813–21.

- 27 Mickelson KD, Williams SL. Perceived stigma of poverty and depression: examination of interpersonal and intrapersonal mediators. J Soc Clin Psychol 2008; 27: 903–30.
- 28 Halliday E, Popay J, Anderson de Cuevas R, Wheeler P. The elephant in the room? Why spatial stigma does not receive the public health attention it deserves. *J Public Health* 2020; **42**: 38-43.
- 29 Smith KE, Anderson R. Understanding lay perspectives on socioeconomic health inequalities in Britain: a meta-ethnography. Sociol Health Illn 2018; 40: 146-70.
- 30 Marryat L, Frank J. Factors associated with adverse childhood experiences in Scottish children: a prospective cohort study. BMJ Paediatr Open 2019; 3(1): e000340.
- **31** Hughes K, Bellis MA, Hardcastle KA, Sethi D, Butchart A, Mikton C, et al. The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Health* 2017; **2**: e356-66.
- **32** Smith M, Williamson AE, Walsh D, McCartney G. Is there a link between childhood adversity, attachment style and Scotland's excess mortality? Evidence, challenges and potential research. *BMC Public Health* 2016; **16**: 655.
- **33** Phelan J, Link B, Tahranifar P. Social conditions as fundamental causes of health inequalities: theory, evidence, and policy implications. *J Health Soc Behav* 2010; **51**(suppl): S28–40.
- 34 Turan JM, Elafros MA, Logie CH, et al. Challenges and opportunities in examining and addressing intersectional stigma and health. BMC Med 2019: 17: 7.
- 35 Jackson-Best F, Edwards N. Stigma and intersectionality: a systematic review of systematic reviews across HIV/AIDS, mental illness, and physical disability. *BMC Public Health* 2018; **18**: 919.
- **36** Watt G. The inverse care law revisited: a continuing blot on the record of the NHS. *Br J Gen Pract* 2018; **68**: 562–3.
- 37 Egan J, Robison O. Integrating Money Advice Workers into Primary Care Settings: An Evaluation. Glasgow Centre for Population Health, 2019.



