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## 1. Introduction

An investigation has been made into the numerical solution of non-singular linear integral equations by the direct expansion of the unknown function $f(x)$ into a series of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. The use of polynomial expansions is not new, and was first described by Crout [1]. He writes $f(x)$ as a Lagrangian-type polynomial over the range in $x$, and determines the unknown coefficients in this expansion by evaluating the functions and integral arising in the equation at chosen points $x_{i}$. A similar method (known as collocation) is used here for cases where the kernel is not separable. From the properties of expansion of functions in Chebyshev series (see, for example, [2]), one expects greater accuracy in this case when compared with other polynomial expansions of the same order. This is well borne out in comparison with one of Crout's examples.

The most common method of solution of integral equations is by the use of finite differences. Fox and Goodwin [3] have made a thorough investigation of these methods, using the Gregory quadrature formula for the evaluation of the integral. Other methods for the algebraization of the integral equation using Gaussian quadrature have been described by Kopal [4].

The methods of this paper are not as versatile as the finite-difference techniques, since they depend to a much greater extent on the form of the given functions eg. kernel, arising in the equation. However, in cases where the method can be used without a prohibitive amount of labour, we obtain the value of the function throughout the range of $x$, instead of at a discrete number of points. Also, with the Chebyshev expansion of the function known, some estimate can generally be made, a posteriori, to its accuracy.

The crux of the problem is to find easily the Chebyshev expansion of the given functions in the equation. To find these, we confine ourselves to functions which can be represented as the solution of some linear differential equation with associated boundary conditions. The solution of the differential equation can then be found by a direct expansion of the function in Chebyshev polynomials. This method has been described by Clenshaw [5], and
frequent use of it will be made throughout this paper. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the methods and notation of [5]. For functions whose Chebyshev expansions cannot readily be found in this way, or which are given numerically, some curve fitting technique can be used [2]. It is felt that in such cases, the labour might better be spent using a finite-difference technique.

## 2. Method of Solution

Linear integral equations can be divided into two types depending upon the limits of the integral. An equation of the form

$$
f(x)=F(x)+\lambda \int_{a}^{b} K(x, y) f(y) d y
$$

where $F, K$ are given functions; $\lambda, a, b$ are finite constants and $f(x)$ is the unknown function is known as a "Fredholm equation". When the upper limit of the integral is not a constant, but is the variable $x$, the equation takes the form

$$
f(x)=F(x)+\lambda \int_{a}^{x} K(x, y) f(y) d y
$$

and is known as a "Volterra equation".
We shall be concerned with equations of the Fredholm type, and in order to use the Chebyshev polynomials we must change the range of the variable $x$ from $(a, b)$ to either $(-1,1)$ or $(0,1)$. In the former case we use the polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ where

$$
T_{n}(x)=\cos n \theta, \quad x=\cos \theta ; \quad-1 \leqq x \leqq 1
$$

When the range of $x$ is $(0,1)$, we use the $T_{n}^{*}(x)$ polynomials where

$$
T_{n}^{*}(x)=\cos n \theta, \quad 2 x-1=\cos \theta ; \quad 0 \leqq x \leqq 1
$$

For tables and properties of these polynomials, see [2].
Before proceeding with the discussion of methods of solution, we shall need results for
(i) the product of two Chebyshev expansions
and (ii) the integral of a function whose Chebyshev expansion is given.

### 2.1 Product of two Chebyshev expansions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Suppose } f(x)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{n}(x)  \tag{1}\\
\text { and } g(x)=\frac{1}{2} b_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} T_{n}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and we want to find the Chebyshev expansion of the product of $f(x)$ and
$g(x)$. From the relation,

$$
2 T_{m}(x) T_{n}(x)=T_{m+n}(x)+T_{|m-n|}(x)
$$

we find that,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(x) g(x)=\frac{1}{2} d_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} T_{n}(x)  \tag{2}\\
\text { where } d_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left[a_{0} b_{n}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m}\left(b_{|m-n|}+b_{m+n}\right)\right], \quad n \geqq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

An exactly similar result holds for expansions in terms of the $T_{n}^{*}(x)$ polynomials. If,

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} A_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} T_{n}^{*}(x)  \tag{3}\\
\text { and } g(x)=\frac{1}{2} B_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n} T_{n}^{*}(x) \\
\text { then } f(x) g(x)=\frac{1}{2} D_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n} T_{n}^{*}(x)
\end{gather*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{0} B_{n}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m}\left(B_{|m-n|}+B_{m+n}\right)\right], \quad n \geqq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 The Integral of $f(x)$

We suppose that $f(x)$ is given in terms of its Chebyshev expansion in $T_{n}(x)$, and we want the expansion of $I(x)$, where

$$
I(x)=\int_{-1}^{x} f(x) d x
$$

Following the methods of [5], we have that $I(x)$ is the solution of

$$
\frac{d I}{d x}=f(x) \quad \text { with } I(-1)=0
$$

Then, if

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{n}(x)
$$

and

$$
I(x)=\frac{1}{2} b_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} T_{n}(x)
$$

we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}=a_{0}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1}-2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{2}-1} a_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=\frac{a_{n-1}-a_{n+1}}{2 n} \quad \text { for } n \geqq 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In many problems we want $I(1)$; this is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(1)=a_{0}-2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{2 n}}{4 n^{2}-1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In solving Fredholm equations, we require the integral of the product of two functions between the limits -1 and 1. Defining $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ as in equation (1), and using equations (2) and (7), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) g(x) d x & =a_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{0}-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{2 r}}{4 r^{2}-1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left[b_{n}-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{|n-2 r|}+b_{n+2 r}}{4 r^{2}-1}\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar results can be found for expansions in terms of the $T_{n}^{*}(x)$ polynomials. Defining $f(x)$ as in equation (3), then if

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(x) & =\int_{0}^{x} f(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} B_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n} T_{n}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} A_{0}-\frac{1}{4} A_{1}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{2}-1} A_{2 n}  \tag{9}\\
& B_{n}=\frac{A_{n-1}-A_{n+1}}{4 n}, \quad \text { for } n \geqq 1
\end{align*}
$$

For $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x$, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(1)=\frac{1}{2} A_{0}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4 n^{2}-1} A_{2 n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally for the integral of the product of two functions, if $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are defined as in equation (3), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) g(x) d x & =\frac{1}{2} A_{0}\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{0}-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4 r^{2}-1} B_{2 r}\right]  \tag{11}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\left[B_{n}-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{|n-2 r|}+B_{n+2 r}}{4 r^{2}-1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

We will now examine in detail the numerical solution of Fredholm-type integral equations. The method depends entirely upon whether the kernel $K(x, y)$ is separable or not. In Section 3 we will discuss the case of a separable
kernel; in Section 4 we will compare the method with one of Crout's examples, and in Sections 5 and 6 we will investigate the case of non-separable kernels.

## 3. Separable kernel

In general, when the kernel is separable we will have

$$
K(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} g_{m}(x) h_{m}(y)
$$

The Fredholm integral equation can then be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=F(x)+\lambda \sum_{m=1}^{M} g_{m}(x) \int_{-1}^{1} h_{m}(y) f(y) d y \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the range in $x$ has been normalized to $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1 . F(x), g_{m}(x)$, $h_{m}(y)$ are given functions and we assume that their expansions in $T_{n}(x)$ can be found by, for example, the method of [5] or some curve fitting technique. We assume that $f(x)$ is to be approximated by a polynomial of degree $N$,

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} T_{n}(x)
$$

If $F(x) \neq 0$, we choose $N$ to be the degree to which $F(x)$ is given to the required accuracy. If $F(x) \equiv 0$, then $N$ can only be estimated a priori from, perhaps, some physical criterion. If $N$ is originally chosen too small, this will be apparent from the series expansion for $f(x)$. The calculation will then have to be repeated with larger $N$. If $N$ is chosen too large initially, then unnecessary extra work will have been done. Many integral equations, however, arise from physical problems where something is known of the form of $f(x)$ which will enable us to make a reasonable guess for $N$. Now

$$
I_{m}=\int_{-1}^{1} h_{m}(y) f(y) d y
$$

is a constant depending upon $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N}$ and can be evaluated using equation (8). If $C_{n}(G)$ denotes the coefficient of $T_{n}(x)$ in the Chebyshev expansion of a function $G(x)$, then on equating coefficients of $T_{n}(x)$ on each side of equation (12) we find,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=C_{n}(F)+\lambda \sum_{m=1}^{M} C_{n}\left(g_{m}\right) I_{m}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N}\right) \quad \text { for } n=0,1, \cdots, N \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (13) gives a system of $(N+1)$ linear equations for the $(N+1)$ unknowns $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N}$. These equations can be solved numerically by standard methods to give the Chebyshev expansion of $f(x)$. From this series the value of the function can be found for any $x$ in the range $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$.

An exactly similar analysis holds for the range $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$, when the $T_{n}^{*}(x)$ polynomials are used.

Example 1. Let us consider the integral equation

$$
f(x)=-\frac{2}{\pi} \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi x\right)+2 \int_{0}^{1} \cos \frac{1}{2} \pi(x-y) f(y) d y
$$

whose solution is given by $f(x)=\sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi x\right)$. The kernel is separable with $M=2$, where $I_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} f(y) \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi y\right) d y$, and $I_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} f(y) \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi y\right) d y$, say. Using the method of [5], we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sin \\
\cos
\end{array}\right]\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi x\right) \frac{1}{2}=} & +0.602194 \pm 0.513625 T_{1}^{*}(x)-0.103546 T_{2}^{*}(x) \\
& \mp 0.013732 T_{3}^{*}(x)+0.001359 T_{4}^{*}(x) \pm 0.000107 T_{5}^{*}(x) \\
& -0.000007 T_{6}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this example, we see that to $6 D$ we can represent the expansion of $F(x)$ by a polynomial of degree 6 . Consequently we take $N=6$, and assume that

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} A_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{6} A_{n} T_{n}^{*}(x)
$$

Using equation (11), we find

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
I_{1} \\
I_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\begin{array}{r}
+0.318309 A_{0} \mp 0.173950 A_{1}-0.249298 A_{2} \pm 0.109413 A_{3} \\
\\
-0.020740 A_{4} \pm 0.022008 A_{5}-0.013169 A_{6} .
\end{array}
$$

With these values we find on solving equation (13) the following Chebyshev expansion for $f(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =0.60220+0.51362 T_{1}^{*}(x)-0.10355 T_{2}^{*}(x)-0.01373 T_{3}^{*}(x) \\
& +0.00136 T_{4}^{*}(x)+0.00011 T_{5}^{*}(x)-0.00001 T_{6}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

This expansion can be compared with that for $\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi x$ from which we see that there is an error of approximately $1 \times 10^{-5}$. Although starting with the expansion of all the given functions to $6 D$, some accuracy has been lost in the sixth decimal place due to rounding errors.

With this Chebyshev expansion for $f(x)$ we might conclude from the rate of convergence of the last three coefficients, that the truncation error will be less than $1 \times 10^{-5}$. With a round-off error in each term less than $\frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-5}$, we might conclude just from the series expansion that its error is less than $4 \times 10^{-5}$. Consequently we can assume that the expansion will give values of $f(x)$ correct to $4 D$ for all values of $x$ in $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$. This we know to be correct from the analytic solution.

Finally, we note that whenever the kernel is separable, the integral equation is satisfied for all values of $x$ when determining the relations between the coefficients $A_{n}$.

## 4. Comparison with Crout's method

We shall now compare by means of an example, the Chebyshev series ex-
pansion with the method of Crout. In this problem, the kernel is again separable, although it has a discontinuity in the first derivative.

Example 2.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\qquad f(x)=\lambda \int_{0}^{L} K(x, y) f(y) d y \\
\text { where } K(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{x(L-y)}{E I L} & \text { for } y \geqq x \\
\frac{y(L-x)}{E I L} & \text { for } y \leqq x\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

This integral equation arises in the problem of the buckling of a beam of length $L$. It is an eigen-value problem in which we want to find those values of $\lambda$ for which a non-trivial solution exists. In particular we wish to find the first mode of buckling where the mid-point of the beam is an anti-node. The analytic solution for this mode of buckling is

$$
f(x)=\sin \frac{\pi x}{L} \quad \text { with } \lambda=\pi^{2} \frac{E I}{L^{2}}
$$

$$
\text { Defining } \zeta=\frac{x}{L}, \quad \eta=\frac{y}{L}, \quad \mu=\frac{\lambda L^{2}}{E I}
$$

and writing,

$$
f(L \zeta) \equiv u(\zeta) \quad \text { and } f(L \eta) \equiv u(\eta)
$$

the equation can be written as,

$$
u(\zeta)=\mu\left\{(1-\zeta) \int_{0}^{\zeta} \eta u(\eta) d \eta+\zeta \int_{\zeta}^{1}(1-\eta) u(\eta) d \eta\right\}
$$

Again the kernel is separable although each integral contains the variable as a limit. Write

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2} A_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} T_{n}^{*}(\zeta) \\
I(\zeta)=\int_{0}^{\zeta} \eta u(\eta) d \eta, \quad \text { and } J(\zeta)=\int_{\zeta}^{1}(1-\eta) u(\eta) d \eta
\end{gathered}
$$

The function $I(\zeta)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{d I}{d \zeta}=\zeta u(\zeta) \quad \text { with } I(0)=0
$$

Applying the methods of [5], if $I(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} T_{n}^{*}(\zeta)$ we find at once that

$$
\alpha_{0}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+1} \alpha_{n} \text { where } \alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{16 n}\left(A_{|n-2|}+2 A_{n-1}-2 A_{n+1}-A_{n+2}\right)
$$

$$
n \geqq 1
$$

A similar result can be found for the coefficients in the Chebyshev expansion for $J(\zeta)$. Returning to the integral equation, if $C_{n}^{*}(G)$ denotes the coefficient of $T_{n}^{*}(\zeta)$ in the Chebyshev expansion of $G(\zeta)$ then,

$$
C_{n}^{*}(u)=\mu C_{n}^{*}[I-\zeta(I-J)] \text { for all } n
$$

On simplifying this expression we find the following 3 term recurrence relation for $A_{n}$, valid for all $n \geqq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) A_{n-2}+\left[16 n\left(n^{2}-1\right) \varepsilon-2 n\right] A_{n}+(n-1) A_{n+2}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=1 / \mu$. Corresponding to $n=0$, and using the values for $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ we find,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(96 \varepsilon-6) A_{0}+7 A_{2}-36 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(4 n^{2}-1\right)} A_{2 n}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A corresponding equation can be found for $n=1$, but since we are interested only in the first mode of buckling which gives a solution symmetrical about $\zeta=\frac{1}{2}$, we have,

$$
A_{2 n+1}=0, \quad n \geqq 0
$$

Rewriting equation (14) with $2 n$ in place of $n$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 n+1) A_{2 n-2}+\left[32 n\left(4 n^{2}-1\right) \varepsilon-4 n\right] A_{2 n}+(2 n-1) A_{2 n+2}=0, \text { for } n \geqq 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (15) and (16) completely define the problem for symmetrical solutions.

Following Crout, we assume that $u(\zeta)$ can be approximated by a polynomial of degree four, so that

$$
u(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2} A_{0}+A_{2} T_{2}^{*}(\zeta)+A_{4} T_{4}^{*}(\zeta)
$$

The three equations for $A_{0}, A_{2}, A_{4}$ obtained from equations (15) and (16) can be written in the matrix form

$$
\mathbf{M A}=\varepsilon \mathbf{A}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the column vector $\left\{A_{0}, A_{2}, A_{4}\right\}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ is the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
+\frac{1}{16} & -\frac{7}{96} & +\frac{1}{120} \\
-\frac{1}{32} & +\frac{1}{24} & -\frac{1}{96} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{192} & +\frac{1}{120}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The largest eigenvalue of this matrix corresponds to $\mu=9.86958$ so that,

$$
\lambda=9.86958 \frac{E I}{L^{2}}
$$

Crout finds $\lambda=9.87605 E I / L^{2}$ which must be compared with the analytic solution of $\lambda=9.86960 E I / L^{2}$, to $5 D$.

Using the Chebyshev expansion to the same order as Crout's Lagrangiantype expansion we have found a much better approximation to the eigenvalue. The errors are of magnitude $2 \times 10^{-5}$ and $645 \times 10^{-5}$ respectively. Such an accuracy in this case seems slightly fortuitous since on repeating the calculation with a sixth order polynomial, the eigen-value is $\lambda=$ $9.86966 E I / L^{2}$, an error of $6 \times 10^{-5}$ which is slightly larger than for the 4th order case.

For the eigenfunction $f(x)$, if we normalise the solution so that $f(L / 2)=1$, we find

$$
f(x)=0.47230-0.49971 T_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)+0.02799 T_{4}^{*}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)
$$

The comparison with Crout's solution, and the analytic solution is shown in Table 1.

Table 1

|  | Exact | Cro |  | Che | yshev exp | pansions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda=9.86960 \\ E I / L^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda=9.876$ | $E I / L^{2}$ | $\lambda=9.86958 \mathrm{E}$ | $1 L^{2}$ | $\lambda=9.869$ | EI/ $/ L^{2}$ |
| $x / L$ | $\sin \pi x / L$ | 4th degree | $\mid$ error <br> $\times 10$ | 4th degree | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\mid \text { error } \\ \times 10\end{array}\right\|$ | 6th degree | \|error| $\times 10^{5}$ |
| 0.0, 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00058 | 58 | -0.00004 | 4 |
| 0.1, 0.9 | 0.30902 | 0.30716 | 186 | 0.30878 | 24 | +0.30906 | 4 |
| 0.2, 0.8 | 0.58779 | 0.58716 | 63 | 0.58862 | 83 | 0.58785 | 6 |
| 0.3, 0.7 | 0.80902 | 0.80918 | 16 | 0.81000 | 98 | 0.80907 | 5 |
| 0.4, 0.6 | 0.95106 | 0.95119 | 13 | 0.95142 | 36 | 0.95107 | 1 |
| 0.5, 0.5 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 0 | 1.00000 | 0 | +1.00000 | 0 |
| $10^{10} \Sigma(\text { error })^{2}=$ |  |  | 38990 | $10^{10} \Sigma(\text { error })^{2}=21729$ |  |  |  |

For the given tabular points, the maximum error in the Chebyshev expansion $\left(98 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ is less than in Crout's case $\left(186 \times 10^{-5}\right)$. Also the sum of the squares of the errors at these points is less for the Chebyshev expansion.

Taking a sixth degree expansion for $f(x)$ we find,

$$
f(x)=0.47202-0.49943 T_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)+0.02795 T_{4}^{*}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)-0.00060 T_{6}^{*}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)
$$

The maximum error at the given points has now been reduced to $6 \times 10^{-5}$, a considerable improvement in accuracy obtained with little extra computation.

## 5. Non-separable kernel

In most problems where a numerical approach is required the kernel will
not be separable. There are two possible methods of approach. We can try to approximate to the kernel by a function which is separable, and then use the method of Section 3. Alternatively, we can consider the equation as it stands and proceed by a method of collocation.

Suppose that the range of the independent variable $x$ has been normalised to $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$ and we have the following Fredholm equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=F(x)+\lambda \int_{-1}^{1} K(x, y) f(y) d y \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, F(x), K(x, y)$ are given and we have to find $f(x)$. As before, write

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} T_{n}(x)
$$

where $N$ in general is not known a priori but might be estimated from perhaps, some physical grounds. In order to determine the $(N+1)$ constants $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N}$ we write down the integral equation at each of $(N+1)$ points $x_{i}$, say, where $i=1,2, \cdots, N+1$. Equation (17) is then replaced by the ( $N+1$ ) equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{i}\right)=F\left(x_{i}\right)+\lambda \int_{-1}^{1} K\left(x_{i}, y\right) f(y) d y ; \quad i=1,2, \cdots, N+1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each value of $x_{i}$, we now compute the Chebyshev expansion for $K\left(x_{i}, y\right)$ either from a differential equation or by some curve fitting process. Using equation (8), we obtain the value of

$$
I\left(x_{i}, 1\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} K\left(x_{i}, y\right) f(y) d y
$$

in terms of the coefficients $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N}$. The quantity $F\left(x_{i}\right)$ is known immediately and using tables of Chebyshev Polynomials [2] we can write down $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ in terms of $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N}$ for each value of $x_{i}$. Equation (18) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{i}\right)=F\left(x_{i}\right)+\lambda I\left(x_{i}, 1\right) \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots, N+1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a system of $(N+1)$ linear equations for the $(N+1)$ unknown coefficients. These can be solved by standard methods.

We shall illustrate the method by means of an example taken from [3].
Example 3.

$$
f(x) \pm \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\left[1+(x-y)^{2}\right]} f(y) d y=1
$$

Let us consider first the equation with positive sign. We approximate to the function $f(x)$ by means of a polynomial of degree 6 . Since $f(x)$ is an even function of $x$, we write

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+a_{2} T_{2}(x)+a_{4} T_{4}(x)+a_{6} T_{6}(x)
$$

and only consider positive values of $x_{i}$ which have been chosen as,

$$
x_{i}=0,0.5,0.8,1.0
$$

The kernel $K\left(x_{i}, y\right)$ can be considered as satisfying the differential equation of zero order with polynomial coefficients, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+x_{i}^{2}\right) K\left(x_{i}, y\right)-2 x_{i} y K\left(x_{i}, y\right)+y^{2} K\left(x_{i}, y\right)=1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write

$$
K\left(x_{i}, y\right)=\frac{1}{2} b_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}\left(x_{i}\right) T_{n}(y)
$$

then substitution into equation (20) and using the formulae for $C_{n}\left(y K\left(x_{i}, y\right)\right)$ and $C_{n}\left(y^{2} K\left(x_{i}, y\right)\right)$ gives immediately the recurrence relation between the $b_{n}$ for each value of $x_{i}$. The coefficients in the expansion of $K\left(x_{i}, y\right)$ for $x_{i}=0$, $0.5,0.8,1.0$ are given in Table 2.

Table 2

| $n$ | $b_{n}(0)$ | $b_{n}(0.5)$ | $b_{n}(0.8)$ | $b_{n}(1.0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | +1.414214 | +1.361549 | +1.252701 | +1.137729 |
| 1 | 0 | +0.31920 | +0.42286 | +0.43457 |
| 2 | -0.24264 | -0.12703 | -0.00841 | +0.04965 |
| 3 | 0 | -0.08453 | -0.06081 | -0.03079 |
| 4 | +0.04163 | -0.00300 | -0.02218 | --0.01912 |
| 5 | 0 | +0.01245 | -0.00023 | -0.00449 |
| 6 | -0.00714 | +0.00385 | +0.00293 | +0.00037 |
| 7 | 0 | -0.00091 | +0.00116 | +0.00070 |
| 8 | +0.00123 | -0.00085 | +0.00004 | +0.00025 |
| 9 | 0 | -0.00009 | -0.00014 | +0.00003 |
| 10 | -0.00021 | +0.00011 | -0.00006 | -0.00002 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 0 | +0.00004 | 0 | -0.00001 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | +0.00004 | -0.00001 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | -0.00001 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | -0.00001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

With these coefficients known for $K\left(x_{i}, y\right)$, the evaluation of $I\left(x_{i}, 1\right)$ for each value of $x_{i}$ can now be made by means of equation (8), to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(0,1) & =0.78540 a_{0}-0.71238 a_{2}+0.03686 a_{4}-0.04217 a_{6} \\
I(0.5,1) & =0.72322 a_{0}-0.57161 a_{2}-0.04902 a_{4}-0.02328 a_{6} \\
I(0.8,1) & =0.63055 a_{0}-0.41763 a_{2}-0.10331 a_{4}-0.02458 a_{6} \\
I(1,1) & =0.55358 a_{0}-0.32602 a_{2}-0.11278 a_{4}-0.02975 a_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these values into equation (19) gives the following system of equations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.75000 a_{0}-1.22676 a_{2}+1.01173 a_{4}-1.01342 a_{6}=1 \\
& 0.73021 a_{0}-0.68195 a_{2}-0.51560 a_{4}+0.992599 a_{6}=1 \\
& 0.70071 a_{0}+0.14706 a_{2}-0.87608 a_{4}-1.00494 a_{6}=1 \\
& 0.67621 a_{0}+0.89622 a_{2}+0.96410 a_{4}+0.99053 a_{6}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

the solution of which gives,

$$
f(x)=0.70758+0.04937 T_{2}(x)-0.00102 T_{4}(x)-0.00022 T_{6}(x)
$$

The comparison of this solution with that obtained by Fox and Goodwin is given in Table 3.

Table 3

|  | $f(x)+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\left[1+(x-y)^{2}\right]} f(y) d y=1$ |  |  | $f(x)-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\left[1+(x-y)^{2}\right]} f(y) d y=1$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | Fox and Goodwin to $4 D$ | Chebyshev <br> (6th degree) | Legendre (4th degree) | Fox and Goodwin to $4 D$ | Chebyshev (6th degree) | Legendre (4th degree) | $x$ |
| 0 | 0.6574 | 0.65741 | 0.65745 | 1.9191 | 1.91903 | 1.91925 | 0 |
| $\pm 0.25$ | 0.6638 | 0.66385 | 0.66397 | 1.8997 | 1.89958 | 1.89966 | $\pm 0.25$ |
| $\pm 0.5$ | 0.6832 | 0.68318 | 0.68323 | 1.8424 | 1.84240 | 1.84261 | $\pm 0.5$ |
| $\pm 0.75$ | 0.7149 | 0.71482 | 0.71432 | 1.7520 | 1.75208 | 1.75318 | $\pm 0.75$ |
| $\pm 1.0$ | 0.7557 | 0.75571 | 0.75576 | 1.6397 | 1.63971 | 1.63987 | $\pm 1.0$ |

Taking the integral equation with negative sign and proceeding as before, we find

$$
f(x)=1.77447-0.14003 T_{2}(x)+0.00490 T_{4}(x)+0.00037 T_{6}(x)
$$

The comparison of this solution with Fox and Goodwin's is also given in Table 3. Fox and Goodwin have presented their results only to $4 D$ with an estimated maximum error of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ due to round-off, and we see that the results found here agree exactly to within the prescribed error.

Of the computational labour in this solution of the problem, most was spent in the determination of the Chebyshev expansions of $K\left(x_{i}, y\right)$. With these expansions found, comparatively little labour was necessary for the evaluation of $I\left(x_{i}, 1\right)$ and the solution of the equation for the coefficients $a_{n}$. Had we found it necessary to use a higher degree polynomial for $f(x)$, all previous results for $K\left(x_{i}, y\right)$ and $I\left(x_{i}, 1\right)$ can be used again. When the degree of the polynomial approximation to $f(x)$ is not known a priori, we can start with a low $N$ and increase the degree until the necessary accuracy in the solution is reached.

## 6. Use of Legendre Polynomials

In the above example, since the limits of integration are from -1 to +1 , this suggests expanding all functions in terms of the Legrendre polynomials $P_{n}(x)$. The evaluation of $I\left(x_{i}, 1\right)$ is then almost trivial due to the orthogonality property of the Legendre polynomials, in the range $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$. For suppose

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} P_{n}(x)
$$

and for a given $x_{i}$ we find that

$$
K\left(x_{i}, y\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{M} b_{n}\left(x_{i}\right) P_{n}(y)
$$

where $M \neq N$ in general, take $M>N$. Then since

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}(x) P_{m}(x) d x=\frac{2}{2 n+1} \delta_{m, n}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(x_{i}, 1\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} K\left(x_{i}, y\right) f(y) d y=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{2 a_{n} b_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)}{2 n+1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is considerably simpler than equation (8) for Chebyshev polynomials. The problem is now one of finding the expansion of $K\left(x_{i}, y\right)$ in terms of Legendre polynomials. This can be done in a similar way to the Chebyshev expansion from the direct solution of differential equations in Legendre polynomials. This method has been described by the author, [6]. However, we shall find in general that the recurrence relation between the coefficients $b_{n}$ are more complicated for Legendre polynomials than for Chebyshev polynomials. The computing time saved in using equation (23) instead of equation (8) will generally be more than off-set in the computation of the expansions $K\left(x_{i}, y\right)$.

The integral equation of Example 3 has been solved by writing $f(x)$ as the fourth degree polynomial,

$$
f(x)=a_{0} P_{0}(x)+a_{2} P_{2}(x)+a_{4} P_{4}(x)
$$

To determine the three unknown coefficients $a_{0}, a_{2}, a_{4}$ we have used collocation at the points $x_{i}=0,0.5,1$. The following solutions were found

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +v e \text { sign } ; f(x)=0.69107+0.06615 P_{2}(x)-0.00146 P_{4}(x) \\
& -v e \text { sign } ; f(x)=1.82129-0.18971 P_{2}(x)+0.00829 P_{4}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Th e results are also tabulated in Table 3, and agree excellently to $3 D$ with the i revious results.
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