

Prehomogeneity on Quasi-Split Classical Groups and Poles of Intertwining Operators

Xiaoxiang Yu

Abstract. Suppose that $P = MN$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of a quasisplit, connected, reductive classical group G defined over a non-Archimedean field and A is the standard intertwining operator attached to a tempered representation of G induced from M . In this paper we determine all the cases in which $\text{Lie}(N)$ is prehomogeneous under $\text{Ad}(m)$ when N is non-abelian, and give necessary and sufficient conditions for A to have a pole at 0.

1 Introduction

In this paper we continue to study the poles of intertwining operators attached to representations induced from supercuspidal representations of maximal parabolic subgroups of quasi-split classical p -adic groups and their connection with local L -functions [1, 2, 9, 10].

To be more precise, let F be a non-Archimedean field of characteristic zero, G be a subgroup of F -rational points of a quasi-split connected reductive group \mathbf{G} over F and let $P = MN$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup of G .

Let $\mathfrak{N} = \text{Lie}(N)$, the Lie algebra of N . When \mathfrak{N} is abelian, then it is known that \mathfrak{N} is a prehomogeneous space under the action of $\text{Ad}(M)$ [6, 11]. The poles of some certain intertwining operators are determined in terms of orbital integrals in [10]. Even explicit generators of these orbits have been found, together with the fact that the centralizer and twisted centralizer are actually equal when G is split [12].

Throughout this paper we assume that \mathbf{G} is a quasi-split connected reductive classical group over F and P is any maximal parabolic subgroup of G . We have determined all cases when \mathfrak{N} is prehomogeneous under $\text{Ad}(M)$ if \mathfrak{N} is non-abelian. Namely, except for two special cases, \mathfrak{N} is not prehomogeneous. And in these two special cases, we have shown that the centralizers have index 2 in the twisted centralizers and the poles of standard intertwining operators have been determined.

It should be pointed out that since \mathfrak{N} can be graded as $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{N}_2$ by α , where α is the simple root that determines P . Each \mathfrak{N}_i , $i = 1, 2$, is a prehomogeneous space under $\text{Ad}(M)$, *i.e.*, has a finite number of open orbits under $\text{Ad}(M)$ by M. Sato and T. Kimura in [7]. However, it is not known whether \mathfrak{N} is prehomogeneous. In fact since \mathfrak{N} is reducible, it does not fall into the classification of prehomogeneous spaces in [7].

Received by the editors June 26, 2006; revised February 12, 2007.

This work was partially supported by Distinguished Youth Grant number Q200715001 of Hubei Education Bureau

AMS subject classification: Primary: 22E50; secondary: 20G05.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2009.

As usual, we will use $W = W(\mathbf{T})$ to denote the Weyl group of \mathbf{T} in \mathbf{G} . Given $\tilde{w} \in W$, we use w to denote a representative for \tilde{w} . Particularly, let \tilde{w}_0 be the longest element in W modulo the Weyl group of \mathbf{T} in \mathbf{M} .

We will also use $G, P, M, N, \tilde{N}, B, T, U$ to denote the subgroups of F -rational points of the groups $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}, \tilde{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{U}$, respectively. Let Φ be the set of roots of G , and let Φ^+ be the positives ones. Let $\sum(\Theta)$ be the subset of Φ that are the linear combinations of the elements from Θ and $\sum^+(\Theta)$ be the subset consisting of its positive elements.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$, the Lie algebra of G . For any $g \in G$, We will use $\text{Int}(g)$ to denote the inner morphism of G induced by g , i.e., for any $u \in G$, $\text{Int}(g) \circ u = gug^{-1}$. We will use $\text{Ad}(g)$ to denote the adjoint action on \mathfrak{g} induced from $\text{Int}(g)$.

Let $\mathfrak{N} = \text{Lie}(N)$, the Lie algebra of N . Then \mathfrak{N} can be graded by α as $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{N}_2$, i.e., for any $t \in \{\text{center of } M\}$, and for any $\mathfrak{n}_1 \in \mathfrak{N}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \in \mathfrak{N}_2$,

$$\text{Ad}(t) \circ \mathfrak{n}_1 = \alpha(t)\mathfrak{n}_1 \quad \text{Ad}(t) \circ \mathfrak{n}_2 = 2\alpha(t)\mathfrak{n}_2.$$

M acts on \mathfrak{N} by adjoint action, in particular, each $\mathfrak{N}_i, i = 1, 2$, is invariant under $\text{Ad}(M)$. Notice \mathfrak{N}_2 is the center of \mathfrak{N} . Suppose $N_i = \exp(\mathfrak{N}_i), i = 1, 2$, then $N = N_1N_2$ with N_2 being the center of N .

Suppose $\Delta = \{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, l\}$. Let $e_i (1 \leq i \leq l) \in \text{Hom}(T, F^*)$ such that $e_i(T) = x_i$, then $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}, i = 1, 2 \dots l - 1$, and

$$\alpha_l = \begin{cases} e_l, & \text{if } G = \text{SO}_{2l+1}(F); \\ e_{l-1} + e_l, & \text{if } G = \text{SO}_{2l}(F); \\ 2e_l, & \text{if } G = \text{Sp}_{2l}(F). \end{cases}$$

Suppose $\alpha = \alpha_n = e_n - e_{n+1}$, then $M \cong \text{GL}_n(F) \times \text{SO}_{2m+1}(F), \text{GL}_n(F) \times \text{SO}_{2m}(F)$ or $\text{GL}_n(F) \times \text{Sp}_{2m}(F)$, depending on whether \mathbf{G} is of type B_l, D_l , or C_l , respectively. For convenience of notation, we set $G' = \text{GL}_n(F)$ and

$$G_m = \begin{cases} \text{SO}_{2m+1}(F), & \text{if } G = \text{SO}_{2l+1}(F); \\ \text{SO}_{2m}(F), & \text{if } G = \text{SO}_{2l}(F); \\ \text{Sp}_{2m}(F), & \text{if } G = \text{Sp}_{2l}(F). \end{cases}$$

3 Non-Prehomogeneity

For any $Y \in M_n(F)$, we set $\varepsilon(Y) = w_n {}^t Y w_n^{-1}$. Then $\varepsilon(\varepsilon(Y)) = Y$ since $w_n^{-1} = w_n$. We define an action ε of G' on $M_n(F)$ by $\varepsilon(g) \circ A = gA\varepsilon(g), \forall g \in G', A \in M_n(F)$. And we call the group $G'_{\varepsilon, A} = \{g \in G' \mid \varepsilon(g) \circ A = A\}$ the ε -twisted centralizer of A in G' .

Definition 3.1 For any $A \in M_n(F)$, we say that A is ε -symmetric if $\varepsilon(A) = A$ and skew- ε -symmetric if $\varepsilon(A) = -A$. Denote by $M_n^\varepsilon(F)$ the subspace of $M_n(F)$ consisting of ε -symmetric elements, and by $M_n^{\text{sk}\varepsilon}(F)$ the subspace of $M_n(F)$ consisting of skew- ε -symmetric elements.

Lemma 3.2 $M_n(F) = M_n^\varepsilon(F) \oplus M_n^{s\varepsilon}(F)$, and both $M_n^\varepsilon(F)$ and $M_n^{s\varepsilon}(F)$ are closed under $\varepsilon(G')$.

Proof Straightforward. ■

Lemma 3.3 Let $n \in N$ and suppose that

$$n = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X & Y \\ 0 & I_k & X' \\ 0 & 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$X' = \begin{cases} -J_{2m} {}^t X w_n, & \text{if } G \text{ is orthogonal;} \\ J_{2m} {}^t X w_n, & \text{if } G \text{ is symplectic.} \end{cases}$$

And

$$XX' = \begin{cases} Y + \varepsilon(Y), & \text{if } G \text{ is orthogonal;} \\ Y - \varepsilon(Y), & \text{if } G \text{ is symplectic.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $n \in N_2$, then $X = 0$ and $Y \in M_n^{s\varepsilon}(F)$ (or $M_n^\varepsilon(F)$) if G is orthogonal (or symplectic respectively). If $n \in N_1$, then $Y \in M_n^\varepsilon(F)$ (or $M_n^{s\varepsilon}(F)$) if G is orthogonal (or symplectic respectively).

Proof The first part is a counterpart of [1, Lemma 2.1], the rest is straightforward. ■

Lemma 3.4 Use $n(X, Y)$ to denote n in Lemma 3.3. For any $n(X, Y) \in N$, write

$$A = \begin{cases} \frac{Y + \varepsilon(Y)}{2}, & \text{if } G \text{ is orthogonal;} \\ \frac{Y - \varepsilon(Y)}{2}, & \text{if } G \text{ is symplectic.} \end{cases}$$

And

$$B = \begin{cases} \frac{Y - \varepsilon(Y)}{2}, & \text{if } G \text{ is orthogonal;} \\ \frac{Y + \varepsilon(Y)}{2}, & \text{if } G \text{ is symplectic.} \end{cases}$$

Then $Y = A + B$ with Y being decomposed as in Lemma 3.2.

Let $n_1 = n(X, A)$, $n_2 = n(0, B)$. Then $n_i \in N_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $n = n_1 n_2$. Moreover, for any $B \in M_n^{s\varepsilon}(F)$ (or $M_n^\varepsilon(F)$, according to whether G is orthogonal or symplectic, respectively), $n(0, B) \in N_2 \subset N$.

Proof Straightforward. ■

Let $M_n^s(F) = \{A \mid A \in M_n(F), A = {}^t A\}$ be the subspace of n -dimensional symmetric matrices, and $M_n^{ss}(F) = \{A \mid A \in M_n(F), A = -{}^t A\}$ be the subspace of n -dimensional skew-symmetric matrices. Then it is clear that $M_n(F) = M_n^s(F) \oplus M_n^{ss}(F)$.

Define a group action δ of G' on $M_n(F)$ as $\delta(g) \circ A = gA{}^t g, \forall g \in G', A \in M_n(F)$. Then we have the following.

Lemma 3.5 $M_n^s(F)$ is a prehomogeneous space under δ .

Proof Let $GL_n^s(F) = GL_n(F) \cap M_n^s(F)$, then $GL_n^s(F)$ is a dense open subset of $M_n^s(F)$.

For any $A \in GL_n^s(F)$, it is a basic fact in linear algebra that there is $g \in G'$, such that $gA^t g = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ for some $a_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Choose a complete set of representatives $S = \{\varepsilon_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa\}$ of $F^*/(F^*)^2$, where $\kappa = \text{card}(F^*/(F^*)^2)$. Suppose $a_i = t_i^2 \varepsilon_i$ with $\varepsilon_i \in S$, let $g_1 = \text{diag}(t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1})$. Then $\delta(g_1 g) \circ A = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$. So $GL_n^s(F)$ has only finite number of generators under $\delta(G')$, i.e., $M_n^s(F)$ is a prehomogeneous space. ■

Corollary 3.6 $M_n^\varepsilon(F)$ is a prehomogeneous space under $\varepsilon(G')$.

Proof There is an isomorphism $f: M_n^\varepsilon(F) \rightarrow M_n^s(F)$ defined by $f(A) = Aw_n, \forall A \in M_n^\varepsilon(F)$. If we notice the fact that $\varepsilon(g) = f \circ \delta(g) \circ f^{-1}, \forall g \in G'$, then the proof is trivial. Moreover, for any $A \in M_n^\varepsilon(F) \cap G'$, there is $g \in G'$, such that

$$(3.1) \quad \varepsilon(g) \circ A = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \varepsilon_2 & \varepsilon_1 \\ & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ \varepsilon_n & & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\varepsilon_i \in S, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. ■

Lemma 3.7 $M_n^{ss}(F)$ is a prehomogeneous space under $\delta(G')$. More precisely, suppose

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & b_{1,3} & \cdots & b_{1,n} \\ -b_{1,2} & 0 & b_{2,3} & \cdots & b_{2,n} \\ -b_{1,3} & -b_{2,3} & 0 & \cdots & b_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -b_{1,n} & -b_{2,n} & -b_{3,n} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an arbitrary element in $M_n^{ss}(F)$, then there is $g \in G'$, such that

$$(3.2) \quad \delta(g) \circ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ -1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & -1 & 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, such g fixes the vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$ by left multiplication, and consequently $\delta(g)$ will fix the element $E_{n,n}$.

Proof Let $r_n = 2[n/2]$, where $[n/2]$ is the maximal integer that is no greater than $n/2$. Let $\tilde{M}_n^{ss}(F) = \{A | A \in M_n^{ss}(F), \text{rank}(A) = r_n\}$, then $\tilde{M}_n^{ss}(F)$ is a dense open subset of $M_n^{ss}(F)$.

If $n = 1$, then the lemma is trivial.

If $n = 2$, let $g = \text{diag}(1, b_{1,2}^{-1})$ if $B \neq 0$. Then g will satisfy the lemma.

Suppose the lemma is true for all $k \leq n - 1$. Let $k = n$.

We can always assume $b_{1,2} \neq 0$. Otherwise, we first assume that there is one $i, 3 \leq i \leq n$, such that $b_{1,i} \neq 0$. Let $K_{2,i} = I_n + E_{2,i}$, where for any pair of positive integers $\{i, j\}, E_{i,j}$ is an elementary matrix in $M_n(F)$, whose $\{i, j\}$'s entry equals to 1,

all other entries are 0. Then the $\{1, 2\}$'s entry of $K_{2,i}B^tK_{2,i}$ is $b_{2,i}$, which is not 0. On the other hand, if such i does not exist, then it will fall into the induction hypothesis.

Now let

$$K_i = I_n - \frac{b_{1,i}}{b_{1,2}}E_{i,2}, \quad i = 3, \dots, n, \text{ and } h_1 = \prod_{i=3}^n K_i.$$

Then

$$B_1 = \delta(h_1) \circ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{1,2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -b_{1,2} & 0 & b_{2,3} & \cdots & b'_{2,n} \\ 0 & -b_{2,3} & 0 & \cdots & b'_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -b'_{2,n} & -b'_{3,n} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $P_i = I_n + \frac{b'_{2,i}}{b_{1,2}}E_{i,1}$, $3 < i \leq n$, and set

$$h' = \prod_{i=3}^n P_i, \quad h'' = \text{diag}(b_{1,2}^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1), \quad h_2 = h''h'.$$

Then

$$B_2 = \delta(h_2) \circ B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b''_{3,4} & \cdots & b''_{3,n} \\ 0 & 0 & -b''_{3,4} & 0 & \cdots & b''_{4,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & -b''_{3,n} & -b''_{4,n} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ -1 & 0 & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \end{pmatrix} B',$$

with $B' \in M_{n-2}^{ss}(F)$.

By induction hypothesis, there is $g_1 \in \text{GL}_{n-2}(F)$, such that $\delta(g_1) \circ B'$ satisfies equation (3.2) when $k = n - 2$.

Let $h_3 = \text{diag}(I_2, g_1)$, $g = h_3h_2h_1$, then $\delta(g) \circ B$ satisfies equation (3.2). Therefore there is only one generator of $M_n^{ss}(F)$ under $\delta(G')$ which automatically implies that $M_n^{ss}(F)$ is a prehomogeneous space under $\delta(G')$. The property that $g(0, \dots, 0, 1)^t = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$ is obvious from the construction of g , thus, $\delta(g) \circ E_{n,n} = E_{n,n}$. ■

Corollary 3.8 $M_n^{s\varepsilon}(F)$ is prehomogeneous under $\varepsilon(G')$. Moreover, for any $B \in M_n^{s\varepsilon}(F)$ there is $g \in G'$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \varepsilon(g) \circ B = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & -1 \\ & 1 & 0 & & & \\ & 0 & -1 & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

In addition, such g fixes $(0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$ by left multiplication, and consequently, $\varepsilon(g)$ fixes $E_{n,1}$.

Proof This is a direct result of Lemma 3.7, and the proof is similar to Corollary 3.6. ■

Let $GL_n^{se}(F) = GL_n(F) \cap M_n^{se}(F)$, then $GL_n^{se}(F)$ is a dense open subset of $M_n^{se}(F)$ when n is even and is an empty set when n is odd. For this reason and the purpose of further use, we let B_n be the matrix which has a form as the right side of equation (3.3) with rank r_n . Then from Lemma 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, B_n is a generator of the unique dense open orbit of $M_n^{se}(F)$ under $\varepsilon(G')$. We then define:

$$E_n = \begin{cases} B_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ B_n + E_{n,1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We can define a map f from $M_{n \times k}(F)$ to $M_n^\varepsilon(F)$ (or $M_n^{se}(F)$) by $f(X) = XX'$. Notice f is a polynomial function in terms of the entries of X .

Lemma 3.9 *If $n \leq m$, then f is surjective. In particular, if $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$, then for almost all X , $\text{rank}(XX') \geq 2$.*

Proof Suppose first that G is orthogonal, let $A = (a_{i,j})_{n \times n}$ be an arbitrary element in $M_n^\varepsilon(F)$. Let $X = (I_n, 0, X_1)$ where $X_1 = -A/2$. Then

$$X' = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon(X_1) \\ 0 \\ I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and $XX' = -(X_1 + \varepsilon(X_1)) = A$ as desired.

If G is symplectic, then the proof is similar. The rest of the lemma is straightforward. ■

For any $m = (g, h, \varepsilon(g^{-1})) \in M$, where $g \in G'$ and $h \in G_m$, we have $\text{Int}(m) \circ n(X, Y) = n(gXh^{-1}, gY\varepsilon(g))$, (see also [1, 2]). Moreover, if we decompose $M_n(F)$ (as Y is concerned) into subspaces as in Lemma 3.2, then both $M_n^\varepsilon(F)$ and $M_n^{se}(F)$ are invariant under $\text{Int}(M)$.

Lemma 3.10 *There is an open dense subset O in N , such that for any $n(X, Y) \in O$, $\det(Y) \neq 0$.*

Proof Write $Y = A + B$ as in Lemma 3.4. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, $\det(Y)$ is a polynomial function in terms of the entries of X and B . So we only need to show that $\det(Y) \neq 0$.

If G is symplectic, let $X = 0$ and $B = Y \in GL_n^\varepsilon(F)$. If G is orthogonal and n is even, choose $X = 0$ and $B = Y = E_n$. In both cases, $\det(Y) \neq 0$.

If G is orthogonal and n is odd, let $B = B_n$, where B_n is defined as before. And let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n \times (2m+1)}(F).$$

Then $Y = B_n + E_{n,1} = E_n$, and obviously $\det(Y) \neq 0$.

Thus, the subset of N satisfying $\det(Y) = 0$ is a closed subset (in Zariski topology). ■

Remark. The above lemma can also be used to prove the fact that up to a closed subset of N , $w_0^{-1}n(X, Y) \in P\bar{N}$ by applying Lemma 2.2 in [1] where \bar{N} is the unipotent subgroup opposite to N .

Theorem 3.11 *If $n > 1$ and $m \neq 0$, then N does not have a finite number of open orbits under $\text{Int}(M)$, i.e., \mathfrak{N} is not a prehomogenous space under $\text{Ad}(M)$.*

Proof Suppose $O = \bigcup O_i$ is a dense open subset of N where each O_i is an orbit of N under $\text{Int}(M)$. Let $n(X_i, Y_i)$ be a representative of O_i under $\text{Int}(M)$. Write $Y_i = A_i + B_i$ as in Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 3.4 and Corollaries 3.6 and 3.8, we can always assume B_i has a same form as the right side of equation (3.1) or (3.3) depending on whether G is symplectic or orthogonal, respectively. If G is orthogonal, we can even fix B_i as B_n by Corollary 3.8.

Suppose $n(X, Y) \in O$ with $Y = A + B$ being decomposed as in Lemma 3.4, then there is an i such that $n(X, Y) \in O_i$. Thus, there exists an $m = (g, h, \varepsilon(g^{-1})) \in M$, such that $\text{Int}(m) \circ n(X, Y) = n(X_i, Y_i)$. Consequently, $gY\varepsilon(g) = Y_i, gB\varepsilon(g) = B_i$, by the uniqueness of the decomposition in Lemma 3.2.

Therefore, if G is symplectic or if G is orthogonal and n is even, then

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\det(B)}{\det(Y)} = \frac{\det(B_i)}{\det(Y_i)},$$

since by Lemma 3.10, we can always assume that both $\det(Y)$ and $\det(Y_i)$ are nonzero. The left side of equation (3.4) is a rational function in terms of the entries of X and B . By Corollaries 3.6 and 3.8 and Lemma 3.9, it is obviously nonconstant. Therefore, the set of $n(X, Y)$ satisfying equation (3.4) is only a closed subset of N , a contradiction!

If G is orthogonal and n is odd, let $B' = g^{-1}E_{n,1}\varepsilon(g)^{-1}$. Then $g(B + B')\varepsilon(g) = E_n$, and consequently, we will have:

$$\frac{\det(B + B')}{\det(Y)} = \frac{\det(E_n)}{\det(Y_i)}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, the entries of g are rational functions of that of B , so are the entries of B' . Now the same argument of the above paragraph applies which will lead to a contradiction. ■

Remark. We use E_n and $B + B'$ because the determinants of both B and B_i are 0 when G is orthogonal and n is odd.

4 Cases When \mathfrak{N} is Prehomogeneous

By Theorem 3.11, \mathfrak{N} has a finite number of open orbits under $\text{Ad}(M)$ only when $n = 1$ or $m = 0$. Since the prehomogeneity of \mathfrak{N} has been studied in [6, 7, 11] when \mathfrak{N} is abelian, we will only study the prehomogeneity when \mathfrak{N} is non-abelian.

When $m = 0$, the only case that \mathfrak{N} is non-abelian is $G = \text{SO}_{2l+1}(F)$. While if $n = 1$, the unique case that \mathfrak{N} is non-abelian is $G = \text{Sp}_{2l}(F)$.

Theorem 4.1 *If $G = \text{SO}_{2l+1}(F)$ and $m = 0$, then N is a prehomogeneous space under $\text{Int}(M)$.*

Proof Suppose $n(X, Y) \in N$, in this case $M = G'$ and

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n \times 1}(F).$$

By restricting to a dense open subset, we can assume that $X \neq 0$, then there is $g \in G'$ such that $gX = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^t = X_1$. Therefore, $X'\varepsilon(g) = (gX)' = (-1, 0, \dots, 0)$, and consequently, $gXX'\varepsilon(g) = -E_{1,n}$.

Write $Y = A + B$ as in Lemma 3.4, let $B' = \varepsilon(g) \circ B$. By Corollary 3.8, there is $g' \in G'$ such that $\varepsilon(g') \circ B' = B_n$ by restricting B to a dense open subset of $M_n^{se}(F)$. Moreover, $g'X_1 = X_1$ and $\varepsilon(g')$ fixes $E_{n,1}$. Therefore $\text{Int}(g'g) \circ n(X, Y) = n(X_1, B_n - \frac{1}{2}E_{n,1})$, in other words, there is only one dense open orbit of N under $\text{Int}(M)$. ■

Theorem 4.2 *If $G = \text{Sp}_{2l}(F)$ and $n = 1$, then N is a prehomogeneous space under $\text{Int}(M)$.*

Proof Suppose $n(X, Y) \in N$, then $X \in M_{1 \times (2m-2)}(F)$ and $XX' = 0$. Also in this case $M = \text{GL}_1 \times \text{Sp}_{2m}(F)$, $G' = \text{GL}_1 = F^*$, and $Y \in M_1(F) = F$.

Assume $a = Y \neq 0$, this assumption will apply to a dense open subset of N . Write $a = b^2\varepsilon_i$ for a suitable $\varepsilon_i \in S$, let $g_1 = (b^{-1}, I_{2m}, b)$. Then $\text{Int}(g_1) \circ n(X, Y) = n(X_1, \varepsilon_i)$, where $X_1 = b^{-1}X$.

Suppose $X_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{2m})$. We can assume $x_1 \neq 0$, by doing so, it will only amount to a closed subset of N . Let $X'_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, then there exists a $g \in \text{GL}_m(F)$ such that $X'_1g = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_{1 \times m}(F)$. Let

$$h' = \text{diag}(g, w_m {}^t g^{-1} w_m^{-1}) \in \text{Sp}_{2m}(F), \quad h_1 = \text{diag}(1, h', 1) \in M, \quad \text{and } X_2 = X_1 h'.$$

Then $\text{Int}(h_1^{-1}) \circ n(X_1, \varepsilon_i) = n(X_2, \varepsilon_i)$, where

$$X_2 = (1, 0, \dots, 0, x'_{m+1}, \dots, x'_{2m}) \in F$$

for some suitable $x'_{m+1}, \dots, x'_{2m} \in F$.

Let

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -x'_{m+1} & \cdots & -x'_{2m-1} & -x'_{2m} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -x'_{2m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -x'_{m+1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_n^{se}(F),$$

and

$$h'' = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & Q \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_{2m}(F).$$

Then $X_1 h' h'' = X_2 h'' = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_{1 \times 2m}(F)$.

Denote $E_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_{1 \times 2m}(F)$, let $h_2 = \text{diag}(1, h'', 1)$ and $h = h_2^{-1} h_1^{-1} g_1$, then $\text{Int}(h) \circ n(X, Y) = n(E_1, \varepsilon_i)$. Therefore, there are only finitely many generators for a dense open subset of N under $\text{Int}(M)$. *i.e.*, \mathfrak{N} is a prehomogeneous space under $\text{Ad}(M)$. In particular, the number of open orbits is $\text{card}(S)$. ■

5 Centralizers and Twisted Centralizers on Prehomogeneous Cases

We now suppose $G = \text{SO}_{2l+1}(F)$, $\alpha = e_i$; or $G = \text{Sp}_{2l}(F)$, and $\alpha = e_1 - e_2$. Then

$$M = \begin{cases} \text{GL}_l(F) \times 1, & \text{if } G \text{ is orthogonal;} \\ \text{GL}_1(F) \times \text{Sp}_{2l-2}(F), & \text{if } G \text{ is symplectic.} \end{cases}$$

And

$$G_m = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } G \text{ is orthogonal;} \\ \text{Sp}_{2l-2}(F), & \text{if } G \text{ is symplectic,} \end{cases}$$

by definition.

By Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, N is prehomogeneous under $\text{Int}(M)$.

We choose w_0 as follows:

$$w_0 = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ I_n & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } G \text{ is orthogonal;} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & I_{2l-2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } G \text{ is symplectic.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.1 Suppose $G = \text{SO}_{2l+1}(F)$ and $n = n(X, Y) \in N$. Then $w_0^{-1}n \in P\bar{N}$ if and only if $Y \in \text{GL}_n(F)$, in which case

$$(5.1) \quad w_0^{-1}n = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon(Y^{-1}) & -Y^{-1}X & I_n \\ 0 & 1 - X'Y^{-1}X & X' \\ 0 & 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ (Y^{-1}X)' & 1 & 0 \\ Y^{-1} & Y^{-1}X & I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

with $X'Y^{-1}X = 0$.

Proof This is [1, Lemma 2.2]. The proof is straightforward. ■

Lemma 5.2 Suppose $G = \text{Sp}_{2l}(F)$ and $n = n(X, Y) \in N$. Then $w_0^{-1}n \in P\bar{N}$ if and only if $Y \in \text{GL}_n(F)$, in which case

$$(5.2) \quad w_0^{-1}n = \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon(Y^{-1}) & -Y^{-1}X & I_n \\ 0 & I_{2m} - X'Y^{-1}X & X' \\ 0 & 0 & -Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ (Y^{-1}X)' & I_{2m} & 0 \\ Y^{-1} & Y^{-1}X & I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and $I_{2m} - X'Y^{-1}X \in \text{Sp}_{2m}(F)$.

Proof This is also [1, Lemma 2.2], but since we chose a different J_{2l} , the right side of equation (5.2) is a little bit different from the expression there. ■

Write equations (5.1) and (5.2) as $w_0^{-1}n_i = m_i n_i n_i^-$, where m_i, n_i, n_i^- belong to M, N and \bar{N} , respectively. Define

$$M_{n_i} = \text{Cent}_M(n_i) = \{m \in M \mid \text{Int}(m) \circ n_i = n_i\}$$

as the centralizer of n_i in M , and

$$M_{m_i}^t = \text{Cent}_{m_i}^t = \{m \in M \mid w_0(m)m_i m^{-1} = m_i\}$$

as the twisted (by means of w_0) centralizer of m_i in M . Then $M_{n_i} \subset M_{m_i}^t$ by [10, Lemma 2.1].

Theorem 5.3 *Suppose G, M, α as above, then for any $n_i \in \mathcal{O}$, where \mathcal{O} has the same meaning as in Theorem 4.1 or 4.2, $|M_{m_i}^t/M_{n_i}| = 2$.*

Proof We only need to prove the lemma for any generator of each orbit, since any two elements in a same orbit are $\varepsilon(G')$ conjugate to each other, their centralizers and twisted centralizers are therefore $\varepsilon(G')$ conjugate to each other.

First suppose G is orthogonal, then by Theorem 4.1, there is only one orbit of N under $\text{Int}(M)$. We can also choose a representative of this orbit as $n = n(X_1, B_n - \frac{1}{2}E_{n,1})$, where $B_n, E_{n,1}$ and $X_1 = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^t \in M_{n \times 1}(F)$ are as in Theorem 4.1. Then in this case $m_i = B_n - \frac{1}{2}E_{n,1}$ if we identify $(\varepsilon(G'^{-1}), 1, G')$ with G' .

Suppose $g \in M_{m_i}^t \subset G'$ such that $\varepsilon(g) \circ m_i = m_i$. Then by Lemma 3.2, $\varepsilon(g) \circ B_n = B_n$ and $\varepsilon(g) \circ (-E_{n,1}) = \varepsilon(g) \circ (X_1 X_1') = (gX_1)(gX_1)' = -E_{n,1}$. Thus $gX_1 = \pm X_1$. If $gX_1 = X_1$, then $g \in M_{n_i}$; if $gX_1 = -X_1$, then $-g \in M_{n_i}$. Therefore $|M_{m_i}^t/M_{n_i}| = 2$.

Now suppose G is symplectic, then by Theorem 4.2, there are finitely many open orbits of N under $\text{Int}(M)$. The generator of each orbit can be chosen as $n_i = n(E_1, \varepsilon_i)$ with $\varepsilon_i \in S$. If $m = (k, h, k^{-1}) \in M_{m_i}^t$, with $k \in F^*$ and $h \in \text{Sp}_{2l-2}(F)$. Then $\text{Int}(m) \circ m_i = m_i$, where $m_i = (-\varepsilon_i, I_{2l-2} + E_{2l-2,1}, -\varepsilon_i)$ is determined by Lemma 5.2.

Thus $k^2 \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i$ and $(kE_1 h)'(kE_1 h) = E_{2l-2,1} = E_1' E_1$. Therefore, $kE_1 h = \pm E_1$. If $kE_1 h = E_1$, then $m \in M_{n_i}$; if $kE_1 h = -E_1$, then $(-1, I_{2l-2}, -1)m \in M_{n_i}$. Whence, $|M_{m_i}^t/M_{n_i}| = 2$. ■

6 Poles of Intertwining Operators on Prehomogeneous Cases

For a connected reductive p -adic group H , we use ${}^\circ\mathcal{E}(H)$ to denote the collection of equivalence classes of unitarizable irreducible admissible supercuspidal representations of H .

Let $(\tau', V') \in {}^\circ\mathcal{E}(\text{GL}_n(F))$ and $(\tau, V) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{E}(G_m)$, then $\tau' \otimes \tau$ is a unitary supercuspidal representation of M . Let

$$I(s, \tau' \otimes \tau) = \text{Ind}_{MN}^G((\tau' \otimes |\det(\)|^s) \otimes \tau \otimes 1_N).$$

We will use $\mathbf{V}(s, \tau' \otimes \tau)$ to denote the space of $I(s, \tau' \otimes \tau)$. In order to understand the reducibility of $I(\tau' \otimes \tau) = I(0, \tau' \otimes \tau)$, one must determine the poles of the standard intertwining operator

$$A(s, \tau' \otimes \tau, w_0)f(g) = \int_N f(w_0^{-1}ng)dn$$

associated to $\tau' \otimes \tau$ (cf. [1, 3, 9, 10]), where $f \in \mathbf{V}(s, \tau' \otimes \tau)$. By Bruhat's theorem (cf. [4]) we may assume that $w_0(\tau' \otimes \tau) \simeq \tau' \otimes \tau$, which is equivalent to assuming $\tau' \simeq \tilde{\tau}'$ [13].

Denote by \tilde{N} the unipotent radical opposed to N . Let

$$\mathbf{V}(s, \tau' \otimes \tau)_0 = \{h \in \mathbf{V}(s, \tau' \otimes \tau) \mid \text{supp}(h) \subset \tilde{N} \text{ modulo } P\}.$$

By a lemma of Rallis (cf. [9]), it is enough to compute the poles that arise when $A(s, \tau' \otimes \tau, w_0)$ is applied to functions in $\mathbf{V}(s, \tau' \otimes \tau)_0$ and evaluated at the identity.

Let ${}^L G' = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the L -group of G' , r be the adjoint action of ${}^L G'$ on the Lie algebra ${}^L \mathfrak{n}$ of ${}^L N$, the L -group of N . Let ρ_n be the standard representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, then $\rho_n \otimes \rho_n = \Lambda \rho_n^2 \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\rho_n)$. Let SO_n^* be any of the quasi-split orthogonal groups which has $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as the connected component of its L -group if n is even.

6.1 G is Orthogonal

We will still consider the case when $G = \text{SO}_{2l+1}(F)$ and $\alpha = e_l$. Notice in this case $n = l, M = \text{GL}_n$ and $G_m = 1$.

We let $(\tau', V') \in {}^\circ \mathcal{E}(\text{GL}_n(F))$ and

$$I(s, \tau') = \text{Ind}_{MN}^G((\tau' \otimes |\det(\cdot)|^s) \otimes 1_N).$$

In this special case, we will use $\mathbf{V}(s, \tau'), I(\tau'), A(s, \tau', w_0), \mathbf{V}(s, \tau')_0$ to denote the general settings $\mathbf{V}(s, \tau' \otimes \tau), I(s, \tau' \otimes \tau), A(s, \tau' \otimes \tau, w_0), \mathbf{V}(s, \tau' \otimes \tau)_0$ as defined at the beginning of this section, respectively.

Let $h \in \mathbf{V}(s, \tau')_0$. Fix open compact subsets $L \subset M_n(F)$ and $L' \subset M_{n \times 1}(F)$. We assume that for some $v' \in V', h$ satisfies:

$$h \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ (Y^{-1}X)' & 1 & 0 \\ Y^{-1} & Y^{-1}X & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \xi_L(Y^{-1})\xi_{L'}(Y^{-1}X)(v'),$$

where ξ_L and $\xi_{L'}$ are the characteristic functions of L and L' , respectively. Let \tilde{V}' be the dual spaces of V' . Choose $\tilde{v}' \in \tilde{V}'$ and let $\psi_{\tau'}$ be the matrix coefficient of τ' given by pair (v', \tilde{v}') . Then, from Lemma 5.1, $\langle \tilde{v}', A(s, \tau', w_0)h(e) \rangle$ is equal to

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{(X,Y)} \psi_{\tau'}(Y) |\det(Y)|^{-s-\langle \rho, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle} \xi(X, Y) d(X, Y),$$

where the integral is over the collection of F -rational solutions (X, Y) satisfying Lemmas 3.3 and 5.1. Here

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Sigma^+(\Theta)} \beta, \quad \xi(X, Y) = \xi_L(Y^{-1})\xi_{L'}(Y^{-1}X), \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \langle \rho, \alpha \rangle^{-1} \rho,$$

and $d(X, Y)$ is a choice of Haar measure on N .

By Theorem 4.1, there is only one orbit O of N under $\text{Int}(G')$. For any $n(X, Y) \in O$, define $d^*(X, Y) = |\det(Y)|^{-\langle \rho, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle} d(X, Y)$, then $d^*(X, Y)$ is an invariant measure on O (see [1]). Therefore, the integral in (6.1) will be changed to:

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{(X,Y)} \psi_{\tau'}(Y) |\det(Y)|^{-s} \xi(X, Y) d^*(X, Y).$$

Moreover, the representative of this orbit can be chosen as $n(X_1, B_n)$, where $X_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t \in M_{n \times 1}(F)$ and B_n as the right side of equation (3.3). Hence, the unique dense open subset O can be expressed as $n(gX_1, g(B_n - \frac{1}{2}E_{n,1})\varepsilon(g))$ as g runs through G' . Thus, $d^*(X, Y)$ induces an invariant measure on G'/M_{n_i} . Furthermore, by [10, Lemma 2.3], it also induces an invariant measure on the quotient $G'/M_{m_i}^t$ since $M_{m_i}^t/M_{n_i} = 2$ by Theorem 5.3. Therefore, if we let $Y_1 = B_n - \frac{1}{2}E_{n,1}$, then equation (6.2) can be expressed as:

$$(6.3) \quad 2 \int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}} \psi_{\tau'}(gY_1\varepsilon(g)) |\det(gY_1\varepsilon(g))|^{-s} \xi(gX_1, gY_1\varepsilon(g)) dg,$$

where $M_{m_i}^t = G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}$ by definition.

Let ω' be the central character of τ' . Since we are assuming that τ' is self-dual, ω'^2 is trivial. We then can choose $f \in C_c^\infty(G')$ such that

$$\psi_{\tau'}(g') = \int_{Z(G')} f(zg') \omega'(z^{-1}) d^\times z.$$

Substitute the above equation into (6.3), then the expression will be:

$$(6.4) \quad 2 \int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}} \int_{Z(G')} f(zgY_1\varepsilon(g)) \omega'(z^{-1}) d^\times z |\det(gY_1\varepsilon(g))|^{-s} \xi(gX_1, gY_1\varepsilon(g)) dg.$$

By making a substitution $gz \rightarrow g$, we can rewrite expression (6.4) as:

$$(6.5) \quad 2 \sum_{\gamma \in S} \omega'(\gamma) \int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}} \int_{Z(G')} f(\gamma gY_1\varepsilon(g)) |\det z|^{-2s} |\det(gY_1\varepsilon(g))|^{-s} \xi_L(z^{-2}\varepsilon(g)^{-1}Y_1^{-1}g^{-1}) \xi_{L'}(z^{-1}\varepsilon(g)^{-1}Y_1^{-1}X_1) d^\times z dg.$$

Now we have the following.

Lemma 6.1 *The intertwining operator $A(s, \tau', w_0)$ is convergent for $s > 0$ and has a pole at $s = 0$ if and only if*

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{\gamma \in S} \omega'(\gamma) \int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}} f(\gamma gY_1\varepsilon(g)^{-1}) dg \neq 0.$$

Proof This has been proved in [9], and a more general result has also been established in [1]. Here we will use the finiteness of orbits to give a shorter proof.

We can use a similar argument as that of [1, Lemma 4.5] to prove our lemma. Namely, the integrand inside (6.5) is nonzero only when

$$gY_1\varepsilon(g) \in \gamma^{-1} \text{supp}(f) \cap z^2 \text{supp}(\xi_L)^{-1} = \mathbf{C},$$

where $\text{supp}(\xi_L)^{-1}$ is the subset of \bar{N} consisting of the inverse elements of $\text{supp}(\xi_L)$. Thus, g must belong to a compact subset of $G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}$ and $z^{-2} \in \text{supp}(\xi_L) \cdot \mathbf{C}$. Consequently, $|z|$ must be bounded from below.

Therefore, there exists μ such that when $|z|_F > \mu$, the order of the integrals in (6.5) can be interchanged. By the fact that $f, \xi_L, \xi_{L'}$ are all bounded, the conclusion of the lemma follows immediately. ■

Moreover, Shahidi in [9] has shown that the orbital integrals appearing in equation (6.6) are all equal, *i.e.*, for any $\gamma \in S$,

$$\int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}} f(\gamma g Y_1 \varepsilon(g)^{-1}) d\dot{g} = \int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}} f(g Y_1 \varepsilon(g)^{-1}) d\dot{g}.$$

We thus obtain the following.

Theorem 6.2 *The intertwining operator $A(s, \tau', w_0)$ has a pole at $s = 0$ or equivalently $I(\tau')$ is irreducible if and only if $\omega' = 1$ and*

$$(6.7) \quad \int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_1}} f(g Y_1 \varepsilon(g)^{-1}) d\dot{g} \neq 0.$$

In that case:

- (a) *if n is odd, then $A(s, \tau', w_0)$ has a pole at $s = 0$;*
- (b) *if n is even, then $A(s, \tau', w_0)$ has a pole at $s = 0$ if and only if τ' comes from $SO_n^*(F)$.*

Proof If ω' is nontrivial, then equation (6.6) is zero. Part (a) is [9, Proposition 3.10], and part (b) is Corollary 10.6 from the same paper. We give here a shorter proof for part (b).

By Theorem 4.1, there is only one orbit of N under $\text{Int}(M)$. Moreover, by the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can choose $n_i(kX_1, B_n - \frac{1}{2}k^2E_{n,1})$ as a generator of this orbit for any $k \in F^*$. Let $Y_i = B_n - \frac{1}{2}k^2E_{n,1}$, then (6.7) will be changed to:

$$\int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_i}} f(g Y_i \varepsilon(g)^{-1}) d\dot{g} \neq 0.$$

Because n is even, both B_n and Y_i belong to G' . Since $f \in C_c^\infty(G')$, it is clear that $g Y_i \varepsilon(g)^{-1} \in \text{supp}(f)$ if and only if \bar{g} belongs to a compact set \mathbb{C}_i of $G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_i}$, where \bar{g} is the representative of g in $G'/G'_{\varepsilon, Y_i}$. Moreover, when $|k|$ is small enough, these \mathbb{C}_i will be independent of k . We will use \mathbb{C} to denote such uniform \mathbb{C}_i .

For each $\bar{g} \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a neighborhood $O(g)$ of g such that for any $g' \in O(g)$, there is a positive number u_g , such that $f(g' B_n \varepsilon(g')^{-1}) = f(g' Y_i \varepsilon(g')^{-1})$ when $|k| < u_g$. By the compactness of \mathbb{C} , we can choose k small enough such that for any $g Y_i \varepsilon(g)^{-1} \in \text{supp}(f)$, $f(g B_n \varepsilon(g)^{-1}) = f(g Y_i \varepsilon(g)^{-1})$. Therefore, the determining condition (6.7) will be changed to:

$$\int_{G'/G'_{\varepsilon, B_n}} f(g B_n \varepsilon(g)^{-1}) d\dot{g} \neq 0.$$

But this is the determining condition of the same intertwining operators for SO_n^* if we take $M = GL_n(F)$ there. Thus, $A(s, \tau', w_0)$ has a pole at $s = 0$ if and only if τ' comes from $SO_n^*(F)$ by means of the definition in [9]. ■

6.2 G is Symplectic

We now consider the case $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2l}(F)$ and $\alpha = e_1 - e_2$. Notice $M = \mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2l-2}(F) = F^* \times G_m$.

Let χ be a character of $F^* = \mathrm{GL}_1(F)$ to the unit circle in the complex plane and V' be the space of χ . Since we may assume χ is self-dual, $\chi^2 = 1$. Let $(\tau, V) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{E}(G_m)$ and

$$I(s, \chi \otimes \tau) = \mathrm{Ind}_{MN}^G((\chi \otimes |\cdot|^s) \otimes \tau \otimes 1_N).$$

Let $h \in \mathbf{V}(s, \chi \otimes \tau)_0$. Fix open compact subsets $L \subset F$ and $L' \subset M_{1 \times 2m}(F)$. We assume that for some $v' \in V', v \in V, h$ satisfies:

$$h \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ (Y^{-1}X)' & I_{2m} & 0 \\ Y^{-1} & Y^{-1}X & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \xi_L(Y^{-1})\xi_{L'}(Y^{-1}X)(v' \otimes v),$$

where ξ_L and $\xi_{L'}$ are the characteristic functions of L and L' , respectively. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}', \tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ be the dual spaces of \mathbf{V}' and \mathbf{V} , respectively. Choose $\tilde{v}' \in \tilde{\mathbf{V}}'$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathbf{V}}$, let ψ_χ and f_τ be the matrix coefficient of χ and τ given by pairs (v', \tilde{v}') and (v, \tilde{v}) , respectively. Then from Lemma 5.2, $\langle \tilde{v}' \otimes \tilde{v}, A(s, \chi \otimes \tau, w_0)h(e) \rangle$ is equal to

$$\int_{(X,Y)} \psi_\chi(-Y) f_\tau(I_{2m} - X'Y^{-1}X) |Y|^{-s-\langle \rho, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle} \xi(X, Y) d(X, Y),$$

which is proportional to

$$(6.8) \quad \int_{(X,Y)} \chi(Y) f_\tau(I_{2m} - X'Y^{-1}X) |Y|^{-s-\langle \rho, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle} \xi(X, Y) d(X, Y),$$

where the integral is over the collection of F -rational solutions (X, Y) satisfying Lemmas 3.3 and 5.2. Here $\rho, \xi(X, Y), d(X, Y)$ have a same meaning as in Subsection 6.1.

By Theorem 4.2, there are only a finite number of open orbits O of N under $\mathrm{Int}(G')$. For any $n(X, Y) \in O$, define $d^*(X, Y) = |Y|^{-\langle \rho, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle} d(X, Y)$, then $d^*(X, Y)$ is an invariant measure on O (cf [1]). Therefore, the integral in (6.8) will be changed to:

$$(6.9) \quad \int_{(X,Y)} \chi(Y) f_\tau(I_{2m} - X'Y^{-1}X) |Y|^{-s} \xi(X, Y) d^*(X, Y).$$

Moreover, the representative of each orbit can be chosen as $n(E_1, \varepsilon_i)$, where $X_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_{1 \times n}(F)$ and $\varepsilon_i \in S$. Hence, each open subset of O can be expressed as $n(gX_1h, g^2\varepsilon_i)$ as g and h run through G' and G_m , respectively. Thus, $d^*(X, Y)$ induces an invariant measure on G'/M_{n_i} . Furthermore, by the same reason as before, it also induces an invariant measure $d\dot{m}$ on the quotient $M/M_{m_i}^t$ since $M_{m_i}^t/M_{n_i} = 2$ by Theorem 5.3. Therefore, if we let $Z_i = I_{2m} - \varepsilon_i^{-1}E_{2m,1}$, then $M_{m_i}^t = \{\pm 1\} \times C(Z_i)$ where $C(Z_i)$ is the centralizer of Z_i in G_m . Then equation (6.9) can be expressed as:

$$2 \int_{F^*/\{\pm 1\}} \int_{G_m/C(Z_i)} \sum_{\varepsilon_i \in S} \chi(g^2\varepsilon_i) f_\tau(hZ_ih^{-1}) |g^2\varepsilon_i|^{-s} \xi(gE_1, g^2\varepsilon_i) d\dot{h}d\dot{g},$$

where $d\dot{g}, d\dot{h}$ are invariant measures on $G'/\{\pm 1\}$ and $G_m/C(Z_i)$, respectively, induced from $d\dot{m}$.

Then we have the following.

Lemma 6.3 *The intertwining operator $A(s, \chi \otimes \tau, w_0)$ is convergent for $s > 0$ and has a pole at $s = 0$ if and only if*

$$(6.10) \quad \int_{G_m/C(Z_i)} \sum_{\varepsilon_i \in S} \chi(\varepsilon_i) f_\tau(hZ_i h^{-1}) d\dot{h} \neq 0.$$

Proof The proof is similar to that of Lemma 6.1. Actually, it can be regarded as an improvement of the results in [1, 10] in these two special cases. ■

Since $G_m = \text{Sp}_{2m}(F)$, we will fix $T, e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ as in Section 2. Let $\beta = e_1 - e_2$ and choose a maximal parabolic subgroup $P = MN$ with $M = M_\beta$. Then $M = \text{GL}_1(F) \times \text{Sp}_{2m-2}(F) = M_1 \times M_2$. Let $T_1 = \{\text{diag}(t_1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1, t_1^{-1}) \mid t_1 \in F^*\} \cong F^*$ be a torus in M .

For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, we choose a root vector of $-2e_i$ as: $\mathfrak{g}_{-2e_i} = E_{2l+1-i, i}$. Let $U_{-2e_i}(x) = \exp(x\mathfrak{g}_{-2e_i})$ be the unipotent subgroup of G_m attached to $-2e_i$. Then $Z_i = U_{-2e_i}(-\varepsilon_i)$. Since $\tilde{N}PN$ is a dense subset of G_m , (6.10) can be changed to:

$$(6.11) \quad \int_{\tilde{N}PN/\tilde{N}PN \cap C(Z_i)} \sum_{\varepsilon_i \in S} \chi(\varepsilon_i) f_\tau(hZ_i h^{-1}) d\dot{h} \neq 0.$$

But it can be easily shown that $\tilde{N}PN \cap C(Z_i) = \tilde{N}M_2$, thus, (6.11) is equivalent to:

$$(6.12) \quad \int_{M_1 N} \sum_{\varepsilon_i \in S} \chi(\varepsilon_i) f_\tau(hZ_i h^{-1}) d\dot{h} \neq 0.$$

We state our main result in this case as follows.

Theorem 6.4 *The intertwining operator $A(s, \chi \otimes \tau, w_0)$ has a pole at $s = 0$; equivalently, $I(\chi \otimes \tau)$ is irreducible if $\chi = 1$.*

Proof For any fixed $v \in \mathbf{V}, \tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathbf{V}}$, let $K_{v, \tilde{v}}$ be a minimal compact subgroup of U_{-2e_1} such that $K_0 = \text{supp}(f_\tau) \cap U_{-2e_1} \subset K_{v, \tilde{v}}$. Let $\phi: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ be defined by

$$\phi(v_1) = \text{vol}(K_{v, \tilde{v}})^{-1} \int_{K_{v, \tilde{v}}} \tau(k)v_1 dk, \quad \forall v_1 \in \mathbf{V}.$$

Then $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^{K_{v, \tilde{v}}} \oplus \text{Ker } \phi$, with $\text{Ker } \phi$ being the orthogonal complement of $\mathbf{V}^{K_{v, \tilde{v}}}$ and ϕ is a projection from \mathbf{V} to $\mathbf{V}^{K_{v, \tilde{v}}}$. We will use $v_1^{K_{v, \tilde{v}}}$ to denote $\phi(v_1)$.

Since $(\tau, V) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{E}(G_m), \tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ can be identified with \mathbf{V} through the Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be the contragredient representation of τ on $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$, then $\tilde{\tau}(g) = \tau(g)$ for all $g \in G_m$ under the above identification. The left side of inequality (6.12) will be changed to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_1 N} \sum_{\varepsilon_i \in S} f_\tau(hZ_i h^{-1}) d\dot{h} &= \int_N \int_{F^*} \sum_{\varepsilon_i \in S} \langle \tau(u \cdot U_{-2e_1}(-\varepsilon_i t^2) \cdot u^{-1})v, \tilde{v} \rangle d\dot{t} du \\ &= \int_N \int_{F^*} \sum_{\varepsilon_i \in S} \langle \tau(U_{-2e_1}(-\varepsilon_i t^2))\tau(u^{-1})v, \tau(u^{-1})\tilde{v} \rangle d\dot{t} du, \end{aligned}$$

where du, dt are the restriction measures of dh on N, M_1 , respectively.

For any $u \in N$, let $v_u = (\tau(u^{-1})v)^{K_{v,\tilde{v}}}$ and $\tilde{v}_u = (\tau(u^{-1})\tilde{v})^{K_{v,\tilde{v}}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F^*} \sum_{\varepsilon_i \in S} \langle \tau(U_{-2\varepsilon_1}(-\varepsilon_i t^2))\tau(u^{-1})v, \tau(u^{-1})\tilde{v} \rangle dt &= \text{vol}(K_0) \langle v_u, \tau(u^{-1})v \rangle \\ &= \text{vol}(K_0) \langle v_u, \tilde{v}_u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we choose $\tilde{v} = v$, then the right side of the above equation is non-negative. We can also choose such v that $v^{K_{v,\tilde{v}}} \neq 0$, then if u belongs to a small neighborhood of 1, $\tau(u^{-1})v = v$. Thus $\langle v_u, \tilde{v}_u \rangle > 0$.

Therefore, for some $v \in \mathbf{V}$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathbf{V}}$, the left side of (6.10) is non-zero and $A(s, \chi \otimes \tau, w_0)$ has a pole at $s = 0$. ■

Remark. If $\sigma = \chi \otimes |\det(\cdot)|^{s_1}$ is a self-dual representation of M_1 , then by the results in [8], $A(s, \sigma \otimes \tau, w_0)$ has a pole at $s = s_1$ if and only if $A(s, \chi \otimes \tau, w_0)$ has a pole at $s = 0$. For this reason, we have simplified our assumption on χ .

References

- [1] D. Goldberg and F. Shahidi, *On the tempered spectrum of quasi-split classical groups*. Duke Math. J. **92**(1998), no. 2, 255–294.
- [2] D. Goldberg and F. Shahidi, *On the tempered spectrum of quasi-split classical groups. II*. Canad. J. Math. **53**(2001), no. 2, 244–277.
- [3] Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic Analysis on Real Reductive Groups, III*. Ann of Math. **104** (1976), 117–201.
- [4] Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups*. In: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **26**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1973, pp. 167–192.
- [5] J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and representation theory*. Second printing, revised, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **9**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.
- [6] I. Muller, *Décomposition orbitale des espaces préhomogènes réguliers de type parabolique commutatif et application*. C. R Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **303**(1986), no. 11, 495–498.
- [7] M. Sato and T. Kimura, *A classification of irreducible prehomogeneous vector space and their relative invariants*. Nagoya Math. J. **65**(1977), 1–155.
- [8] F. Shahidi, *A proof of Langlands’ conjecture on Plancherel measures; complementary series for p-adic groups*. Ann of Math. **132**(1990), no. 2, 273–330.
- [9] ———, *Twisted endoscopy and reducibility of induced representation for p-adic groups*. Duke Math. J. **66**(1992), no. 1, 1–41.
- [10] ———, *Poles of intertwining operators via endoscopy: the connection with prehomogeneous vector spaces*. Compositio Math. **120**(2000), no. 3, 291–325.
- [11] È. B. Vinberg, *The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **40**(1976), no. 3, 488–526, 709. (1976), 463–495.
- [12] X. Yu, *Centralizer and twisted centralizers: application to intertwining operators*. Canad. J. Math. **58**(2006), no. 3, 643–672.
- [13] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinskii, *Representation of the group $GL(n, F)$ where F is a local non-archimedean field*. Russian Math. Surveys **31**(1976), no. 3, 1–68.

Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Normal University, 29 Shanghai Road, Xuzhou, China, 221116
 e-mail: tianyuanwing@yahoo.com