# Nonoscillation of arbitrary order retarded differential equations of non-homogeneous type 

## R.S. Dahiya

The object of the present paper is to study the delay differential equation of arbitrary order namely

$$
y^{(n)}(t)+a(t) y_{\tau}(t)=f(t), \quad n \geq 2 \quad \text { (an integer) }
$$

and prove a nonoscillation theorem under the general situation in which $a(t)$ and $f(t)$ are allowed to oscillate arbitrarily often on some positive half real line. This is accomplished by way of two differential inequalities of $n$th order.

## 1.

Recently Onose [2] and Singh [3] studied the oscillation properties of the solutions of the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{(n)}(t)+a(t) y(t)=0  \tag{1.1}\\
y^{(2 n)}(t)+a(t) y(t-\tau(t))=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

under the restrictive assumption that $a$ be eventually non-negative on some positive half real axis. The purpose here is to study the delay differential equation of arbitrary order namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t)+a(t) y_{\tau}(t)=f(t), \quad n \geq 2 \quad \text { (an integer) } \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$ and prove a nonoscillation theorem under the general situation in which $a$
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and $f$ are allowed to oscillate arbitrarily often on some positive half real line. This is accomplished by way of two differential inequalities of $n$th order. The following assumptions will hold throughout this paper:
(i) $y_{\tau}(t) \equiv y(t-\tau(t))$,
(ii) $a:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty)$ is continuous,
(iii) $\tau:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and bounded.

In what follows, it will be shown that if $g$ and $h$ are eventually positive functions such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{(n)}(t)+t^{n-1}|a(t)| g(t) \leq 0,  \tag{1.4}\\
& h^{(n)}(t)+t^{n-1}|f(t)| h(t) \leq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

then equation (1.3) has bounded nonoscillatory solutions. It is interesting to note that these differential inequalities are independent of the delay term.

We call a function $F \in C\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Otherwise we call it nonoscillatory.

We shall only consider continuous and extendable solutions of equatior (1.3) over some half line $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0}>0$.

## 2.

THEOREM 1. Let $g$ and $h$ be $n$ times differentiable functions on some half line $[T, \infty), T \geq t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \inf } g(t)>0, \quad \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \inf } h(t)>0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{(n)}(t)+t^{n-1}|a(t)| g(t) \leq 0  \tag{2.2}\\
& h^{(n)}(t)+t^{n-1}|f(t)| h(t) \leq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

eventually. Then equation (1.3) has bounded nonoscillatory solutions.
Proof. Let $T$ be large enough so that $g(t)>0$ in $[T, \infty)$. Then by inequality (2.2), there exists $T_{1}>T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(n)}(t) \leq 0, g(t)>0, \quad t \geq T_{1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conclusion (2.4) forces all preceding derivatives to be monotonic. Two cases arise.

Case 1. $g^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq T_{1}$.
Conclusion (2.4) also implies that $g^{(n-1)}(t) \geq 0$ because otherwise $g(t)$ will eventually become negative. Dividing (2.2) by $g(t)$ and integrating between $\left[T_{1}, t\right]$, we have
(2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{g^{(n-1)}(t)}{g(t)}-\frac{g^{(n-1)}\left(T_{1}\right)}{g\left(T_{1}\right)}+\int_{T_{1}}^{t} \frac{g^{(n-1)}(s) g^{\prime}(s)}{g^{2}(s)} d s+ \\
& \quad+\int_{T_{1}}^{t} s^{n-1}|a(s)| d s \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g^{(n-1)}(t)$ and $g^{\prime}(t)$ are nonnegative for $t \geq T_{1}$, (2.5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T_{1}}^{t} s^{n-1}|a(s)|<\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. $\quad g^{\prime}(t)<0, \quad t \geq T_{1}$.
Here again conclusion (2.4) implies that for $t \geq T_{1}, g^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$, $g^{(n-1)}(t) \geq 0, g^{\prime}(t)<0, g(t)>0$. Again, we will show that (2.6) holds. Suppose to the contrary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} t^{n-1}|a(t)| d t=+\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (2.5) and (2.7), it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T_{1}}^{t} \frac{g^{(n-1)}(s) g^{\prime}(s)}{g^{2}(s)} d s=-\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T_{1}}^{t} \frac{g^{(n-1)}(s) g^{\prime}(s)}{g^{2}(s)} d s \geq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[g^{(n-1)}\left(T_{1}\right) \int_{T_{1}}^{t} \frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{g^{2}(s)} d s\right] \\
&=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{g^{(n-1)}\left(T_{1}\right)\left[-\frac{1}{g(t)}+\frac{1}{g\left(T_{1}\right)}\right]\right\}>-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

by condition (2.1). This contradiction shows that (2.6) holds. Similarly (2.3) leads to

$$
\int^{\infty} t^{n-1}|f(t)| d t<\infty
$$

To complete the proof, we set up the following integral equation
(2.9) $y(t)=\frac{1}{2}-K \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} a(t) y(t-\tau(t))+K \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f(t) d t$,
where $K=1$ when $n$ is even and $K=-1$ when $n$ is odd. It is obvious that a solution of (2.9) is also a solution of equation (1.3).

We now set up a sequence of estimates:
$(2.10) y_{0}(t) \equiv I$,
(2.11) $y_{j}(t)=\frac{1}{2}-K \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} a(t) y_{j-1}(t-\tau(t)) d t+K \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f(t) d t$, and choose $t$ large enough so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{\infty} t^{n-1}|a(t)| d t<1 / 4 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{\infty} t^{(n-1)}|f(t)| d t<1 / 4 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the boundedness of $\tau$ all estimates in (2.11) are well defined to the right of some large $T>0$.

From (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{1}(t)\right| & \leq 1 / 2+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}|a(t)| d t+\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}|f(t)| d t \\
& \leq 1 / 2+1 / 4+1 / 4=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for each $j$,

$$
\left|y_{j}(t)\right| \leq 1
$$

Now in the manner of Theorem 3 of [1], $\left|y_{j+1}-y_{j}\right| \leq 1$ for all $j$, and $\left\{y_{j}\right\}$ converges uniformly to a solution of (2.9); and the proof is complete.

## 3.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(5)}(t)+\frac{\cos t}{1+t^{12}} y(t-\pi)=\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{12}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g(t)=t^{3 / 2}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{(5)}(t)+t^{4}|a(t)| g(t) & =-\frac{45}{32} t^{-7 / 2}+\frac{t^{4} \cdot t^{3 / 2}|\cos t|}{1+t^{12}} \\
& =-\frac{45}{32} t^{-7 / 2}\left[1-\frac{32}{45} \frac{t^{9}}{1+t^{12}}|\cos t|\right]<0 \text { for large } t
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly when $h(t)=t^{3 / 2}, f(t)=\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{12}}$, then

$$
h^{(5)}(t)+t^{4}|f(t)| h(t)<0 \text { for large } t
$$

Hence equation (3.1) has a bounded nonoscillatory solution.
EXAMPLE 2. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(6)}(t)+\frac{\cos t}{1+t^{12}} y(t-\pi)=\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{12}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we take $g(t)=t^{5 / 2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{(6)}(t)+t^{5}|a(t)| g(t) & =-\frac{225}{64} t^{-7 / 2}+\frac{t^{5} \cdot t^{5 / 2}|\cos t|}{1+t^{12}} \\
& =-\frac{225}{64} t^{-7 / 2}\left[1-\frac{64}{225} \frac{t^{11}}{1+t^{12}}|\cos t|\right] \\
& <0 \text { for large } t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(t) & =t^{5 / 2}, f(t)=\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{12}} \\
h^{(6)}(t)+t^{5}|f(t)| h(t) & =-\frac{225}{64} t^{-7 / 2}\left[1-\frac{64}{225} \frac{t^{11}}{1+t^{12}}|\sin t|\right] \\
& <0 \text { for large } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (3.2) has a bounded nonoscillatory solution.
If we take $n=3, f(t)=0$ in (1.3), then we arrive at known results (see [4]).

## References

[1] R.S. Dahiya and B. Singh, "On oscillatory behavior of even order delay equations", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 42 (1973), 183-189.
[2] Hiroshi Onose, "Oscillatory property of ordinary differential equations of arbitrary order", J. Differential Equations 7 (1970), 454-458.
[3] B. Singh, "Oscillation and nonoscillation of even order nonlinear delay differential equations", Quart. Appl. Math. (to appear).
[4] Bhagat Singh and R.S. Dahiya, "Nonoscillation of third order retarded equations", BulZ. Austral. Math. Soc. 10 (1974), 9-14.

Department of Mathematics,
Iowa State University,
Ames, lowa, USA.

