

CONSTRUCTIONS PRESERVING THE ASSOCIATIVE AND THE COMMUTATIVE LAWS

A. SHAFaat

(Received 27 September 1974; revised 8 January 1975)

Abstract

The associative and the commutative laws are characterized by preservation under the construction of powers and addition of a (new) unit element. This is used to generate the varieties defined by the two laws from two element groupoids.

Let \mathcal{G} be the category of all groupoids (that is, algebras with one binary operation) and groupoid homomorphisms. By a *functional construction on groupoids* we mean a functor F from the “power category” \mathcal{G}^I into \mathcal{G} . We say that a class \mathcal{K} of groupoids is *F-closed* or *closed under F* if for every family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of groupoids of \mathcal{K} the groupoid $F((A_i)_{i \in I})$ belongs to \mathcal{K} . A set Σ of groupoid identities will be said to be *preserved under F* if the variety defined by Σ is *F-closed*.

In this note we shall be concerned with two functional constructions— P and $()^0$ —defined as follows. For every groupoid $(A, +)$ we define $P((A, +))$ to be the groupoid $(P(A), +)$, where $P(A)$ is the set of all subsets of A and $X + Y = \{x + y; x \in X, y \in Y\}$ for all $X, Y \subseteq A$. If $f: (A, +) \rightarrow (B, +)$ is a groupoid homomorphism then we define $P(f)$ to be the function from $P(A)$ to $P(B)$ which sends $X \subseteq A$ to its image under f . It is easily seen that $P(f)$ is a homomorphism from $(P(A), +)$ to $(P(B), +)$ and that the function $P: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ so defined is a functor. We shall refer to P as the *power functor*. To define $()^0: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ let $(A, +)$ be an arbitrary groupoid. We define $((A, +))^0$ to be the groupoid $((A)^0, +)$ obtained from $(A, +)$ by adding a new unit element 0 ; that is, $(A)^0$ consists of the disjoint union of A and $\{0\}$ and $a + 0 = 0 + a = a$ for all $a \in (A)^0$. For a groupoid homomorphism $(A, +) \xrightarrow{f} (B, +)$ we define $(f)^0$ to be the function from $(A)^0$ into $(B)^0$ such that $(f)^0$ restricted to A is f and

$(f)^0(0) = 0$. Again it is easy to see that $(f)^0$ is a homomorphism and $()^0$ is a functor. We shall refer to $()^0$ as the *addition-of-unit functor*.

The associative and the commutative laws (i.e., the identities $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$ and $x + y = y + x$) are preserved under P and $()^0$, as can be verified without difficulty. Our first theorem shows that apart from the expected case of the identity $x = x$ (which is preserved under *all* constructions on groupoids) $x + y = y + x$ and $x + (y + x) = (x + y) + z$ are the only identities preserved under our two constructions.

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groupoids. Then \mathcal{V} is closed under P and $()^0$ if and only if \mathcal{V} is one of the following four varieties:*

- (1) *the class of all groupoids*
- (2) *the class of all commutative groupoids*
- (3) *the class of all semigroups*
- (4) *the class of all commutative semigroups.*

It is clear from the above theorem that the class of all commutative semigroups may be characterized as the smallest variety of groupoids closed under the power and the addition-of-unit functors. The following theorem gives a sharper result.

THEOREM 2. *The variety of commutative semigroups is the smallest variety of groupoids closed under the power functor P .*

Before turning to the proofs of the above two theorems we make two remarks and give some necessary definitions.

REMARK 1. A variety \mathcal{V} of groupoids is closed under P and $()^0$ if and only if \mathcal{V} is closed under either of the compositions $()^0P: \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{()^0} \mathcal{G}$ and $P()^0: \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{()^0} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{G}$. If we write $P^0 = P()^0$ then Theorem 1 determines all the P^0 -closed varieties of groupoids.

REMARK 2. The set of all varieties closed under a functional construction F is a complete sublattice L_F of the complete lattice L of all varieties of groupoids. Theorem 1 describes L_{P^0} as the four-element Boolean lattice while Theorem 2 determines the “zero element” of the complete lattice L_P .

In the sequel we shall often denote a groupoid $(A, +)$ simply by A .

Let X be a fixed infinite set. Let W_X denote the word groupoid (cf. Cohn (1965)) on X . We say that a word $w \in W_X$ is *linear* if every “variable” $x \in X$ occurs at most once in w . An identity $v = w$ will be called *linear* if v and w are both linear. (For example, $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ and $x + y = y + x$ are

linear). An identity is called *regular* if every variable that occurs on one side of it also occurs on the other.

To prove Theorem 2 we need the following result which follows from Whitely (1974) or Bleicher, Schneider and Wilson (1973).

THEOREM 3. *A variety is P-closed if and only if it is definable by a set of regular, linear identities.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. *If $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a regular linear identity then under the associative and the commutative laws it reduces to $(x_1 + \dots + x_n) = (x_1 + \dots + x_n)$. Hence every commutative semigroup satisfies every regular, linear identity. In view of Theorem 3, this proves Theorem 2.*

We now give some lemmas directed towards the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in W_X$. Then $v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$ is an element of $(W_X)^0$. It is immediate that if $n > 1$ and v properly involves x_1, \dots, x_n then $v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) \in W_X$. Also if $v(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is linear then so is $v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$.

The following lemma hardly needs any proof.

LEMMA 1. *A necessary and sufficient condition for a variety \mathcal{V} to be $()^0$ -closed is that if $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an identity of \mathcal{V} and $v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) \in W_X$ then $w(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) \in W_X$ and $v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) = w(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$ is an identity of \mathcal{V} .*

COROLLARY 1. *Every identity of a $()^0$ -closed variety is regular.*

LEMMA 2. *Let $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a regular linear identity such that the variables x_1, \dots, x_n occur in the order x_1, \dots, x_n both in v and w . Then:*

(2.1) *The associative law $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ implies $v = w$.*

(2.2) *If $v = w$ is an identity of a $()^0$ -closed variety \mathcal{V} and v, w are distinct then $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ is also an identity of \mathcal{V} .*

PROOF. The first part (2.1) of the lemma is fairly clear. For the second part we use induction on the number n of variables occurring in $v = w$. If $n = 1$ then regularity and linearity of $v = w$ implies that v, w are identical variables. Hence the required result (2.2) is true vacuously. Let $n > 1$ so that we can write $v = v_1 + v_2, w = w_1 + w_2$ for some (linear) words $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in W_X$. Let v, w be distinct and let $v = w$ be an identity of \mathcal{V} . If v_1, w_1 involve the same variables then so do v_2, w_2 and by setting all the variables in v_2 equal to 0 and using Lemma 1 we see that $v_1 = w_1$ is a regular linear identity of \mathcal{V} . Similarly, $v_2 = w_2$ is also an identity of \mathcal{V} and since $v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2$ are distinct therefore either v_1, w_1 , or v_2, w_2 are distinct. We can thus use the induction hypothesis to conclude that $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ is an identity of \mathcal{V} .

Let now one of v_i, w_i (say, v_i) involve a variable (say, x_i) which does not occur in w_i . Then $n > i > 1$ and if we substitute 0 for all the variables of $v = w$ except x_1, x_i, x_n then we must get $(x_1 + x_i) + x_n = x_1 + (x_i + x_n)$. This proves the lemma.

We write a regular linear identity—as indeed we can—in the form $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = w(x_{f(1)}, \dots, x_{f(n)})$, where f is a permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and the variables x_1, \dots, x_n occur in v in the order x_1, \dots, x_n and in w in the order $x_{f(1)}, \dots, x_{f(n)}$.

LEMMA 3. *Let $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = w(x_{f(1)}, \dots, x_{f(n)})$ be a regular, linear identity of a $()^0$ -closed variety \mathcal{V} . Then:*

(3.1) *If $f(i) \neq i$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, then $x + y = y + x$ is an identity of \mathcal{V} .*

(3.2) *Either $v = w$ is deducible from $x + y = y + x$ or $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ is an identity of \mathcal{V} .*

PROOF. Let i be the smallest integer such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $f(i) \neq i$. Then $f(i) > i$. Substituting 0 for all the variables in $v = w$ except $x_i, x_{f(i)}$ we must have $x_i + x_{f(i)} = x_{f(i)} + x_i$, which gives (3.1), by Lemma 1.

To prove (3.2) we use induction on n . If $n = 1$ then $v = w$ is identical with $x = x$ and hence deducible from $x + y = y + x$. Let $n > 1$ and assume (3.2) for all identities with less than n variables. Clearly, we can write $v = v_1 + v_2$, $w = w_1 + w_2$. If v_1, w_1 involve the same variables then, as in the proof of Lemma 2 (2.2), we obtain the regular, linear identities $v_1 = w_1$ and $v_2 = w_2$ of \mathcal{V} . If the associative law does not hold for the groupoids of \mathcal{V} then the induction hypothesis implies that $v_1 = w_1, v_2 = w_2$ are deducible from $x + y = y + x$, which shows that $v = w$ is also deducible from $x + y = y + x$.

We thus need to consider the case when one of v_i, w_i (say, v_i) involves a variable (say, x_i) not occurring in the other. If $f(1) \neq 1$ then, by (3.1), we can use commutativity to bring x_1 to the left most position in w . Hence we can assume $f(1) = 1$ and therefore $1 < i < n$. Substituting 0 for all of the variables x_1, \dots, x_n in $v = w$ except x_1, x_i, x_n we must have $(x_1 + x_i) + x_n = x_1 + (x_i + x_n)$ or $(x_1 + x_i) + x_n = x_1 + (x_n + x_i)$ as identities of \mathcal{V} . In the case of $(x_1 + x_i) + x_n = x_1 + (x_n + x_i)$ we have commutativity, by (3.1) (or by setting $x_1 = 0$). But commutativity and $(x_1 + x_i) + x_n = x_1 + (x_n + x_i)$ imply associativity. This proves (3.2) and the lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. If \mathcal{V} is one of the four varieties (1)–(4) mentioned in the theorem then, as already noted, \mathcal{V} is closed under P and $()^0$.

Assume that \mathcal{V} is closed under P and $()^0$. Then, by Theorem 3, \mathcal{V} is defined by the set Σ of all regular, linear identities of \mathcal{V} . Four cases arise:

- (I) Every identity in Σ is of the form $v = v$. In this case \mathcal{V} is the variety (1) of all groupoids.
- (II) In the notation of Lemma 3 every identity in Σ is of the form $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = w(x_{f(1)}, \dots, x_{f(n)})$, where f is the identity permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, there is an identity $v = w$ in Σ such that v, w are distinct. In this case, by (2.1) and (2.2) of Lemma 2, \mathcal{V} is the variety (3) of all semigroups.
- (III) There is an identity $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = w(x_{f(1)}, \dots, x_{f(n)})$ in Σ , where f is not the identity permutation, but Σ does not contain the associative law. In this case, by Lemma 3, \mathcal{V} is the variety (2) of commutative groupoids.
- (IV) There is an identity $v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = w(x_{f(1)}, \dots, x_{f(1)})$ in Σ such that f is not the identity permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and at the same time Σ contains the associative law. In this case \mathcal{V} is contained in, and hence by Theorem 2, equal to the variety (4) of commutative semigroups.

AN APPLICATION.

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of groupoids. By $S(\mathcal{K})$, $H(\mathcal{K})$, $\Pi(\mathcal{K})$ we denote respectively the classes of all subgroupoids, all homomorphic images and all cartesian products of groupoids in \mathcal{K} . By $P(\mathcal{K})$ we denote the image of \mathcal{K} under P while $[\mathcal{K}]^0$ will denote the closure of \mathcal{K} under $(\)^0$. Let S_0, S_+, G_0, G_- be the groupoids on the two element set $\{a, b\}$ defined as follows:

S_0 is the two element semilattice

S_+ is the semigroup satisfying $xy = x'$ identically,

G_0 is the groupoid with: $a^2 = b, b^2 = a, ab = ba = a$

G_- is the groupoid with: $a^2 = b, b^2 = a, ab = a, ba = b$.

As an application of Theorems 1, 2 we now have

THEOREM 4. *The four varieties (1)–(4) of Theorem 1 are respectively given by: $HS\Pi P \Pi P([G_+]^0)$, $HS\Pi P \Pi P([G_0]^0)$, $HS\Pi P \Pi P([S_+]^0)$, $HS\Pi P \Pi P(S_0)$.*

PROOF. We need Corollary 2 of Shafaat (to appear) which states that $HS\Pi P \Pi P(\mathcal{K})$ is the P -closed variety generated by \mathcal{K} . Also, it is easily verified that $HS\Pi P([[\mathcal{K}]^0])$ is the $(\)^0$ -closed variety generated by \mathcal{K} and hence that $\mathcal{V} = HS\Pi P \Pi P([G_+]^0)$ is the P^0 -closed variety generated by the groupoid G_+ . By Theorem 1, \mathcal{V} must be one of the four varieties (1)–(4). Since, however, G_- is neither associative nor commutative therefore \mathcal{V} must be the variety (1) of all groupoids. The proof for the other varieties is similar.

Finally I should like to thank Professor W. S. Hatcher for bringing Theorem 3 to my notice.

References

- M. N. Bleicher, H. Schneider and R. L. Wilson (1973), 'Permanence of identities on algebras', *Algebra Universalis* **3**, 72–93.
- P. M. Cohn (1965), *Universal Algebra* (Harper and Row, New York, 1965).
- S. Whitney (to appear), Model classes of linear theories.
- A. Shafaat (to appear), Power algebras of free algebras.

Département de Mathématiques
Faculté des Sciences
Université Laval
Québec G1K 7P4
Canada.