P85. Denote by $f_{1}(n)$ the number of Abelian groups of order $n$ and by $f_{2}(n)$ the number of semi-simple rings of order n (see I. G. Connell, this Bulletin 7(1964), 23-34. Prove that
$\overline{\operatorname{Lim}} \log f_{i}(n) /(\log n / \log \log n)=k_{i}, \quad i=1,2$, and determine the constants $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

P. Erdős

## SOLUTIONS

P6. (Conjecture). If $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots$ is a sequence of positive integers with $a_{n} / a_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$, and if for every $d$, every residue class (mod d) is representable as the sum of distinct $a^{\prime} s$, then at most a finite number of positive integers are not representable as the sum of distinct $a^{\prime} s$.
P. Erdős

In its present generality the conjecture is false; this is shown by an example due to J.W.S. Cassels, On the representation of integers as the sums of distinct summands taken from a fixed set, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged 21(1960), 111-124 (Math. Rev. 24(1962), A103). See also P. Erdős, On the representation of large integers as sums of distinct summands taken from a fixed set, Acta Arith. 7(1961/62), 345-354 (Math. Rev. 26(1963), no. 2387).

P 27. Prove that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \mid F_{n} \\ d>1}} d^{-1 / 2}<\infty, \quad F_{n}=2^{2^{n}}+1
$$

P. Erdős

## Partial solution by the proposer.

We outline the proof of
(1) $S=\sum_{d \mid F_{n}} d^{-1 / 2}<c / n$;
d $>1$
this is not strong enough to solve the original problem.
(I probably made a mistake in estimating (1) when I posed the problem.) If $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathrm{p})$ then the exponent of $2 \bmod \mathrm{p}$ is $2^{n+1}$, and therefore $p \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{n+1}\right)$. If the prime factors of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are $\mathrm{q}_{1}<\mathrm{q}_{2}<\ldots$, then a straightforward application of Braun's method gives
(2) $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}>\mathrm{C}_{1} 2^{\mathrm{n+1}} \mathrm{i} \log \mathrm{i}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { whence } S<\prod_{i \leq 2^{n} / n}\left(1+p_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
<\exp \left(\Sigma p_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)<2 \Sigma 2^{n} / n \quad p_{i}^{-1 / 2} \\
<2.2^{-(n+1) / 2} \quad \Sigma \quad\left(C_{1} i \log i\right)^{-1 / 2}<c / n \\
i<2^{n} / n
\end{gathered}
$$

which is (1). It is easy to see that (1) implies

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \mid F_{n} \\ d>1}} d^{-1 / 2}(\log \log d)^{-1-\epsilon}<\infty
$$

for all $\epsilon>0$.

P 46. Given infinitely many points in the plane such that
(a) the distance between any two of them is greater than 1 ,
(b) for infinitely many $n$, there are more than $\mathrm{cn}^{2}$ points in the circle $|z| \Gamma n$.

Show that for any $\epsilon>0$ there is a line through the origin which comes closer than $\epsilon$ to infinitely many of the points.
P. Erdős

Solution by the proposer.
A simple argument shows that our second condition implies the existence of a sequence $n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots, n_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, so that the number of our points $z_{i}$ satisfying $n_{k}<\left|z_{i}\right|<n_{k}+\frac{1}{2}$ is greater than $c_{1} n_{k}\left(c_{1}\right.$ is an absolute constant which depends only on c).

Project the points $z_{i}$ onto the circle $|z|=n_{k}$. Thus we obtain the points $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{k})}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \ell_{\mathrm{k}}, \ell_{\mathrm{k}} \geq \mathrm{c}_{1} n_{k}$. Clearly, the distance between any two $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ is at least $\frac{1}{2}$. Denote now by $S_{k}(\epsilon)$ the set of those points on the circle $|z|=n_{k}$ whose distance from at least one of the points $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ is less than $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Clearly for $\epsilon$ small enough $S_{k}(\epsilon)$ consists of $\ell_{k}$ disjoint arcs of length $>\epsilon$. Thus the measure of $S_{k}(\epsilon)$ is greater than $c_{1} \in n_{k}$. Project finally $S_{k}(\epsilon)$ onto the unit circle. This gives a set $E_{k}(\epsilon)$ on the unit circle of measure $>c_{1} \epsilon$. Therefore by a well known theorem in measure theory there is a point $z,|z|=1$ which is contained in infinitely many $E_{k}(\epsilon)$.

By our construction it is evident that the line connecting $z$ with the origin comes closer than $\epsilon$ to infinitely many of our points $z_{i}$.

I would like to make three remarks.

1. Since I can not give a reference to "the well known theorem" I give the proof. Put
$F_{k}(\epsilon)=\bigcup_{\ell>k} E_{k}(\epsilon)$.
Clearly $F_{k+1}(\epsilon) \subset F_{k}(\epsilon)$ and each $F_{k}(\epsilon)$ has measure $>c_{1} \epsilon$. Since by a well known theorem of Lebesgue a descending sequence of bounded sets of measure $>\alpha$ have a non-empty intersection, our theorem is proved.
2. The theorem is best possible in the following sense: Let $f(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as slowly as we wish. Then there is a set of points $z_{k}$ any two of which have distance $>1$, the number of $\left|z_{k}\right|<n$ is $>\frac{c^{2}}{f(n)}$ for every $n$, and nevertheless if $L$ is any line then for every $A$ there are only a finite number of $z^{\prime} s$ at distance < A from $L$.

The construction is very simple. Let $g(n) / n \rightarrow 0$ but sufficiently slowly. Let the $z^{\prime} s$ be the points $(2 u, 2 v)$ where

$$
\log 2 u<2 v<\frac{2 u}{g(2 u)}
$$

A simple argument shows that the $z^{\prime}$ s have all the required properties.
3. I now prove that the assumption of $P 46$ implies that there is a line through the origin $L$ so that for every $\epsilon$ there are infinitely many $z_{i}$ closer than $\epsilon$ to $L$.

In view of the proof of $P 46$ it will suffice to show the following.

LEMMA. Let $n_{1}<n_{22}<\cdots$ be an infinite sequence of numbers tending to infinity. Let $w_{i}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i \leq \ell_{k}, \ell_{k} \geq c_{1} n_{k}$ be a sequence of points on $|z|=1$ satisfying

$$
\left|w_{i_{1}}^{(k)}-w_{i_{2}}^{(k)}\right|>\frac{1}{2 n_{k}}
$$

Denote by $S_{\epsilon}(k)$ the set of points on $|z|=1$ for which at least one ${ }_{\mathrm{w}}^{\mathrm{i} \ell}{ }^{(\mathrm{k})}$ satisfies $\left|\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{k})}\right|<\epsilon / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (then $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{S})$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $S$ ):
(1) $\quad \mathrm{m}\left(\bigcup_{\ell>\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{S}_{\epsilon}(\ell)\right) \geq \mathrm{c}_{1}$.

To see this it will suffice to show that to every $\eta>0$ there is a $K=K(\eta)$ so that
(2) $\quad \mathrm{m}\left(\bigcup_{k<\ell \leq K} \mathrm{~S}_{\epsilon}(\ell)\right)>c_{1}-\eta$.

If (2) would be false put
(3) $\quad \lim _{K=\infty} m\left(\bigcup_{k<\ell<K}\left(S_{\epsilon}(\ell)\right)=D<c_{1}\right.$.
$K=\infty \quad k<l \leq K$
Then if $K=K(\eta)$ is large enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}\left(\bigcup_{k<\ell \leq K} S_{\epsilon}(\ell)>D-\eta\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $S_{G}(\ell)$ consists of a finite number of disjoint arcs the sum of whose lengths is between $D-\eta$ and $D$. Let now $n_{r}$ be very large (compared to $n_{K}$ of (4)). By assumption there are at least $c_{1} n_{r}$ points $w_{i}^{(r)}$, and since $n_{r}$ is large compared to $n_{K}$ a simple argument shows that there are less than $D n_{r}$ of the $w_{i}^{(r)}$ in $\bigcup_{k<\ell \leq K} S_{\in}(\ell)$. But then there are at least $\left(c_{1}-D\right) n_{r}$ points $\underset{i}{(\bar{r})}$ not in $\bigcup_{k<l<K} S_{\epsilon}(\ell)$ and therefore the measure of those points in $S_{\epsilon}(r)$ which is not contained in $\underset{k<l<K}{\bigcup} S_{\epsilon}(l)$ is greater than $\left(c_{1}-D\right) \in / 2$. Thus by (4)

$$
\mathrm{m}\left(\bigcup_{k<l \leq r} S_{\epsilon}(\ell)\right)>D-\eta+\left(c_{1}-D\right) \epsilon / 2>D
$$

if $\eta=\eta(\epsilon)$ is sufficiently small. But this contradicts (3) and hence our Lemma is proved.

I was led to P 46 by problem 93 , p. 39 of the book of Hadwiger and Debrunner "Combinatorial Geometry of the Plane".

P74. Let $f(n)$ denote the number of (associative) rings with $n$ elements. Show that $f$ is multiplicative, that is, if the g.c.d. $(m, n)=1$, then $f(m n)=f(m) f(n)$. Similarly if $f$ enumerates the rings with 1 , the commutative rings, or the commutative rings with 1.

## I. G. Connell, McGill University

## Solution by H. Gonshor, Rutgers University.

Let us first consider the case of Abelian groups. Then if $G$ is a group of order $m$ and $H$ is a group of order $n, G \oplus H$ is a group of order mn . Thus the direct sum operation maps pairs of groups of orders $m$ and $n$ onto groups of order mn. Since $m$ is prime to $n$ it is clear from the fundamental theorem for finite Abelian groups that this map is onto. Furthermore if $K=G \oplus H$ where $G$ is of order $m$ and $H$ is of order $N$ then $G$ is exactly the set of all elements of $K$ whose order divides $m$. Thus $K$ determines $G$ and $H$ uniquely, hence the mapping is one-one. This shows that $f$ is multiplicative.

The extension to various types of rings is essentially a corollary. The direct sum operation maps pairs of rings of orders $m$ and $n$ into rings of order $m n$. The one-oneness is a fortiori true (modulo logical quibling, i. e. one should think in terms of the internal direct sum). To prove that the map is onto we consider a ring of order mn and express it as a direct sum of groups of order $m$ and $n$. It suffices to show that the components are ideals. Let $K=G \oplus H$. By symmetry it is enough to show that $G$ is a right ideal. This is trivial in view of the above characterization of $G$, for $m a=0 \Rightarrow m(a x)$ $=(m a) x=0$. Thus $a \in G \Rightarrow a x \in G$.

Now in order to complete the solution to the problem as stated one need only remark that the properties of commutativity, associativity, and possession of an identity are preserved by taking direct sums and direct summands. (Incidentally this takes care of 8 possible cases rather than the 4 listed in the problem.)

