

Simulations of a low frequency beam-cyclotron instability in a dusty plasma

M. Rosenberg^{1,†}, K. Quest¹ and B. Kercher¹

¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

(Received 11 June 2018; revised 31 October 2018; accepted 5 November 2018)

The nonlinear development of a low frequency beam-cyclotron instability in a collisional plasma composed of magnetized ions and electrons and unmagnetized, negatively charged dust is investigated using one-dimensional particle-in-cell simulations. Collisions of charged particles with neutrals are taken into account via a Langevin operator. The instability, which is driven by an ion $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift, excites a quasi-discrete wavenumber spectrum of waves that propagate perpendicular to the magnetic field with frequency of the order of the dust plasma frequency. In the linear regime, the unstable wavelengths are of the order of the ion gyroradius. As the wave energy density increases, the dominant modes shift to longer wavelengths, suggesting a transition to a Hall-current-type instability. Parameters are considered that reflect the ordering of plasma and dust quantities in laboratory dusty plasmas with high magnetic field. Comparison with the nonlinear development of this beam cyclotron instability in a collisionless dusty plasma is also briefly discussed.

Key words: dusty plasmas, plasma instabilities, plasma simulation

1. Introduction

Dusty plasmas are plasmas containing solid, charged particles of micron to sub-micron size. Typically in low temperature plasmas, where the dominant charging process is the collection of electrons and ions, the dust is negatively charged owing to the higher mobility of the electrons. The physics of dusty plasmas has application to a wide range of space and astrophysical environments as well as various laboratory plasmas. In many of these environments, the plasma is immersed in a magnetic field. While most laboratory experiments on dusty plasmas have been performed without magnetic fields, there have been a number of experimental investigations on the dynamics of the dust in magnetized plasmas (e.g. Konopka *et al.* 2000; Kaw *et al.* 2002; Samsonov *et al.* 2003; Schulze *et al.* 2007; D'yachkov, Petrov & Fortov 2009; Karasev *et al.* 2009). More recently, new dusty plasma devices with high magnetic field have been developed, such as the Magnetized Dusty Plasma Experiment (MDPX) at Auburn University, designed to investigate the properties of a dusty plasma under conditions where some or all of the charged particle species are magnetized (Thomas, Merlino & Rosenberg 2012).

†Email address for correspondence: rosenber@ece.ucsd.edu

In a device such as MDPX, there can be a component of electric field \mathbf{E} perpendicular to the magnetic field \mathbf{B} , which, for example, electrostatically confines the dust (see Thomas *et al.* 2014). Motivated by this, we consider a possible instability that may occur under conditions where the ions (and electrons) are magnetized and acquire $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drifts relative to the dust which is unmagnetized. In this case, theories have shown that an ion $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift, U_{\perp} , could excite low frequency dust-acoustic-type waves (e.g. Shukla, Salimullah & Sorasio 2002; D'Angelo 2003; Rosenberg & Shukla 2007; Rosenberg 2014). In this paper we focus on a particular type of ion cross-field drift driven instability, namely, a beam-cyclotron-type instability for purely perpendicular propagation (Rosenberg 2014). This instability excites a spectrum of dust waves at quasi-discrete wavenumbers where roughly $k_{\perp}U_{\perp} \sim m\omega_{ci}$, where k_{\perp} is the perpendicular wavenumber, m is an integer and ω_{ci} is the positive ion gyrofrequency. The instability is a low frequency analogue of the 'standard' beam-cyclotron instability in a plasma containing magnetized electrons and unmagnetized ions (e.g. Gary & Sanderson 1970; Lampe *et al.* 1971, 1972). In the standard beam-cyclotron instability, an electron $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift excites ion waves at discrete wavenumbers where $k_{\perp}U_{\perp} \sim m\omega_{ce}$, where ω_{ce} is the electron gyrofrequency. The standard beam-cyclotron instability has relevance to Hall thrusters, where the instability has been referred to as a high frequency or $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ electron drift instability (e.g. Adam, Heron & Laval 2004; Ducrocq *et al.* 2006; Cavalier *et al.* 2011, 2013; Boeuf 2017). For the low frequency analogue instability in a dusty plasma, where the ion $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift excites dust waves at quasi-discrete wavenumbers, collisional effects are generally significant and can affect the linear growth rates and nonlinear development of the instability.

Section 2 discusses the dispersion relation for the linear instability, and our set of reduced parameters for the numerical simulations. Section 3 gives the simulation approach and presents the results of the numerical simulations. Most of this section focuses on simulations in a collisional plasma, but a brief comparison with the collisionless case is also discussed. Section 4 gives a summary and discussion.

2. Dispersion relation and parameters

2.1. Linear dispersion relation

The model plasma is composed of singly charged positive ions, negatively charged dust of uniform radius R (thus uniform mass and charge) and neutrals. The condition of equilibrium charge neutrality is $n_i = n_e + Z_d n_d$, where n_{α} is the number density of species α , with the subscripts i, e, d and n referring to ions, electrons, dust and neutrals, respectively, and Z_d is the charge state of the dust.

We consider a slab geometry, with an external magnetic field \mathbf{B} in the z direction, and an electric field \mathbf{E} in the y direction. The ions and electrons are magnetized and have an $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift, $U_{\perp} = (cE/B)\mathbf{x}$. This is the laboratory frame that will be used for the simulations. It is assumed that the dust collision frequency is much larger than the dust gyrofrequency so that the dust grains are unmagnetized. We will assume that the ratio of the ion plasma frequency to the ion gyrofrequency is somewhat greater than one.

To obtain an analytic expression for the growth rate for the dust beam-cyclotron instability, we consider the reference frame where the ions and electrons are stationary and the dust moves in the x -direction with speed U_{\perp} . In this frame, one can view the instability as a dust beam exciting ion Bernstein waves with frequencies near harmonics of the ion gyrofrequency ω_{ci} . (Note that in the laboratory or simulation

frame, the ion drift excites dust waves; the ion Bernstein waves are Doppler shifted to low frequency by the ion drift and can couple to dust-acoustic-type waves.) We use Maxwellians for the electron and ion distribution functions and a shifted Maxwellian for the dust, and model collisions roughly using a number conserving Krook collision term (see e.g. Opher, Morales & Leboeuf 2002). Then the linear dispersion relation for electrostatic waves with wavevector $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, 0, k_z)$ is given by (e.g. Kindel & Kennel 1971; Ossakow *et al.* 1975; Alexandrov, Bogdankevich & Rukhadze 1984; Rosenberg 2010)

$$1 + \chi_e + \chi_i + \chi_d = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\chi_j = \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{Dj}^2} \left[1 + \sum_{s=-\infty}^{+\infty} \zeta_{j,0} Z(\zeta_{j,s}) \Gamma_s(b_j) \right] \left[1 + \sum_{s=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{iv_j}{\sqrt{2}k_z v_j} \Gamma_s(b_j) Z(\zeta_{j,s}) \right]^{-1}, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$\chi_d = \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{Dd}^2} [1 + \zeta_d Z(\zeta_d)] \left[1 + \frac{iv_d}{\sqrt{2}k v_d} Z(\zeta_d) \right]^{-1}. \tag{2.2b}$$

Here $\lambda_{D\alpha} = (T_j/4\pi n_\alpha Z_\alpha^2 e^2)^{1/2}$ is the Debye length of species α , Z is the plasma dispersion function (Fried & Conte 1961), the subscript $j = e, i$ and

$$\zeta_{j,s} = \frac{\omega + iv_j - s\omega_{cj}}{\sqrt{2}k_z v_j}, \tag{2.3a}$$

$$\zeta_d = \frac{\omega - k_x U_\perp + iv_d}{\sqrt{2}k v_d}. \tag{2.3b}$$

Here $v_\alpha = (T_\alpha/m_\alpha)^{1/2}$ is the thermal speed, $\rho_j = v_j/\omega_{cj}$ is the gyroradius of particle species j , $b_j = k_x^2 \rho_j^2$, and $\Gamma_s(b_j) = I_s(b_j) \exp(-b_j)$, with I_s the modified Bessel function of order s , and ν_α denotes the collision rates of charged particles with neutrals. In the following we consider perpendicular propagation with $k_z = 0$. Therefore, $k = k_x$.

Because $\omega \ll \omega_{ce}$, we retain only the zeroth-order harmonic for the electrons. With $b_e \ll 1$, the electron susceptibility becomes

$$\chi_e = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}^2} \left(1 + \frac{iv_e}{\omega} \right). \tag{2.4}$$

Because we are considering parameters where ω_{pi}/ω_{ci} is of the order of a few, we neglect χ_e in the following, which is reasonable as long as $v_e/\omega_{ce} \ll (\omega_{ci}/\omega_{pi})^2 (n_i/n_e)$, which would generally be satisfied in order for the electrons to be magnetized.

The ion susceptibility is

$$\chi_i = \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{Di}^2} \left[1 - \Gamma_0(b_i) - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\bar{\omega}^2 \Gamma_s(b_i)}{\bar{\omega}^2 - s^2} \right] \left[1 - \frac{iv_i \Gamma_0(b_i)}{\bar{\omega}} - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\bar{\omega} iv_i \Gamma_s(b_i)}{\bar{\omega}^2 - s^2} \right]^{-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Here $\bar{\omega} = (\omega + iv_i)/\omega_{ci}$. In the limit that $k\rho_i > 1$, we take $\Gamma_s(b_i) \sim 1/\sqrt{2\pi b_i}$ as a rough approximation. Using the following sum identity for the cotangent (Gradshteyn & Ryzhik 1980):

$$\pi \cot(\pi z) = \frac{1}{z} + 2z \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^2 - s^2}, \tag{2.6}$$

we approximate (2.5) as

$$\chi_i \approx \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{Di}^2} \left[1 - \frac{\pi \bar{\omega} \cot(\pi \bar{\omega})}{\sqrt{2\pi b_i}} \right] \left[1 - \frac{\pi i \bar{v}_i \cot(\pi \bar{\omega})}{\sqrt{2\pi b_i}} \right]^{-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

Here $\bar{v}_i = v_i / \omega_{ci}$ is the inverse of the ion Hall parameter. (See also Wong (1970) and Lampe *et al.* (1972) for the magnetized electron susceptibility in an analysis of the beam-cyclotron instability in a collisionless electron-ion plasma.)

For cold dust, the dust susceptibility is

$$\chi_d = - \frac{\omega_{pd}^2}{(\omega - kU_{\perp})(\omega - kU_{\perp} + i\nu_d)}. \tag{2.8}$$

We neglect dust collisions in the following analysis, assuming ν_d is much smaller than the maximum growth rate of the instability.

A resonance occurs when the real frequency $\omega_r \sim m\omega_{ci}$ and the Doppler-shifted frequency, $|\omega_r - kU_{\perp}|$, is in the dust-acoustic frequency regime, and leads to enhanced growth of dust waves with a growth rate γ . We take $\bar{\omega} \sim m + i\bar{v}_i + i\bar{\gamma}$, where $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma / \omega_{ci}$. Assuming $(\bar{v}_i + \bar{\gamma})\pi < 1$, the ion susceptibility becomes very roughly

$$\chi_i \approx \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{Di}^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_i}} \frac{im(\bar{v}_i + \bar{\gamma})}{(\bar{v}_i + \bar{\gamma})^2} \right]. \tag{2.9}$$

For a collisional dusty plasma, typically $v_i \gg \gamma$ since growth rates are in the dust plasma frequency range. Using (2.8) and (2.9) in (2.1) yields the approximate dispersion relation

$$1 - \frac{\omega_{pd}^2}{(\omega - kU_{\perp})^2} + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{Di}^2} \left[1 + \frac{im}{\sqrt{2\pi b_i \bar{v}_i}} \right] \approx 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Taking $\omega = \omega_r + i\gamma$, with $\gamma < |\omega_r - kU_{\perp}|$ yields roughly

$$\omega_r - kU_{\perp} \approx - \frac{\omega_{pd} k \lambda_{Di}}{(1 + k^2 \lambda_{Di}^2)^{1/2}}, \tag{2.11a}$$

$$\gamma \approx \frac{m\omega_{ci}}{v_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi b_i}} \frac{\omega_{pd} k \lambda_{Di}}{(1 + k^2 \lambda_{Di}^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{2.11b}$$

It can be seen that (2.11a) is the dispersion for a Doppler-shifted dust-acoustic wave, while (2.11b) shows that the growth rate increases as the ion Hall parameter increases.

The dispersion relation (2.1) admits a Hall-current-type instability in the long wavelength limit where $b_i \ll 1$ and $\omega_r \sim kU_{\perp} \ll \omega_{ci}$. In this case, the ion susceptibility has the form of (2.4) with the replacement of the subscripts $e \rightarrow i$. Thus the dispersion relation, again assuming cold dust, becomes approximately

$$1 + \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} \left(1 + \frac{i\nu_i}{\omega} \right) - \frac{\omega_{pd}^2}{(\omega - kU_{\perp})^2} \approx 0. \tag{2.12}$$

In the limit that $(\omega_{pi} / \omega_{ci})^2 \gg 1$, this yields for the Doppler-shifted frequency and growth rate (see also Rosenberg 2016):

$$|\omega_r - kU_{\perp}| \sim \omega_{pd} \frac{\omega_{ci}}{\omega_{pi}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + C^2} + 1}{2(1 + C^2)} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.13a}$$

P	50 mTorr
B	0.5 T
n_i	$5 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
m_i	$40m_p$
T_e	3 eV
T_i	0.03 eV
R	0.1 μm
Z_d	400
n_d	$5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
m_d	$5 \times 10^9 m_p$
T_d	$T_e/6 \sim 0.5 \text{ eV}$
λ_{Di}	$\sim 58 \mu\text{m}$
ρ_i	$\sim 0.22 \text{ mm}$

TABLE 1. ‘Nominal’ parameters.

$$\gamma \sim |\omega_r - kU_{\perp}| \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + C^2} - 1}{\sqrt{1 + C^2} + 1} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.13b}$$

where $C = v_i/kU_{\perp}$. Including the dust collision rate reduces the growth rate in (2.13b) by a factor of approximately $v_d/2$.

2.2. Parameters

We consider a set of nominal parameters which may be possible in MDPX shown in table 1 for an argon plasma containing dust of uniform radius R . Here, P is the argon gas pressure, n_{α} , m_{α} , T_{α} , $\lambda_{D\alpha}$ and ρ_{α} are the density, mass, temperature, Debye length and gyroradius of species α , with $\alpha = e, i, d$ corresponding to electrons, ions and negatively charged dust, respectively, Z_d is the dust charge state and m_p is the proton mass. With these parameters, the ratio of the ion plasma frequency to the ion gyrofrequency is $\beta_i = \omega_{pi}/\omega_{ci} \sim 2/B_T$ where B_T is the magnetic field strength in units of 1 T. Taking the ion collision rate with neutrals to be $\nu_i \sim \sigma_{in}n_n v_i$, where n_n is the neutral density, $v_i = (T_i/m_i)^{1/2}$ and $\sigma_{in} \sim 5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$, we have that $\nu_i/\omega_{pi} \sim 0.05$. Then the ion Hall parameter, $H_i \sim 10B_T$. With $B = 0.5T$, $H_i \sim 5$, so the ions are magnetized and the electrons are strongly magnetized. On the other hand, the dust would be unmagnetized. Taking the dust–neutral collision rate to be $\nu_d \sim (4\sqrt{8\pi}/3)R^2n_n v_n(m_n/m_d) \sim 240 \text{ s}^{-1}$, we find this is much larger than the dust gyrofrequency $\omega_{cd} \sim 3.8 \text{ rad s}^{-1}$. The dust is also ‘warm’, in the sense that the ratio of the dust-acoustic speed, $c_d = \lambda_{Di}\omega_{pd}$ to the dust thermal speed $v_d = (T_d/m_d)^{1/2}$, $c_d/v_d \sim \sqrt{10}$, is not $\gg 1$. We will consider the case where the perpendicular ion drift $U_{\perp i}$, which is due to an $E \times B$ drift, is equal to the ion thermal speed v_i . For the above parameters, this implies that the electric field perpendicular to B is approximately $E_{\perp} \sim 1.3 \text{ V cm}^{-1}$, which appears reasonable for a low temperature rf or dc dusty plasma.

Because it is time consuming to run a simulation with the possible laboratory parameters shown in table 1, we consider whether we can model the linearly unstable spectrum with a ‘reduced’ set of dimensionless parameters. These are given in table 2, along with the dimensionless parameters corresponding to the ‘nominal’ parameters in table 1. Here θ is the angle between \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{B} , which is 90° for purely

	'Nominal'	'Reduced'
T_e/T_i	100	100
T_e/T_d	6	100
Z_d	400	25
n_d/n_i	1×10^{-3}	1.6×10^{-2}
m_i/m_p	40	1
m_d/m_p	5×10^9	4×10^5
ω_{pi}/ω_{ci}	4	4
v_i/ω_{pi}	0.05	0.05
v_d/ω_{pd}	0.045	0.045
ω_{pd}/ω_{pi}	0.001	0.005
$U_{\perp i}/v_i$	1	1
θ	90°	90°

TABLE 2. Dimensionless parameters.

perpendicular propagation. For both the nominal and reduced parameters, we have that $Z_d n_d/n_i = 0.4$; in addition the ratio of the dust-acoustic speed to the dust thermal speed, $c_d/v_d \sim \omega_{pd} \lambda_{Di}/v_d \approx \sqrt{10}$. The normalized ion and dust collision rates, and the ion Hall parameter $H_i = \omega_{ci}/v_i = 5$ are the same for both parameter sets. The ratio $\omega_{pd}/\omega_{pi} \ll 1$ for both sets of parameters, and the ordering $\omega_{pd} \ll v_i \ll \omega_{pi}$ holds for both sets as well.

Figure 1 shows the solution of (2.1) for both sets of dimensionless parameters in table 2 in the frame where the dust is stationary and the ions have an $E \times B$ drift, $U_{\perp i} = v_i$. The solid curves correspond to the nominal parameters, while the dotted curves correspond to the reduced parameters. (For the nominal parameters, the electrons have an $E \times B$ drift, $U_{\perp e} = 1.04v_i$ and collisions with neutrals are included with a rate $v_e/\omega_{pi} = 14$. For the reduced parameters, the electrons have $U_{\perp e} = 0$ and $v_e = 0$. The electron susceptibility is basically negligible, since for purely propagation it is proportional to $\omega_{pe}^2/\omega_{ce}^2$ which is $<10^{-2}$ for both sets of parameters.) The peaks in the growth rate at wavenumbers satisfying the resonance condition $kU_{\perp, i} \sim m\omega_{ci}$ correspond to the dust beam-cyclotron instability, while the much smaller peak at small k corresponds to the Hall-current instability. It should be noted that ion collisional effects lead to a broadening of the peaks in the growth rate of the beam-cyclotron instability, and a reduction in the magnitude of the growth rate (see (2.11b)). On the other hand, the growth rate of the Hall-current instability (2.12) can increase with the ion collision frequency.

Because the linearly unstable spectra in figure 1 for the nominal and reduced parameter sets are nearly the same, we use the reduced parameters in table 2 for the simulations.

We note that when the dust temperature is large, it appears that only the Hall-current instability may persist. Figure 2 shows the solution of (2.1) for $U_{\perp i}/v_i = 1$ with the reduced parameters in table 2, but with a dust temperature $T_d = 20T_i$.

3. Simulations

3.1. Approach

The simulations results described below were performed with a one-dimensional electrostatic particle-in-cell (PIC) code with periodic boundary conditions including a




FIGURE 1. Solution of the kinetic dispersion relation (2.1), for parameters in table 2. Real frequency for nominal parameters (blue solid curve) and reduced parameters (cyan dotted curve). Growth rate for nominal parameters (solid red curve) and reduced parameters (dotted magenta curve).




FIGURE 2. Solution of the kinetic dispersion relation (2.1), for reduced parameters in table 2, but with $T_d = 20T_i$. Real frequency (cyan curve) and growth rate (magenta curve).

constant electric field \mathbf{E} in the y direction and a constant magnetic field \mathbf{B} in the z direction. The plasma species in the PIC simulation consists of singly charged ions that execute an $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift, $\mathbf{U}_{\perp i}$ in the x direction and multiply charged, heavy dust grains that are stationary in the simulation reference frame at time = 0. The electrons are modelled as an immobile charge neutralizing fluid, consistent with the arguments used to ignore χ_e in the discussion on linear theory.

The ions and dust are treated as particles, and both are assumed to collide with the neutral background with a collision frequency ν_i for the ions and ν_d for the dust. Both species are modelled as drifting Maxwellians, and a Langevin scattering operator with a constant collision frequency is used to model the collisional effects (Gillespie 1993). Given the desired ion drift $\mathbf{U}_{\perp i}$, the z -directed magnetic field B , and the collision frequency ν_i , the required y -directed electric field E calculated to be

$$\mathbf{E} = \left[1 + \frac{\nu_i^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} \right] \frac{\mathbf{U}_{\perp i} \mathbf{B}}{c}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $v_i^2/\omega_{ci}^2 \ll 1$ will be assumed throughout, the ions execute an approximate $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift.

Once the ion and dust charge densities are calculated at each time step, the Poisson equation can be solved using a standard spectral method (Birdsall & Langdon 1985) to obtain the self-consistent wave potential ϕ .

Quantities in the simulation are normalized to ion quantities at the beginning of the simulation, but with the temperature given by the neutral temperature T_n which is assumed constant. It is assumed that the initial ion temperature at $t = 0$ is $T_{i0} = T_n$. Thus, temperatures and drift speeds are normalized to T_{i0} and the associated initial ion thermal speed $v_{i0} = (T_{i0}/m_i)^{1/2}$. Length scales are normalized to the ion Debye length λ_{Di0} evaluated at T_{i0} and the initial ion density n_{i0} . For the parameters we are using, with $\omega_{pi}/\omega_{ci} = 4$, the ion gyroradius is related to the ion Debye length via $\rho_i = 4\lambda_{Di}$. In addition, ρ_{i0} is the ion gyroradius evaluated at T_{i0} . The time scales are normalized to the ion plasma frequency ω_{pi} evaluated with n_{i0} . The wave electrostatic potential energy $e\phi$ is normalized to T_{i0} (in energy units), and the wave electric field \tilde{E} is normalized to $E_r = T_{i0}/e\lambda_{Di0}$. The magnetic field is normalized to $B_r = \omega_{pi}m_i c/e$. Put differently, the normalized magnetic field is ω_{ci}/ω_{pi} . For both runs, the system length is $512\lambda_{i0}$ and is divided into 512 computational cells. To reduce the numerical noise, the ions and dust are modelled assuming approximately 8 million macro-particles for each species. Finally, it is worth noting that the assumption of $\omega_{pd}/\omega_{pi} = 0.005$ in the reduced parameter set (table 2) allows for a reduction in run time by a factor of 5 compared to the nominal value of 0.001.

3.2. Results

Collisional case

Figure 3 shows the wave energy density versus time for a simulation run with initial conditions corresponding to the parameters in table 2. As can be seen, there appears to be at least two phases in the nonlinear development: there is a faster linear growth phase from $t\omega_{pi} \sim 3000-7000$ which saturates at moderate wave energy density, and then a slower linear growth phase from $t\omega_{pi} \sim (1.7-2.3) \times 10^4$ which saturates at a wave energy density which is nearly an order of magnitude larger than that achieved in the first phase. Figure 4 shows the ion and dust temperatures, T_i and T_d , respectively, as a function of time. These temperatures are computed by first calculating the x -directed kinetic energy density and bulk momentum density for each species as a function of position. From there the pressure in each cell can be obtained, and then the temperature. The results shown in figure 4 are the averages at a given time over all cells. While not shown, there is little change in the ion average velocity in the x -direction.

As can be seen, T_i remains fairly constant, while T_d increases by a factor of approximately 4–5 at saturation of the first phase of the nonlinear development. Then T_d decreases slightly, remaining approximately 3–4 times larger than the initial dust temperature, until about $t\omega_{pi} \sim 2 \times 10^4$ where it then increases up to about 50 times the initial dust temperature at saturation of the second phase in the nonlinear development. It should be noted that as T_d increases while T_i remains constant, the dust-acoustic speed $c_{sd} \sim \omega_{pd}\lambda_{Di}$ can become comparable or even smaller than the dust thermal speed, which implies that the usual dust acoustic waves with unmagnetized ions can be heavily damped.

Figures 3 and 4 suggest that, in the first phase, the dust beam cyclotron instability is excited, with a faster growth rate. Then, owing to an increase in dust temperature,




FIGURE 3. Average wave electric field energy density versus time for the collisional case simulation.




FIGURE 4. Temperature versus time for collisional case simulation: (a) ion temperature, (b) dust temperature.

the Hall-current instability becomes more dominant and is excited with a slower growth rate, saturating as T_d gets sufficiently large. The power in the different modes at different times seem to corroborate this general picture. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the wave power as a function of $k\rho_i$ at two different times. The power $|E_k|^2$ is normalized such that the sum over all k modes is equal to $|\bar{E}^2/E_r^2| \times 512$. Figure 5(a) shows that the dominant modes at a time during the first growth phase




FIGURE 5. Power in waves as a function of $k\rho_{i0}$, for collisional case, at time (a) $t\omega_{pi} = 5500$ (b) $t\omega_{pi} = 2 \times 10^4$.

(at $t\omega_{pi} = 5500$) seem to be relatively discrete, short wavelength modes, with peaks very roughly in the ranges $k\rho_i \sim 1.5\text{--}1.8$, $k\rho_i \sim 2\text{--}2.5$ and $k\rho_i \sim 2.7\text{--}3.2$. These wavenumber ranges are reasonably consistent with the three dominant peaks in linear growth rate shown in figure 1. The growth rates estimated from the simulations for these wavenumber regimes, in the time interval $t\omega_{pi} = 5000\text{--}6500$, are approximately 1/2 the peak growth rates shown in figure 1, basically an average growth rate over those wavelength intervals. Figure 5(b) shows that the dominant modes in the second growth phase, at $t\omega_{pi} = 2 \times 10^4$, have much longer wavelength, with roughly $k\rho_i \sim 0.1\text{--}0.2$, which corresponds to that for the Hall-current instability in figure 2. The growth rate estimated from the simulation for this wavenumber regime, in the time interval $t\omega_{pi} = 18\,000\text{--}21\,000$, is comparable to the maximum growth rate shown in figure 2.

The above scenario suggests that as T_d increases due to wave trapping, the shorter wavelength modes would be damped by dust Landau damping. The condition for dust to be trapped is (Lampe *et al.* 1971)

$$2Z_d e\phi_{tr} = \frac{m_d}{2} \left(U_d - \frac{\omega_r}{k_{tr}} \right)^2, \tag{3.2}$$

where k_{tr} is the wavenumber of the mode that does the trapping. For $U_d = 0$, and taking $\omega \sim k\lambda_{Di}\omega_{pd}$, this condition can be written as

$$\frac{e\phi_{tr}}{T_{i0}} \sim \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z_d n_d}{n_i}. \tag{3.3}$$

For the parameters we are using, this implies that dust trapping could occur for $e\phi/T_{i0} \sim 0.1$. However, dust–neutral collisions may lead to some de-trapping. The




FIGURE 6. Phase space plots and spatial structure of the wave potential for two different times in the collisional case simulation: $t\omega_{pi} = 7000$ (a–c), and $t\omega_{pi} = 22\,000$ (d–f). The ion phase space plots are shown in (a,d), the dust phase space plots in the middle row and the wave potential in (c,f). Note that $\rho_i = 4\lambda_{Di}$ for the parameters used.

effect of dust collisions would be expected to be significant if v_d is comparable to the bounce frequency, v_{bd} , of a dust particle in the wave. Taking $v_{bd} = (Z_d e k^2 \phi / m_d)^{1/2}$ (Nishikawa & Wakatani 1990) we have that

$$\frac{v_{bd}}{\omega_{pd}} \sim \left(\frac{n_i}{Z_d n_d} \right)^{1/2} k \lambda_{Di} \left(\frac{e\phi}{T_{i0}} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, for the reduced parameter set we are using, we have that $v_{bd}/v_d \sim 35k\lambda_{Di} (e\phi/T_{i0})^{1/2}$. Figures 6(a–c) and 6(e–f) show the spatial structure of the wave potential ϕ and the ion and dust phase space plots at two different times, respectively: $t\omega_{pi} = 7000$ which is near saturation of the first growth phase, and $t\omega_{pi} = 22\,000$ which is near saturation of the second growth phase. Taking the dominant mode to be in the range of $k\lambda_{Di} \sim 0.5$ (i.e. $k\rho_i \sim 2$) at time $t\omega_{pi} = 7000$, we estimate that $v_{bd}/v_d \sim 4\text{--}5$ so dust collisions may play a role in de-trapping of the dust. However, as the wave energy decreases during the time interval from approximately $t\omega_{pi} \sim (1\text{--}1.5) \times 10^4$ (see figure 3), dust collisions may play a greater role in de-trapping which may explain why T_d remains relatively constant during this time. At $t\omega_{pi} = 22\,000$, the dominant mode is in the range $k\lambda_{Di} \sim 0.04$ (i.e. $k\rho_i \sim 0.16$), while $|e\phi/T_{i0}| \sim 5$, so $v_{bd}/v_d \sim 2\text{--}3$ and dust collisions may again play a role in de-trapping. As the wave energy continues to increase, T_d increases substantially, to approximately 10–20 times the initial dust temperature, where only the long wavelength Hall-current instability appears to persist. While the concept of ‘temperature’ is very rough for a particle distribution that is not a smooth Maxwellian, as indicated in dust phase space plot in

figure 6(e), this interpretation appears reasonably consistent with linear theory based on solving (2.1) with the reduced parameters in table 2, but with a dust temperature $T_d = 20T_i$, as shown in figure 2.

The ion temperature appears to remain relatively constant throughout the simulation run, which suggests that ion collisions may play a role in de-trapping. For the dust beam-cyclotron instability, in which the unstable wavelengths are of the order of the ion gyroradius, it may be the case that ions can only remain in resonance with the wave for a time scale of the order of ω_{ci}^{-1} , owing to the presence of the magnetic field (see (Forslund, Morse & Nielsen 1971) for the beam-cyclotron instability in an electron-ion plasma). Thus, the linear growth of waves could be modified when ω_{ci}^{-1} is greater than the trapping time of an ion in the wave, which is given by the inverse of the ion bounce frequency, $\nu_{bi}^{-1} \sim (e\phi k^2/m_i)^{-1/2}$ (Forslund *et al.* 1971). This implies that roughly $e\phi/T_{i0} > (k\rho_i)^{-2}$ is required. Estimating this condition at $t\omega_{pi} = 7000$ from figure 6(c), taking the dominant wavenumber to be $k\lambda_{Di} \sim 0.5$ (i.e. $k\rho_i \sim 2$), we find that it may be marginally satisfied at locations where $|\phi|$ is maximal. However, ion collisions can lead to de-trapping. The ratio of the ion bounce frequency in the wave, ν_{bi} , to the ion collision frequency ν_i , is roughly $\nu_{bi}/\nu_i \sim 20k\lambda_{Di}(e\phi/T_{i0})^{1/2}$. Taking $k\lambda_{Di} \sim 0.5$ and $|e\phi/T_{i0}| \sim 0.1$ we find that $\nu_{bi}/\nu_i \sim 2-3$ so that collisions can play a role in de-trapping. During the second growth phase, the wavelength of the dominant waves are much larger than the ion gyroradius, so the ions may become trapped in the wave. However, estimating ν_{bi}/ν_i at $t\omega_{pi} = 22000$ from figure 6(f), taking $k\lambda_{Di} \sim 0.04$ and $|e\phi/T_{i0}| \sim 5$, we find that $\nu_{bi}/\nu_i \sim 2$ so that ion collisions play a role in de-trapping.

Collisionless case

We briefly compare the nonlinear development of the dust beam cyclotron instability in a collisional plasma with that in a collisionless plasma. This is mainly in order to show differences in the wave spectra, and to make connection with prior work on the development of the beam-cyclotron instability in a plasma with magnetized electrons and unmagnetized ions (e.g. Lampe *et al.* 1971, 1972). The latter papers reported that there are two growth phases: a faster growth phase corresponding to the beam-cyclotron instability, and a slower growth phase corresponding to an ion-acoustic instability. It was reported in Lampe *et al.* (1971) that when the energy density in the waves excited in the first phase is sufficiently large, the resonance peaks in the beam-cyclotron growth curve are smeared out and the instability goes over to a nonmagnetized ion-acoustic instability. In our case, the ions take the place of electrons, and the dust takes the place of the ions; we expect that the trends in the nonlinear development of the dust beam cyclotron instability may be similar to that in Lampe *et al.* (1971).

Figure 7 shows the wave energy density versus time for a simulation run with initial conditions corresponding to the parameters in table 2, but with $\nu_i = \nu_d = 0$. There appear to be two phases in the nonlinear development: a fast growth phase from about $t\omega_{pi} \sim 600-1000$ and then a slower growth phase from $t\omega_{pi} \sim (1-6) \times 10^3$. This second growth phase saturates at a wave energy density which is approximately 30 times larger than that achieved in the first phase. The first growth phase is much faster than in the collisional case, as would be expected. Figure 8 shows the ion and dust temperatures, T_i and T_d , respectively, as a function of time. In this collisionless case, both T_i and T_d increase substantially, as contrasted with the collisional case where T_i remains fairly constant. These trends are similar to those reported in Lampe *et al.* (1971, 1972) for the analogous beam-cyclotron instability in an electron-ion plasma.




FIGURE 7. Average wave electric field energy density versus time for the collisionless case simulation.




FIGURE 8. Temperature versus time for collisionless case simulation: (a) ion temperature, (b) dust temperature.

Figure 9 shows the power in different modes at two different times: $t\omega_{pi} = 800$ during the first growth phase, and $t\omega_{pi} = 4000$ during the second growth phase. As can be seen from figure 9(a), in the first growth phase, modes are excited at wavenumbers satisfying the resonance condition $kU_{\perp,i} \sim m\omega_{ci}$. As compared with the collisional case, the wavenumbers are discrete, compared with the broadening of the peaks due to ion collisions in the collisional case. This is consistent with the solution of (2.1) for the reduced parameters in table 2 but with $\nu_d = \nu_i = 0$ (figure not shown). During the second growth phase, the dominant modes shift to longer wavelengths, as can be




FIGURE 9. Power in waves as a function of $k\rho_{i0}$, for collisionless case, at time (a) $t\omega_{pi} = 800$ (b) $t\omega_{pi} = 4000$.

seen in figure 9(b). If the second growth phase corresponds to the excitation of a nonmagnetized dust-acoustic instability (in analogy with the beam-cyclotron instability in an electron–ion plasma (Lampe *et al.* 1971, 1972)), one would expect this shift to longer wavelengths as T_d increases, since dust Landau damping would be weaker at smaller k .

4. Summary and discussion

We have presented one-dimensional PIC simulations of the nonlinear development of a dust beam-cyclotron instability in a collisional plasma. The instability is driven the ion cross-field $E \times B$ drift $U_{\perp} \sim v_i$. In the parameter regime we are considering, the electron dynamics does not contribute to the instability, but just provides charge neutrality. It was found that there are at least two phases in the nonlinear development: a fast growth phase of waves with wavelengths comparable to the ion gyroradius, and a slower growth phase of modes with much longer wavelengths. The behaviour suggests that in the first phase, the dust beam-cyclotron instability generates quasi-discrete short wavelength waves around $k_{\perp}\rho_i \sim m$, where the integer m denotes a harmonic of the ion gyrofrequency. These waves grow to a moderate level of wave energy density and then trap the dust, leading to a moderate increase in the dust temperature. In the second phase, the presence of dominant long wavelength modes suggests that a Hall-current-type instability grows. These waves saturates at an energy density much larger than in the first phase, with a concomitant increase in dust temperature which suggests the saturation may be due to dust Landau damping.

We have also briefly compared the simulation results in the collisional case with a simulation run without collisions mainly in order to show differences in the wave

spectra. The simulation showed trends that appear similar to those reported for the analogous beam cyclotron instability in a collisionless electron–ion plasma in Lampe *et al.* (1971, 1972). In the collisionless case, there are two growth phases, a fast phase and a slower phase. In the fast phase, the dominant modes are discrete short wavelength modes that satisfy the resonance condition $k_{\perp}\rho_i \sim m$. In the slower phase, the dominant modes appear to shift to longer wavelength, but yet much shorter wavelength than in the collisional case. Future work should investigate in more detail the behaviour of the instability as the dusty plasma parameters vary from low to high collisionality, i.e. from large to smaller (but still >1) ion Hall parameters.

A limitation of the present work is that it is a one-dimensional simulation. While previous linear theory (Rosenberg 2014) has shown that growth of the low frequency beam-cyclotron instability in a dusty plasma appears to maximize for purely perpendicular propagation, there is also finite growth at oblique angles. Future work should investigate the effect of this angular dependence on wave saturation levels. Moreover, and probably more important for experiments in high magnetic field devices such as MDPX, there can be electric fields along the magnetic field which lead to ion drifts along \mathbf{B} , in addition to ion cross-field drifts. In order to help interpret possible wave experiments in MDPX for example, future work should comprise two-dimensional simulations taking into account ion drifts both along and across the magnetic field.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by NSF.

REFERENCES

- ADAM, J. C., HERON, A. & LAVAL, G. 2004 Study of stationary plasma thrusters using two-dimensional fully kinetic simulations. *Phys. Plasmas* **11**, 295–305.
- ALEXANDROV, A. F., BOGDANKEVICH, L. S. & RUKHADZE, A. A. 1984 *Principles of Plasma Electrodynamics*. Springer.
- BIRDSALL, C. K. & LANGDON, A. B. 1985 *Plasma Physics via Computer Simulation*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- BOEUF, J.-P. 2017 Tutorial: physics and modeling of Hall thrusters. *J. Appl. Phys.* **121**, 011101.
- CAVALIER, J., LEMOINE, N., BONHOMME, G., TSIKATA, S., HONORE, C. & GRESILLON, D. 2013 Hall thruster plasma fluctuations identified as the $E \times B$ electron drift instability: modeling and fitting on experimental data. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 082107.
- CAVALIER, J., LEMOINE, N., TSIKATA, S., HONORÉ, C., GRÉSILLON, D. & BONHOMME, G. 2011, Estimation of the electron temperature in the plasma of a Hall thruster, *38th EPS Conference on Plasma Physics (2011)*, P2.013 <http://ocs.ciemat.es/EPS2011PAP/pdf/P2.013.pdf>.
- D'ANGELO, N. 2003 Hall instabilities in dusty plasmas. *Planet. Space Sci.* **51**, 393–398.
- DUCROCQ, A., ADAM, J. C., HERON, A. & LAVAL, G. 2006 High-frequency electron drift instability in the cross-field configuration of Hall thrusters. *Phys. Plasmas* **13**, 102111.
- D'YACHKOV, L. G., PETROV, O. F. & FORTOV, V. E. 2009 Dusty plasma structures in magnetic dc discharges. *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **49**, 134–147.
- FORSLUND, D. W., MORSE, R. L. & NIELSON, C. W. 1971 Nonlinear electron cyclotron drift instability and turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **27**, 1424–1428.
- FRIED, B. D. & CONTE, S. D. 1961 *The Plasma Dispersion Function*. Academic Press, New York.
- GARY, S. P. & SANDERSON, J. J. 1970 Longitudinal waves in a perpendicular collisionless plasma shock. I. Cold ions. *J. Plasma Phys.* **4**, 739–751.
- GILLESPIE, D. T. 1993 Fluctuation and dissipation in Brownian motion. *Am. J. Phys.* **61**, 1077–1083.

- GRADSHTEYN, I. S. & RYZHIK, I. M. 1980 *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. p. 36. Academic Press, San Diego.
- KARASEV, V. Y., DZLIEVA, E. S., EIKHVAL'D, A. I., ERMOLENKO, M. A., GOLUBEV, M. S. & IVANOV, A. Y. 2009 Single dust-particle rotation in glow-discharge plasma. *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 026406.
- KAW, P. K., NISHIKAWA, K. & SATO, N. 2002 Rotation in collisional strongly coupled dusty plasmas in a magnetic field. *Phys. Plasmas* **9**, 387–390.
- KINDEL, J. M. & KENNEL, C. F. 1971 Topside current instabilities. *J. Geophys. Res.* **76**, 3055–3078.
- KONOPKA, U., SAMSONOV, D., IVLEV, A. V., GOREE, J., STEINBERG, V. & MORFILL, G. E. 2000 Rigid and differential plasma crystal rotation induced by magnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 1890–1898.
- LAMPE, M., MANHEIMER, W. M., MCBRIDE, J. B., ORENS, J. H., PAPADOPOULOS, K., SHANNY, R. & SUDAN, R. N. 1972 Theory and simulation of the beam cyclotron instability. *Phys. Fluids* **15**, 662–675.
- LAMPE, M., MANHEIMER, W. M., MCBRIDE, J. B., ORENS, J. H., SHANNY, R. & SUDAN, R. N. 1971 Nonlinear development of the beam cyclotron instability. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26**, 1221–1225.
- NISHIKAWA, K. & WAKATANI, M. 1990 *Plasma Physics: Basic Theory with Fusion Applications*. Springer.
- OPHER, M., MORALES, G. J. & LEBOEUF, J. N. 2002 Krook collisional models of the kinetic susceptibility of plasmas. *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 016407.
- OSSAKOW, S. L., PAPADOPOULOS, K., ORENS, J. & COFFEY, T. 1975 Parallel propagation effects on Type-1 electrojet instability. *J. Geophys. Res.* **80**, 141–148.
- ROSENBERG, M. 2010 A cross-field dust acoustic instability in a dusty negative ion plasma. *Phys. Scr.* **81**, 015503.
- ROSENBERG, M. 2014 Beam cyclotron instability in a dusty plasma. *Phys. Scr.* **89**, 085601.
- ROSENBERG, M. 2016 On dust wave instabilities in collisional magnetized plasmas. *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **44**, 451–457.
- ROSENBERG, M. & SHUKLA, P. K. 2007 Instability of obliquely propagating dust waves in a collisional highly magnetized plasma. *J. Plasma Phys.* **73**, 189–197.
- SAMSONOV, D., ZHDANOV, S., MORFILL, G. & STEINBERG, V. 2003 Levitation and agglomeration of magnetic grains in a complex (dusty) plasma with magnetic field. *New J. Phys.* **5**, 24.
- SCHULZE, M., O'CONNELL, D., GANS, T., AWAKOWICZ, P. & VON KEUDELL, A. 2007 Rotation of a nanoparticle cloud in an inductively coupled plasma induced by weak static magnetic fields. *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* **16**, 774–784.
- SHUKLA, P. K., SALIMULLAH, M. & SORASIO, G. 2002 Some cross-field instabilities in magnetized dusty plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* **9**, 5121–5124.
- THOMAS, E. JR, DUBOIS, A. M., LYNCH, B., ADAMS, S., FISHER, R., ARTIS, D., LEBLANC, S., KONOPKA, U., MERLINO, R. L. & ROSENBERG, M. 2014 Preliminary characteristics of magnetic field and plasma performance in the Magnetized Dusty Plasma Experiment (MDPX). *J. Plasma Phys.* **80**, 803–808.
- THOMAS, E. JR, MERLINO, R. L. & ROSENBERG, M. 2012 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **54**, 124034.
- WONG, H. V. 1970 Electrostatic electron-ion streaming instability. *Phys. Fluids* **13**, 757–760.