

## Low density lipoprotein quality and discordance with apolipoprotein B in intensively controlled Type 1 diabetes: Any relationship with nutrition?

R.J. Webb<sup>1</sup>, I.G. Davies<sup>1</sup>, T.S. Purewal<sup>2</sup>, P.J. Weston<sup>2</sup>, G. Morrison<sup>2</sup> and J.C. Abayomi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food, Nutrition and Health Research Group, Liverpool John Moores University, Barkhill Road, Aigburth, Liverpool, Merseyside, L17 6BD and

<sup>2</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospital, Prescot Street, Liverpool, Merseyside, L7 8XP

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is partly characterised by a higher prevalence of cardiovascular disease (CVD). Despite low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) being a commonly treated target, apolipoprotein B (Apo B) has been shown to be a superior predictor of CVD and discordance between these two markers may predispose patients to altered risk<sup>(1)</sup>. The distribution of LDL-C also contributes to these risks, with LDL III & IV fractions possessing greater atherogenic potential<sup>(2)</sup>. Few studies have investigated LDL-C quality and its discordance with Apo B in relation to the nutritional intake of patients with intensively controlled Type 1 diabetes. The aim of this study was to address this dearth of research.

Following ethical approval and informed consent 28 patients (32 % male; 68 % female) (mean age 48 ± 15) were asked to complete a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), donate a sample of blood and allow the authors access to their medical records to determine HbA<sub>1c</sub>. The initial FFQ responses were processed using FETA software. The blood sample was analysed for LDL-C, constituent sub-fractions and Apo B. All data were interrogated using descriptive statistics. Dichotomous dependent variables pertaining to LDL-C and Apo B were compared using McNemar’s test and correlations between dietary variables were determined with Spearman’s rho test.

Significant differences were shown between LDL-C categories when compared to Apo B ( $p = 0.039$ ) and the majority of patients (46.4 %) presented LDL-C >2.0 mmol/L and Apo B >80 mg/dL (Fig. 1). Although not discordant, these findings still suggest an increased risk according to recommendations<sup>(3)</sup>. Closer inspection of results revealed that individuals with raised LDL-C typically had an abundance of LDL I & II fractions which may somewhat reduce this risk (Fig. 2). Spearman’s correlation applied to the whole population produced no relationship between diet and LDL-C or Apo B; however, when focussing on the predominant ‘at risk’ cluster significant and strong relationships between LDL-C and total carbohydrate ( $R^2 = 0.835$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) and sucrose ( $R^2 = 0.758$ ;  $p = 0.003$ ) were found. No hypoglycaemia data were collected and the authors tentatively speculate that these relationships may be a consequence of its treatment. In the light of the small sample size a further more comprehensive investigation with an appropriately powered sample would be beneficial.




Fig. 1. Patient LDL-C and Apo B in relation to recommendations (Cluster HbA<sub>1c</sub> (mmol/mol) shown on columns).




Fig. 2. Individual patient LDL subfractions and Apo B.

1. Otvos J *et al.* (2011) *J Clin Lipidol* 5, 105–113.
2. Vergès B (2009) *Diabetes & Metabolism* 35, 353–60.
3. Catapano AL *et al.* (2016) *Eur Heart J* 37, 2999–3058.