EPAs completed. Methods: We designed an intervention consisting of clinical cases that were reviewed by national EPA experts who identified which EPAs could be assessed from each case. A case-based session was incorporated into the 2019 CBME orientation for The McMaster Emergency Medicine Program. Postgraduate Year (PGY)1 residents read the cases and discussed which EPAs could be obtained with PGY2/faculty facilitators. The number of EPAs completed in the first two blocks of PGY1 was determined from local program data and Student's t-test was used to compare averages between cohorts. Results: We analyzed data from 22 trainees (7 in 2017, 8 in 2018, and 7 in 2019). In the first two blocks of PGY1, the intervention cohort (2019) had a significantly higher average number of EPAs completed per trainee (47.4 [SD 11.8]) than the historical cohort (25.3 [SD 6.7]) (p < 0.001) (Cohen's d = 2.3). No significant difference existed in the number EPAs obtained between the 2017/2018 cohorts, with averages of 24.3 [SD 6.8] and 26.1 [SD 7.0] per trainee respectively (p = 0.6). Conclusion: Implementation of a case-based orientation led by CBME-experienced facilitators nearly doubled the EPA acquisition rate of our PGY1s. The consistent EPA acquisition by the 2017/2018 cohorts suggest that the post-intervention increase was not solely due to developed familiarity with the CBME curriculum.

Keywords: competency based medical education, entrustable professional activity, orientation

LO73

Are women under-represented in emergency medicine residency programs across Canada?

<u>K. Lien, MD</u>, L. Yau, MD, K. Van Aarsen, MSc, A. Wakabayashi, MSc, M. Bhimani, MD, MSc, Western University, London, ON

Introduction: 2018 data from the Canadian Medical Association website shows that of practicing emergency physicians country-wide, only 31% were female. While there are some studies that examine the number and proportion of Canadian female applicants applying to surgical specialties, there are very few studies that are specific to emergency medicine (EM), and none that are Canadian in scope. Given the changing gender ratio of graduating medical students in Canada, the primary objective of this study is to assess the mean proportion and trends in proportion of females who applied and matched to Englishlanguage Canadian EM programs including Canadian College of Family Physicians emergency medicine certificate (CCFP-EM) and Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians of Canada emergency medicine (FRCPC-EM), family medicine (CCFP) programs, and all specialties combined. Methods: A retrospective data analysis on residency match results from 2013-2019 inclusively was performed. Data was accessed through a freedom of information request from the Canadian resident matching service (CaRMS). The mean proportions and trends in the proportions of females applying and matching to CCFP-EM, FRCPC-EM, CCFP, and all specialties were computed. Cochrane-Armitage trend of test was used for analysis. Results: From 2013-2019, the mean (SD) percentage of females who applied and matched respectively were as follows: CCFP-EM [44.4 (3.5);46.0(4.5)]; FRCPC-EM [41.3(4.1);44.0 (4.5], CCFP [56.5 (1.3);61.0(1.9)], all specialties [54.0(1.1);55.5(0.9)]. There was a significant increase in the proportion of female applying to the FRCPC-EM (p < 0.0001), CCFP (p = 0.0002), and all disciplines (p = 0.0013). There was no significant change in the proportion of females applying for the CCFP-EM program (p = 0.6435). Conclusion: Our study shows that there is an increasing trend in the

percentage of female applicants in all programs except the CCFP-EM program, where it remained statistically the same over time. There was a consistent percentage of applied versus matched female applicants over time for both CCFP-EM and FRCPC-EM programs. However, the percentage of females applying or matching to both CCFP-EM and FRCPC-EM programs remained less than 50%. Further research could focus on evaluating reasons for program choice, in order to further increase the percentage of female medical students and residents applying and matching to both emergency medicine programs. **Keywords:** Canadian resident matching service, gender, residency

LO75

Does the Ottawa emergency department shift observation tool give more useful information – assessing the utility of transitioning to a novel, entrustability based assessment tool in the emergency department

<u>S. Dewhirst, MD</u>, W. Cheung, MD, MMed, N. Dudek, MD, T. Wood, PhD, J. Frank, MD, MA(Ed), University of Ottawa, Department of Emergency Medicine, Ottawa, ON

Introduction: The Ottawa Emergency Department Shift Observation Tool (O-EDShOT) was recently developed to assess a resident's ability to safely run an ED shift and is supported by multiple sources of validity evidence. The O-EDShOT uses entrustability scales, which reflect the degree of supervision required for a given task. It was found to discriminate between learners of different levels, and to differentiate between residents who were rated as able to safely run the shift and those who were not. In June 2018 we replaced norm-based daily encounter cards (DECs) with the O-EDShOT. With the ideal assessment tool, most of the score variability would be explained by variability in learners' performances. In reality, however, much of the observed variability is explained by other factors. The purpose of this study is to determine what proportion of total score variability is accounted for by learner variability when using norm-based DECs vs the O-EDShOT. Methods: This was a prospective pre-/postimplementation study, including all daily assessments completed between July 2017 and June 2019 at The Ottawa Hospital ED. A generalizability analysis (G study) was performed to determine what proportion of total score variability is accounted for by the various factors in this study (learner, rater, form, pgy level) for both the pre- and postimplementation phases. We collected 12 months of data for each phase, because we estimated that 6-12 months would be required to observe a measurable increase in entrustment scale scores within a learner. Results: A total of 3908 and 3679 assessments were completed by 99 and 116 assessors in the pre- and post- implementation phases respectively. Our G study revealed that 21% of total score variance was explained by a combination of post-graduate year (PGY) level and the individual learner in the pre-implementation phase, compared to 59% in the post-implementation phase. An average of 51 vs 27 forms/learner are required to achieve a reliability of 0.80 in the preand post-implementation phases respectively. Conclusion: A significantly greater proportion of total score variability is explained by variability in learners' performances with the O-EDShOT compared to norm-based DECs. The O-EDShOT also requires fewer assessments to generate a reliable estimate of the learner's ability. This study suggests that the O-EDShOT is a more useful assessment tool than norm-based DECs, and could be adopted in other emergency medicine training programs.

Keywords: assessment, entrustability, Ottawa Emergency Department Shift Observation Tool